

No.21-_____

In The
Supreme Court Of The
United States

In Re: BRIAN DAVID HILL,
Petitioner,

On Petition for a Writ of Mandamus or Prohibition to
the United States District Court for the Middle
District of North Carolina and the United States
Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

Brian David Hill
Pro Se
Ally of QANON
*Former USWGO Alternative News
Reporter*
310 FOREST STREET, APARTMENT 2
MARTINSVILLE, VIRGINIA 24112
Tel.: (276) 790-3505
E-Mail: No Email



Friend of justice



JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on October 9 and 11, 2021, eight (8) copies of the PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI and the MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS in the above-captioned case were served, as required by U.S. Supreme Court Rule 29.5, on the following:

1. John Mcrae Alsup, Esq., Assistant U. S. Attorney
Direct: 336-333-5351
Email: john.alsup@usdoj.gov
OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
Middle District of North Carolina
4th Floor
101 South Edgeworth Street
Greensboro, NC 27401

2. Angela Hewlett Miller, Assistant U. S. Attorney
Direct: 336-333-5351
Email: usancm.ecfcentral@usdoj.gov
OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
Middle District of North Carolina
4th Floor
101 South Edgeworth Street
Greensboro, NC 27401

3. Anand P. Ramaswamy, Assistant U. S. Attorney
Direct: 336-333-5351
Email: USANCM.ECFCENTRAL@usdoj.gov
OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
Middle District of North Carolina
4th Floor
101 South Edgeworth Street
Greensboro, NC 27401

4. U.S. Solicitor General
United States Department of Justice
202-514-2217
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

SupremeCtBriefs@USDOJ.gov

Officers of the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina:

5. Hon. William Lindsey Osteen Junior
United States Department of Justice
336-332-6090

L. Richardson Preyer Courthouse
324 W. Market Street
Greensboro, NC 27401-2544

JOsteen@ncmd.uscourts.gov

Case Mgr: kelly_welch@ncmd.uscourts.gov

6. Hon. Thomas David Schroeder
United States District Court
For the Middle District of North Carolina
336-734-2530

L. Richardson Preyer Courthouse
324 W. Market Street
Greensboro, NC 27401-2544

JSchroeder@ncmd.uscourts.gov

Case Mgr: Anita_Engle@ncmd.uscourts.gov

7. Hon. Magistrate Joe L. Webster
United States District Court
For the Middle District of North Carolina
919-425-8900

L. Richardson Preyer Courthouse
324 W. Market Street
Greensboro, NC 27401-2544

JWebster@ncmd.uscourts.gov

Officers of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit:

8. Patricia S. Connor, Clerk
United States Court of Appeals
For the Fourth Circuit
(804) 916-2700

Lewis F. Powell Jr. Courthouse & Annex
1100 East Main Street, Suite 501
Richmond, VA 23219

acca-filing@ca4.uscourts.gov

In the event that the Supreme Court requests any other unidentified/unknown officers who may need to be served in the future, then this Court may order such from Petitioner and Petitioner will comply with any such future order in the prosecution of this case of Petitioning for Writs of Mandamus or Prohibition.

The foregoing officers of the Court listed above should be enough served as to the responsible Respondents for the Supreme Court Clerk to file the Petition for Writs of Mandamus or Prohibition. All were served by mail. If the Court deems that there may be any additional Respondents which may need to be served, any considered missed or should also be served in addition to the foregoing officers listed above, then again Petitioner is willing to serve any possibly missing Respondent(s) and file an amended Certificate/Affidavit of Service if the Court orders such. Therefore, if the Court requests such, Petitioner will serve those as well. Petitioner did the best to find and list the known Respondents without using the internet by having his family research all possible Respondents for this prosecuted case.

Petitioner respectfully asks the Clerk of the Supreme Court not to return the Petitions over any minor issue if any are missing since Petitioner does not have internet access and research is limited per se. Petitioner requests that the Court order him to serve any missing/unknown respondents if any are

found. If the Court feels that all listed Respondents are adequate enough for the prosecution of this case and that there are no missing respondents, then Petitioner asks the Court to continue on its normal business with processing and filing the Petition for the foregoing case, requesting response from Respondents, whatever the procedures are for this Mandamus action.

