

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Document Index	Date Filed	Page
Manuscripts:		
GD PAPERWORK	01/09/2019	1 - 59
CCRE (number only)	01/09/2019	60 - 60
(SEALED) EVALUATION REPORT - PSYCHOLOGICAL EVAL-GDC	01/09/2019	61 - 67
CORRESPONDENCE	01/22/2019	68 - 234
MOTION - TO ADMIT EVIDENCE	01/23/2019	235 - 239
RESPONSE - MOT FOR RECIPROCAL DISCOVER	02/06/2019	240 - 242
ORDER - DISCOVERY	02/06/2019	243 - 245
MOTION - FILE EVIDENCE BEFORE TRIAL	04/08/2019	246 - 260
MOTION - EARLIER TRIAL DATE	05/29/2019	261 - 265
MOTION - MOTION FOR BOND	05/31/2019	266 - 269
BOND	06/04/2019	270 - 272
OTHER - RELEASE ORDER	06/04/2019	273 - 273
ORDER - AGREED ORDER FOR BOND	06/04/2019	274 - 275
OTHER - CONT CUST-07/15/19@9AM	06/04/2019	276 - 276
SCHEDULING ORDER - CA OF REQ JURY-SET 8/31@9A	07/15/2019	277 - 277
NOTICE - TO APPEAR 08/30/19@9AM	07/15/2019	278 - 278
ORDER - DISCOVERY	07/15/2019	279 - 281
MOTION - INSANITY DEF-FILED BY DEF	07/19/2019	282 - 292
MOTION - REQ SUB COUNSEL-FILED BY D	07/19/2019	293 - 301
MOTION - DISCOVERY	07/26/2019	302 - 324
MOTION - MOT TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE	07/26/2019	325 - 377
MOTION - PUB. DEFENDER WITHDRAW	07/29/2019	378 - 380
ORDER - ATTY L.MCGARRY WITHDRAWN	07/30/2019	381 - 382
ORDER - APPOINTED ATTY MATT CLARK	08/01/2019	383 - 383
NOTICE - PRIOR CONVICTIONS	08/06/2019	384 - 390
MOTION - CONTINUE 8-30-19	08/19/2019	391 - 392
CONTINUANCE ORDER - CD-TBS ON 8/27	08/20/2019	393 - 394
NOTICE - APPEAR 08/27/19@9AM	08/21/2019	395 - 395
CONTINUANCE ORDER - SET 12-2-19 @ 9AM	08/27/2019	396 - 396
NOTICE - APPEAR 12-2-19 @ 9AM	08/27/2019	397 - 397
BOND ORDER - AMENDED BOND ORDER	09/11/2019	398 - 399
MOTION - FAX MOT TO DISMISS	11/04/2019	400 - 418
MOTION - FAX TO WITHDRAW APPEAL	11/12/2019	419 - 430
ORDER IN MISDEMEANOR OR TRAFFIC INFRACTION PROCEEDING	11/15/2019	431 - 431

OTHER - COPY DISPOSITION NOTICE	11/15/2019	432 - 432
MOTION - VACATE FRAUD BEGOTTEN JUDG	11/25/2019	433 - 459
ORDER - VACATE FRAUD JUDG-DENIED	11/25/2019	460 - 460
APPEAL NOTICE - NOTICE OF APPEAL	11/27/2019	461 - 463
APPEAL NOTICE - NOTICE OF APPEAL	11/27/2019	464 - 466
LETTER - FROM DEFENDANT TO CLERK	02/26/2020	467 - 474
LETTER - RESPONSE-CLERK SUPREME CT	03/06/2020	475 - 502
LETTER - PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION	03/09/2020	503 - 518
MOTION - TO PROCEED PRO SE ON APPLS	03/16/2020	519 - 520
MOTION - WAIVING LEGAL FEES	03/16/2020	521 - 555
AFFIDAVIT - AFF/DECLAR. BRIAN HILL	03/25/2020	556 - 566
AFFIDAVIT - AFF/DECLAR. ROBERTA HILL	03/25/2020	567 - 570
LETTER - LETTER TO CLERK	03/25/2020	571 - 579
LETTER - FROM DEF W/ATTACHMENTS	03/25/2020	580 - 603
NOTICE - NOTICE OF LAWSUIT	03/26/2020	604 - 629
LETTER - FROM CLERK TO DEFENDANT	03/26/2020	630 - 630
LETTER - LETTER TO CLERK	03/30/2020	631 - 643
MOTION - TO DISCHARGE LEGAL FEES	03/31/2020	644 - 677
WRIT OF MANDAMUS	04/02/2020	678 - 708
OTHER - APLC PROCEED IN FP-US DIST	04/02/2020	709 - 713
ORDER - DENIED MOT-DISCHARGE F/C	04/02/2020	714 - 714
APPEAL NOTICE - MCC/US DIST COURT	04/07/2020	715 - 730
MOTION - TO DISQUALIFY GCG	04/08/2020	731 - 743
ORDER - DENIED DEF WRIT ERROR CV	04/10/2020	744 - 744
ORDER - DENIED MOT WAIVE FEES	04/10/2020	745 - 745
ORDER - DENIED MOT DISQUALIFY GCG	04/10/2020	746 - 746
LETTER - FROM DEF TO CLERK-SERVICE	04/14/2020	747 - 750
APPEAL NOTICE - RE: MOT TO DISQUALIFY	04/15/2020	751 - 759
COURT OF APPEALS OF VA ORDERS - APPOINT J I JONES-COUNSEL	04/20/2020	760 - 761
COURT OF APPEALS OF VA ORDERS - APPOINT J I JONES-COUNSEL	04/20/2020	762 - 763
APPEAL NOTICE	04/21/2020	764 - 768
LETTER - DEF TO CLK-NOT RECV COPY O	04/23/2020	769 - 770
COURT OF APPEALS OF VA ORDERS - GRANTED LEAVE REPLACE N/A	10/28/2020	771 - 771
NOTICE - OF FRAUD UPON THE CT-DEF	11/04/2020	772 - 803
NOTICE - OF FRAUD UPON THE CT-DEF	11/05/2020	804 - 835
NOTICE - 2ND OF FRAUD UPON THE CT	11/09/2020	836 - 884
APPEAL NOTICE - OF APPEAL (2)	11/12/2020	885 - 889
NOTICE - 2ND OF FRAUD UPON THE CT	11/12/2020	890 - 937
AFFIDAVIT - AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE	11/13/2020	938 - 944

AFFIDAVIT - AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE	11/13/2020	945 - 951
LETTER - AS TO DOC NOTICE OF FRAUD	11/16/2020	952 - 957
APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL - PER CT APPEALS-JJONES	12/14/2020	958 - 958

I, Ashby R. Pritchett, Clerk of the Martinsville Circuit, certify that the contents of the record listed in the table of contents constitute the true and complete record, except for exhibits whose omission are noted in the table of contents, and are hereby transmitted to the Court of Appeals on February 25, 2021.

WARRANT OF ARREST—MISDEMEANOR (LOCAL)

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA Va. Code § 19.2-71, -72

Martinsville
CITY OR COUNTY

General District Court Criminal Traffic
 Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court

Martinsville

City County Town

TO ANY AUTHORIZED OFFICER:

You are hereby commanded in the name of the Commonwealth of Virginia forthwith to arrest and bring the Accused before this Court to answer the charge that the Accused, within this city or county, on or about 09/21/2018 DATE did unlawfully in violation of Section

13-17/18.2-387 Code or Ordinances of this city, county or town: intentionally make an obscene display of the accused's person or private parts in a public place or in a place where others were present.

I, the undersigned, have found probable cause to believe that the Accused committed the offense charged, based on the sworn statements of

SGT. R.D. JONES MPD, Complainant.

Execution by summons permitted at officer's discretion. not permitted.

09/21/2018 05:35 AM
DATE AND TIME ISSUED

Courtney D. Reid
 CLERK MAGISTRATE JUDGE
Courtney D. Reid

VIRGINIA
of Martinsville Circuit Court
Clerk's Office.
Received and Filed this the
9th Day of January 2019
at 12:21 P.M.
Taxes: [Signature]

*Case
Appealed
Pls & Remov
H*

None may be required

**DNA SAMPLE (on file)*

C/190000097
CASE NO. C18-3138

ACCUSED:
Hill, Brian David
LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME

310 Forest St Apt 2
ADDRESS/LOCATION
Martinsville, VA 24112

To be completed upon service as Summons

Mailing address Same as above

RACE	SEX	BORN	HT.	WGT.	EYES	HAIR
W	M	MO. DAY YR. 05/26/1990	FT. IN. 6' 00"	150	BLU	BRO

SSN
[REDACTED]-0319
DL# STATE

Commercial Driver's License

CLASS 1 MISDEMEANOR

- EXECUTED by arresting the Accused named above on this day:
- EXECUTED by summoning the Accused named above on this day:
- For legal entities other than individuals, service pursuant to Va. Code § 19.2-76.

9/21/18 0538
DATE AND TIME OF SERVICE

R Jones, ARRESTING OFFICER

22 MPD 113
BADGE NO., AGENCY AND JURISDICTION

for _____ SHERIFF

Attorney for the Accused:
Pub. Def.

Short Offense Description (not a legal definition):
INDECENT EXPOSURE

Offense Tracking Number:
690GM1800003560

FOR ADMINISTRATIVE USE ONLY
Virginia Crime Code:
OBS-3713-01

Hearing Date/Time
10-05-18 3:00 PM (BT)
12-21-18 10:45 AM

LOCAL

The Accused was this day:

tried in absence present

Hall

PROSECUTING ATTORNEY PRESENT (NAME)

Alkove

DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY PRESENT (NAME)

NO ATTORNEY ATTORNEY WAIVED

If convicted, no jail sentence will be imposed

INTERPRETER PRESENT

Certified pursuant to § 19.2-190.1.

Plea of Accused:

not guilty Witnesses sworn

nolo contendere

guilty Plea voluntarily and intelligently entered after the defendant was apprised of his right against compulsory self-incrimination and his right to confront the witnesses against him.

Plea and Recommendation

And was TRIED and FOUND by me:

not guilty guilty as charged

guilty of
VCC

facts sufficient to find guilt but defer adjudication/disposition to

DATE AND TIME

and place accused on probation, §§ 4.1-305, 18.2-57.3, 18.2-251 or 19.2-303.2.

A separate order for First Offender is attached and incorporated in this order.

Costs imposed upon defendant.

DATE

JUDGE

And was FOUND by me to be:

driving a commercial motor vehicle

carrying hazardous materials

I ORDER a nolle prosequi on prosecution's motion

I ORDER the charge dismissed with prejudice

conditioned upon payment of costs and

successful completion of

traffic school

mature driver school, § 16.1-69.48:1.

accord and satisfaction, § 19.2-151.

under §§ 4.1-305, 18.2-57.3, 18.2-251 or 19.2-303.2.

Guilty – upon a violation of a term or condition of a deferred adjudication/disposition.

I impose the following Disposition:

FINE CIVIL PENALTY of \$
with \$ suspended

JAIL SENTENCE of *30 days*
imposed, of which days
mandatory minimum, with suspended

for a period of, conditioned upon being of good behavior, keeping the peace, obeying this order and paying fines and costs. Credit is allowed pursuant to § 53.1-187 for time spent in confinement.

Serve jail sentence beginning
 on weekends only

Work release authorized if eligible required
 not authorized

Public work force authorized not authorized

on PROBATION for

VASAP local community-based probation agency

Monitoring by GPS/other tracking device

DRIVER'S LICENSE suspended for

Restricted Driver's License per attached order

Ignition Interlock for

RESTITUTION order incorporated

Restitution payment is a condition of suspended sentence

COMMUNITY SERVICE hours to be completed by

and supervised by

to be credited against fines and costs

Contact prohibited between defendant and victim/ victim's family or household members

Other:

Reimburse Commonwealth for investigatory medical fees

Pay \$50 to the Court for Trauma Center Fund

Bail on Appeal \$

Remanded for CCRE Report

DRIVER'S LICENSE/PRIVILEGE TO DRIVE IN VIRGINIA SUSPENDED EFFECTIVE IN 30 DAYS IF FINES, COSTS, FORFEITURES, PENALTIES OR RESTITUTION ARE NOT PAID. Va. Code § 46.2-395.

12-21-2015

DATE

JUDGE

Offense Tracking Number: 690GM1800003560

FINE

LOCALITY \$

COSTS

223 LIQUIDATED DAMAGES

461 FIXED MISD FEE *61-00*

462 FIXED DRUG MISD FEE

001 INT CRIM CHILD FEE *15-00*

113 WITNESS FEE

113 IGNITION INTERLOCK

113 DUI FEE

113 *DNA* *38-00*

233 *15-00*

121 TRIAL IN ABSENCE FEE

133 BLOOD TEST FEE

137 TIME TO PAY *10-00*

192 TRAUMA CENTER FEE

202 WITNESS FEE

217 CT. APPT. ATTY *120-00*

228 COURTHOUSE CONSTRUCTION FEE

234 JAIL ADMISSION FEE *25-00*

243 LOCAL TRAINING ACADEMY FEE

244 COURTHOUSE SECURITY FEE *10-00*

OTHER (SPECIFY):

241 *5.00*

TOTAL \$ *299-00*

Stay of the proceedings pursuant to § 16.1-131.1

DATE

JUDGE

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

RULES 3A:3 AND 7C:3

Commonwealth of Virginia

General District Court

Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court

Martinsville

CITY OR COUNTY

Under penalty of perjury, I, the undersigned Complainant swear or affirm that I have reason to believe that the Accused committed a criminal offense, on or about

09/21/2018

in the City County Town

DATE OFFENSE OCCURRED

of Martinsville

I base my belief on the following facts: (Print ALL information clearly.)

On the above date I responded to the area of Pine St. at the steps for the Dick and Willie Trail due to a naked white male that had been seen running on Hooker St from Church St. Officers were in the area of Hooker St and had not located the male. I walked down the steps to the trail where i herd foot steps coming towards me. I could see a person walking on the trail and they stopped. I signed my light on the male and he turned and ran. He was naked except for his shoes and socks. The male had items in his hand when he ran. I chased the suspect off the left side of the trail down a bank and into the creek. I was yelling stop and show me your hands during the chase. When the male was detained he was read Miranda and started talking about a black male in a hoodie made him get naked and take pictures of himself. He was transported to the hospital due to knee pain. While at the Hospital he stated that he was alone when he took the photos of himself and he gave Ofc. Warnick promising to view his camera. On the Camera was several photo of himself naked around the city. He was medically and psychologically cleared. He was arrested for indecent Exposure. Mr. Hill's clothing was located in his bag. All took place in the city.

The statements above are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

In making this complaint, I have read and fully understand the following:

By swearing to these facts, I agree to appear in court and testify if a warrant or summons is issued.

The charge in this warrant cannot be dismissed except by the court, even at my request.

Sgt. R. Jones #220

NAME OF COMPLAINANT (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE)
(PRINT CLEARLY)

[Signature]
SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT

Subscribed and sworn to before me this day.

09/21/2018 05:35 AM

DATE AND TIME

Courtney D Reid

CLERK MAGISTRATE JUDGE

x

Courtney D. Reid

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

ACCUSED: Name, Description, Address/Location

Hill, Brian David

LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME

310 Forest St Apt 2

Martinsville, VA 24112

COMPLETE DATA BELOW IF KNOWN

RACE	SEX	BORN			HT.		WGT.	EYES	HAIR
		Mo.	DAY	YR.	FT.	IN			
W	M	05	26	90	6	0	150	BLU	BRN

SSN [REDACTED]-0319

Complainant is not a law-enforcement officer or animal control officer. Authorization prior to issuance of felony arrest warrant given by Commonwealth's attorney Law-enforcement agency having jurisdiction over alleged offense

NAME OF PERSON AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF WARRANT

DATE AND TIME AUTHORIZATION GIVEN

13-17 Indecent Exposure

COMMITMENT ORDER

Commonwealth of Virginia

ACCUSED: Hill, Brian David
NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE)

Jurisdiction: Martinsville

ADDRESS: 310 Forest St Apt 2
Martinsville, VA 24112

- General District Court (Traffic)
- General District Court (Criminal)
- General District Court (Civil)
- Juvenile & Domestic Relations District Court
- Circuit Court

SSN: ██████-0319 DOB: 05/26/1990

SEX: STATUS: CHARGED UNDER:

Male Female Adult Juvenile State Local Ordinance

CHARGE(S):

Offense Tracking Number	Offense Date	Virginia Crime Code (For Administrative Use Only)	Code Section	Case Number
690GM1800003560	09-21-18	OBS-3713-O1 (L) INDECENT EXPOSURE	13-17/18.2-387	

Addendum listing additional charges is attached and incorporated.

BAIL: \$ Secured Unsecured Recognizance
 Held without bail No additional bail required
 Release by Judicial Officer to custody of responsible person or when accused is no longer intoxicated

Accused may may not depart the Commonwealth of Virginia. Other conditions of bail on page two.

If **NOT released** on bail,
 Court appearance location, date and time:
 GENERAL DISTRICT J&DR CIRCUIT
 Martinsville
Sep 21, 2018 08:15 AM

If **released** on bail,
 Hearing date and time:
Sep 21, 2018 08:15 AM

Since accused is unable or unwilling to participate in a bail hearing, I order accused to be returned to Judicial Officer for bail determination.

Currently serving sentence

Charges pending in Court

Place held in custody (if other than facility serving this jurisdiction):
NAME OF FACILITY

TO THE SHERIFF, JAIL OFFICER OR CORRECTI
the Court, unless otherwise released.

09/21/2018 05:52 AM
DATE AND TIME

Courtney D. Reid

to take custody of and convey the accused to

MAGISTRATE CLERK JUDGE Courtney D. Reid

Received: 9-21-18 0605
DATE AND TIME

By: *[Signature]*

Case No(s)

Offense Tracking Number(s): 690GM1800003560

Additional Conditions of Bail:

The Accused must

- Submit to Drug/Alcohol Screening
- Submit to Drug/Alcohol Testing
- Comply with a Curfew of
- Avoid All Contact with.....
- Refrain from Possessing Firearm, Destructive Device, Dangerous Weapon
- Refrain from Excessive Use of Alcohol
- Refrain from Use of Illegal Drugs/Controlled Substances not Prescribed by a Health Care Provider
- Maintain or Seek Employment
- Maintain or Commence Education
- The Accused is assigned to home-electronic incarceration
- The Accused is to be monitored by a GPS/other tracking device
- The Accused is to accompany the arresting officer to the jurisdiction's fingerprinting facility and submit to having his photograph and fingerprints taken prior to release.
- Other:

CBR 690GM1800003561



**FINANCIAL STATEMENT – ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION
FOR INDIGENT DEFENSE SERVICES
PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBILITY**

Case No.

Commonwealth of Virginia VA. CODE § 19.2-159

I currently receive the following type(s) of public assistance in CITY/COUNTY

TANF \$ Medicaid Supplemental Security Income \$ 750.⁰⁰
 SNAP (food stamps) \$ Other (specify type and amount)

I currently do not receive public assistance.

Names and address of employer(s) for defendant and spouse:

Self unemployed

Spouse (not applicable if alleged victim)

NET INCOME:	Self	Spouse
Pay period (weekly, every second week, twice monthly, monthly)
Net take home pay (salary/wages, minus deductions required by law)	\$
Other income sources (please specify)	\$

EMPLOYMENT HISTORY:

Were you employed at the time of your arrest? yes no
 If yes, my net take home pay was per week month \$
 If no, length of time since last employed?
 Total wages earned last calendar year? \$

TOTAL INCOME \$ ~~0~~ + = COURT USE ONLY A

ASSETS:

Cash on hand \$ ~~0~~

Bank Accounts at: \$

Any other assets: (please specify)

Real estate – \$ with a value of \$ ~~0~~
 NET VALUE

Motor Vehicles { with net value of \$ ~~0~~
 { with net value of \$ ~~0~~
 YEAR AND MAKE

Other Personal Property: (describe) \$

TOTAL ASSETS \$ ~~0~~ + = COURT USE ONLY B

Number in household defendant has financial responsibility for, including defendant.

EXCEPTIONAL EXPENSES (Total Exceptional Expenses of Family)

Medical Expenses (list only unusual and continuing expenses) \$ ~~0~~
 Court-ordered support payments/alimony \$ ~~0~~
 deducted from paycheck not deducted from paycheck
 Child-care payments (e.g. day care) \$
 Other (describe): } \$

TOTAL EXPENSES \$ ~~0~~ = COURT USE ONLY C
 COLUMN "A" plus COLUMN "B" minus
 COLUMN "C" equals available funds = COURT USE ONLY

This statement is made under oath. Any false statement may constitute a violation of law under Virginia Code § 19.2-161 and be subject to criminal penalty, including incarceration.

I hereby state that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge.

Name of defendant (type or print) Brian David Hill

9/21/18 DATE x Brian D. Hill SIGNATURE

Sworn/affirmed and signed before me this day.

9-21-2018 DATE SIGNATURE

TITLE

REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF A LAWYER

Commonwealth of Virginia

Case No.

C18-2135

VA. CODE ANN. §§ 16.1-266, 267 §§ 19.2-159, 160, 163

- Circuit Court
- General District Court
- Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court

MARTINSVILLE GENERAL DISTRICT COURT

CITY OR COUNTY

Brian David Hill

Adult

ADDRESS

TELEPHONE NUMBER

TO THE ADULT: You have been charged with an offense punishable by death or confinement in a state correctional facility or in jail, including charges for revocation of suspension of imposition or execution of sentence or probation; or you are a party in a case involving allegations of abuse and/or neglect or a case in which you may be subjected to termination of your residual parental rights and responsibilities. You have the right to be represented by a lawyer with respect to this matter. In addition, the court shall consider appointing counsel to represent the parent or guardian of a child who is the subject of a foster care plan, foster care review or permanency planning hearing. You may retain a lawyer at your own expense or, if it is determined by the court that you are unable to afford a lawyer, this court will appoint a lawyer to represent you. If the judge appoints a lawyer to represent you, the lawyer will be paid with public funds whether or not you are convicted. However, if you are convicted, you shall pay the amount of the court-appointed lawyer's fee as part of the costs of prosecution. You may also waive your right to a lawyer.

REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF A LAWYER—STATEMENT OF INDIGENCY

I, the undersigned, have been advised this day by this Court of my right to be represented by a lawyer in the case involving me; I certify that I am without means to employ a lawyer and I hereby request the Court to appoint a lawyer for me. My financial statement accompanies this request.

I have been informed that the lawyer appointed for me will be paid with public funds, but if I am convicted of a criminal offense, I shall have to pay the amount of the court-appointed lawyer's fee as part of the costs of prosecution. This lawyer will represent me in this case in all state courts until relieved or replaced by another lawyer.

If the court finds me to be not indigent, and if the court then declines to appoint a lawyer to represent me, I understand that I may employ my own lawyer. But, if I appear without counsel on the trial date, I may be deemed to have waived my right to counsel.

9/21/18
DATE

Brian D. Hill
ADULT

The Court was advised that, a lawyer, has been retained to represent the accused in this Court.
This information was provided by:

- the above-named person
- the lawyer
-

DATE

JUDGE CLERK

ORDER OF APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

**THE REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF A LAWYER WAS EXECUTED UNDER OATH.
HAVING EXAMINED THE ADULT AND CONSIDERED OTHER COMPETENT EVIDENCE, I FIND THAT**

the Adult is not indigent and not entitled to representation by a court-appointed attorney.

the Adult is indigent within the guideline set forth in the law and is entitled to representation by court-appointed counsel;

the Adult is not indigent and the Adult refuses to either employ counsel or waive his right to representation by a lawyer, but that the following circumstances and the ends of justice require the appointment of counsel:

Therefore I appoint the lawyer indicated below to represent the adult at such hearings and all other stages of the proceeding in this court and in any other court to which this case may be appealed or certified until relieved or replaced by another lawyer.

The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to the Indigent Defense Commission as notice that the lawyer indicated below is not on the list maintained by the Commission, but has otherwise demonstrated to the Court an appropriate level of training and experience.

NAME, ADDRESS OF COURT APPOINTED LAWYER

PUBLIC DEFENDER
10 E. Main Street
Martinsville, Va. 24112
Telephone: 276-666-2206

NEXT HEARING DATE AND TIME

12-21-18 10:45am

9-21-2018

DATE

JUDGE

NOTICE OF HEARING

VA. CODE §§ 16.1-252(a), 16.1-263, 16.1-282, 16.1-283, 20-60.6

C18-2138
CASE NO.

MARTINSVILLE GENERAL DISTRICT COURT
P.O. BOX 1402
MUNICIPAL BUILDING - ROOM 100
55 WEST CHURCH STREET
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112-1402

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

NOTICE

TAKE NOTICE THAT A HEARING INVOLVING THIS CASE WILL BE HELD AT THE ADDRESS NOTED AT LEFT ON

12-21-18 AT 10:45 A.M.

FOR THE PURPOSE OF:

- ARRAIGNMENT
- TRIAL
- PRELIMINARY HEARING
- REVIEW
-

In re/V.

Brian David Hill

I ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS NOTICE.

x Brian D. Hill

9-21-
DATE

12-21

Anna Miller
CLERK DEPUTY CLERK

Check

TO:

WARNING TO ACCUSED: You may be tried and convicted in your absence if you fail to appear in response to this NOTICE OF HEARING. Willful failure to appear is a separate criminal offense.

SERVICE OF PROCESS ON PERSON TO RECEIVE NOTICE

PERSONAL SERVICE

Being unable to make personal service, a copy was delivered in the following manner:

Delivered to family member (not temporary sojourner or guest) age 16 or older at usual place of abode of party named above after giving information of its purport. List name, age of recipient, and relation of recipient to party named above.

Posted on front door or such other door as appears to be the main entrance of usual place of abode, address listed above. (Other authorized recipient not found.)

Not found

_____ SERVING OFFICER
for _____
DATE _____

CASES TO ENFORCE CHILD SUPPORT ONLY:

Delivered to _____ the
 residential business address of record.

ORDER FOR CONTINUED CUSTODY

Commonwealth of Virginia

Jurisdiction: MARTINSVILLE GEN DIST - CRIMINAL

- Juvenile & Domestic Relations District Court
General District Court
Circuit Court

ACCUSED: HILL, BRIAN DAVID
NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE)
310 FOREST ST APT 2
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112
-0319
SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

5/26/1990
DATE OF BIRTH

Hearing Date and Time: 12-21-15 10:45 a

CHARGED UNDER:
State
Local Ordinance

CHARGE(S):

Table with 5 columns: Offense Tracking Number, Offense Date, Virginia Crime Code (For Administrative Use Only), Code Section, Case Number. Row 1: 690GM180003560, 9/21/2018, OBS-3713-01, 18.2-387, GC18003138-00. Description: INDECENT EXPOSURE.

Addendum listing additional charges is attached and incorporated.

Public Workforce Authorized Not Authorized

BAIL: \$ Secured Bond Unsecured Bond Recognizance
Custody and Supervision of Pretrial Services Agency
Third-Party Custody and Supervision
No Change in Existing Amount of Bond Amend Bond to \$
Held Without Bail No change in Existing Bail Conditions

Accused may may not leave the Commonwealth of Virginia may may not leave CITY/COUNTY

Additional Conditions of Bail:

- The Accused must
Submit to Drug/Alcohol Screening Submit to Drug/Alcohol Testing Comply with a Curfew of
Avoid All Contact with
Refrain from Possessing Firearm, Destructive Device, Dangerous Weapon
Refrain from Excessive Use of Alcohol Refrain from Use of Illegal Drugs/Controlled Substances not Prescribed by a Health Care Provider
Maintain or Seek Employment Maintain or Commence Education
The Accused is assigned to home-electronic incarceration by Judge
The Accused is to be monitored by a GPS/other tracking device.
Other:



TO THE SHERIFF, JAIL OFFICER OR CORRECTIONAL OFFICER:

Hold the accused in custody pending the hearing date and convey the accused to the appropriate court so that the accused will be present in court at the hearing date and time, unless otherwise ordered by the appropriate judicial officer.

9/21/2018
DATE

Diana Collier
CLERK JUDGE

Request for Bond Hearing

Defendant: Brion D. Hill

Date of Request: Oct 2, 2018

Requested By: Public Defender

Notified CWA: ✓ (Email Allepon Smith)
10-02-18

Notified Bailiff: ✓

Notified/Continuance to Jail: ✓

Record on Scheduling Book: ✓

Date of Bond Hearing: 10-05-18 @ 3:00PM

Clerk/Deputy Clerk: Stacie Pullman

ORDER FOR CONTINUED CUSTODY

Commonwealth of Virginia

Jurisdiction: MARTINSVILLE GEN DIST - CRIMINAL

- Juvenile & Domestic Relations District Court
- General District Court
- Circuit Court

ACCUSED: HILL, BRIAN DAVID
 NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE)
 310 FOREST ST APT 2
 MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112
-0319
 SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

..... 5/26/1990
 DATE OF BIRTH

Hearing Date and Time: 10/5/2018 3:00 pm

CHARGED UNDER:
 State Local Ordinance

CHARGE(S): * Bond Hearing *

Offense Tracking Number	Offense Date	Virginia Crime Code (For Administrative Use Only)	Code Section	Case Number
690GM1800003560	9/21/2018	OBS-3713-01	18.2-387	GC18003138-00
		Description:	INDECENT EXPOSURE	
		Description:		
		Description:		
		Description:		
		Description:		
		Description:		

Addendum listing additional charges is attached and incorporated.

Public Workforce Authorized Not Authorized

BAIL: \$ Secured Bond Unsecured Bond Recognizance
 Custody and Supervision of Pretrial Services Agency
 Third-Party Custody and Supervision
 No Change in Existing Amount of Bond Amend Bond to \$
 Held Without Bail No change in Existing Bail Conditions

Accused may may not leave the Commonwealth of Virginia may may not leave CITY/COUNTY

Additional Conditions of Bail:

- The Accused must
- Submit to Drug/Alcohol Screening Submit to Drug/Alcohol Testing Comply with a Curfew of
 - Avoid All Contact with
 - Refrain from Possessing Firearm, Destructive Device, Dangerous Weapon
 - Refrain from Excessive Use of Alcohol Refrain from Use of Illegal Drugs/Controlled Substances not Prescribed by a Health Care Provider
 - Maintain or Seek Employment Maintain or Commence Education
 - The Accused is assigned to home-electronic incarceration by Judge
 - The Accused is to be monitored by a GPS/other tracking device.
 - Other:



TO THE SHERIFF, JAIL OFFICER OR CORRECTIONAL OFFICER:

Hold the accused in custody pending the hearing date and convey the accused to the appropriate court so that the accused will be present in court at the hearing date and time, unless otherwise ordered by the appropriate judicial officer.

..... 10/2/2018
 DATE *Ditae Bulloman*
 CLERK JUDGE

Bond Hearing

Stacie R Prillaman

Tue 10/2/2018 11:17 AM

To: Allyson Smith <asmith@ci.martinsville.va.us>;

Hi Allyson:

Notification of Bond Hearing:

October 5, 2018 @ 3:00pm
Brian David Hill - Indecent Exposure

Attorney: Public Defender

Thank you,

Stacie R. Prillaman, Clerk
Henry/Martinsville General District Courts
3160 Kings Mountain Road, Suite A
Martinsville, VA 24112
(276)634-4820

Please note my new email address: srprillaman@vacourts.gov

ORDER FOR CONTINUED CUSTODY

Commonwealth of Virginia

Jurisdiction: MARTINSVILLE GEN DIST - CRIMINAL

- Juvenile & Domestic Relations District Court
- General District Court
- Circuit Court

**bond hearing
withdrawn*

ACCUSED: HILL, BRIAN DAVID
 NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE)
 310 FOREST ST APT 2
 MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112

-0319
 SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

..... 5/26/1990
DATE OF BIRTH

Hearing Date and Time: 12/21/2018 10:45 am

CHARGED UNDER:
 State Local Ordinance

CHARGE(S):

Offense Tracking Number	Offense Date	Virginia Crime Code (For Administrative Use Only)	Code Section	Case Number
690GM1800003560	9/21/2018	OBS-3713-01	18.2-387	GC18003138-00
		Description:	INDECENT EXPOSURE	
		Description:		
		Description:		
		Description:		
		Description:		
		Description:		

Addendum listing additional charges is attached and incorporated.

Public Workforce Authorized Not Authorized

BAIL: \$ Secured Bond Unsecured Bond Recognizance
 Custody and Supervision of Pretrial Services Agency
 Third-Party Custody and Supervision
 No Change in Existing Amount of Bond Amend Bond to \$
 Held Without Bail No change in Existing Bail Conditions

Accused may may not leave the Commonwealth of Virginia may may not leave CITY/COUNTY

- Additional Conditions of Bail:
 The Accused must
- Submit to Drug/Alcohol Screening Submit to Drug/Alcohol Testing Comply with a Curfew of
 - Avoid All Contact with
 - Refrain from Possessing Firearm, Destructive Device, Dangerous Weapon
 - Refrain from Excessive Use of Alcohol Refrain from Use of Illegal Drugs/Controlled Substances not Prescribed by a Health Care Provider
 - Maintain or Seek Employment Maintain or Commence Education
 - The Accused is assigned to home-electronic incarceration by Judge
 - The Accused is to be monitored by a GPS/other tracking device.
 - Other:



TO THE SHERIFF, JAIL OFFICER OR CORRECTIONAL OFFICER:

Hold the accused in custody pending the hearing date and convey the accused to the appropriate court so that the accused will be present in court at the hearing date and time, unless otherwise ordered by the appropriate judicial officer.

..... 10-5-18
DATE

..... *Chris Martin*
[] CLERK [] JUDGE

ORDER FOR PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION

Case No. GC18-3138

Commonwealth of Virginia Va. Code §§ 19.2-168, 19.2-168.1, 19.2-169.1, 19.2-169.5

Martinsville General District Court

COURT NAME AND ADDRESS

Commonwealth of Virginia v. Brian David Hill

TYPE OF EVALUATION AND REPORT

- [X] COMPETENCY EVALUATION: It appearing to the Court, on motion of [] Commonwealth's Attorney [X] defendant's attorney [] the Court and upon hearing evidence or representations of counsel, that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant lacks substantial capacity to understand the proceedings against him or to assist in his own defense, the Court therefore appoints the evaluator(s) listed below to evaluate the defendant and to submit a report, on or before the date shown below, to this Court, the Commonwealth's Attorney and the defendant's attorney, concerning: (1) the defendant's capacity to understand the proceedings against him; (2) his ability to assist his attorney; and (3) his need for treatment in the event that he is found to be incompetent but restorable, or incompetent for the foreseeable future. If a need for restoration treatment is identified in the event he is found incompetent but restorable, or incompetent for the foreseeable future, the report shall state whether inpatient or outpatient treatment is recommended. No statements of the defendant relating to the time period of the alleged offense shall be included in the report.
[X] SANITY AT THE TIME OF THE OFFENSE: It appearing to the Court, upon hearing evidence or representations of counsel for the defendant, that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant's sanity may be a significant factor in his defense and that the defendant is financially unable to pay for expert assistance, the Court therefore appoints the evaluator(s) listed below to evaluate the defendant's sanity at the time of the offense and, where appropriate, to assist in the development of an insanity defense. They shall prepare and submit a full report, on or before the date shown below, solely to the defendant's attorney, concerning the defendant's sanity at the time of the offense, including whether he may have had a significant mental disease or defect which rendered him insane at the time of the offense. If further evaluation on this issue is necessary, the evaluator(s) shall so state.
[] The motion for the evaluation having been made by the Commonwealth after receiving notice pursuant to Virginia Code § 19.2-168, the Court also orders the defendant to submit to an evaluation and has advised the defendant that a refusal to cooperate with the Commonwealth's evaluator(s) could result in the exclusion of defendant's expert evidence. The Court further orders the evaluator(s) to submit to the attorneys for the Commonwealth and defendant copies of the report and the records obtained during the evaluation.

DESIGNATION OF EVALUATOR(S)

The Court finds and concludes that:

- [X] the evaluation shall be performed on an outpatient basis at a mental health facility or in jail, as indicated below.
[] as outpatient services are unavailable, the evaluation of competency shall be performed on an inpatient basis, as indicated below.
[] the evaluation shall be conducted on an inpatient basis at a hospital designated by the Commissioner of the Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services because:
[] no outpatient services are available
[] the results of outpatient evaluation (copy attached) indicate that hospitalization for further evaluation is necessary
[] a court of competent jurisdiction has found, pursuant to Virginia Code §§ 19.2-169.6 or 37.2-814, that the defendant requires emergency treatment on an inpatient basis at this time.

The Court therefore appoints the following evaluator(s) to conduct the evaluation:

[X] Dr. Rebecca Loehrer

EVALUATOR(S): NAME(S) AND TITLE(S) OR NAME OF FACILITY

[] qualified staff at a hospital to be designated by the Commissioner of the Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services or his designee. Hospitalization for evaluation shall not extend beyond 30 days from the date of admission.

DUE DATE AND TIME: November 26, 2018 by 5:00pm

The Court further orders that the Commonwealth's Attorney and the defendant's attorney forward appropriate background information to the evaluator(s) as required by law.

TO EVALUATORS AND ATTORNEYS: See reverse for additional instructions.

10-17-2018

DATE

[Signature]

JUDGE

RECEIVED 12-21-18 DATE TIME 10-15-18 BY 2-Callahan Martinsville General District Court

FILED

ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTIONS TO EVALUATOR(S) AND ATTORNEYS

Providing Background Information

1. Competency Evaluation: Prior to an evaluation of competency pursuant to Va. Code § 19.2-169.1, the Commonwealth's Attorney must forward to the evaluator(s) within 96 hours of the issuance of this order:
 - a. a copy of the warrant;
 - b. the names and addresses of the Commonwealth's Attorney, the defendant's attorney, and the judge ordering the evaluation;
 - c. information about the alleged crime; and
 - d. a summary of the reasons for the evaluation request.

The defendant's attorney must provide any available psychiatric records and other information that are deemed relevant within 96 hours of the issuance of this order. Va. Code § 19.2-169.1(C).

2. Sanity at the Time of the Offense: Prior to an evaluation of sanity at the time of the offense, the party making the motion for the evaluation must forward to the evaluator(s):
 - a. a copy of the warrant;
 - b. the names and addresses of the Commonwealth's Attorney, the defendant's attorney, and the judge ordering the evaluation;
 - c. information about the alleged crime, including statements by the defendant made to the police and transcripts of preliminary hearings, if any;
 - d. a summary of the reasons for the evaluation request;
 - e. any available psychiatric, psychological, medical or social records that are deemed relevant; and
 - f. a copy of defendant's criminal record, to the extent reasonably available.
- Va. Code § 19.2-169.5(C).

Use of Information Obtained During Evaluation

No statement of disclosure by the defendant concerning the alleged offense made during the evaluation may be used against the defendant at the trial as evidence, or as a basis for such evidence, except on the issue of his/her mental condition at the time of the offense after the defendant raises the issue pursuant to § 19.2-168 of the Code of Virginia. Va. Code § 19.2-169.7.

SEARCH WARRANT

Commonwealth of Virginia VA. CODE §§ 19.2-56, 19.2-57

TO ANY AUTHORIZED OFFICER:

You are hereby commanded in the name of the Commonwealth to forthwith search the following place, person or thing either in day or night

Pink Sanyo digital camera and SD card in the Martinsville Police Dept evidence vault under evidence card number 32532 listed as item 1.

Martinsville Police Dept.
55 West Church St
Martinsville, Va. 24112

for the following property, objects and/or persons:
photographs, videos, or any other evidence of the crime of indecent exposure.

You are further commanded to seize said property, persons, and/or objects if they be found and to produce before the Martinsville Circuit Court an inventory of all property, persons, and/or objects seized.

This SEARCH WARRANT is issued in relation to an offense substantially described as follows:
 a person to be arrested for whom a warrant or process for arrest has been issued identified as follows:
A violation of the Code Of Virginia 18.2-387, Indecent exposure

I, the undersigned, have found probable cause to believe that the property or person constitutes evidence of the crime identified herein or tends to show that the person(s) named or described herein has committed or is committing a crime, or that the person to be arrested for whom a warrant or process for arrest has been issued is located at the place to be searched, and further that the search should be made, based on the statements in the attached affidavit sworn to by

Sgt. R.D. Jones
NAME OF AFFIANT

C L Corns

CLERK MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11/29/2018 11:36 AM
DATE AND TIME

C. L. Corns

FILE NO.
SEARCH WARRANT
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
v./In re
<u>Pink Sanyo digital Camera</u>
IN TESTIMONY that the foregoing is a true Copy taken from the records of said Court, I, <u>Ashby R. Pritchett</u> , Clerk thereof set my hand and affix the Seal of said Court This the <u>12</u> day of <u>December</u> <u>2018</u> <u>Ashby R. Pritchett</u> Clerk Circuit Court, City of Martinsville, VA
VIRGINIA City of Martinsville Circuit Court Clerk's Office. Received and Filed this the <u>9th</u> Day of <u>January</u> <u>2019</u> at <u>12:21</u> <u>M</u> Tester: <u>[Signature]</u> Clerk
16 SWN: 690CM1800004504

SEARCH INVENTORY AND RETURN

The following items, and no others, were seized under authority of this WARRANT:

1. Copy of 45 photos from SD card
2. Copy of August Probation report Brian Hill from SD card
3. word doc
4. _____
5. _____
6. _____
7. _____
8. _____
9. _____
10. _____
11. _____
12. _____

The statement above is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

11-29-18 _____
DATE EXECUTING OFFICER

Subscribed and sworn before me this day

11-29-2018 _____
DATE [] CLERK [] MAGISTRATE [] JUDGE

FOR NOTARY PUBLIC'S USE ONLY:

State of _____ [] City [] County of _____

Acknowledged, subscribed and sworn to before me this _____ day of _____, 20 _____

NOTARY REGISTRATION NUMBER

NOTARY PUBLIC
 (My commission expires: _____)

EXECUTION

Executed by searching the within described place, person or thing.

11-29-18 11:57 am
DATE AND TIME EXECUTED

R Jones 220
EXECUTING OFFICER

Certified to Martinville

Circuit Court on 11-29-18
DATE

[Signature]
EXECUTING OFFICER

Received in person [] by certified mail
 [] by electronically transmitted facsimile

on 11/29/2018
DATE

by ER HANSEN
FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT


DATE: 11/29/2018 @12:58:08

TESTE: ER HANSEN
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

Brian David Hill #302165
Martinsville City Jail
P.O. Box 1326
Martinsville, VA 24114

Clerk
Please file this pleading
ASAP, on the case


SBORO NC 274
WEST TRIAD AREA
NOV 20 1991 PM 4 L
FOREVER
USA



Barn Swallow

Office of the Clerk
Martinsville General District Court
Municipal Building, Room 100
55 West Church Street
Martinsville, VA 24112-1402

2411285209 0007



LEGAL MAIL 18

**THE MARTINSVILLE CITY JAIL HAS
NEITHER CENSORED NOR INSPECTED
THIS ITEM, THEREFORE, THE JAIL
DOES NOT ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY
FOR ITS CONTENT.**



THIS ENVELOPE IS RECYCLABLE AND MADE WITH 30% POST CONSUMER CONTENT 

Nov. 13, 2018

Dear Clerk of the Martinsville General District Court,
I am Brian D. Hill, I am currently a prisoner of Martinsville
City Jail. I am indigent under In Forma Pauperis statute

I like to request a copy of your court form for the
Commonwealth's Petition for the Writ of Habeas Corpus.
Once I receive the Court form for Writ of Habeas Corpus
to challenge the Constitutionality of my detainment, I will
file it.

I also like to request the form showing that I am indigent
for the Petition that I wish to file.

My only source of income was my social security
disability so I need the forms to be granted approval to
proceed in my ability to file a Petition for Writ of Habeas
Corpus without the prepayment of fees, or have the form
to waive any applicable fees.

Since I am detained here locally, I request that the Jail
officers of Martinsville Sheriff's Office serve me the forms
and your response to save money on postage for the Court
and to save time. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Brian David Hill

Martinsville City Jail

55 West Church Street

Martinsville, VA 24112

#302165

U.S.W.G.O.

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Brian D. Hill
signed

RECEIVED AND FILED
Date 11-20-18 Time 1:15 a.m. (p.m.)
MARTINSVILLE GENERAL DISTRICT COURT
TESTE APP (Clk) D. Clk Date 11-20-18

(Copy forwarded to
Public Defender)

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

Commonwealth of Virginia,
Plaintiff,

Case no. C18-3138

In re/V.

Brian David Hill,
Defendant,

MOTION/REQUEST FOR WRIT OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE OR JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL

NOW COMES Defendant Brian David Hill ("Brian" or "Hill") requesting that this Honorable Court grant Brian a Writ of Actual Innocence and/or Judgment of Acquittal. These are the following facts that the Defendant Brian wants to establish with this honorable Court.

Facts:

1. Brian has a mild form of Autism Spectrum Disorder, Obsessive Compulsive Disorder (OCD), Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD), and Type 1 brittle Diabetes. In the context of "Mens Rea", Brian had no intent to engage in the offense against the Commonwealth of Virginia ("Commonwealth"). Crime is about intent; Did Brian intend to commit the offense?

RECEIVED 12-21-18
DATE/TIME 11-27-18 5:00pm
BY D. Collier D. Clark
MARTINSVILLE GENERAL DISTRICT COURT

2. Brian has filed "Federal Affidavits", also known as unsworn Declarations under Oath regarding the events of late September 20, 2018, to September 21, 2018, relating to and regarding the alleged offense.

Brian asks to review over the following Federal Court case file evidence and admit this evidence into this case Commonwealth v. Hill:

1. Documents no. 152, 153, 154, and 155 of case United States v. Brian David Hill, case no. 1:13-cr-435, United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina. Ongoing 2255/Federal Writ of Habeas Corpus since Document no. 125 on up.

2. All documents in and of case Brian David Hill v. Martinsville City Jail, et al, case no. 7:18-cv-499, United States District Court, for the Western District of Virginia.

All documents can be accessed inside the Case Management - Electronic Court Filings (CM/ECF) provided by PACER.GOV that is Public (or Peoples) Access to the Court's Electronic Records.

What the second factual paragraph establishes is that Brian is testifying-in-writing to that September 20, 2018 event, late at night, a guy wearing a hoodie had threatened to kill Brian's "Mother Roberta Hill" (he referred to "they" will kill Brian's mother) if he didn't take his clothes off in public, take photos of himself and place them at a drop off point at the bench after Southern Finishing plant on the Dick and Willie hitting trail in Martinsville, VA. Brian was under duress which made Brian go crazy as this was the first time that Brian had been threatened to that intent. Brian put his hand over his mouth when he was seen naked by somebody in a moving vehicle, needing help but was afraid to speak.

3. Brian had attempted to explain the situation as best he could to charging Officer Sgt. R. D. Jones of Martinsville Police Department, but it fell on deaf ears, he didn't want to accept the statements of Brian on September 21, 2018. Then Sgt. Jones lied to United States Probation Officer Jason McMurray. USPO McMurray can be subpoenaed at 210 Franklin Road, S.W., Roanoke VA 24011. The lie was that Brian had recanted the statements made to Sgt. R. D. Jones. Brian never recanted his statements made to Sgt. Jones on Sept. 21.

4. After Brian was charged and arrested, since that time, he was never interviewed by any officer of Martinsville Police Department. They have failed or refused to investigate Brian's statements while charging Brian. The bad guys committed the perfect crime against Brian. Brian the victim was treated like a criminal instead. Then Sgt. Jones lied to U.S.P.O McMurray, and the U.S. Probation Officers are federal officers. Lying to a Federal officer is a federal offense. Sgt. Jones has already lied in September, months before the trial set for the date of December 21, 2018.

Brian is a victim of crime. Brian has a defense to his charge in this case. Therefore Brian is actually innocent of his charge in this case. Brian should be acquitted of all charges or found actually innocent. This case should be dismissed with prejudice, Brian recommends to this honorable Court.

Brian respectfully files this pleading, this the 19th day of November, 2018.

Certificate of Service

Defendant Brian David Hill, certifies that he did the following:

Mailed a copy or original of this pleading to the Clerk of the Martinsville General District Court, located at
Municipal Building, Room 100
55 West Church Street
Martinsville, VA 24112-1402

Put in a request form to a Officer at ~~failed~~ Martinsville City Jail, with this pleading attached, directing that such officer serve the original with the Clerk of the Martinsville General District Court to be filed. ~~If such attempt had failed then reason is Returned by Classification Officer. Second attempt by mailing.~~

on the date of November 19, 2018.

Since Defendant is indigent, Defendant requests that the Clerk or any applicable officer to serve a copy of this pleading with the Attorney of the Commonwealth by fax, mail, or email.