Since Petitioner is proceeding pro se without representation of counsel and under Supervised Release conditions not allowing Petitioner to use the internet, email service cannot possibly be made on the Respondents. Disclaimer: The only reason Petitioner types up JusticeforUSWGO.wordpress.com is to promote a political website that his friends/family operate to ask for justice for Brian D. Hill, the former alternative news reporter of USWGO Alternative News, and does not mean any access to the internet was used to type up that address in any brief or letter or pleading. Petitioner does not have access to email and cannot email any of the Respondents in this case. Same with any video or YouTube links and descriptions or titles with the links in the Petition. Those were researched by Brian's family and/or friends which includes Roberta Hill, Stella Forinash, and Kenneth Forinash but not limited to only those family members or friends.

If any of those links are broken due to any censorship or cover up, then the Court may ask Petitioner to mail any DVD discs to the Court to review over the videos as well as provide printed screenshots of the YouTube links by Brian's family and/or friends. They have the videos and can be burned onto DVD Video discs for the Justices to review over as part of this original Mandamus and Prohibition action. Those videos are essential to this action as it pertains to the lack of due process of law, as well as miscarriages of justice, and excesses of jurisdiction.

Service of process was done by mailing. On October 11, 2021, the service was done by depositing the mailings in the drop-off box or drop-off location with the postage prepaid. Two envelopes were mailed on October 9, 2021.

Since three respondents 1-3 are Assistant U. S. Attorneys', for the Middle District of North Carolina all likely working in the same office building address, a single mailing envelope containing three copies of the PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI and MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS shall serve the three counsel attorneys for Respondent: United States of America at the same address and

the certified mail tracking number was **9402 8368 9523 2448 4361 54**.

The U.S. Solicitor General was served one (1) copy of both the Petition and IFP Motion by a mailing under certified mail tracking number **9402 8368 9523 2448 3890 92**, on October 9, 2021 by depositing the mailing on October 9, 2021 with the U.S. Postal Service.

Since three respondents 5-7 are officers of the U.S. District Court, for the Middle District of North Carolina. Since the Clerk of the Court, by federal law, forwards all pleadings to the correct officers of the Court as part of their duties, a single mailing envelope containing three copies of the PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI and MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS shall serve the three officers for Respondent: United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina directed to the Clerk of the Court at the same address and the certified mail tracking number was **9402 8368 9523 2448 4674 48**. The three different copies have a sticky note directing each respective copy of the pleadings to be delivered to the correct officer of the U.S. District Court as named. A 9-page letter entitled "LETTER TO CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH

CAROLINA IN REGARDS TO FORWARDING OF PETITIONS/MOTIONS IN RE: BRIAN DAVID HILL, U.S. SUPREME COURT (SCOTUS)” was enclosed in the envelope directing the Clerk to forward the copies of both Mandamus Petitions and IFP Motions to the specific officers of the Court named in the Petition.

Since one respondent no. 8 is an officer of the U.S. Court of Appeals, for the Fourth Circuit. Since the Clerk of the Court is the named officer of the Court in the Petition, a single mailing envelope containing one copy of the PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI and MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS shall serve the one officer for Respondent: United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit (“Appeals Court”) directed to the Clerk of the Court at the same address and the certified mail tracking number was **9414 8368 9523 2448 4088 80**. This mailing was mailed out to this Respondent on October 9, 2021, being deposited with the United States Postal Service. The one copy is directly naming the officer of the U.S. Court of Appeals as named in the Petition for Writs of Mandamus and Prohibition named in the above case.

That should serve all known Respondents and satisfy the service requirement by the U.S. Supreme Court.

Declaration pursuant to 28 U.S. Code § 1746.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 11, 2021.

DATED this 11th day of October, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian David Hill
Pro Se
Ally of QANON
Former USWGO Alternative News Reporter
310 FOREST STREET, APARTMENT 2
MARTINSVILLE, VIRGINIA 24112
Tel.: (276) 790-3505
E-Mail: No Email