Respectfully filed by,

Brian David Hill (Pro Se)
Inmate no. 302165
P.O. Box 1326
55 West Church Street
Martinsville, VA 24112
U.S.W.G.O.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Note: Defendant still wishes to be represented by the Public Defender. He filed this pleading, Pro Se, only so that the truth is documented and made known prior to the trial date set for this case. Defendant thinks that Scott Albrecht is a good lawyer, but Brian wants the truth to be documented in this local case, not just his Federal cases.
Thanks for understanding. God Bless.

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Attached to Certificate of Service

REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW

During a persons incarceration there are times a person may feel the need for a special interview and whom they need to speak with about that request. **Check the department that describes your request.**

CLASSIFICATION DEPT. - Issues relating to your record /file status.

SHIFT SUPERVISOR - Issues concerning jail rules, property issues, or other issues not related to any other departments listed.

INMATE ACCOUNTS DEPT. - Issues concerning inmate canteen, inmate disbursements, and the balance of your account.

MEDICAL DEPT. - Questions concerning medical treatment, appointments, and prescriptions.

HOME ARREST (Electronic Ankle Device Tracking System)

PROGRAMS:

DRUG / ALCOHOL COUNSELING / CLEAN START

GOOD NEWS JAIL MINISTRY

G.E.D.

LIFE SKILLS CLASS

VA CARES PROGRAM

For all emergency requests, please use the proper form which is the Emergency Request For Review Form.

Forward request

I request an interview for the purpose of discussing:

Next time one of your officers heads down to the District Court Clerk, please forward the attached papers directly to the Clerk. Please give the attached papers to the General District Clerk of the Court. Should be 6 pages for the Deputy Clerk.

*Thanks,
U.S.W.G.O.*

JusticeForUSNGO.wordpress.com

Print Inmate Name: Brian David Hill J-8 11/19/2018
Housing Unit# Date Time

Results of interview: Returned to me by Classification Officer

Officer Signature: Brian D. Hill
Signed

**VIRGINIA: IN THE GENERAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CITY OF
MARTINSVILLE**

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)
)
v.)
)
BRIAN DAVID HILL)
Defendant.)

CASE NO: C18-3138

MOTION FOR DISCOVERY BY DEFENDANT

COMES NOW the Defendant, BRIAN DAVID HILL, by Counsel, and moves this Honorable Court for the following, as provided by law and Rule 7C:5 of the Virginia Rules of Court:

1. That in accordance with said Rule, the Commonwealth's Attorney permit and make available to the Attorney for the Defendant for inspection, copying, or photographing any relevant (i) written or recorded statements or confessions made by the accused, or copies thereof, or the substance of any oral statements or confessions made by the accused to any law enforcement officer, and (ii) any criminal record of the accused that is known by the Commonwealth's Attorney to be within the possession, custody, or control of the Commonwealth; and

2. Any exculpatory information or evidence as set forth by *Brady v. Maryland* and its progeny that is known to the Commonwealth.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant prays that this Honorable Court order the Commonwealth's Attorney to provide the foregoing information.

Respectfully submitted,

BRIAN DAVID HILL



By Counsel

RECEIVED
DATE/TIME 11.28-15 11:00am
BY *D. Miller*
MARTINSVILLE GENERAL DISTRICT COURT

Scott Albrecht, Esq. (VSB #88411)
Office of the Public Defender
P.O. Drawer 31
Martinsville, VA 24114
T: (276) 666-2206 ext. 106
F: (276) 666-8929
salbrecht@mar.idc.virginia.gov
Counsel for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing Motion was mailed, faxed or delivered this 28th day of November, 2018, to the office of G. Andrew Hall, Commonwealth's Attorney for the City of Martinsville.


Counsel for Defendant

VIRGINIA: IN THE GENERAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CITY OF
MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)
)
v.)
)
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)
Defendant.)

CASE NO: C18-3138

ORDER

This case came this day to be heard upon the written motion of the Defendant, BRIAN DAVID HILL, by counsel, who moved, pursuant to Rule 7C:5 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of Virginia, that the Commonwealth's Attorney be directed to permit the Defendant discovery in this case, as set forth in said Rule, and

It appearing to the Court that discovery pursuant to Rule 7C:5 should be granted to the Defendant, it is hereby ORDERED and DECREED that the Commonwealth's Attorney permit counsel for the Defendant to inspect and copy or photograph, within a reasonable time, before the preliminary hearing, the following:

(1) Any relevant written or recorded statements or confessions made by the Defendant, or copies thereof, or the substance of any oral statements or confessions made by the Defendant to any law enforcement officer, the existence of which is known to the attorney for the Commonwealth;


(2) A copy of any criminal record of the accused; and

(3) Any exculpatory information or evidence as set forth by *Brady v. Maryland* and its progeny that is known to the Commonwealth.

And it is further ADJUDGED, ORDERED and DECREED that the Commonwealth shall promptly notify counsel for the Defendant of the existence of any additional material


subsequently discovered which falls within the scope of this motion and make all such additional material available to the Defendant's attorney in accordance with the text and intention of this Motion.

ENTER this 28 day of November, 2018.




Judge

I ASK FOR THIS:



Scott Albrecht, Esq. (VSB #88411)
Office of the Public Defender
P.O. Drawer 31
Martinsville, VA 24114
T: (276) 666-2206 ext. 106
F: (276) 666-8929
salbrecht@mar.idc.virginia.gov
Counsel for Defendant

Michael McPherson
Retained for
Bond Hearing
10-12-18
9:45a

SEEN and Agreed :


Attorney for the Commonwealth
City of Martinsville, Virginia
P.O. Box 1311
Martinsville, VA 24112
T: (276) 403-5470

RECEIVED
DATE/TIME 11-28-18 11am
BY D. Collier D. Clark
MARTINSVILLE GENERAL DISTRICT COURT

Commonwealth of Virginia,
Plaintiff,

Case no C18-3138

vs

Brian David Hill,
Defendant,

Motion for Case Dismissal with Prejudice

NOW comes the Defendant Brian David Hill ("Brian", or "Hill") asking the honorable Court to dismiss this criminal case to save judicial time and resources, since Hill is actually innocent as explained in the last pleading of Hill's "Motion for Writ of Actual Innocence or Judgment of Acquittal".

Facts:

1. Hill has Autism, Hill can be manipulated easily by threats and coercion. Hill is legally handicapped under the Commonwealth of Virginia, Department of Motor Vehicles ("DMV") by a certified Medical Doctor. Under the Federal Law of the Americans with Disabilities Act, Title II ("ADA") and Commonwealth law, Hill has and still suffers with a ~~pure~~ neurological/mental disability of Autism Spectrum Disorder: Mild range.

Martinsville

2o Hill had reported to Martins Police Department ("MPD") about the guy in the hoodie on the day of Hill's arrest but Sgt R.D. Jones, an officer of MPD had refused to accept Hill's Autism, even though it is easy to verify through the DMV electronic records. Officer Jones refused to accept Hill's truthful statements, refused or failed to investigate the threatening greeting card that Hill's mother Roberta Hill had received prior to the incident on September 21, 2018. Officer Jones did not want to accept Hill's legal handicap status of being medically handicapped, by being mentally/neurologically handicapped. Hill had been threatened and coerced to get nalted and take pictures or as the unidentified man had said "they will kill your Mother Roberta Hill". Roberta is Hill's mother and was Hill's medical caretaker, under Medicaid waiver, and paid by Public Partnership. Hill was coerced to such an extent to believe that if he runs away to inform the police or physically fights back that his Mother would be murdered before Hill returns to his Apartment. Hill being threatened and coerced, as well as MPD refusing to accept Hill's Autism and story, gives Hill an affirmative defense of frame up and thus is actually innocent of his charge. Hill is willing to testify under Oath in regards to the guy wearing the hoodie however due to Hill's mental disability as verified by DMV records, Hill requests that he be given at least one (1) minute between questions to answer them.

3. Hill's attorney Scott Albrecht of the local Public Defender office explained to Hill that he didn't do anything indecent, that Hill didn't break the law. Hill has a defense and his Attorney can explain that to the Court. Hill had his hand over his mouth and had no intent to do anything sexual. The incident happened at night, nobody was on the hitting trail, nobody asked if Hill was okay. Hill did not engage in indecent exposure. The guy wearing the hoodie was at the warehouse where the hitting trail was at. Hill's situation was unusual, people not hitting the trail, Hill being threatened and coerced. Hill should have been returned back home his caretaker notified, a report written regarding Hill's story, and his caretaker/mother interviewed, as well as notifying his Counselor Preston Page LCSW/LSATP. MPD had discriminated against Hill's Autism, in violation of ADA federal law. Hill is an innocent man. MPD had mishandled the situation. Hill has suffered enough days in Jail for MPD's mishandling of the situation which is unprofessional misconduct.

4. Officer Jones of MPD had lied to U.S. Probation Officer Jason McMurray at 210 Franklin Road S.W., Roanoke, VA 24021, regarding a claim that Hill had recanted his story when Hill never did. Lying to a federal law enforcement officer is a federal offense. Officer Jones should be reprimanded for lying, lying to a federal Probation Officer.

Hill is actually innocent, and MPD's unprofessional errors and misconduct justify that Hill should be released from custody, and that the Commonwealth's case should be dismissed with prejudice.

An innocent man being detained in Jail violates the eighth (8th) Amendment's prohibition on cruel and unusual punishments being inflicted, in the U.S. Constitution. Even the U.S. Supreme Court affirmed that an innocent man being punished violates the 8th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.

Hill respectfully asks the honorable court to dismiss his charge with prejudice, and release him from custody as soon as possible. Thank You.

Hill respectfully files this Motion, this the 26th day of November, 2018, with the Clerk of the Court. See attached certificate of service. Since Hill has no access to a photocopy machine and is indigent under In Forma Pauperis statute, Defendant Hill requests that the Commonwealth Attorney be served a copy of this Motion by the Clerk.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

U.S. v. W. G. O.

JusticeForUSNGO.wordpress.com

Respectfully Submitted,
Brian David Hill (Pro Se)
#302165

Martinsville City Jail

P.O. Box 1326

55 West Church Street
Martinsville, VA 24112

- Certificate of Service -

Defendant Brian David Hill certifies that he mailed the original pleading of "Motion for Case Dismissal with Prejudice" to the Clerk of the Court at the following address:

Clerk of the Court
Martinsville General District Court
Municipal Bldg., 55 West Church Street, Room 100
Martinsville, VA 24112-1402

on the day of November 26, 2018.

Respectfully requests that since Defendant has no access to a copy machine and is indigent under In Forma Pauperis statute, that the Clerk serve a copy of this original pleading with the Commonwealth Attorney by any means including but not limited to mailing, faxing, emailing, or courier by an officer of the Court.
Thank You. That should satisfy this requirement.

Brian D. Hill

Signed

U.S. v. W. G. D.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian David Hill (Pro Se)

#302165

Martinsville City Jail

55 West Church Street

Martinsville, VA 24112

SUBPOENA FOR WITNESSES

MARTINSVILLE GEN DIST - CRIMINAL

VA. CODE §§ 8.01-407, 16.1-265, 17.1-617,
19.2-267, and Rules 3A:12 and 7A:12

RETURN DATE 12/21/18 CASE NO. 690GC18003138-00
001

CITY OR COUNTY

General District Court (Civil Criminal Traffic)

Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court

(276) 403-5125

P.O. BOX 1402, MARTINSVILLE, VA 24114

STREET ADDRESS OF COURT

TELEPHONE NUMBER

TO ANY AUTHORIZED OFFICER:

You are hereby commanded to summon forthwith the witnesses listed below to appear on

DECEMBER 21, 2018 at 10:45 AM to testify in this case.

RETURNS: Each witness was served as indicated below, according to law (unless not found).

NAME SGT. R.D. JONES

ADDR M.P.D.
403-5300

PERSONAL SERVICE Tel. No.

- Being unable to make personal service, a copy was delivered in the following manner:
- Delivered to person found in charge of usual place of business or employment during business hours and giving information of its purport.
- Delivered to family member (not temporary sojourner or guest) age 16 or older at usual place of abode of party named above after giving information of its purport. List name, age of recipient, and relation to recipient to party named above.
- Posted on front door or such other door as appears to be the main entrance of usual place of abode, address listed above. (Other authorized recipient not found.)
- Served on Secretary of the Commonwealth.

Not Found

Dep C. Connolly
SERVING OFFICER

9-28-18
DATE

for *S.M. Draper*

NOTICE TO WITNESS: Failure to comply with this subpoena could cause you to be fined or jailed for contempt of court. Bring this subpoena with you to court. When asking about this case, have this form in hand.

Commonwealth of Virginia [or]
 CITY COUNTY TOWN of

[or]

In re / v.

BRIAN DAVID HILL

Charge:

INDECENT EXPOSURE

SUBPOENA for WITNESSES

The witnesses are subpoenaed to testify on behalf of:

Commonwealth of Virginia [or]
 the City, County, or Town indicated [or]
 Plaintiff(s) [or]
 Defendant(s) [or]
 Juvenile

Subpoena requested by:

MARTINSVILLE CITY
SHERIFF'S OFFICE
2018 SEP 25 AM 11:57
STEVEN M DRAPER
SHERIFF

09/24/18

DATE ISSUED

Deanna Allen
 CLERK MAGISTRATE JUDGE
 PROSECUTING DEFENSE ATTORNEY 37

CC180926015

IF YOU ARE THE VICTIM OF A CRIME, defined by the Code of Virginia as anyone suffering physical, psychological or economic harm as a direct result of a (1) felony or (2) assault and battery, stalking, sexual battery, attempted sexual battery, driving while intoxicated, violation of a protective order, or a delinquent act of one of these offenses that would be a felony or misdemeanor if committed by an adult, you may be entitled to certain information or assistance.

Contact your local Commonwealth's Attorney's office or Victim-Witness Assistance program for further information.

VICTIMS AND WITNESSES

MAY BE ENTITLED TO THE FOLLOWING SERVICES IN CASES INVOLVING THE CRIMES NAMED ABOVE:

- **PROTECTION** from harm or threats arising from cooperation with law enforcement or prosecution efforts through witness protection programs administered by state, federal or local police. Contact your local Commonwealth's Attorney or Victim-Witness Assistance program for further information.
- **SEPARATE** waiting areas during court, where available, that afford privacy and protection from intimidation and that does not place the victim in close proximity to the defendant or the defendant's family. Contact your local Commonwealth's Attorney or Victim-Witness Assistance program for further information.
- **FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE** by filing a claim for Crime Victim's Compensation, restitution for damages or loss, or assistance in having promptly returned any property held by law enforcement agencies for evidence. Contact your local Commonwealth's Attorney or Victim-Witness Assistance program for further information.
- **EMPLOYER INTERCESSION SERVICES** to minimize loss of pay and other benefits resulting from court appearances, and ensuring that you are not penalized for appearing in court. Contact your local Commonwealth's Attorney or Victim-Witness Assistance program for further information.
- **NOTICES** from (1) the Commonwealth's Attorney of court proceedings, changes in court dates, case status and dispositional information (2) the Attorney General of the filing and disposition of any appeal or habeas corpus proceeding in the case, if requested, and (3) a local jail or penitentiary of the convicted assailant's release or escape, upon your written request. Contact your local Commonwealth's Attorney or Victim-Witness Assistance program for further information.
- **COURTROOM ASSISTANCE** through the services of an interpreter, confidentiality of your address, telephone number and place of employment, upon your written request. Contact your local Commonwealth's Attorney or Victim-Witness Assistance program for further information.
- **VICTIM INPUT** by the preparation of a written victim impact statement after the defendant's conviction and the ability to remain in the courtroom during court proceedings unless you are excluded as a material witness. Upon a written request by the victim, the Commonwealth's Attorney shall consult the victim in a felony case either verbally or in writing to inform the victim of the contents of a proposed plea agreement and to obtain the victim's views, about the disposition of the case, including the victim's views concerning dismissal, pleas, plea negotiations and sentencing. Contact your local Commonwealth's Attorney or Victim-Witness Assistance program for further information.

DISABILITY ACCOMMODATIONS for losses of hearing, vision, mobility, etc. Contact the court ahead of time.

DISPOSITION NOTICE

Commonwealth of Virginia

DISPOSITION TO THE SHERIFF, JAIL OFFICER OR CORRECTIONAL OFFICER:
Confine the person named in this notice in your facility in accordance with the order(s) below.
HOWEVER, if the defendant appeals ANY of the charges below, **DO NOT RELEASE** him or her until **ALL** conditions of bail are met.

Martinsville

(CITY) OR COUNTY

4-11

Brian David

NAME OF DEFENDANT (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE) AND ALIAS, IF ANY

General District Court Traffic Criminal Civil Division
 Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court Circuit Court

5-26-90
DATE OF BIRTH

Male Female Adult Juvenile DISPOSITION ADDENDUM listing additional cases is attached and incorporated.

1. Case No. C18-3138 Offense Date 9-21-18 Original Charge Indecent Exposure
 Not guilty Nolle prosequi Dismissed
 Convicted of same Felony Misdemeanor
 State Code § 13-17 Local Ordinance
VCC 085 3713 01 OTN 690 GM 18 0000 3560
 Appeal noted Certified to Grand Jury
 Jail sentence of 30 days imposed with _____ suspended.
 _____ mos. 30 days _____ hours to be served in jail of which _____ days mandatory minimum confinement.
 Committed to the Department of Corrections for _____
 Committed to the Department of Juvenile Justice for _____
 Extradition waived, and Form DC-375 WAIVER OF EXTRADITION attached. If not delivered to Demanding State, return to court on _____, at _____ m.
Credit is allowed pursuant to § 53.1-187 for time spent in confinement.

2. Case No. _____ Offense Date _____ Original Charge _____
 Not guilty Nolle prosequi Dismissed
 Convicted of _____ Felony Misdemeanor
 State Code § _____ Local Ordinance _____
VCC _____ OTN _____
 Appeal noted Certified to Grand Jury
 Jail sentence of _____ imposed with _____ suspended.
 _____ mos. _____ days _____ hours to be served in jail of which _____ days mandatory minimum confinement.
 Committed to the Department of Corrections for _____
 Committed to the Department of Juvenile Justice for _____
 Extradition waived, and Form DC-375 WAIVER OF EXTRADITION attached. If not delivered to Demanding State, return to court on _____, at _____ m.
Credit is allowed pursuant to § 53.1-187 for time spent in confinement.

Civil Contempt To be served in jail Released upon payment of \$ _____ support arrearages to: _____ PAYEE
 Restitution ordered: \$ _____
 Other _____

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: Weekend Delayed confinement to begin _____ DATE
 Work release Work release (if eligible) Home-electronic incarceration Drug testing ordered
 Public Workforce Authorized

BAIL AMOUNT: \$ _____ If transferred or certified to Circuit Court If appealed
 Secured Unsecured Recognizance Held without bail No change in existing bail amount
 No change in existing bail conditions **Circuit Court date and time:** _____
ADDITIONAL BAIL CONDITIONS: Accused may may not depart the Commonwealth of Virginia.
 Future Support Bond \$ _____ Support Arrearage Bond \$ _____ ordered and must be posted with the Clerk within thirty (30) days of civil contempt finding/criminal contempt conviction. (Form DC-460)

12-21-18
DATE

Darlene Allis
CLERK JUDGE

PUBLIC DEFENDER TIME SHEET

Commonwealth of Virginia

PUBLIC DEFENDER
10 E. Main Street
Martinsville, Va. 24112
Telephone: 276-666-2266

PUBLIC DEFENDER: _____

NAME

ADDRESS

ADDRESS

COURT: Circuit General District Juvenile and Domestic Relations District

Commonwealth

VS/In Re: Bryan Hill

Locality

Court Date: 12-21-18

Number of Charges and Code Sections

Case Number(s): C18 3138

CODE SECTIONS

THIS FORM MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE COURT AND SIGNED BY THE ATTORNEY AT THE TIME OF TRIAL.

TIME	HOURS	MINUTES	RATE	AMOUNT
In Court	_____	<u>20</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>30</u>
Out of Court (Includes research, interview, other)	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>90</u>

EXPENSES

Please itemize and attach invoices _____

Add items on reverse side of form _____

TOTAL: 120.00

I certify that the above detailed time and expenses are accurate.

12-21-18

DATE

[Signature]

ATTORNEY

AMOUNT ALLOWED: \$120.00

12-21-2018

DATE

[Signature]

JUDGE

I. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SUSPENSION OR REVOCATION OF DRIVER'S LICENSE

Commonwealth of Virginia Va. Code §§ 19.2-354, 19.2-358, 46.2-395

Case no(s): 018-3138

Court date: 12-21-18

MARTINSVILLE GENERAL DISTRICT COURT] Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court
55 W. Church St. - P.O. Box 1402 [] General District Court
CITY/COUNTY Martinsville, VA 24114
Phone: 276-403-5125

Brian David Hill
NAME OF DEFENDANT/JUVENILE

COURT ADDRESS

SSN

DRIVER'S LICENSE NO.

RESIDENCE ADDRESS

MAILING ADDRESS IF DIFFERENT FROM ABOVE

TELEPHONE NUMBER

I acknowledge that I have been notified that my driver's license/driving privilege:

[] is suspended or revoked for a period of effective as a result of

[] my conviction by this court or []

[] action taken by the Virginia Department of Motor Vehicles pursuant to Va. Code § 46.2-390.1 for the court's conviction or finding of facts sufficient to convict the offender of violating the drug laws (Va. Code §§ 18.2-247 through 18.2-264) of Virginia.

[] determination by the Virginia Department of Motor Vehicles [] that I am a habitual offender.

[] has been suspended [] effective 30 days from the date of sentencing [] effective 4-17-19 pursuant to Va. Code § 46.2-395 as a result of my failure to pay all or part of my fines, costs, forfeiture, restitution (if not otherwise ordered), and/or penalty of \$ 294.00 plus any additional court-appointed attorney fee, if applicable.

[] has been suspended effective if the Alcohol Safety Action Program fee of \$ is not paid by that date.

I further certify that on this date this notice, including Part I, was read, understood by me, a copy given to me and that my license

[] WAS [] WAS NOT surrendered to this court.

12-21-18
DATE

Brian D. Hill
DEFENDANT

Witnessed by: Dennis Allen

SEE PART I ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM FOR FURTHER STIPULATIONS, WARNINGS AND INFORMATION CONCERNING THIS ACKNOWLEDGMENT WHICH ARE HEREBY INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE.

II. ORDER FOR PAYMENT AGREEMENT

Having assessed the defendant's ability to pay the fines, costs, forfeiture, restitution (if not otherwise ordered) and/or penalty imposed by taking into account the defendant's financial resources and obligations [] as set forth on form DC-211 and/or [] by conducting a verbal inquiry of the defendant,

it is ORDERED that the defendant shall

[] make periodic payments of \$ per beginning [] with a down payment of \$ (installment payment agreement).

[] pay in full on or before 4-17-19 [] with a down payment of \$ (deferred payment agreement)

[] and will use best efforts to make periodic payments of \$ per (modified deferred payment agreement).

[] do community service work (fines and costs only) on or before to earn credit for all or part of the fines and costs owed. DATE

[] Restitution payments are to be paid in accordance with the court's ORDER FOR RESTITUTION previously entered.

This order regarding the payment of fines, costs, forfeiture, restitution (if not otherwise ordered) and/or penalty applies to the following cases:

[] all cases in this court in which fines, costs, forfeiture, restitution (if not otherwise ordered) and/or penalty are owed.

[] case no(s) listed at the top of this page.

12-21-18
DATE

Dennis Allen
CLERK [] JUDGE 41

PART I

If my driver's license has been suspended for failure to pay fines, costs, forfeiture, restitution, and/or penalty, I understand that I can stop this suspension going into effect if the court actually receives full payment of the fines, costs, forfeiture, restitution and/or penalty by the effective date of this suspension. I understand that I can also stop this suspension going into effect if, by the effective date of the suspension, I enter into and comply with a deferred or installment payment agreement approved by the court, or I am permitted and agree to perform community service to pay all or part of the fines and costs. I understand that I assume all risks in sending payment by mail. If, within 30 days of sentencing, full payment is not received by the court, or if I have not entered into and complied with an approved payment agreement or agreed to perform community service, if permitted, the suspension goes into effect and my license must be surrendered to the court by that date.*

I understand that if I provide for payment of a fine or other monies due by a method other than cash and my payment fails, the clerk will send me a written notice of my failure of payment. A penalty of \$50.00 may be charged if the method of payment fails.

I further understand that, if I am convicted of driving while my driver's license is suspended or revoked, I may be fined, sentenced to jail, or both.

I understand that upon suspension or revocation of my license, I may not operate a motor vehicle in Virginia until:

- (1) All periods of suspension imposed by any court or the Department of Motor Vehicles have expired, AND
- (2) I have paid all unpaid fines, costs, forfeiture, restitution, and/or penalty (if any) and the period of suspension (if any) has expired, AND
- (3) The Department of Motor Vehicles reinstates my license (if suspended) or issues a new license (if revoked) after:
 - (a) I have paid the reinstatement fee (if any) to the Department of Motor Vehicles, AND
 - (b) I have delivered a completed copy of the Driver's License Reinstatement Form, if my license was suspended for failure to pay fines, costs, forfeiture, restitution, penalty, and/or ASAP fee. I understand that I must obtain this form from the clerk's office of this court or the court where the case papers are filed, AND
 - (c) I have met all other administrative requirements of the Department of Motor Vehicles.

PART II

I understand that if the Court has ordered deferred or installment payments, or community service to pay all or part of the fines and costs, I must make all required payments or perform all community service on time. If I do not make a scheduled payment or perform the ordered community service, my driver's license will immediately be suspended pursuant to Va. Code § 46.2-395.*

I understand that:

- (1) the court may assess a one-time \$10.00 fee to cover the costs of the installment, deferred payment or community service agreement;
- (2) as a condition of this agreement, I must promptly inform the court of any change of my mailing address during the term of the agreement;
- (3) if the fines, costs, forfeiture, restitution, and/or penalty are not paid in full by the date ordered, the court shall proceed according to the provisions of Va. Code § 19.2-358, which state that a show cause summons or capias for my arrest may be issued;
- (4) the amount(s) listed in this agreement may be administratively amended by the clerk of this court in the event additional costs should be assessed and if additional costs are assessed, that the clerk will forthwith issue a notice to me of the total amount due by first class mail to my address of record;
- (5) the court or clerk thereof may adjust the final payment date administratively, without further notice, for installment payment agreements, if I fail to make a scheduled payment or for deferred payments, if I fail to pay in full by the date ordered, for the purposes of referring the account for action pursuant to Va. Code § 19.2-358; and
- (6) upon notification by a court that my license has been suspended pursuant to Va. Code § 46.2-395, the Commissioner of the Department of Motor Vehicles shall also suspend all of the registration certificates or license plates registered solely in my name and thereafter shall not issue any registration or license plates for any other vehicle that I seek to register solely in my name.

I further understand that if the court does not receive payments as ordered, my case will be referred for collection enforcement action under §§ 19.2-349, 19.2-353.5, 19.2-358, 46.2-395, or 58.1-520 through 58.1-534 of the Code of Virginia. If my case is referred for collection enforcement action under § 19.2-349, the amount that I owe and that can be collected will be increased to reflect the additional costs associated with collection action. If any part of the amount remains unpaid, pursuant to § 19.2-358, I may be subject to a jail sentence of up to 60 days or an additional fine of up to \$500.00.

Pursuant to Virginia Code § 19.2-353.5, if interest on outstanding fines and costs owed to this court accrued during a period when I was incarcerated, I understand that I may request that the interest that accrued when I was incarcerated be waived by this court.

*The license suspension in Va. Code § 46.2-395 does not apply to toll violations set forth in Va. Code §§ 46.2-819.1, 46.2-819.3, 46.2-819.3:1, 46.2-819.5 and 33.2-503.

CITY OF MARTINSVILLE
AUTHORIZATION TO DISBURSE FUNDS

TO

MARTINSVILLE GENERAL DISTRICT COURT

Invoice Number _____

Purchase Order Number _____

Vendor Number 3764

Net Amount of Invoice: \$120.00

Date of Invoice: 12/21/2018

<u>Budget Line Item</u>	<u>Cost Center</u>	<u>Amount</u>
01212072 503157	COURT APPOINTED ATTY.	\$120.00
_____	Def: Hill, Brian David	_____
_____	Date: 12/21/2018	_____
_____	File No.: C18-3138	_____

COPY

Certified for payment by: *Staci Bulloman*
(Clerk-Deputy Clerk)

Date: 12/21/2018

-FOR FINANCE USE ONLY-

Approved by _____

Entered by _____

Date Paid _____

Check Number _____

Control Number _____

Bank _____

Active Sentence Saved.

Account Information

Case#	Account Of	SSN	DOB	DL#	Trial Date	Payment Due Date	Interest Date	Account Status	Total Interest Waived \$	Balance Due with Interest To Date \$	Balance Due For Adjusted Incarceration Interest \$
GC18003138-00	HILL, BRIAN DAVID	591-98-0319	05/26/1990	NONE	12/21/2018	04/17/2019	12/21/2018	P	0.00	299.00	299.00
Total : \$									0.00	299.00	299.00

Incarceration Information

Active Sentence	Correctional Facility	Start Date	End Date	Unknown End Date
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		12/21/201	01/21/201	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>		MM/DD/Y	MM/DD/Y	<input type="checkbox"/>

NOTICE OF APPEAL - CRIMINAL

Commonwealth of Virginia VA. CODE §§ 16.1-132, 16.1-133, 19.2-124

Martinsville
CITY OR COUNTY

General District Court

12-21-18

DATE OF CONVICTION OR BAIL DETERMINATION

I, the undersigned, appeal my conviction the determination on bail to the Circuit Court of this city or county. I understand that this appeal may be withdrawn at any time prior to the hearing date set for this case.

This case is scheduled to be called for hearing on appeal of determination of bail trial setting of trial date

on 1-28-19 @ 9:00am in the Martinsville Circuit Court
DATE AND TIME OF APPEARANCE

at Municipal Bldg, 2nd Floor, Martinsville (276) 403-3105
STREET ADDRESS OF CIRCUIT COURT TELEPHONE

I am requesting a stay of the execution of the bail determination order.

I understand that if this is an appeal of a conviction and it is withdrawn within 10 days after my conviction in this District Court, no additional costs will be taxed against me; otherwise, additional costs will be incurred in Circuit Court. I also understand that upon withdrawal of that appeal, I am subject to the terms of my sentence.

WARNING TO DEFENDANT—You are subject to trial and conviction in your absence if you fail to appear for your trial in the Circuit Court. Failure to appear for your trial shall be deemed a waiver of your right to a jury trial in this case. Failure to appear may also constitute a separate criminal offense.

12-26-18

DATE OF APPEAL

x APPELLANT PRC

Blue Ridge Regional Jail

I promise to appear before the Circuit Court of this jurisdiction at the date and time shown above.

DATE OF APPEAL

APPELLANT D.

NAME OF ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT APPELLANT

by [Signature]
ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT DEFENDANT

NOTICE: Promptly communicate with the Clerk of the Circuit Court of this jurisdiction concerning the subpoenaing of witnesses and any need for interpreters, concerning your right of representation by a lawyer if you do not have a lawyer, and, if you are appealing a conviction, if you wish to request a jury trial. If your case is scheduled for trial, you MUST be present and ready for trial at the "date and time of appearance" shown above.

ORDER FOR STAY OF BAIL DETERMINATION ORDER

This matter is not governed by § 19.2-120 (B) or (C) or § 19.2-120.1. The request for stay of execution of the bail determination order is granted, upon good cause shown, and the bail determination order is stayed until denied.

This is a matter governed by § 19.2-120 (B) or (C) or § 19.2-120.1, and bail was granted over the presumption against bail. Having received notice of an appeal of the court's decision by the Commonwealth, the court stays the bail determination order until a period not longer than 5 days, unless the defendant requests a hearing outside the 5-day limit.

DATE

JUDGE

CASE NO. C18-3138

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Commonwealth of Virginia

City County Town of

Hill, Brian David
DEFENDANT'S NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE)

ORIGINAL CHARGE

APPELLANT

ADDRESS

TELEPHONE NUMBER

WITHDRAWAL

I, the undersigned, withdraw my appeal in this case

DATE

APPELLANT

by ATTORNEY FOR THE APPELLANT

COURT USE ONLY

Release on \$ (SECURED)

\$ (UNSECURED)

Not eligible for bail.

DISPOSITION NOTICE

Commonwealth of Virginia

DISPOSITION TO THE SHERIFF, JAIL OFFICER OR CORRECTIONAL OFFICER:
Confine the person named in this notice in your facility in accordance with the order(s) below.
HOWEVER, if the defendant appeals ANY of the charges below, **DO NOT RELEASE** him or her until ALL conditions of bail are met.

MARTINSVILLE GEN DIST - CRIMINAL

CITY OR COUNTY

General District Court [] Traffic Criminal [] Civil Division
[] Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court [] Circuit Court

HILL, BRIAN DAVID

5/26/1990

NAME OF DEFENDANT (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE) AND ALIAS, IF ANY

DATE OF BIRTH

Male [] Female Adult [] Juvenile [] DISPOSITION ADDENDUM listing additional cases is attached and incorporated.

1. Case No. GC18003138-00 Offense Date 9/21/2018 Original Charge INDECENT EXPOSURE

[] Not guilty [] Nolle prosequi [] Dismissed

Convicted of INDECENT EXPOSURE [] Felony Misdemeanor

[] State Code § [] Local Ordinance 18.2-387

VCC OBS-3713-O1 OTN 690GM1800003560

Appeal noted [] Certified to Grand Jury \$299.00 FINE/COST [] OTHER

Jail sentence of 030 D imposed with suspended.

[] mos. days hours to be served in jail [] of which days mandatory minimum confinement.

[] Committed to the Department of Corrections for

[] Committed to the Department of Juvenile Justice for

[] Extradition waived, and Form DC-375 WAIVER OF EXTRADITION attached. If not delivered to Demanding State, return to court on , at []

Credit is allowed pursuant to § 53.1-187 for time spent in confinement.

2. Case No. Offense Date Original Charge

[] Not guilty [] Nolle prosequi [] Dismissed

[] Convicted of [] Felony [] Misdemeanor

[] State Code § [] Local Ordinance

VCC OTN

[] Appeal noted [] Certified to Grand Jury FINE/COST [] OTHER

[] Jail sentence of imposed with suspended.

[] mos. days hours to be served in jail [] of which days mandatory minimum confinement.

[] Committed to the Department of Corrections for

[] Committed to the Department of Juvenile Justice for

[] Extradition waived, and Form DC-375 WAIVER OF EXTRADITION attached. If not delivered to Demanding State, return to court on , at []

Credit is allowed pursuant to § 53.1-187 for time spent in confinement.

[] Civil Contempt [] To be served in jail [] Released upon payment of \$ support arrearages to: PAYEE

[] Restitution ordered: \$

[] Other

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: [] Weekend [] Delayed confinement to begin on DATE at TIME

[] Work release [] Work release (if eligible) [] Home-electronic incarceration [] Drug testing ordered

[] Public Workforce Authorized

BAIL AMOUNT: \$ [] If transferred or certified to Circuit Court If appealed

[] Secured [] Unsecured [] Recognizance [] Held without bail No change in existing bail amount

No change in existing bail conditions Circuit Court date and time: 1/28/2019 9:00 am

ADDITIONAL BAIL CONDITIONS: Accused [] may [] may not depart the Commonwealth of Virginia.

[] Future Support Bond \$ [] Support Arrearage Bond \$ ordered and must be posted with the Clerk within thirty (30) days of civil contempt finding/criminal contempt conviction. (Form DC-460)

12/26/2018

DATE

Danna Bell
CLERK [] JUDGE



Martinsville General District Court
Commonwealth of Virginia

Commonwealth of Virginia,
Plaintiff,

Case no. C18-3138

v.

Notice of Appeal

Brian David Hill,
Defendant,

Notice of Appeal

Criminal Defendant Brian David Hill hereby files this Notice of Appeal, appealing the Judgment of this honorable Court that was entered on December 21, 2018. The Defendant appeals the Judgment of guilty in this case. The Defendant appeals this decision to the Circuit Court of Martinsville.

This Notice of Appeal was respectfully filed with this honorable Court, this the 21st day of December, 2018.

Since ineffective assistance of counsel may be an issue in this Appeal, Defendant requests appointment of new counsel to assist in this appeal to prevent any conflicts of interest.

Brian D. Hill
signed

Brian David Hill
Martinsville City Jail

U.S.W.G.O.
JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

P.O. Box 1326, Martinsville, VA 24114

. For Clerk of honorable Court

Martinsville General District Court
Commonwealth of Virginia

Commonwealth of Virginia,
Plaintiff,

Case no. C18-3138

v.

Notice of Appeal

Brian David Hill,
Defendant,

Notice of Appeal

Criminal Defendant Brian David Hill hereby files this Notice of Appeal, appealing the Judgment of this honorable Court that was entered on December 21, 2018. The Defendant appeals the Judgment of guilty in this case. The Defendant appeals this decision to the Circuit Court of Martinsville.

This Notice of Appeal was respectfully filed with this honorable Court, this the 21st day of December, 2018. Since ineffective assistance of counsel may be an issue in this Appeal, Defendant requests appointment of new counsel to assist in this appeal to prevent any conflicts of interest.

Brian D. Hill
signed

U.S.W.G.O.
JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com


Brian David Hill
Martinsville City Jail
P.O. Box 1326, Martinsville, VA 24114

↓
For Corrupt Commonwealth Attorney

Drain The Swamp

Brian David Hill #302165
Martinsville City Jail
P.O. Box 1326
Martinsville, VA 24114

GREENSBORO NC 274
PIEDMONT TRIAD AREA
27 DEC 2018 PM 6 L



Forever USA

ATTN: Clerk of the Court
Martinsville General District Court
P.O. Box 1402
Martinsville, VA 24114

24114-140202



51
LEGAL MAIL



VIRGINIA'S JUDICIAL SYSTEM

Request for Accommodation under the Americans with Disabilities Act

Date: 01/02/2019

PLEASE PRINT:

Person requesting accommodation: Brian David Hill

Address: 310 Forest St, Apt. 2, Martinsville VA 24112

Daytime phone number: 276-790-3505 E-mail: Caretaker: rbhill67@yahoo.com

Type of accommodation requested: (please be specific) Insulin with me during transport and at trial. Glucose tablets, Medic, or nurse present during trial. REACH/Piedmont Community Services/Preston Page be allowed present at trial. That I be questioned differently due to Autism.

LCSW LSATP

Nature of disability: Type 1 brittle Diabetes, Autism Spectrum Disorder

Date accommodation is needed January 28, 2019

Location where accommodation is needed (i.e. courtroom, office) Courtroom, during transport

- Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, Circuit Court, General District Court, Juvenile & Domestic Relations District Court, Other

Is this accommodation related to a pending case?

X Yes If yes, then please file the request with the clerk of the court where the case is pending, along with any additional materials that the court may require. If the proceeding is before a magistrate or special justice, then your request should be addressed to the attention of the magistrate or special justice.

Case: Indecent exposure Case type: Criminal Case number: C18-3138 Court date: January 28, 2019

No If no, then please send the request to

ADA Coordinator Department of Human Resources Office of the Executive Secretary Supreme Court of Virginia 100 N. 9th Street Richmond, Virginia 23219 Fax: 804-786-0109 Email: ADACoordinator@vacourts.gov

My family members Roberta Hill rbhill67@yahoo.com, and grandparents can email you additional materials including Dennis Debbaudt's white paper.

Brian D Hill Signed: 01/10/2019 10:05 AM

Please sign to verify the foregoing is accurate: Brian David Hill

Office Use Only:

Accommodation: [] Granted [] Denied

Comments:

Notification date: FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT JENNIFER CARP DATE: OCTOBER 20 2018


1-10-18 qcw

Brian David Hill #00-21123

Western Virginia Regional Jail
5885 W. River Rd
SALEM VA 24153

GREENSBORO NC 274
FEDERATION TRIAD AREA
05 JAN 2018 PM 7 L

FOREVER
USA



Barn Swallow

Clerk of the Court
Martinsville Circuit Court
P.O. Box 1206
Martinsville, VA 24114-1206

WVRJ
INMATE MAIL

24114-120606

LEGAL MAIL 54

TD 12/21/19



THIS ENVELOPE IS RECYCLABLE AND MADE WITH 30% POST CONSUMER CONTENT 

55

© USPS 2016

Legal Authorization

I authorize Roberta Hill (rbhill67@yahoo.com, 276-790-3505, 276-224-7373), Stella and Kenneth Forinash (Kenstella2007@yahoo.com, 276-224-4527, 276-632-2599) to email, fax, mail any and all materials regarding my disability of Autism Spectrum Disorder and Type 1 brittle Diabetes to the Clerk of the Martinsville Circuit Court on my behalf as additional materials attached to my "Virginia's Judicial System", "Request for Accommodation under the Americans with Disabilities Act", on the date of "01/02/2019".

Americans with Disabilities Act Public Law 101-336, July 26, 1990
104 Stat. 327, Chapter 126 (§12101 et seq) of Title 42.

I authorize Roberta Hill (my caretaker), Stella Forinash (relative), and Kenneth Forinash (step-grandfather) to act as Power-of-Attorneys over this matter concerning my request for Accommodation under the Americans with Disabilities Act, for case no. C18-3138, and Court date: Jan 28, 2019.

This the 2nd day of January, 2019.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signed and Executed by

Permanent:
310 Forest Street, Apartment 2
Martinsville, VA 24112

Temp. Brian David Hill
#00-21123
Western Virginia Regional Jail
5885 West River Road
Salem, VA 24153

JusticeForUSWGD.wordpress.com
11C1115-1

Legal Authorization

I authorize Roberta Hill (rbhill67@yahoo.com, 276-790-3505, 276-224-7373), Stella and Kenneth Forinash (Kenstella2007@yahoo.com, 276-224-4527, 276-632-2599) to email, fax, mail any and all materials regarding my disability of Autism Spectrum Disorder and type 1 brittle Diabetes to the Clerk of the Martinsville Circuit Court on my behalf as additional materials attached to my "Virginia's Judicial System", "Request for Accommodation under the Americans with Disabilities Act", on the date of "01/02/2019".

Americans with Disabilities Act Public Law 101-336 July 26 1990
104 Stat. 327 Chapter 126 (§12101 et seq) of Title '42'

I authorize Roberta Hill (my caretaker), Stella Forinash (relative), and Kenneth Forinash (step-grandfather) to act as Power-of-Attorneys over this matter concerning my request for Accommodation under the Americans with disabilities Act, for case no. C18-3138, and Court date: Jan. 28, 2019.

This the 2nd day of January, 2019.

Brian D. Hill

Signed

Signed and Executed by

Brian David Hill
#00-21123

Permanent:
310 Forest Street Apartment 2
Martinsville, VA 24112

Temp.

Western Virginia Regional Jail
5885 West River Road
Salem, VA 24153

JusticeForUSNGO.wordpress.com
11/11/2019

LIST OF ALLOWANCES

Commonwealth of Virginia

VENDOR INVOICE NO. 8771317

VENDOR REFERENCE (MAXIMUM 23 CHARACTERS)

MARTINSVILLE CITY OR COUNTY

[X] General District Court [] Traffic [X] Criminal [] Juvenile & Domestic Relations District Court [] Circuit Court

VENDOR F.I.N. OR SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

REBECCA K. LOCHNER P.D. PAY TO THE ORDER OF: FIRM, CO., INDIVIDUAL

22 MONTGOMERY ST. ADDRESS

RADFORD, VA 24141-4415 CITY, STATE, ZIP

CERTIFICATE OF ALLOWANCE FOR PAYMENT

Having reviewed this account and determined that the form is properly completed and the account unpaid, I hereby certify this account to the Supreme Court of Virginia for payment.

Danna Allen 2/19/19 CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK DATE

Defendant's Name: BRIAN DAVID HILL Case Number: GC1200313800 Original Code § Charged: 13-12/18.2-287 Chart of Allowances Code §: 19.2.175(3)

Trial/Service Date: 11/26/12 Specify case type: X Adult ___ Juvenile For district court felony, was case certified? ___ Yes ___ No

For adult criminal and juvenile delinquency cases, specify offense type or equivalent: OR representation and client: Appeal from juvenile court? ___ Yes ___ No

Itemize expenses (include receipt for any over \$20): Court Use Only - Amount Allowed: Fee amount: \$ Expenses: \$ Waiver amount: \$ Total: \$ 750.00

Defendant's Name Case Number Original Code § Charged Chart of Allowances Code §

Trial/Service Date: / / Specify case type: ___ Adult ___ Juvenile For district court felony, was case certified? ___ Yes ___ No

For adult criminal and juvenile delinquency cases, specify offense type or equivalent: OR representation and client: Appeal from juvenile court? ___ Yes ___ No

Itemize expenses (include receipt for any over \$20): Court Use Only - Amount Allowed: Fee amount: \$ Expenses: \$ Waiver amount: \$ Total: \$

Defendant's Name Case Number Original Code § Charged Chart of Allowances Code §

Trial/Service Date: / / Specify case type: ___ Adult ___ Juvenile For district court felony, was case certified? ___ Yes ___ No

For adult criminal and juvenile delinquency cases, specify offense type or equivalent: OR representation and client: Appeal from juvenile court? ___ Yes ___ No

Itemize expenses (include receipt for any over \$20): Court Use Only - Amount Allowed: Fee amount: \$ Expenses: \$ Waiver amount: \$ Total: \$

I certify that the above claim for fees and/or expenses is true and accurate... VENDOR'S SIGNATURE: Rebecca K. Lochner DATE: 2/25/2019 VSB MEMBER NUMBER

I have reviewed the foregoing information and authorize the amount allowed to the vendor named above.

LAURENCE D. GRIFFIN JUDGE DATE: 3/11/19

Voucher # (OES USE ONLY) CHIEF JUDGE DATE 8

(Chief Judge's signature required when fee for additional waiver is allowed per Form DC-40(A))

COURT'S

INSTRUCTIONS

This form is to be used to recover fees and other allowable expenses incurred by court-appointed counsel, guardians *ad litem*, expert witnesses, court reporters, mediators, and others authorized by the court.

Vendor Invoice Number – This number, shown in red on the front of this form, will be on the check stub when payment is made.

“Vendor Reference” field – You may include a personal Vendor Reference of not more than 23 characters, which will be printed on the check stub. Do not use any characters other than numbers or letters.

You will not receive a copy of this form with the check. Retain vendor copy of this LIST OF ALLOWANCES for reference.

“Case Number” field – Include complete twelve-character alphanumeric court case number (i.e., JA0000060100 or GT0200000100).

COURT-APPOINTED COUNSEL

To receive compensation for representation of an indigent person pursuant to Code § 19.2-163, a detailed accounting of the time expended for the representation must be submitted to the court within 30 days of the completion of all proceedings in that court. To comply with this requirement, please submit this form and, where appropriate, attach an Attorney Time Sheet. If co-counsel (more than one attorney) is appointed to represent a defendant at the same time in a non-capital case, then co-counsel shall share the statutory fee, supplemental statutory waiver amount, and fee for additional waiver permitted for one attorney.

“Trial/Service Date” field – The date the case was concluded in the court having authority to certify the account for payment.

“In Court” and “Out of Court” time fields – Time spent for each charge must be listed separately.

The total amount allowed for each charge is the sum of the fee amount, expenses and any waiver amount allowed. The fee amount is the total of In Court time and Out of Court time up to the statutory fee cap. Itemization must accompany all expenses claimed, and receipts are required for each expense over twenty dollars. The “Total amount claimed” for each charge is the sum of the fee amount claimed, expenses and any waiver amount requested.

Requests For Waiver – Any court-appointed attorney seeking a waiver of the statutory fee amount must complete an APPLICATION FOR AND APPROVAL OF WAIVER OF FEE CAP (Form DC-40(A)) for each charge and present it to the court with this form.

“Waiver amount requested” field – Use when a waiver of the statutory fee amount has been requested. The total waiver amount requested for the charge on the Form DC-40(A) should be listed.

JUVENILE AND DOMESTIC RELATIONS DISTRICT COURTS: NON-CRIMINAL AND NON-DELINQUENCY CASES

Court appointment for:	Type of Representation and Client	Type of Case	Insert in “Original Code § Charged” field	Insert in “Chart of Allowances code §” field
Juvenile	CAC-J	CHINS	§ 16.1-266(B)	§ 16.1-267
Juvenile	GAL-J	Abuse and Neglect	§ 16.1-266(A)	§ 16.1-267
Parent, Other Guardian	CAC-M, F or O	Abuse and Neglect - Civil	§ 16.1-266(D)	§ 19.2-163
Parent, Guardian, Other Adult incarcerated, mental illness or intellectual disability (DC-514 order)	GAL-M, F or O	Civil cases: Abuse and Neglect; Termination of Parental Rights; Entrustment; Relief of Custody	§ 16.1-266(E) depending on circumstances	§ 19.2-163
Juvenile	GAL-J	Entrustment; Termination of Parental rights; Relief of Custody	§ 16.1-266(A)	§ 16.1-267
Juvenile, Parent, Guardian	GAL-J, M, F or O CAC-J, M, F or O	All other cases	§ 16.1-266(E) or § 16.1-266(F)	§ 16.1-267 or § 19.2-163

“Representation and client type” field (___ - ___) – Use when vendor is a guardian *ad litem* or court-appointed counsel in a non-criminal and non-delinquency case from juvenile court. Specify “G A L” if guardian *ad litem* or “C A C” if court-appointed counsel. Specify who was being represented: “J” (for Juvenile), “M” (for Mother), “F” (for Father) or “O” (for other Adult or Guardian) (e.g., a guardian *ad litem* appointed to represent a juvenile should specify “G A L - J”).

ALL COURTS

Service Provider	Insert in “Original Code § Charged” field	Insert in “Chart of Allowances code §”
Court-appointed counsel for Delinquency Case	Insert applicable charge cite(s)	§ 16.1-267
Court-appointed counsel for Adult Defendant	Insert applicable charge cite(s)	§ 19.2-163
Blood Withdrawal	Applicable criminal cite	§ 18.2-268.8

For those allowances not listed above, please refer to the CHART OF ALLOWANCES for the appropriate code section to insert. The CHART OF ALLOWANCES may be found online at www.courts.state.va.us.

“VSB Member Number” field – For any attorney seeking compensation as a guardian *ad litem* or as court-appointed counsel, your Virginia State Bar member number is a required field.

TIME FOR PAYMENT – This LIST OF ALLOWANCES should be processed within 30 days of the local court certifying the amount for payment and submitting it to the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court of Virginia. Payment will be mailed unless the vendor has enrolled in the direct deposit service available at http://www.doa.virginia.gov/General_Accounting/EDI/EDI_Main.cfm. The amount paid pursuant to this document will be reported to the IRS, where applicable, using the referenced vendor F.I.N. or social security number and name. A matching Form W-9 must be on file prior to payment.

LAST NAME		FIRST		MIDDLE		SUFFIX		OCA		F.B.I. NUMBER		S.I.D. NUMBER																																			
HILL, BRIAN DAVID																																															
ALIAS AND/OR NICKNAME								PLACE OF BIRTH (CITY-COUNTY)				STATE	CNTRY	COC	IIN																																
								998-FIPS				FL	US	US																																	
								900 - OUT OF STATE																																							
SEX	RACE	DOB		SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER		HEIGHT FT. IN.	WEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	SCARS, MARKS, TATTOOS																																					
M	W	05/26/1990		[REDACTED]-0319		6' 00"	150	BLU	BRO																																						
HOME ADDRESS				STREET				CITY-TOWN		STATE		ZIP CODE		RESIDENT OF CITY OR COUNTY																																	
310 FORREST STREET								MARTINSVILLE		VA		24112		690-FIPS 115-MARTINSVILLE																																	
INDECENT EXPOSURE										ORIGINAL DISPOSITION WHEN COMPLETED, MAIL TO: VIRGINIA STATE POLICE RECORDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION CENTRAL CRIMINAL RECORDS EXCHANGE P.O. BOX 27472 RICHMOND, VA 23261-7472																																					
18.2-387																																															
OBS-3713-01																																															
VA1150000		PD MARTINSVILLE VA		MARTINSVILLE VA		DATE OF OFFENSE		JURISDICTION																																							
						09/21/2018		690-FIPS 115																																							
						DATE OF ARREST																																									
						09/21/2018																																									
PHOTO AVAILABLE THIS ARREST				ARRESTING OFFICER (LAST, FIRST, MI)				SHIELD/CODE		OCCUPATION																																					
				SRGT R. JONES				220																																							
DISTRICT COURT OF CITY OR COUNTY						<input type="checkbox"/> GEN DIST <input type="checkbox"/> JDR		CIRCUIT COURT OF CITY OR COUNTY																																							
CASE NUMBER						INITIAL COURT DATE						CASE NUMBER						DATE OF FILING																													
DISPOSITION (CHECK ONE)												DISPOSITION (CHECK ONE)																																			
GUILTY <input type="checkbox"/>			DISMISSED <input type="checkbox"/>			ADJUDICATED DELINQUENT <input type="checkbox"/>			NOLLE PROSEQUI <input type="checkbox"/>			DEFERRED ADJUDICATION <input type="checkbox"/>			NOT GUILTY - INSANITY <input type="checkbox"/>			NOT GUILTY <input type="checkbox"/>			CERTIFIED TO GRAND JURY <input type="checkbox"/>			GUILTY <input type="checkbox"/>			DISMISSED <input type="checkbox"/>			ADJUDICATED DELINQUENT <input type="checkbox"/>			NOLLE PROSEQUI <input type="checkbox"/>			DEFERRED ADJUDICATION <input type="checkbox"/>			NO TRUE BILL <input type="checkbox"/>			NOT GUILTY <input type="checkbox"/>			NOT GUILTY - INSANITY <input type="checkbox"/>		
GUILTY/CONVICTED OF:												GUILTY/CONVICTED OF:																																			
ORIGINAL CHARGE <input type="checkbox"/>						AMENDED CHARGE <input type="checkbox"/>						FELONY <input type="checkbox"/>						ORIGINAL CHARGE <input type="checkbox"/>						MISDEMEANOR <input type="checkbox"/>						AMENDED CHARGE <input type="checkbox"/>																	
CODE SECTION _____												CODE SECTION _____																																			
CHARGE: _____												CHARGE: _____																																			
SENTENCE IMPOSED BY COURT						MONTHS		DAYS		SENTENCE IMPOSED BY COURT						YEARS		MONTHS		DAYS																											
TOTAL TIME IMPOSED						_____		_____		TOTAL TIME IMPOSED						_____		_____		_____																											
TOTAL TIME SUSPENDED						_____		_____		TOTAL TIME SUSPENDED						_____		_____		_____																											
PROBATION: SUPERVISED <input type="checkbox"/>						UNSUPERVISED <input type="checkbox"/>						PROBATION: SUPERVISED <input type="checkbox"/>						UNSUPERVISED <input type="checkbox"/>																													
DATE OF FINAL DISPOSITION:												DATE OF FINAL DISPOSITION:																																			




OTN: 690GM1800003560

S.P.-222 06-14-05



326941W



SEALED

SEALED

SEALED

SEALED

SEALED

SEALED

SEALED

Jeanie'Nunn

From: Donna Morris <dmorris@vacourts.gov>
Sent: Thursday, January 17, 2019 3:16 PM
To: Ashby Pritchett; Margie Holmes; Margie Holmes
Cc: Jeanie Nunn
Subject: RE: Request for ADA Accommodation

Thank you!

Donna L. Morris, HR Analyst
Human Resources
Office of the Executive Secretary
Supreme Court of VA
100 North Ninth Street
Richmond, VA 23219
(804) 786-7596
(804) 786-0109 (fax)

From: Ashby Pritchett
Sent: Thursday, January 17, 2019 2:02 PM
To: Margie Holmes <mholmes@vacourts.gov>; mholmes@ci.martinsville.va.us
Cc: jnunn@ci.martinsville.va.us; Donna Morris <dmorris@vacourts.gov>
Subject: Fw: Request for ADA Accommodation

Margie,

I am sending this to you to advise Judge Greer of this request. We have recently received his papers from district court. Our case number is CR19000009-00. Mr. Hill is represented by the Public Defender.

Ashby

From: Donna Morris
Sent: Thursday, January 17, 2019 10:30 AM
To: Ashby Pritchett
Cc: Renee Fleming Mills
Subject: Request for ADA Accommodation

Good morning, Ms. Pritchett:

We received a letter in the mail from a defendant (Brian Hill), currently incarcerated in the Western Virginia Regional Jail.

He has indicated that he suffers from autism and type 1 brittle diabetes, and is asking for accommodations through the Americans with Disabilities Act for his trial, scheduled for January 28, 2019 (C18-3138). He has identified the name of his clinical counselor, but has not indicated whether he is represented by an attorney.

I am attaching his letter requesting accommodations. Please give me a call with any questions you may have regarding this matter.

[2018 1228 hill req for accom.pdf](#)

Donna L. Morris, HR Analyst
Human Resources
Office of the Executive Secretary
Supreme Court of VA
100 North Ninth Street
Richmond, VA 23219
(804) 786-7596
(804) 786-0109 (fax)

ADA Coordinator

December 28, 2018

I am Brian David Hill temporarily living at Western Virginia Regional Jail located at 5885 West River Rd Salem VA 24153.

My permanent mailing address is 310 Forest Street Apartment 2, Martinsville VA 24112. Phone number is 276-790-3505.

Nature of disability is: Autism Spectrum Disorder, Type 1 brittle Diabetes. I need the accommodation on January 28 2019, at the Martinsville Circuit Court located at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville VA 24112. The accommodation I am requesting is:

- (1) to have a Medic present during the trial and testing my Diabetic blood sugar before the trial;
 - (2) to have my needed diabetic insulin with me while being transported to the Circuit Court;
 - (3) that the Court or my Attorney will allow both REACH and my Counselor Preston Page to be present at my Court trial or at least a licensed Autism expert; and
 - (4) any other accommodation that you find necessary and appropriate.
- It is a pending case in the City of Martinsville, Criminal case, charge indecent exposure but duress/coercion caused Commonwealth of Virginia v. Brian David Hill, case no. C18-3138, again Court date on January 28, 2019. Please have any accommodations for me as needed/necessary.

Thank You,

Brian David Hill

Please mail response promptly.

Legal Mail: #00-21123

Western Virginia Regional Jail
5885 West River Rd.
Salem, VA 24153
U.S.W.G.D.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Note For ADA Coordinator:

The Circuit Court needs to be made aware of my Autism and that according to law enforcement trainer Dennis Debbaudt from Florida, his white paper on "Interviews and Interrogations of persons with Autism Spectrum Disorder" or something like that title. If I have to testify and be questioned by both lawyers, them and the Judge should be made aware that I can give false confessions and misleading statements. - Brian D. Hill December 28, 2018.

In The Circuit Court of Martinsville
For The Commonwealth of Virginia

Commonwealth of Virginia,
Plaintiff,

Case no. C18-3138

v.

Brian David Hill,
Defendant,

Notice of Change of
Address

Notice of Change of Address

Criminal Defendant Brian David Hill ("Brian", "Hill") hereby files this Notice of Change of Address with this honorable Court. Attorney Scott Albrecht should be notified of Hill's new address and Hill's current situation. Under Western District of Virginia Federal Court ruling (case: 7:18-MJ-00149) Hill is currently being mentally/psychologically evaluated at the Federal Correctional Institution 1, in Butner, N.C. (mental evaluation). This mental evaluator is evaluating Hill for 45 days, started at January 9, 2019. Hill may be unable to attend his Trial until after the mental evaluation is completed.


Respectfully filed with the Court, this the 14th day of January, 2019.

Brian D. Hill
signed

Brian David Hill #29947-057
Federal Correctional Institution 1
P.O. Box 1000
Butner, N.C.

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com
JusticeForJustice U.S.W.G.O.


Clerk



FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 01/22/2019 @10:55:17

TESTE:


CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK



Brian David Hill #29947-057

Name: Number:
Federal Correctional Institution 1
P.O. Box 1000
Butner, NC 27509

RALEIGH NC 275
Research Triangle Region
15 JAN 2019 PM 2 L



↔29947-057↔
Hon Ashby Pritchett
Clerk of the Court
PO BOX 1206
Martinsville Circuit CRT
Martinsville, VA 24114-1206
United States

24114-120606

74
FBI MAIL

FEDERAL CORRECTIONAL INST. #1
P.O. BOX 1000
BUTNER, NORTH CAROLINA 27509

DATE: 1-14-19
"SPECIAL/LEGAL MAIL"

The enclosed letter was processed through special mailing procedures for forwarding to you. The letter has been neither opened or inspected. If the writer raises a question or problem over which this facility has jurisdiction, you may wish to request the material for further information or clarification. If the writer enclosed correspondence for forwarding to another addressee, please return the enclosed to the above address.

In The Circuit Court of Martinsville
For The Commonwealth of Virginia

Commonwealth of Virginia,
Plaintiff,

Case no. C18-3138

CR 19-009

v.

Brian David Hill,
Defendant,

Testimony of Brian David
Hill

Testimony of Brian David Hill - Declaration

Criminal Defendant Brian David Hill files this testimony with the Circuit Court of Martinsville, Virginia, and subject to the penalties of perjury thereof:

I am Brian David Hill, I have Autism Spectrum Disorder, Obsessive Compulsive Disorder, Generalized Anxiety Disorder, and Type 1 Brittle Diabetes. I am handicapped neurologically in DMV records due to my Autism

Even though I am intelligent, I am deficient in the matter of handling social situations properly. I can be coerced and manipulated into doing something that I would not normally do and into doing something that I did not want to do. My Autism makes me vulnerable to sexual predators.

Copy to PD and
CA

1

01/27/2019

76

Around January 9, 2019, when I arrived at the Federal Correctional Institution #1 prison in Butner, North Carolina, after I went down to the Recreation Center to get a hair cut, A sexual predator named Crutchfield started talking with me and I first thought that he was a nice friendly guy just wanting to make conversation with me. After we talked for a few hours, I had just met this guy, and he told me out of the blue that he thought that I was attractive and a great guy. Then out at the bleachers at the basketball court he said to me that he was attracted to me, that he wanted a dick really badly, that me and him can get close enough to do sexual stuff together. I felt uncomfortable at that moment, felt like I should get away from him. I am into women only, and I think I told him that. Then his advances got bolder and he started trying to eat at the lunch table I was sitting at in the Chow Hall building. Another inmate named Zachery Hamby, who also was a predator but of a non-sexual kind, he tried to get over \$30 worth of Commissary purchases from my Account and tried to get me to do slave labor for him to reap the benefits. Hamby pretended to be my bodyguard to protect me from Crutchfield ~~at the~~ after Crutchfield showed up at my housing Unit called the North Carolina Unit. Other inmates heard about the sexual predator Crutchfield preying upon me, as he had done it to other guys, and they had enough of him so he was removed from the prison compound and transferred to a higher security prison from what I heard.

Hamby had become my cell roommate at one point, he had attempted to control me and dictate my every day activities. Even asked me all day what I was doing, and I had to get his permission to even use the bathroom, had to get his permission to write letters. He had threatened that I was going to get an incident report (write up) if I didn't do every thing he said but the officers of the prison never gave him such authority. Then another inmate conned me out of \$5 worth of Forever stamps. I can ^{be} manipulated, lied to, and victimized more easily because of my Autism. I can be victimized more easily despite my intelligence. I hope the Court and Martinsville Police Department will better understand my Autism.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on January 27, 2019.

Respectfully filed with the Court, this the 27th day of January, 2019.
Copy in envelope enclosed for the Commonwealth Attorney.

Brian D. Hill

Signed

Brian David Hill

#29947-057

Federal Correctional Institution ¹

Old N.C. Hwy 75 / P.O. Box 1000

Butner, N.C. 27509

U.S.W.G.O.

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Drain The Swamp

3

01/27/2019

78

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 02/01/2019 @11:08:43

TESTE:

Camp

CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

In The Circuit Court of Martinsville
For The Commonwealth of Virginia

Commonwealth of Virginia,
Plaintiff,

Case no. C18-3138

v.

CR 19-009

Brian David Hill,
Defendant,

Notice of Additional
Evidence

Notice of Additional Evidence

Criminal Defendant Brian David Hill files Notice with this honorable Court that additional evidence is being filed with this Court once that evidence has been received from the United States District Court (324 W. Market St., Ste. 1, Greensboro, NC). The evidence will be certified copies of Declarations from last year which are relevant to this case. Because the Defendant is currently being Federal Court ordered to a mental evaluation at FCI¹ Butner, NC, the Defendant is working on showing facts of innocence. The Defendant has also mailed evidence and information to the Virginia Attorney General and to U.S. Probation Officer Jason McMurray of Roanoke, VA. Evidence was omitted ~~to~~ from the first trial by the Prosecutor/Plaintiff. Omitted evidence was a (4) recorded police body-camera footage which showed Brian Hill trying to explain to the police officer about the man in the hoodie on the day of his arrest, and more was omitted.

There is also Virginia Court of Appeals case law which can show that Brian Hill is innocent. Appellate Court cases:
(1) A.M. v. Commonwealth of Virginia, Alexandria, Court of Appeals
(2) Kimberly F. Neice v. Commonwealth of Virginia, Teleconference
(3) Kenneth Samuel Moses v. Commonwealth of Virginia, Salem
Brian Hill was threatened by a man wearing a hoodie to get naked on the Dick and Willie trail (at night) or his mother Roberta Hill would get murdered. Brian cooperated with Martinsville Police before his arrest (body camera video footage also proves this), voluntarily turned over the camera and SD card, and told R. D. Jones the truth about the man in the hoodie. Brian was never aroused when naked, he never masturbated, he has OCD hand washing routines. The Martinsville Police misunderstood Brian's Autism. Brian ran because it was dark, he was scared that the man in the hoodie sent people after him. Brian Hill is innocent of indecent exposure.
Respectfully filed with the Court, this the 28th day of January, 2019.

Brian D. Hill
signed

Brian David Hill
#29947-057

Federal Correctional Institution ¹
Old NC Hwy 75, P.O. Box 1000
Butner, NC 27509
U.S.W.G.O.

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 02/01/2019 @11:08:08

TESTE:

[Signature]


CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Brian David Hill #29947-057

Name: Number:
Federal Correctional Institution
P.O. Box 1000
Butner, NC 27509


RALEIGH NC 275
Research Triangle Region
29 JAN 2019 PM 3 L



↔29947-057↔

Hon Ashby Pritchett
Clerk of the Court
PO BOX 1206
Martinsville Circuit CRT
Martinsville, VA 24114-1206
United States

24114-120606



FEDERAL CORRECTIONAL INST. #1
P.O. BOX 1000
BUTNER, NORTH CAROLINA 27509

DATE:

1/28/9

"SPECIAL/LEGAL MAIL"

The enclosed letter was processed through special mailing procedures for forwarding to you. The letter has been neither opened or inspected. If the writer raises a question or problem over which this facility has jurisdiction, you may wish to return the material for further information or clarification. If the writer enclosed correspondence for forwarding to another addressee, please return the enclosed to the above address.





Clerk

Please file

Case no. C18-3138

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 03/21/2019 @12:38:21

TESTE: [Signature]
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

^{FD} Copy made for Scott Abbrecht and placed in FD box
DATE: 03/21/2019 @12:38:06

Copy in box to CA

TESTE: [Signature]
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

Scanned placed in correspondence file of case

In The Circuit Court of Martinsville
For The Commonwealth of Virginia

Commonwealth of Virginia,
Plaintiff,

Case no. C18-3138

CR 19-009

v.

Atty. Scott Albrecht

Brian David Hill,
Defendant,

Motion to Admit Evidence
Prior to Trial

Motion To Admit Evidence Prior To Trial

Criminal Defendant Brian David Hill ("Brian", "Hill") files evidence with this honorable Court requesting that it be admitted in this case prior to the trial. Virginia Courts can admit evidence from Courts of the United States as long as the copies of such evidence is certified by the Clerk of the Court. Hill wishes to submit specific Federal Court records in this case as evidence in favor of Hill's innocence. Hill also mentions three (3) case laws from Courts of Appeals in favor of Hill's innocence to the charge of indecent exposure: (1) A.M. v. Commonwealth of Virginia in Alexandria; (2) Samuel Kenneth Moses v. Commonwealth of Virginia in Salem; and (3) Kimberly F. Neice v. Commonwealth of Virginia in Teleconference. The Federal Court records are the written testimony of Hill in support of the defense that Hill was threatened and coerced to get naked on the Dick and Willie hiking trail at night by a man wearing a dark hoodie. Hill never masturbated in public, Hill wasn't aroused.

Hill submits the following Federal Court records as evidence in this case prior to the bench trial, requesting that they be admitted as evidence in this case (records can be authenticated on PACER.GOV and by the Clerk of the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina):

1. Document 152, 2 pages, Filed 10/03/2018
2. Document 153, 11 pages, Filed 10/17/2018
3. Document 154, 2 pages, Filed 10/24/2018
4. Document 161, 5 pages, Filed 11/29/2018
5. Document 162, 4 pages, Filed 11/30/2018
6. Document 163, 6 pages, Filed 12/12/2018
7. Document 164, 6 pages, Filed 12/13/2018

All documents from case no. 1:13-cr-00435-TDS
Total of 36 pages.

Hill submits the following testimony and research by witnesses Roberta Hill, Stella Forinash, and Kenneth Forinash as evidence which can be authenticated by compelling them to testify under Oath at trial. They were made aware by a professional(s) that Hill was exposed to carbon monoxide poisoning up until the day that Hill had left the home and was arrested the next day. Carbon monoxide would help explain Hill's erratic behavior.

1. Compiled testimony and research, Total of 10 pages.

Hill has demonstrated to this honorable Court that:

- (1) Hill has Autism Disorder, Obsessive Compulsive Disorder.
- (2) Hill had been a victim of criminal coercion which compelled Hill against his will to get naked in order to protect his mother from being murdered.
- (3) Hill had been a victim of prolonged exposure to carbon monoxide in his Apartment in 2018, which can cause problems with Hill's brain leading to any irrational and erratic behavior.
- (4) Hill is technically innocent under case law as it is a fact that Hill didn't do anything obscene, Hill never masturbated, and Hill was never aroused.

Hill submits this new evidence and case law to this honorable Court in favor of Hill's Actual Innocence to the September 21, 2018 charge of indecent exposure. Hill had also filed a formal series of letters, and a written request with the Virginia Attorney General asking for a Writ of Actual Innocence in this case.

Respectfully filed with this honorable Court, this the 15th day of March 2019.

Brian D. Hill
signed

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com
U.S.W.G.O.

Brian David Hill
#29947-057

Federal Correctional Institution¹
P.O. Box 1000, Butner, NC 27509

Certificate of Service

I, Brian David Hill certify that I filed the foregoing pleading entitled "Motion To Admit Evidence Prior To Trial" and attached evidence (46 pages) with the Clerk of the Martinsville Circuit Court by certified mailing (tracking no. 7018-1130-0000-8936-6313) postage prepaid. That mailing was deposited at the Mail Room of the Institution on March 15 2019.

Criminal Defendant Brian David Hill requests that the enclosed photocopy of the foregoing pleading and attached evidence be served with the Commonwealth's Attorney since the Court and Office of the Commonwealth Attorney are within the Municipal Building. Thank You.

Respectfully filed,
Brian David Hill

Brian D. Hill
Signed

#29947-057

Federal Correctional Institution¹
Old N.C. Hwy 75 / P.O. Box 1000
Butner, NC 27509
U.S.W.G.O.


JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

Brian David Hill,
Petitioner

United States of America,
Respondent

Case No.
1:13-cr-435-1
1:17-cv-1036



SEPTEMBER 28, 2018
STATUS REPORT

I Brian David Hill ("Brian D. Hill" "petitioner") have new evidence regarding Officer Sgt. R.D. Jones lying to U.S. Probation Officer Jason McMurray about me. I consider Sgt. Jones to be a suspect in connection with the Tormail.org threat on "violent sex crimes" setup. Received a letter from Roberta Hill dated "Sept. 25, 2018." Parts of her letter said "I talked to Jason and he told me that the police said that you recanted the story that you told us in the hospital." What story did Petitioner/recant? That is a lie to my Federal Probation Officer. Letter also said that "taking photos of yourself at various places around town." The truth was to follow through with the hoodie guy's directives around an empty spot of one area of the trail, with a factory building and nobody around. Only in one area were photos taken, hoping that would be enough, so my mom isn't killed. For the police to tell Jason that I recanted my statement or story is a lie. Does Martinsville Police understand that lying to a federal officer is a federal crime?

I am disappointed that Martinsville, VA Police Department (MPD) would say that I recanted my story when I continue to stick to my story and tell the same story, and never attempted to recant it. I guess there are 'dirty cops' in Martinsville too. The MPD needs to be investigated by the U.S. Probation Office and the U.S. Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI). If the one FBI boss in Greensboro, NC had not shut down the Duty Agent Jerry Pickford's case on Kristy L. Burton and ALSA Anand Prakash Ramaswamy, I don't believe the incident last week would have happened. MPD lied to a federal officer, may be a felony. USPO Burton perjured, and ALSA Ramaswamy allowed it. All felonies. Obstruction of Justice. I again promise my mother that that incident will never happen again. I was threatened. I don't trust MPD police liars, I want FBI involved. Please! I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on Sept. 28, 2018. Respectfully filed with the Habeas Court on Sept. 28, 2018.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Petitioner certify that this pleading has been deposited with the Jail's mailing system on Sept. 28, 2018, directed to the Clerk's office requesting that under IFP they serve a copy of this pleading on all parties, via Notice of Electronic Filing on CM/ECF or U.S. Mail.

Brian D. Hill
Signed
Brian David Hill
Martinsville City Jail
P.O. Box 1326
Martinsville, VA 24111

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
Durham Division



Brian David Hill,
Petitioner

Case #

1:13-CR-435-1

1:17-CV-1036

v.

United States of America,
Respondent

STATUS REPORT
OF PETITIONER
SEPTEMBER 27, 2018

I, Brian David Hill ("Brian D. Hill" "Petitioner") in this § 2255 case, acting pro se in this matter files this status report and declaration updating the court for this case. Because of the current situation described herein, Petitioner requests a court appointed lawyer.

DECLARATION

I, Brian D. Hill, produce these statements, subject to the penalties of perjury under U.S. Code:

(1) I am currently in Martinsville City Jail over a local criminal case and situation were it must be disclosed to the habeas court over what actually happened. Petitioner believes it is connected over the matter concerning and effecting this § 2255 case.

1

(2.) ON SEPTEMBER 18th 2018, Somebody was in the ~~the~~ thicket at the end of my neighbor's property and branches moved ~~at~~ whenever I looked in that direction. I was around the period when I was mowing the grass between the time period of 1 to 4PM. That was a Tuesday. Likely surveilling me.

(3.) On September 19, 2018, Wednesday, I called into a political talk show after Sandra Wilson invited me to call into a Family Court issues type of show on BlogTalk Radio, by a I believe it was a woman named Valerie K. Lazarus. (I spelled it right). Told her about the fraud on the court concerning this case. We also spoke about the Americans with Disabilities Act and the one woman said how if I ~~have~~ had an IEP when I was in school, then they (I assume she meant police) can (or may get) in trouble for violating the Americans with Disabilities Act, the way it was handled in regards to my criminal case.

(4.) On September 20, 2018, Thursday, some of my memories may have been ~~blacked~~ ~~out~~ & I was under an extreme amount of stress and anxiety already due to the pre-filing injunction Motion. My whole family could tell. My mom had also noticed that my coats were not being kept locked. I was psychologically afraid to sleep in my bed. Sometimes sleeping on the couch and I had a bad feeling something ^{bad} would happen to me.

2

I was able to go the Massanutten Resort from Monday to Thursday last week in Rockingham County, VA, in my district. I think it was between Sept. 10 through 13/2018 if I am correct from memory. My family noticed that I didn't want to go back home. As if something was terrifying in my psychological mind, and I haven't kept my door or windows locked. Around 11 I went walking beside the road on the sidewalk and as I was around a warehouse or some kind of building near or around the Martinsville, VA downtown area ~~near~~ near the hiking trail of "Dick and Willie", I was approached by a man in a hoodie, probably some time between 11 to 12 that night. I think maybe between 5 to 6 feet tall, maybe white, told me "You ~~had~~ had better take your clothes off in public and take pictures of yourself and place the SD card around the bench under the bridge..." "or ~~they~~ they would kill my mom Roberta Hill". At one point I felt like I might collapse so I may have been drugged. I had to keep sitting on benches. I didn't want my mother to be killed. My weakness is my mother. I gave a false confession in 2012 because of being told "You better fess up or else your mother would be held responsible". Whoever threatened me that night knew my weakness. If I walk to the police or don't do what that man said, would my mom be shot full of holes while sleeping and killed?

be

3

I didn't know what to do. I kinda lost my mind when taking pictures of myself. If I don't get out on a road show would my mother be killed like they start in Hollywood action movies. I had a feeling it had something to do with the things brought up in my case. The threats from tartrail.org messages which were successfully carried out. I knew I couldn't mess with these people with a threat that serious. Out of fear and desperation I walked. We're vehicles would see me with a hand gesture of my hand over my mouth. I was naked on the trail. I was trying to signal with that hand gesture that I was in trouble. I decided not to place the SD card at the bench, as how would they know? Maybe I could still go to the police and warn my mom. As I was walking back I saw a track with a spotlight at me with no way to tell who it was. I was scared so I ran and then noticed a red laser beam light like what private mercenaries bad guys and good guys all have. A light came on with a guy yelling at me. I didn't know who he was. I was scared it was them going to kill me for not exactly following the hokie guys directives. I ran fell down the left side slope of the trail getting cuts and scrapes all over my body until I fell in the ~~river~~ creek bed. They were down there and at that point I realized they were police.

4

I said over and over again while complying
"I have Autism, I have Autism, I have Autism,
I have Autism." - but they couldn't hear me out.
I said "I was attacked," told Sgt. R.D. Jones
that I need to speak with a detective. It
was probably around 3 to 4 AM at around Friday,
September 21, 2018. He asked me why I was
routed on the rail. I tried to explain to him
as much of what happened as I could. He mistook
my statement of heading towards the YMCA building
with the warehouse and I told him "You don't understand
Autism," maybe "are you trained in Autism?" Sgt. R.D.
Jones said "How do I know you even have
Autism?" I responded with Dennis DeBault, a law
enforcement trainer. He did a white paper. People
with Autism can give false confessions and
misleading statements. Officer you misunderstood what
I said about the YMCA building," after or before
my answer to his "how do I know you even
have Autism?" was "it was in a federal court records."
He gave me that book where he didn't want to
accept anything I said. He even said "If your
lying to me, I will charge you with making (or filing)
a false report." I looked at him straight in the
eyes and said I was not lying, even told him
something about "am I supposed to let my
mother be killed" or something to that effect. He
still wouldn't accept what I had told him. I
then told him "You don't understand Autism. You are
violating title II of the Americans with Disabilities
Act."

5

I was being charged with "indecent exposure".
I further told Sgt. R.D. Jones that I was going to
win the jury trial and sue him for violating the ADA.
Argument excited to me saying to him
"f*ck you". At one point things cooled down
and I was in @ front of the TV with a
Magistrate. She, the Magistrate brought up about
my federal criminal conviction and my 2255 probation
violation. I explained to her that the case @ is
under a habeas corpus 2255 review for my
claim of "actual innocence" "actual innocence"
or something to that effect. She assumed that it was
some kind of an appeal. Told her that the probation
violation was over U.S. Probation Officer Kristy L.
Burton making false statements, which is perjury
and may have mentioned that ~~Mr. Rash~~
~~Somawany~~ committed subornation of perjury, gave
her the federal case number. Also mentioned about
fraud on the court. I was given no bond that
day. Was charged in Martinsville General District Court,
Case no. C18-3138. It might be named as
Commonwealth of Virginia v. Brian David Hill. At one
point I told Sgt. Jones that "you arent doing
anything about the drug dealers in my (or our)
neighborhood, that the FBI arent doing anything
about the threats, I dont trust the police."

(5) On September 26, 2018, I had filed a request
form for the jail directing that it be forwarded to
MPD Sgt. R.D. Jones with more statements incl. a
Declaration as evidence.

6

I explained that witness Roberta Hill had received a threatening "greeting card" postmarked Tennessee, she received same card for some time this year. I had no return address. Gave the officer her phone number.

Then I said under Declaration on the back of that "Request for Interview" form that my first received ~~mailing~~ message said that I was set up with child porn, that Alex Jones... and others were next. ^{my friends}

(c)
Some information omitted

I gave this Federal criminal case number, cited Document #6 Declaration of Susan Basko. Then ~~told him~~ told him in writing about the threatening message that was reported to J. Jay Strickland counsel to the N.C. Department of Justice. I explained that one part of the threatening email message said that I would be set up with violent sex crimes if I don't watch my back. If my mother being killed if I ~~did~~ didn't walk naked in public and take pictures, the "indecent exposure" charge may legally be defined as a "violent sex offense". The threats went from tormail.org to being physical. I was set up with the violent sex crime of "indecent exposure" just like the 2013 tormail threat said would happen.

While I am incarcerated, my diabetic blood sugar reads are getting a lot of highs right now. In the 300's 400's and 500's. I am suffering nerve pain, and other bad effects of high blood sugar.

The Court may request a copy of my September 26, 2018 filed "Request for interview" form from Martinsville VA City Jail at P.O. Box 1326 Martinsville, VA 24112 or at 300 Clearview Drive, same city. That way that can expand the record for this § 2255 case.

(6) Petitioner requests that an attorney be appointed at this interval for his 2258 case since this incarceration is at a facility that

(1) Has no law library. The Public Defender in Martinsville cannot represent me for this 2255 case;

(2) Paper and resources are highly limited as per Jail's "rules and regulations". Stamps and envelopes also limited. I won't be able to make valid pleadings compliant with this Court's rules while incarcerated and very limited access to resources needed for this case. I won't be able to properly cite court rules case law and statutes while incarcerated;

(3) While incarcerated I will not be able to bring up evidence if the habeas court requests;

(4) Be at a large disadvantage, which deprives me of due process under the 14th Amendment of U.S. Constitution. Being deprived of my ability to prove factual innocence in my 2255 case.

If the court cannot appoint court appointed counsel for this 2255 case, alternatively Petitioner asks this honorable Court to delay this 2255 case until Petitioner is not under incarceration.

(7) I make this PROCLAMATION to the hon. Court, to my Mother and family, to the U.S. Probation office, and to Martinsville District Court. The incident of "indecent exposure" is considered isolated and out of character. I swear under oath that it will never happen again. Charge is of a technical nature. I was threatened to get naked I never masturbated, it was a crazy ~~and~~ incident. Whoever threatened me needs to be charged and arrested. My mother hates me over what happened I'm sorry mom I was scared and didn't know what to do. I didn't want my mom to die. I am sorry for leaving around 11. The threats coming at me, the fear, the bad feeling in my gut. Next time I'm threatened I will report to police state police, and FBI. What I did on the hiking trail and leaving without telling my mom will never ever happen again. I'm tired of the Legal Terrorism, the criminal threats, and being afraid. I just want to prove my actual innocence and move on with my life. I am tired of feeling afraid for my family and my life. It's tearing me apart. My family can tell my health is degrading. I provided so much evidence to the Habeas Court please let me prove my innocence, have an evidentiary hearing. I have facts of innocence. Let me prove it. People with Autism can be manipulated easily threatened, abused.

(8) Before this charge, I was working on a ~~part~~ Rule 11 Motion for sanctions, case law Chambers v. Nasco Supreme Court case (fraud on the court), and citing state bar rule 3.8. I was going to show fraud on the court in the Respondent's factual basis of guilt, in their pre-filing injunction motion, and in the motion to dismiss.

I wanted to show the fraud on the court by Respondent give them 21 days (maybe 25 days) to respond before filing, then the court can decide under Chambers v. NASCO whether Respondent's Motions should be summarily denied. Subornation of perjury by Anand P. Ramaswamy is already a fraud on the Court in 2015. Respondent's resistance to me proving my innocence clearly violates Rule 3.8 of the state's Model Rules of Professional Conduct, N.C. State Bar. Rule 11 sanctions may be appropriate. I will serve them a rule 11 motion copy once released from jail. To resolve the issues between Respondent and Petitioner, Petitioner requests that the U.S. Attorney General appoint special counsel to represent Respondent for this \$2255 case.

Thank You!

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on September 27, 2018.

Respectfully filed with the court, this the 27th day of September, 2018. Brian D. Hill
Signed

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Petitioner certifies that he dropped this status report in the Jail institution's mailbox on September 27th 2018, addressed to the Clerk respectfully requests that all copies to the case are served by CMECF or by U.S. mailing. Petitioner asks Clerk to mail ~~to~~ letter certifying receipt of this pleading.

10

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill
Martinsville City Jail
P.O. Box 1326
Martinsville, VA 24112

Clerk: Please send letter certifying receipt.

Declaration and reCertificate of service

Brian David Hill v. United States ~~10~~ October ¹⁰ 2018

I Brian David Hill had mailed the wrong address, and ~~is~~ refiling the "Status Report of Petitioner September 27, 2018" on October 10, 2018. The address was mailed to 324 W. Market Street, Suite 1, Martinsville, VA 24112. That address was incorrect. It should have been 324 W. Market Street, Suite 1, Greensboro, NC 27401.

So I recertify under the CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE that I file the pleading on Oct. 10, by depositing the pleading in an envelope prepaid in the Jail's mailing system. Again, I ask the Clerk to send me a letter acknowledging receipt of this pleading and notify me which Document no.'s or I ask that my docket sheet be printed and sent to me showing my last ~~2~~ few entries.

I learned from Martinsville City Jail that I am limited by Jail policies to 1 short ink pen per month, 5 envelopes per week, no law library at all, and they have to destroy all envelopes including legal mail envelopes directed to inmates in MCJ. Envelopes are evidence but Jail officers can destroy envelopes including mail envelopes from Federal Courts. The Jail's policies seem unconstitutional and block me from continuing to ~~proceed~~ proceed in this 2255.

I ask that I be appointed a lawyer for my 2255.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 10, 2018.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

U.S.W.G.O.

Brian David Hill
Martinsville City Jail (MCJ)

P.O. Box 1326, Martinsville, VA
24112

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA



Brian David Hill,
Plaintiff/Petitioner,

Case no.
1:13-cr-435
1:17-cv-1036

v.

United States of America,
Respondant,

PETITIONER ASKS COURT
TO CONTINUE SUPERVISED RELEASE

The Petitioner Brian David Hill ("Hill", "Petitioner", "Brian") NOW files this request with the Court and the United States ("U.S.") Probation Office to continue the Supervised Release of Petitioner while the issues of Petitioner's actual innocence and Respondant's fraud on the Court and resistance to State Bar 38 rule requiring Prosecutors to disclose evidence of actual innocence, are being inquired by the Habeas Court. Petitioner is confident that he will be found not-guilty/innocent in the current case of Commonwealth of Virginia v. Brian David Hill, case no. C18-3138. The Court may inquire on that case by contacting Attorney Scott Albrecht at the address of 10 East Main Street Martinsville VA 24114 Phone no. 276-666-2206, and Fax 276-666-8929. I also had sued Martinsville City Jail and Martinsville Police Department recently in the Western District of Virginia, Roanoke division. The filings of the "Status Report of Petitioner September 27, 2018" and "September 28, 2018 Status Report" should demonstrate to the Court that Petitioner is a victim of yet another crime and doesn't deserve revocation.

Because Petitioner is asserting actual innocence by the affirmative defense of frame up, by Brian being threatened by a guy wearing a hoodie, Petitioner does not deserve revocation and should be allowed to continue this § 2255 case. Since this facility, Martinsville Jail, does not have a law library, and limits on paper and only 1 pen a month, Petitioner requests a court appointed lawyer for his 2255 case for his actual innocence claims. Bond conditions, if that is the case, will prevent Petitioner's ability to prosecute this 2255 case, thus a lawyer is necessary for both the mental examination and proving any remaining facts of innocence if any further facts of innocence is necessary. Thank You.

Petitioner respectfully files this pleading, this the 20th day of October, 2018.

—Certificate Of Service—

Petitioner certifies that he filed the foregoing pleading on October 20, 2018, by deposited in a prepaid envelope in the institution's mailing system, directed to the Clerk of the Court requesting that it be served with Counsel of Respondant via CM/ECF Notice of Electronic Filing, in accordance with the In forma Pauperis statute.

Brian D. Hill
Signed


Brian David Hill
Martinsville City Jail
P.O. Box 1326
Martinsville, VA 24114

U.S.W.G.O.

2

Brian David Hill,
Petitioner,

Case no.
1:17-cv-103
1:13-cr-435



v.

United States of America,
Respondant,

November 28 2018 Status Report and Declaration of
Brian David Hill in support of Doc. #125, #128

NOW comes Petitioner Brian David Hill ("Brian" "Hill")
with a November 28, 2018 Status Report and Declaration
in support of 2255 case Motion (Document #125)
and 2255 case Memorandum/Brief (Document #128).
New updates that the honorable Habeas Court is not
aware of.

Declaration

I, Brian David Hill, produce the following statements,
subject to the penalties of perjury thereof:

(1) I have placed a filled out Pardon Application in a
prepaid envelope, placed in the Institution's mailing system on
November 22, 2018. Mailed it off from the Jail.

1

This includes my sworn Oath under the "Certification and Personal Oath" notarized by Officer Jeffrey Corcoran to the President of the United States; "Reason to U.S. Pardon Attorney for waiver of 5-year Requirement, For Exceptional Circumstances Cover Page" dated Nov. 8, 2018 under declaration/Oath; and a letter to the U.S. Pardon Attorney dated October 31, 2018; but it is still deficient in the Character Affidavits, however in a page letter to the Pardon Attorney I have promised to cure the deficiencies in Pages 17, 18, and 19 as soon as I can, dated November 15, 2018. All of that was mailed to the U.S. Pardon Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice at the address of 145 N Street, N.E., Room 5E.508 Washington, D.C. 20530. This proves to the Court that I am willing to work with the U.S. Department of Justice, aka the Government to demonstrate my factual innocence. My filled out Pardon Application was copied by Officer 1st Lt. Law of Martinsville City Jail, prior to me mailing it off. Photo copied. Stored.

(2.) I have sent two letters to Alexandria Veletsis who works for the Executive Office of the President (EOP), The White House. First letter dated November 3, 2018, the other dated November 18, 2018. The later letter was mailed out on November 22, 2018. I explained about the guy in the hoodie that I may get killed in fighting for acquittal, and asked for my filed Pardon Application to be treated urgently.

2

I felt so afraid for my life that I had also mailed letters to John Kelly the Chief of Staff; Scheduling Aide; Donald Trump; Melanie Trump; Ivanka Trump or Jared Kushner (Nov. 8, 2018); White House Counsel (Nov. 12, 2018); Ivanka Trump (Nov. 20, 2018); National Security Council (Nov. 25, 2018) (QAnon); and the two letters to Ms. Veletsis of the EOP. I had mailed others including the Virginia Governor and Virginia Office of the Attorney General. I ain't playing around. I will not let some guy wearing a hoodie get away with threatening to kill my mother (a witness) and revoke my Probation to ruin my life. I will not sit quietly, be punished over and over again by the Federal Court for being a victim of felony crimes and worry about any member of my family being killed/murdered because they told the truth in regards to my actual innocence. I don't understand why the United States Attorney office has resisted against me so hard all of these years, willing to violate State Bar Rule 3.8 of the Model Rules of Professional Conduct, destroy evidence that would aid in proving my innocence, and engage in fraud upon the Court. Now I receive a federal Detainer arrest warrant by the Justice Department on top of that, likely putting my life in more danger. I feel President Trump is right. The Deep State Swamp is ~~too~~ Dangerous for America, must be drained quickly as Qanon (Mom told me about Qanon) said, otherwise the Swamp will hurt us all.

3

Is Autism the new civil rights movement like Martin Luther King?
I am done being a victim of federal crimes, your honor.
I am innocent I am done with being threatened
(Tormails, guy in a hoodie, threatening greeting card)
with being raped, set up, my mother killed, etc etc.
I am innocent and I feel the Arrest Warrant is
uncalled for. I want Justice your honor, I am
tired of being a victim. Do I have to be shot and
murdered before I receive justice? Am I actually
innocent? Do I have a right to prove my innocence
or should I be carted away in some Federal Prison
somewhere where I disappear? I deserve Justice,
I deserve Writ of Habeas Corpus. I am INNOCENT.
I stand by my statements till my dying breath. Amen.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true
and correct. Executed on November 28, 2018.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill (Pro Se)

#302165

Martinsville City Jail

P.O. Box 1326

Martinsville, VA 24114

U.S.W.G.O.

Respectfully filed with the Court, this the 28th day of
November, 2018. Thank You.

Brian D. Hill

Signed

Brian David Hill

Martinsville City Jail

P.O. Box 1326

Martinsville, VA 24114

Certificate of Service

I, Brian David Hill, certify that I deposited this
pleading in a prepaid envelope, then placed in the
Jail's mailing system on November 28, 2018,
directing it to the Clerk of the Court. I
request that all parties be notified by Notice
of Electronic Filing (NEF) by CM/ECF which
will notify all parties of such filings.

Brian D. Hill

Signed

Brian David Hill (Pro Se)

#302165


Martinsville City Jail

P.O. Box 1326

Martinsville, VA 24114

U.S.W.G.O.

In the United States District Court
For the Middle District of North Carolina



Brian David Hill,
Petitioner,

Case no.
1:13-cr-435
1:17-cv-1030

v.

United States of America,
Respondant,

Status Report of Brian David Hill - November 27, 2018

NOW comes criminal Defendant and 2255 Petitioner Brian David Hill ("Brian" or "Hill"), files this status report of November 27, 2018. Hill shall present the following updates.

1. Hill on November 27, 2018 had received a Federal "Detainer" warrant "Based on violation of Probation and/or Supervised Release". Hill has yet to face trial in the case Commonwealth of Virginia v. Brian David Hill, case no C18-3138. Assistant Public Defender Scott Albrecht of Martinsville, VA, 276-666-2206, had explained to Hill that he has a defense to the criminal charge, that he is not-guilty/innocent. Hill requests a Supervised Release revocation hearing to present the verdict of the Commonwealth Court.

1

Hill is fighting to be found innocent/not-guilty in the case or that the case may be dismissed with prejudice. The case will be decided in the Martinsville General District Court, Commonwealth of Virginia, trial date set up on the date of December, 21, 2018. In the event that Hill is found innocent, Hill requests that the Supervised Release be reinstated since Hill is innocent of his charge and will not be convicted. Hill asks for a Supervised Release Violation ("SRV") hearing, if necessary. In the event that Hill is found not-guilty, Hill believes he did not violate his Supervised Release since his Commonwealth charge is what triggered the "Detainer".

20 Hill was coerced and threatened by an unidentified guy wearing a hoodie to get naked or Hill's mother Roberta Hill would have been killed. Documents 152, 153, 154, and 155 explain the situation. All Documents in case Brian David Hill v. Martinsville City Jail, et al, V.A.W.D, also explain the situation. United States Court, Western District of Virginia, Case no. 7:18-cv-499 is the Court with the case files. Is Hill in violation for being a victim of crime?

Hill had reported the incident in a letter written to the FBI office at 1101 Court Street, Suite A38, Lynchburg, VA 24504 dated October 29, 2018. Hill had also explained in a letter to U.S. Probation Officer Jason McMurray about the guy wearing the hoodie, saying that he had felt his life was in danger. Letters mailed to his USPO and the FBI.

30 Once the Commonwealth case is resolved, Hill wishes to continue complying with his Supervised Release. Hill would like to continue with his Actual Innocence claim in his 2255 case. Hill's 2255 claims shouldn't be interrupted by a guy who had said "they" would kill Roberta Hill who is Hill's mother. Hill has demonstrated a lot of evidence concerning factual innocence. It should be decided, Hill's 2255 case should continue.

Hill recommends that his Supervised Release continue and that it not be revoked. Hill asks that his Supervised Release continue without revocation. Hill asks that his 2255 case continue. Thank You. God Bless America.

Respectfully filed with the Court, this the 27th day of November, 2018.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill (Pro Se)
#302165

Martinsville City Jail
P.O. Box 1326
Martinsville, VA 24114
55 West Church Street
Martinsville, VA 24112
U.S.W.G.O.

Drain the Swamps

- Certificate of Service -


I, Brian David Hill, certify that I filed the foregoing pleading with the Clerk of the Court by deposited the pleading in a prepaid envelope, in the Martinsville City Jail institution's filing system on November 27, 2018.

Petitioner/Defendant asks that the Clerk serve all parties by Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) of the CM/ECF system which will notify all parties of such filings.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill
#302165
Martinsville City Jail
P.O. Box 1326
Martinsville VA 24114
U.S.W.G.O.

Drain The Swamps
- President Donald Trump



Brian David Hill,
Defendant/Petitioner,

Case no.
1:13-cr-435
1:17-cv-1036

v.

United States of America
Plaintiff/Respondant

Declaration of Brian David Hill in support of continuing
Supervised Release, towards innocence in case

NOW comes criminal defendant and 2255 Petitioner
Brian David Hill ("Brian", "Hill") with a Declaration in
support of his innocence/non-guilt in "Commonwealth of
Virginia v. Brian David Hill," case no. C18-3138, and
support of compliance with Supervised Release which
means it should continue instead of revocation.

Declaration

I, Brian David Hill, make/produce the following statements,
and subject to the penalties of perjury thereof:

1

(1.) After I was threatened by the guy wearing the hoodie to get naked ~~on~~ in public, take photos of myself place them at the drop off point, a bench after Southern Finishing Factory, or as he said they will "Kill your mother Roberta Hill" referring to her first and last name. It was after midnight on the Dick and Willie trail which became September 21, 2018, still at night. I took the photos of myself around the part of Dick and Willie where an warehouse called Greene Co. Inc. was, around that area. The warehouse seem abandoned, the hiking trail had trees, a lot of trees and hills. Nobody was on the trail, nobody walked the trail at night. I had worried that a drone may have followed me to ensure that I comply with the directives of the guy wearing the hoodie. I didn't want to take any chances in case there was any drones or anybody following me. At one spot, I mean the area around Greene Co. Inc., nobody around, parking area of hiking trail empty, plenty of trees for cover if I had to. I took my pictures with my camera, to satisfy them, to make sure that my mother was safe. I never masturbated at all. My OCD was really bad, hand washing and body washing routines. There was no warm water and soap out there. I was worried about high blood sugar and low blood sugar, I was worried about my mother. Had some sugar cans, so I wouldn't die of low blood sugar. I was on my own.

Nobody saw me for miles I think, till I got to the point of the Dick and Willie trail where Southern Finishing factory was. The road close to the trail where a vehicle went by and must of seen me. I put my hand over my mouth, that was my left hand as a signal to any vehicles that I was in trouble and couldn't talk, flash light in right hand when I was seen, flash light off when I was seen by a moving vehicle. Then a truck with a spotlight showed up, I didn't know who they were, never identified themselves. I was afraid I upsetted the guy in the hoodie or his people, so I grabbed my backpack and ran back down the trail, tripped over rocks beside the trail right where some abandoned factory or warehouse was close to the fire department. I tried to go back on the trail, saw a little red light, a guy turned on a flashlight, I was spooked so I ran and fell down the side of the trail, towards the creek, cuts all over my body, I likely hit my head. Then I was at the creek area, on a rock, the police where there, I finally realized that they were police and not goons working with or for the guy wearing the hoodie. I was handcuffed, told them "I was attacked" told them over and over again that I have Autism, like "I have Autism... I have Autism... I have Autism... I have Autism." I told the police that I wanted to speak with a detective. I felt relieved that it wasn't the guy in the hoodie or any goons.

Even though I fell down the slope, cuts all over my body, my head likely got hit, I told the police officer, he appeared to have activated his body camera, I was shaken up but I tried to explain the situation as best as I could, I told him I have Autism, I tried to tell him about the guy in the hoodie, I was taken in an ambulance to the hospital, my mother and grandparents showed up, told them as much as I could what had happened. At one point I think that man was Officer R. D. Jones of Martinsville Police. He said that if I am lying, that I can be charged with filing a false report. I looked at him straight in the eyes and told Officer Jones that I told him the truth, all of that went on at the hospital. I was advised that I would be placed under arrest. Nobody walked on the trail when I was seen, hand over my mouth, guy in the hoodie, only time I was seen was the part of the trail where Southern Finishing factory was, where vehicles go by, but hardly any traffic at night. I signaled that I was gagged, that was why my hand was over my mouth. I never masturbated, I told the police the truth.

When I was seen by a passing vehicle, I never masturbated, hand over my mouth and other hand with a flashlight.

(20) My attorney told me that unless I was aroused and masturbated, I wasn't doing anything indecent. He says that I am innocent, I mean not-guilty of indecent exposure.

Attorney said that I can bring up about the guy wearing the hoodie. Said that under the law, I would have to have masturbated or be aroused in public to have committed indecent exposure. After he heard my story about the guy in the hoodie, he said taking pictures of myself is not illegal. So he argued that I am technically innocent, essentially. When the trial date comes I want to testify and hope I have a copy of this Declaration, explain my story about the guy in the hoodie who had threatened to kill my mother, tell the whole story. I am confident I will be found innocent. In the event that I am found not-guilty, I hope the detainer is reversed or that the Probable cause hearing will dismiss the Supervised Release violation on the basis that I am found innocent in the Virginia criminal case. I pray that I am released promptly, in the event of an innocence verdict.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on November 29, 2018.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill (Pro Se)
#302165
Martinsville VA City Jail
P.O. Box 4326
Martinsville, VA 24114
U.S.W.G.O.

Respectfully filed with the Court, this the 29th day of
November, 2018.


Certificate of Service

I, Brian David Hill, certify that I mailed the foregoing pleading by depositing in a prepaid envelope in the Institution's mailing system on December 3, 2018. Then under the In Forma Pauperis statute, I request that the Clerk of the Court file this pleading, then serve all parties to this case by Notice of Electronic Filing through the CM/ECF system which will notify such parties.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill (Pro Se)
#302165
Martinsville City Jail
P.O. Box 9326
Martinsville, VA 24114
55 West Church Street
Martinsville, VA 24112
U.S.W.G.O.

In The United States District Court
For The Middle District of North Carolina



Brian David Hill,
Defendant/Petitioner,

Case no.
1:13-cr-435
1:17-cv-1036

v.

United States of America,
Plaintiff/Respondant,

Declaration of Brian David Hill in support of continuing
Supervised Release, towards innocence in case

NOW comes criminal defendant and 2255 Petitioner
Brian David Hill ("Brian", "Hill") with a Declaration in
support of his innocence/non-guilt in "Commonwealth of
Virginia v. Brian David Hill," case no. C18-3138, and
support of compliance with Supervised Release which
means it should continue instead of revocation.

Declaration

I, Brian David Hill, make/produce the following statements,
and subject to the penalties of perjury thereof?

(1.) After I was threatened by the guy wearing the hoodie to get naked in public, take photos of myself, place them at the drop off point, a bench after Southern Finishing factory, or as he said they will "Kill your mother Roberta Hill" referring to her first and last name. It was after midnight on the Dick and Willie trail which became September 21, 2018, still at night, I took the photos of myself around the part of Dick and Willie where an warehouse called Greene Co. Inc. was, around that area. The warehouse seem abandoned, the hiking trail had trees, a lot of trees and hills. Nobody was on the trail, nobody walked the trail at night. I had worried that a drone may have followed me to ensure that I comply with the directives of the guy wearing the hoodie. I didn't want to take any chances in case there was any drones or anybody following me. At one spot, I mean the area around Greene Co. Inc., nobody around, parking area of hiking trail empty, plenty of trees for cover if I had to. I took my pictures with my camera, to satisfy them, to make sure that my mother was safe. I never masturbated at all. My OCD was really bad, hand washing and body washing routines. There was no warm water and soap out there. I was worried about high blood sugar and low blood sugar, I was worried about my mother. Had some sugar cans, so I wouldn't die of low blood sugar. I was on my own.

Nobody saw me for miles I think, till I got to the point of the Dick and Willie trail where Southern Finishing factory was. The road close to the trail where a vehicle went by and must of seen me. I put my hand over my mouth, that was my left hand as a signal to any vehicles that I was in trouble and couldn't talk, flash light in right hand when I was seen, flash light off when I was seen by a moving vehicle. Then a truck with a spotlight showed up, I didn't know who they were, never identified themselves. I was afraid I upsetted the guy in the hoodie or his people, so I grabbed my backpack and ran back down the trail, tripped over rocks beside the trail right where some abandoned factory or warehouse was close to the fire department. I tried to go back on the trail, saw a little red light, a guy turned on ~~the~~ a flashlight, I was spooked so I ran and fell down the side of the trail, towards the creek, cuts all over my body, I likely hit my head. Then I was at the creek area, on a rock, the police where there, I finally realized that they were police and not goons working with or for the guy wearing the hoodie. I was handcuffed, told them "I was attacked." told them over and over again that I have Autism like "I have Autism... I have Autism... I have Autism..." I told the police that I wanted to speak with a detective. I felt relieved that it wasn't the guy in the hoodie or any goons.

Even though I fell down the slope, cuts all over my body, my head likely got hit, I told the police officer, he appeared to have activated his body camera, I was shaken up but I tried to explain the situation as best as I could. I told him I have Autism. I tried to tell him about the guy in the hoodie, I was taken in an ambulance to the hospital, my mother and grandparents showed up, told them as much as I could what had happened. At one point I think that man was Officer R. D. Jones of Martinsville Police. He said that if I am lying, that I can be charged with filing a false report. I looked at him straight in the eyes and told Officer Jones that I told him the truth, all of that went on at the hospital. I was advised that I would be placed under arrest. Nobody walked on the trail when I was seen, hand over my mouth, guy in the hoodie, only time I was seen, ~~hand over~~ was the part of the trail where Southern Finishing factory was, where vehicles go by, but hardly any traffic at night. I signaled that I was gagged, that was why my hand was over my mouth. I never masturbated, I told the police the truth. When I was seen by a passing vehicle, I never masturbated, hand over my mouth and other hand with a flashlight.

(2.) My Attorney told me that unless I was aroused and masturbated, I wasn't doing anything indecent. He says that I am innocent, I mean not-guilty of indecent exposure.

Attorney said that I can bring up about the guy wearing the hoodie. Said that under the law, I would have to have masturbated or be aroused in public to have committed indecent exposure. After he heard my story about the guy in the hoodie, he said taking pictures of myself is not illegal. So he argued that I am technically innocent, essentially. When the trial date comes I want to testify and hope I have a copy of this Declaration, explain my story about the guy in the hoodie who had threatened to kill my mother, tell the whole story. I am confident I will be found innocent. In the event that I am found not-guilty, I hope the detainer is reversed or that the Probable cause hearing will dismiss the Supervised Release violation on the basis that I am found innocent in the Virginia criminal case. I pray that I am released promptly, in the event of an innocence verdict.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on December 9, 2018.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Original Declaration
dated November 29,
2018. Hand written
copy of original
Declaration.

Brian David Hill (Pro Se)
#302165
Martinsville City Jail
P.O. Box 1326
Martinsville, VA 24114
U.S.W.G.O.

Respectfully filed with the Court, this the 10th day of December, 2018.

Certificate of Service

I, Brian David Hill, certify that I mailed the foregoing pleading by depositing in a prepaid envelope in the Institution's mailing system on December 10, 2018.

Then under the In Forma Pauperis statute, I request that the Clerk of the Court file this pleading, then serve all parties to this case by Notice of Electronic Filing through the CM/ECF system which will notify such parties.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill (Pro Se)
#302165
Martinsville City Jail
P.O. Box 1326
Martinsville, VA 24114
55 West Church Street
Martinsville, VA 24112
U.S.W.G.O.

Note: Hand written (imperfect) copy of original Declaration dated November 29, 2018, mailed December 3, 2018. Assumed lost or fettered with. Few errors were produced during copying process.

Carbon monoxide poisoning

By Brian David Hill's Grandparents, Stella & Ken Forinash on March 10, 2019

Roberta & Brian bought their home in January, 2016; moved in their new home in May, 2016. During the summer of 2017, we noticed many birds flying high above their house and one by one moving into the chimney each evening. <https://www.owenschimneysystems.com/its-chimney-swift-season/>. There are 3 chimney openings (one for the fireplace in Roberta's apartment, one for the fireplace in Brian's apartment and one for the gas furnace and gas hot water heater in the basement). These birds are called "Chimney Swifts". After Roberta was sure the birds were no longer here (in October or November, 2017), she called a chimney sweep company in Rocky Mount, VA to clean the chimney and put a screen over the chimney holes to keep the birds away. We noticed in 2018, there were no birds: however, a couple of months after the chimney people did their work during a snow storm in Dec. 2017, we noticed some ceiling damage in Brian's living room and what we thought was water damage in his fireplace, ceiling and walls all around his fireplace. No problems with Roberta's fireplace, so Roberta thought it was a foundation problem and cocked all around the house above Brian's fireplace. Roberta's apartment is on the top floor, Brian's apartment is below hers and the gas furnace & gas hot water heater is in the basement below Brian's apartment. She noticed more damage each month in the ceiling and around the fireplace in Brian's apartment, thought the problem was a foundation problem since her fireplace above Brian's was not getting any water damage. She noticed after she cocked the house, the leak was getting worse, She called a foundation company and waited for months for them to check the foundation of the house. They came after Brian was already in jail and told her it was not a foundation problem but a roofing problem.

JES
Foundation Repair
Crawl Spaces ★ Waterproofing

CERTIFIED
FOUNDATION PROFESSIONAL

Dylan Caruso
Certified Field Inspector
dcaruso@jeswork.com

Toll Free: 800 713 0224
Office: 434 207 5000
Mobile: 434 660 3484

Serving the Entire Mid-Atlantic Region

★ Known best for being better. jeswork.com

Page 1 of 6

Brian David Hill has our permission to show this to whomever he wants.
Stella B. Forinash
Kenneth R. Forinash

We called a roofing company who said that the roof was in good shape but she needed to put some type of flashing and other things around the chimney to bring the roof up costing \$2,600. After they left, she noticed that her fireplace was now leaking and a few weeks before they came the ceiling all around Brian's fireplace had fallen down due to all of the moisture. We called a carpenter, and he noticed that the ceiling that had fallen and needed to be replaced was right below the bricks from Roberta's fireplace platform. She called the roofing people back, and they told her that she needs to have a cap put over her fireplace. They were supposed to talk to their boss and call back, but after waiting a week, we decided to call a chimney company from Bassett, VA who had an A+ rating on the Better Business web site. He came to check to see what she needed and to give a price. We showed him her fireplace, Brian's fireplace with all the damage and the gas furnace and gas hot water heater in the basement. When he climbed his ladder to look at the fireplace, he discovered that all 3 openings were blocked and instead of the screen that she thought was over the holes, there was tin covering all 3 holes. He immediately took the tin off of the hole where the gas furnace and gas hot water heater was.

Roberta's note to us on 3/10/2009

Thanks! I am reading it now. We first noticed the chimney swifts going into our chimney in October of 2016.

I couldn't find the receipt from the chimney company, but I did find my notes. The company's name is "The Chimney Sweep" from Rocky Mount and they came on Oct. 5, 2017.


They have an A plus rating. I'm shocked!



Chimney Cleaning near Rocky Mount, VA | Better Business Bureau. Start with Trust®

BBB Directory of Chimney Cleaning near Rocky Mount, VA. BBB Start with Trust®. Your guide to...

He then showed us what had happened and said that he could not leave there knowing that the tin was keeping the fumes from coming out of the chimney and couldn't believe a chimney company would cover it up. He said that was dangerous. He then came back in the house and showed us the damage in Brian's fireplace was not water damage but had come from the furnace and hot water heater because there was nowhere else for the fumes to go. He showed us all of the white residue in Brian's fireplace from the fumes & moisture escaping in his apartment. He told us the same as the carpenter to wait a few months to let everything dry before replacing the ceiling and the walls around the fireplace and he said that the white residue inside of the fireplace can be cleaned. There was now some white residue inside of Roberta's fireplace too, but the ceiling and the walls around her fireplace was still in good shape in her apartment. This is the name of the guy who took away the tin from the fireplace thus letting the gas furnace & gas water heater vent and prevent any more carbon monoxide poisoning in their basement and apartments. He can be called to confirm what we have written about this situation, and we need to have him to sign a statement about his findings on the outside chimney. This is his business card, and we are enclosing his work proposal (We also had him to do some work inside our fireplaces and replace the chimney cap on our fireplace) from 1/30/2019 when he removed the tin. He wrote that he was putting the chimney cap on Roberta's fireplace for the fireplace and boiler (furnace) & fixing something else. We are sending a copy of the receipt after he put the new chimney cap and did repairs on 2/4/2019. Here is a copy of his business card with his name, address and phone:



Brian David Hill was living in a home with carbon monoxide poisoning from Oct. 5, 2017 till September 21, 2018 (almost one year). He was home most of the time, using the natural gas hot water heater for hours each day doing his OCD washing routines. His apartment fireplace had the most damage including the walls all around the fireplace and losing some of the ceiling above his fireplace due to excessive moisture that we thought was from snow and rain. We learned on 1/30/2019 that it was residue from the boiler furnace and gas hot water heater having nowhere else for the fumes and moisture to escape. Roberta was living in this house with the carbon monoxide from Oct 5, 2017 till Jan. 30, 2019, but her apartment was not infected as badly as Brian's was.

Roberta and Brian Hill had complained for months about being real tired and weak, and we noticed that Roberta's head was shaking. Brian was complaining that he couldn't think straight and was having some memory problems. We all talked about this during our visit with him today (3/9/2019) and wondered if that had anything to do with why Brian left his home the night of Sept. 21, 2018 and was walking around confused miles from his home that night. Brian also had a bad fall in his apartment a few months after the chimney people were there during the winter of 2018 (The hospital at Martinsville, VA should have this record and date: Call **276-666-7200**). (Brian was a patient in this hospital in the mental department in December, 2013. The hospital should have on record that Brian is a brittle diabetic using insulin and has autism, yet when the police brought him to the hospital on Sept 21, 2018, the hospital let him be arrested instead of keeping him in the hospital doing test to see why he was acting so strangely). When his mom checked on him that winter night in 2018, he was asleep in his bed with blood all over. She called 9-1-1, but he refused to go to the hospital without doing his OCD routine. During his hand washing routine and washing the blood on his forehead, he vomited and was having bad leg cramps. We finally got him to the Martinsville, VA hospital hours later, and they put staples in his forehead. We found out later that he had fallen in his office and broke his desk. There was a lot of blood on the floor and some way he got into his bed in his bedroom and was apparently asleep when his mom, Roberta went to his room to check his blood glucose, and there was blood all over his pillows, his bed and his face. We told Brian we would check to see if the carbon monoxide from his fireplace can cause confusion, and this is what we found: **These can include agitation, confusion, depression, lethargy, impulsiveness, hallucinations, confabulation, distractibility, and memory problems.**

- Patient Care & Health Information
- Diseases & Conditions

Carbon monoxide poisoning

Mayo Clinic

Overview

Carbon monoxide poisoning occurs when carbon monoxide builds up in your bloodstream. When too much carbon monoxide is in the air, your body replaces the oxygen in your red blood cells with carbon monoxide. This can lead to serious tissue damage, or even death.

Carbon monoxide is a colorless, odorless, tasteless gas produced by burning gasoline, wood, propane, charcoal or other fuel. Improperly ventilated appliances and engines, particularly in a tightly sealed or enclosed space, may allow carbon monoxide to accumulate to dangerous levels.

If you think you or someone you're with may have carbon monoxide poisoning, get into fresh air and seek emergency medical care.

Signs and symptoms of carbon monoxide poisoning may include:

- Dull headache; Weakness; Dizziness; Nausea or vomiting; Shortness of breath; Confusion; Blurred vision; Loss of consciousness

Carbon monoxide poisoning can be particularly dangerous for people who are sleeping or intoxicated. People may have irreversible brain damage or even die before anyone realizes there's a problem. If you or someone you're with develops signs or symptoms of carbon monoxide poisoning — headache, dizziness, nausea, shortness of breath, weakness, confusion — get into fresh air immediately and call 911 or emergency medical help.

Hospital staff will need critical information as soon as you arrive. On the way to the hospital, try to prepare to answer questions about:

- Possible sources of carbon monoxide exposure; Signs or symptoms, and when they started
- Any mental impairment, including confusion and memory problems
- When you see the doctor, note that history is more important than symptoms. The most important way to recognize carbon monoxide poisoning is by recognizing the danger signs of behaviors leading up to the moment that symptoms started appearing.
- Faulty stoves, fireplaces, or wood-burning appliances are usually to blame for carbon monoxide poisoning in the home.

Progressed Symptoms: As carbon monoxide poisoning progresses, symptoms get more serious, but are still extremely vague and difficult to identify as specific to carbon monoxide exposure:

- Confusion
- Shortness of breath
- Chest pain
- Vomiting
- Blurry or double vision
- Loss of consciousness

Self Checks/At-Home Testing

There isn't a self-diagnosis option for carbon monoxide poisoning, but anyone with confusion or a loss of consciousness should have 911 called for them.

Long-Term Complications: As common as carbon monoxide poisoning is, there is a lot we still do not understand about this condition. Long-term exposure to elevated levels of carbon monoxide—even when the levels aren't that high, but the exposure continues for many days or weeks—can lead to peripheral artery disease, cardiomyopathy, and long-term, poorly understood neurological problems.

Damage to the brain is a significant injury incurred by many patients with carbon monoxide poisoning. Patients can develop neurological complications (difficulty concentrating, memory loss, tremors, trouble speaking, etc.) at the same time as the carbon monoxide poisoning or at a later time. When the neurological signs and symptoms show up later, it's known as delayed neurologic sequelae (DNS).

- Make sure your gas appliances are vented properly. Horizontal vent pipes for appliances, such as a water heater, should go up slightly as they go toward outdoors, as shown below. This prevents CO from leaking if the joints or pipes aren't fitted tightly.
- Have your chimney checked or cleaned every year. Chimneys can be blocked by debris. This can cause CO to build up inside your home or cabin.

https://www.medicinenet.com/carbon_monoxide_poisoning_symptoms_and_signs/symptoms.htm

These can include agitation, confusion, depression, lethargy, impulsiveness, hallucinations, confabulation, distractibility, and memory problems. Visual disturbances and seizure, as well as fainting (syncope), can also be related to carbon monoxide toxicity. May 15, 2017. Enclosed are work proposal Jan 30, 2019 and Receipt from February 4, 2019.

Brian, enclosing letter we wrote to your attorney. Love you, Grandma & Grandpa¹²⁹

SUBMITTED TO:

Name Ken
Street 207 Grosvenor St
City, State, Zip Martinsville VA
Phone _____

E-mail _____
Job Location 916 Chalmer's

JOB DESCRIPTION:

310 Forest Install multi flue chimney
cap on chimney for fireplace and
boiler 400⁰⁰ Includes seating

916 Chalmer's
Install multi flue chimney cap
on chimney for fireplace and furnace
\$225⁰⁰

TERMS OF PROPOSAL:

Amount \$ _____ Proposal good for _____ days.
Terms of payment _____
Authorized signature _____

All material is guaranteed to be as specified. All work to be completed in a substantial workmanlike manner according to specifications submitted, per standard practices. Any alteration or deviation from above specifications involving extra costs will be executed only upon written orders, and will become an extra charge over and above the estimate. All agreements contingent upon strikes, accidents or delays beyond our control. Property owner to carry fire, tornado and other necessary insurance. Our workers are fully covered by Workmen's Compensation Insurance.

ACCEPTANCE OF PROPOSAL:

The above prices, specifications and conditions are satisfactory and are hereby accepted. You are authorized to do the work as specified. Payment will be made as outlined above.

Signature _____ Date of acceptance _____

ACE Chimney Sweep

276-629-4453

Customer's
Order No. _____

DATE 2-4-19

SOLD TO Roberta Hill

ADDRESS 310 Forest St M'ville

SALESMAN _____

TERMS _____

CASH	CHARGE	C. O. D.	PAID OUT	RETD. MDSE.	RECD. ON ACCT.
------	--------	----------	----------	-------------	----------------

QUAN.	DESCRIPTION	PRICE	AMOUNT
1	Install stainless Steel MultiFlue Chimney CAP		\$2400 ⁰⁰
	Paid Ckt		
	194		

Bill to be Accompanied By This Bill

Hey Brian,

I hope you are doing well.

Brian, here is an excerpt from a *USA Today* article about Hayley Moss. She is the lawyer I told you about on Saturday.

"Zumpano Patricios now calls Moss "one of the first documented autistic attorneys to join the Florida Bar and a major law firm," though firm co-founder Joe Zumpano believes "she may be one of the first nationally.""

I know you have an interest in law, too, so she reminds me of you. She also is an author, just like you.

Remember when I told you that the fireplace man found out that our chimney had been completely sealed and that would have exposed our apartments to carbon monoxide?

Brian I looked up the effects of carbon monoxide poisoning and copy and pasted them on here. Both of us were complaining that we were tired a lot. The fireplace man told us that carbon monoxide would settle down to the lowest part of our home, which would be the basement; however your apartment is just above the basement and lower than my apartment.

Because the symptoms of carbon monoxide poisoning can be vague and nonspecific, the diagnosis may be missed or not suspected, particularly when vague, flu-like symptoms develop.

- *Headache,*
- *dizziness, and*
- *nausea are the most common symptoms.*

Other possible symptoms and signs include

- *malaise,*
- *fatigue,*

- *chest pain,*
- *shortness of breath,*
- *vomiting,*
- *diarrhea,*
- *weakness,*
- *abdominal pain, or*
- *fecal and urinary incontinence.*

A number of different psychological and neurologic symptoms can also be present. These can include agitation, confusion, depression, lethargy, impulsiveness, hallucinations, confabulation, distractibility, and memory problems. Visual disturbances and seizure, as well as fainting (syncope), can also be related to carbon monoxide toxicity. Severe cases of carbon monoxide poisoning can lead to coma and death.

https://www.medicinenet.com/carbon_monoxide_poisoning_symptoms_and_signs/symptoms.htm

I think you need to discuss this in your next meeting with Dr. Grainy and show her this list. This also needs to be brought up at your hearing in Martinsville. You told me that you don't remember everything that happened that night.

I enjoyed our visit on Saturday! I miss you!

Love,

Mom

In The Circuit Court of Martinsville
For The Commonwealth of Virginia

Commonwealth of Virginia,
Plaintiff,

Case no.
C18-3138

v.

Brian David Hill,
Defendant,

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 05/06/2019 @09:23:31

TESTE: CC
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

Notice of Change of Address

Notice is hereby given from criminal defendant Brian David Hill that since April 16, 2019, Tuesday, up until the date of this filing was filed, Defendant's address is changed changed to FCI Petersburg. Respectfully filed with the Court, this the 30th day of April, 2019.

Brian D. Hill
signed

I miss my family.

I hope to see my family again.

- Quran -

- Drain The Swamp -

- Make America Great Again -

Brian David Hill

#29947-057

Special Housing Unit/SHU

FCI Petersburg

P.O. Box 1000

Petersburg, VA 23804

Stanley's blog: JusticeForUSNGO.wordpress.com

April 30, 2019

To Hon. Ashby Pritchett
Clerk of Martinsville, VA Circuit Court
P.O. Box 1206, Martinsville, VA 24114-1206

Dear Clerk,

Re: Case no. C18-3138

When is my trial date? Were my Pro Se Motions entered into the record for my case?

I haven't seen my Attorney Scott Albrecht yet since December, 2018.

Did the Commonwealth respond to my two Pro Se Motions with evidence?

Can you mail me the Docket Report or let me know when my trial date is set for my case once I am transferred to Western VA Regional Jail?

Brian D. Hill
Signed

U.S.W.G.O.

-Qanon-
-Drain The Swamp-

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Sincerely,

Brian David Hill

#29947-057

Special Housing Unit/SHU

FCI Petersburg


P.O. Box 1000

Petersburg, VA 23804

Brian David Hill #29947-057
Federal Correctional Institution
P.O. Box 1000
Petersburg, VA 23804

RICHMOND VA 230

01 MAY 2019 PM 3 L



Hon. Ashby Pritchett
Office of the Clerk
Martinsville, VA Circuit Court
P.O. Box 1206
Martinsville, VA 24114-1206

1 FGA¹³⁶ MAIL

CIRCUIT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
City of Martinsville
POST OFFICE BOX 1206
MARTINSVILLE, VIRGINIA 24114-1206



ASHBY R. PRITCHETT, CLERK

May 6, 2019

Brian David Hill # 29947-057
FCI Petersburg Special Housing Unit/SHU
P.O. Box 1000
Petersburg VA 23804

RE: Case Status

Mr. Hill:

I received your handwritten correspondence today, and will answer your inquiries in order.

No trial date is set. The Commonwealth is waiting for you to be released from federal custody and returned to a state facility. The case remains on our Court docket under review.

Your pro se motions have been received and scanned into your case record. Copies of each have been provided to Scott Albrecht, your attorney.

The Commonwealth has not responded to your pro se motions.

When you are returned to state custody, your case will resume. Your attorney will resume authority for your defense. You will be notified of your trial date.


Ashby R. Pritchett, Clerk

Exhibit 1

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN



MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

VIRGINIA
In City of Martinsville Circuit Court
Clerk's Office.

Received and Filed this the

22 Day of July, 2019
at 9:30 AM

Testa: Abby C. [Signature] Clerk

To Whom This May Concern:

On January 30, 2019 I went to the house at 310 Forest St., Martinsville, Va 24112 to measure and give a price for a Chimney cover. Roberta Hill and her parents: Ken & Stella Forinash escorted me to Apt 1 to show me the fireplace which had a small amount of white residue inside, no damage to the ceiling and wall around the fireplace. They then escorted me downstairs to Apt 2 where parts of the ceiling above the fireplace had fallen and there was a lot of damage in the remaining ceiling below the hearth of the fireplace in apt 1 located above apt 2 and a lot of damage along the wall in apt 2 above and on both sides of the fireplace as well as a lot of white residue inside of the fireplace. After this, we went down another flight of stairs to the basement where the gas boiler heater and the gas hot water heater were located to show me that there would be 3 holes in the chimney.

I then went outside and got my ladder to measure the chimney. This was when I found out that all 3 holes were covered with tin. Knowing that the gas boiler heater & gas hot water heater needed to be vented at all times, I immediately removed the tin covering the hole so carbon monoxide would no longer go through the house. Ms Hill had informed me that she had called a chimney sweep in Rocky Mount, VA in October, 2017 to clean the chimney and to put screen on all holes after the family spotted birds going into their fireplace the year before. In my 25 years of doing this type of work, this was the first time I have ever seen tin covering holes where it is important to vent gas heaters. I showed the family the tin I had just removed and had them to climb my ladder to look at the chimney. We then went back in the house, and I informed them that the white residue inside both fireplaces was from the gas that had no other place to escape and informed them that they had been exposed to carbon monoxide poisoning, but now that the tin had been removed, there should no longer be any problems. I returned to the house on February 4, 2019 and installed a stainless steel multifaceted chimney cap vented with screen on all 4 sides.

Signed as a witness on this date: Kenneth R. Forinash 6-13-19

Pete Compton 6-13-19

Pete Compton ACE Chimney & Wildlife; Bassett, VA

Phone 276-629-4453




Exhibit 2


USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN

U.S.W.G.O.

MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

HILL, BRIAN D
M47805836274 PRE ER PH.ER
11/19/17 0850 UNKNOWN, UNKNOWN
DOB: 05/26/90 27 M MR# MM00370912
Sovah Health - Martinsville



Sovah Health Martinsville

320 Hospital Drive
Martinsville, VA 24112
276-666-7237

119167
97
987
18

Emergency Department Instructions for:

Hill, Brian D

Arrival Date:

Sunday, November 19, 2017

Thank you for choosing **Sovah Health Martinsville** for your care today. The examination and treatment you have received in the Emergency Department today have been rendered on an emergency basis only and are not intended to be a substitute for an effort to provide complete medical care. You should contact your follow-up physician as it is important that you let him or her check you and report any new or remaining problems since it is impossible to recognize and treat all elements of an injury or illness in a single emergency care center visit.

Care provided by: Ekuban-Gordon, Edna, MD

Diagnosis: Head Laceration/ Open wound of head; Hyperglycemia, unspecified

DISCHARGE INSTRUCTIONS	FORMS
Head Injury, Adult Facial Laceration Hyperglycemia, Easy-to-Read Stitches, Staples, or Adhesive Wound Closure, Easy-to-Read	Medication Reconciliation
FOLLOW UP INSTRUCTIONS	PRESCRIPTIONS
Private Physician When: 2 - 3 days; Reason: Wound Recheck	None
SPECIAL NOTES	
None	

Suicide National Hotline: 1-800-273-8255 (800-273-TALK)

If you received a narcotic or sedative medication during your Emergency Department stay you should not drive, drink alcohol or operate heavy machinery for the next 8 hours as this medication can cause drowsiness, dizziness, and decrease your response time to events.

I hereby acknowledge that I have received a copy of my transition care record and understand the above instructions and prescriptions.

Brian Hill
MRN # MM00370912

ED Physician or Nurse
11/19/2017 12:14

X-RAYS and LAB TESTS:

If you had x-rays today they were read by the emergency physician. Your x-rays will also be read by a radiologist within 24 hours. If you

Discharge Instructions for: Brian Hill

had a culture done it will take 24 to 72 hours to get the results. If there is a change in the x-ray diagnosis or a positive culture, we will contact you. Please verify your current phone number prior to discharge at the check out desk.

MEDICATIONS:

If you received a prescription for medication(s) today, it is important that when you fill this you let the pharmacist know all the other medications that you are on and any allergies you might have. It is also important that you notify your follow-up physician of all your medications including the prescriptions you may receive today.

TESTS AND PROCEDURES

Labs

CMP, Complete Blood Count W/auto Diff, Thyroid Stimulating Hormone, POC GLU, POC GLU

Rad

CT Head w/o Contrast, Chest 1 View - Portable

Procedures


Blood Sugar, 12 Lead EKG, Laceration

Other

Seizure precautions, Accucheck, Cardiac Monitor, Apply to Pt, Pulse ox continuous, Oxygen at 2 L/NC, IV saline lock, EKG ED, Laceration repair set up

Chart Copy

HILL, BRIAN D
MM7805836274 PRE ER PM.ER
11/19/17 0850 UNKNOWN, UNKNOWN
DOB: 05/26/90 27 M MR# MM00370912
Sovah Health - Martinsville



HILL, BRIAN

ID: 000370912

19-Nov-2017 19:06:11

Memorial Hospital of Martinsville

27years

Vent. rate 105 bpm

Sinus tachycardia

Male Caucasian

PR interval 158 ms

Possible Left atrial enlargement

Room: 6

QRS duration 92 ms

Borderline ECG

Loc: 15

QT/QTc 328/433 ms
P-R-T axes 64 64 52

Handwritten notes:
100
Bed 6

T HILL, BRIAN D

NM/805836274 PRE ER MLTR
11/19/17 Galsi GP ER/MLTR/ST/ST
DOB 05/26/190 77 N Nov MED 30000
Sovath Health Part in 2110

Visit: MM7805836274


Secondary ID: MM216937

Referred by: EKUBAN GORDON, EDNA

Order no: 211890013

Unconfirmed

CHEST PAIN




100 Hz 25.0 mm/s 10.0 mm/mV

4 by 2.5s + 1 rhythm id

MAC55 900B

7 DESL 211 ID

143



SOVAH HEALTH - MARTINSVILLE
 320 HOSPITAL DRIVE - P.O. BOX 4788
 MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112 (276)666-7360
 CLIA NO. 49D0231853 RT CLIA NO. 4D0661287

PAGE:1

RUN DATE:11/23/17

DISCHARGE SUMMARY FOR MEDICAL RECORDS FOR LABORATORY

CMAX: MM00370912-MM7805836274-LABDATA~20171123~LABDISMM1001869144~COCMMH~COCVAE~LAB.COCMMH~

PATIENT: HILL, BRIAN D ACCT #: MM7805836274 LOC: MM.ER U #: MM00370912
 AGE/SX: 27/M ROOM: REG: 11/19/17
 REG DR: EKUBAN-GORDON, EDNA MD DOB: 05/26/90 BED: DIS:
 STATUS: DEP ER TLOC:

*****POINT OF CARE*****

Date	Time	Reference	Units
-----11/19/17-----	1006 0943		
POC GLU	[429] H [435] H	(65-100)	MG/DL

*****HEMATOLOGY*****

Date	Time	Reference	Units
11/19/17	1007		
WBC	[11.6] H	(4.5-11.0)	K/UL
RBC	5.32	(4.50-5.90)	M/UL
HGB	15.8	(14.0-17.5)	G/DL
HCT	46.0	(35.0-49.0)	%
MCV	86.5	(80-96)	UM3
MCH	29.7	(27-32)	PG
MCHC	34.3	(32-37)	G/DL
RDW	13.1	(11.5-14.5)	%
RDW-SD	41.1	(35.1-43.9)	f1
PLT	241	(140-440)	K/UL
MPV	[10.8] H	(7.4-10.4)	f1
SEGS %	[84] H	(37-80)	%
SEG ABSOLUTE	[9.77] H	(1.5-6.8)	K/UL
LYMPH %	10	(10-50)	%
LYMPH ABSOLUTE	1.10	(1.0-4.0)	K/UL
MONO %	6	(0-12)	%
MONO ABSOLUTE	0.64	(0.2-1.0)	K/UL
EOS %	0	(0-7)	%
EOS ABSOLUTE	0.05	(0.0-0.5)	K/UL
BASO %	0	(0-2)	%
BASO ABSOLUTE	0.04	(0.0-0.2)	K/UL
IG %	0.3		%
IG ABSOLUTE	0.0	(0.0-0.1)	

Patient: HILL, BRIAN D Age/Sex: 27/M Acct#MM7805836274 Unit#MM00370912

Exhibit 3

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN

U.S.W.G.O.

MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

ClinicalEvidence

LEARN, TEACH AND PRACTISE EBM



BMJ Clin Evid. 2008; 2008: 2103.

Published online 2008 Jul 23.

PMCID: PMC2907971

PMID: 19445736

Carbon monoxide poisoning (acute)

Kent Olson, MD, FACEP, FACMT, FACCT, Medical Director and Clinical Professor of Medicine & Pharmacy[#]
and Craig Smollin, MD, Assistant Medical Director and Clinical Professor of Medicine[#]

Kent Olson, California Poison Control System, San Francisco Division and The University of California,
California, USA;

Contributor Information.

[#]Contributed equally.

CS and KO declare that they have no competing interests.

Copyright © BMJ Publishing Group Ltd, All Rights Reserved

Abstract

Introduction

Carbon monoxide is an odourless, colourless gas, and poisoning causes hypoxia, cell damage, and death. Exposure to carbon monoxide is measured either directly from blood samples and expressed as a percentage of carboxyhaemoglobin, or indirectly using the carbon monoxide in expired breath. Carboxyhaemoglobin percentage is the most frequently used biomarker of carbon monoxide exposure. Although the diagnosis of carbon monoxide poisoning can be confirmed by detecting elevated levels of carboxyhaemoglobin in the blood, the presence of clinical signs and symptoms after known exposure to carbon monoxide should not be ignored.

Methods and outcomes

We conducted a systematic review and aimed to answer the following clinical question: What are the effects of oxygen treatments for acute carbon monoxide poisoning? We searched: Medline, Embase, The Cochrane Library, and other important databases up to March 2007 (BMJ Clinical Evidence reviews are updated periodically; please check our website for the most up-to-date version of this review). We included harms alerts from relevant organisations such as the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA).

Results

We found 12 systematic reviews, RCTs, or observational studies that met our inclusion criteria. We performed a GRADE evaluation of the quality of evidence for interventions.

Conclusions

In this systematic review, we present information relating to the effectiveness and safety of the following interventions: 100% hyperbaric oxygen, oxygen 28%, and oxygen 100% by non-re-breather mask.

Key Points

The main symptoms of carbon monoxide poisoning are non-specific in nature and relate to effects on the brain and heart. The symptoms correlate poorly with serum carboxyhaemoglobin levels.

- People with comorbidity, the elderly or very young, and pregnant women are most susceptible.
- Carbon monoxide is produced by the incomplete combustion of carbon fuels, including inadequately ventilated heaters and car exhausts, or from chemicals such as methylene chloride paint stripper.
- Poisoning is considered to have occurred at carboxyhaemoglobin levels of over 10%, and severe poisoning is associated with levels over 20-25%, plus symptoms of severe cerebral or cardiac ischaemia. However, people living in areas of pollution may have levels of 5%, and heavy smokers can tolerate levels up to 15%.
- Severe poisoning can be fatal, and up to a third of survivors have delayed neurological sequelae.

Immediate care requires removal of the person from the source of carbon monoxide and giving oxygen through a non-re-breather mask.

- Normobaric 100% oxygen reduces the half-life of carboxyhaemoglobin and is considered to be effective, but studies proving benefit compared with air or lower concentrations of oxygen have not been identified, and would be unethical.
- Paramedics use 28% oxygen and is thought to be beneficial compared with air, but may be less effective than higher concentrations.
- We don't know what is the optimum duration of oxygen treatment, but it is usually continued for at least 6 hours, or until carboxyhaemoglobin levels fall below 5%.

We don't know whether hyperbaric oxygen is more effective than normobaric 100% oxygen at preventing neurological complications in people with mild-to-moderate or moderate-to-severe carbon monoxide poisoning.

- Clinical benefit of hyperbaric 100% oxygen may depend on the treatment regimen used.
- The possible benefits of hyperbaric oxygen for an individual need to be weighed against the hazards of a long journey by ambulance.

About this condition

Definition

Carbon monoxide is an odourless, colourless gas, and poisoning causes hypoxia, cell damage, and death. **Diagnosis of carbon monoxide poisoning:** Exposure to carbon monoxide is measured either directly from blood samples and expressed as a percentage of carboxyhaemoglobin, or indirectly using the carbon monoxide in expired breath. Carboxyhaemoglobin percentage is the most frequently used biomarker of carbon monoxide exposure. Although the diagnosis of carbon monoxide poisoning can be confirmed by detecting elevated levels of carboxyhaemoglobin in the blood, the presence of clinical signs and symptoms after known exposure to carbon monoxide should not be ignored. The signs and symptoms of carbon monoxide poisoning are mainly associated with the brain and heart, which are most sensitive to hypoxia. The symptoms of carbon monoxide poisoning are non-specific and varied, and include headache, fatigue, malaise, "trouble thinking", confusion, nausea, dizziness, visual disturbances, chest pain, shortness of breath, loss of consciousness, and seizures. In people suffering

from co-morbidities, symptoms such as shortness of breath or chest pain may be more evident. The classical signs of carbon monoxide poisoning — described as cherry-red lips, peripheral cyanosis, and retinal haemorrhages — are rarely seen. **Interpretation of carboxyhaemoglobin levels:** Non-smokers living away from urban areas have carboxyhaemoglobin levels of 0.4-1.0%, reflecting endogenous carbon monoxide production, whereas levels of up to 5% may be considered normal in a busy urban or industrial setting. Smokers are exposed to increased levels of carbon monoxide in cigarettes, and otherwise healthy heavy smokers can tolerate levels of carboxyhaemoglobin of up to 15%. The use of carboxyhaemoglobin percentage as a measure of severity of carbon monoxide poisoning, or to predict treatment options, is limited because carboxyhaemoglobin levels are affected by removal from the source of carbon monoxide and any oxygen treatment given before measurement of percentage carboxyhaemoglobin. Additionally, people with co-morbidities that make them more sensitive to the hypoxia associated with carbon monoxide can present with symptoms of poisoning at carboxyhaemoglobin levels that are either low or within the normal range. Attempts have been made in the literature to equate symptoms and signs to different carboxyhaemoglobin levels, but it is accepted that carboxyhaemoglobin levels in an acutely poisoned person only roughly correlate with clinical signs and symptoms, especially those relating to neurological function. Earlier studies attempted to differentiate between smokers and non-smokers. Attempts have also been made in the literature to divide carbon monoxide poisoning into mild, moderate, and severe based on carboxyhaemoglobin percentage levels and clinical symptoms, but there is no clear clinical consensus or agreement on this issue. The degrees of poisoning have been described as *mild carbon monoxide poisoning*: a carboxyhaemoglobin level of over 10% without clinical signs or symptoms of carbon monoxide poisoning; *moderate carbon monoxide poisoning*: a carboxyhaemoglobin level of over 10%, but under 20-25%, with minor clinical signs and symptoms of poisoning, such as headache, lethargy, or fatigue; and *severe carbon monoxide poisoning*: a carboxyhaemoglobin level of over 20-25%, loss of consciousness, and confusion or signs of cardiac ischaemia, or both. **Population:** For the purpose of this review, we have included adults presenting to healthcare professionals with suspected carbon monoxide poisoning. Although there is no clear consensus on this issue, most studies examining carbon monoxide poisoning and its management use a carboxyhaemoglobin level of 10% or more, or the presence of clinical signs and symptoms after known exposure to carbon monoxide, to be indicative of acute carbon monoxide poisoning. Unless otherwise stated, this definition of acute carbon monoxide poisoning has been used throughout this review. Where appropriate, the terms mild, moderate, or severe have been used to reflect the descriptions of populations in individual studies.

Incidence/ Prevalence

Carbon monoxide poisoning is considered to be one of the leading causes of death and injury worldwide, and is a major public health problem. In 2000, carbon monoxide was the recorded cause of 521 deaths (ICD 9-E986) in England and Wales compared with 1363 deaths recorded in 1985; a trend that has also been observed in the USA. Of the 521 deaths attributed to carbon monoxide poisoning, 148 were accidental and the remaining 373 the result of suicide or self-inflicted injury. Poisoning by carbon monoxide is almost certainly underdiagnosed because of the varied ways in which it can present, and it has been estimated that, in the USA, there are over 40,000 emergency department visits a year; many presenting with a flu-like illness. In 2003, 534 recorded medical episodes in English hospitals involved people suffering from the toxic effects of carbon monoxide. This may be a substantial underestimate if the US experience reflects the true morbidity associated with carbon monoxide poisoning. Studies in the USA have shown that the incidence of accidental carbon monoxide poisoning peaks during the winter months, and is associated with increased use of indoor heating and petrol powered generators, and reduced external ventilation. This seasonal rise in numbers coincides with the annual increase in influenza notifications, and given the similarity in symptoms, many cases of mild carbon monoxide poisoning are probably misdiagnosed.

Aetiology/ Risk factors

People at high risk: People who are most at risk from carbon monoxide poisoning include those with CHD, CVD, or anaemia; pregnant women and their fetus; infants; and the elderly. In people with CHD, experimentally induced blood carboxyhaemoglobin levels of 4.5% shorten the period of exercise before the onset of anginal pain, and the duration of pain is prolonged. In people with anaemia, the oxygen-carrying capacity of the blood is already compromised and therefore they will be more sensitive to carbon monoxide. The elderly are at risk because of existing co-morbidities, such as heart disease or respiratory disease, and because of a reduced compensatory response to hypoxic situations. During pregnancy, a woman's oxygen-carrying capacity is reduced because of an increased endogenous carbon monoxide production and additional endogenous carbon monoxide from the developing fetus, leading to an increased carboxyhaemoglobin concentration. A higher ventilation rate during pregnancy will lead to increased uptake of carbon monoxide at any given carbon monoxide concentration. The fetus is also at risk, and there have been occasional fetal deaths in non-fatal maternal exposures. In the developing fetus, oxygen is released at a lower oxygen partial pressure, and fetal haemoglobin binds with carbon monoxide more quickly compared with adults. Carbon monoxide may be a teratogen where there is a significant increase in maternal carboxyhaemoglobin or where there is moderate-to-severe maternal toxicity. Infants may be more susceptible to the effects of carbon monoxide because of their greater oxygen consumption in relation to adults, and their response and symptoms are more variable. There are recorded instances of children travelling in the same car and having varying symptoms with similar carboxyhaemoglobin levels, or widely varying carboxyhaemoglobin levels with similar carbon monoxide exposure. **Sources of carbon monoxide:** Carbon monoxide is produced by the incomplete combustion of carbon containing fuel, such as gas (domestic or bottled), charcoal, coke, oil, and wood. Potential sources include: gas stoves, fires, and boilers; gas-powered water heaters; car exhaust fumes; charcoal barbecues; paraffin heaters; solid fuel-powered stoves; boilers; and room heaters that are faulty or inadequately ventilated. An overlooked source of carbon monoxide is methylene chloride in some paint strippers and sprays. Methylene chloride is readily absorbed through the skin and lungs and, once in the liver, is converted to carbon monoxide. Methylene chloride is stored in body tissues and released gradually; the carbon monoxide elimination half-life in people exposed to methylene chloride is more than twice that of inhaled carbon monoxide. Natural background levels of carbon monoxide in the outdoor environment range from 0.01-0.23 mg/m³ (0.009-0.2 ppm), but, in urban traffic in the UK, the 8 hour mean concentrations are higher at about 20 mg/m³ (17.5 ppm); exposure to this level for prolonged periods could result in a carboxyhaemoglobin level of about 3%.

Prognosis

Prognosis data in carbon monoxide poisoning are inconclusive and contradictory. However, there is general agreement that outcome and prognosis are related to the level of carbon monoxide that a person is exposed to, the duration of exposure, and the presence of underlying risk factors. A poor outcome is predicted by lengthy carbon monoxide exposure, loss of consciousness, and advancing age. In addition, hypotension and cardiac arrest independently predict permanent disability and death. After acute carbon monoxide poisoning the organs most sensitive to hypoxia (the brain and heart) will be most affected. Pre-existing co-morbidities that affect these organs will, to an extent, influence the clinical presentation and the prognosis; an individual with pre-existing heart disease may present with myocardial ischaemia that could lead to infarction and death. The prognosis for people resuscitated after experiencing cardiac arrest with carbon monoxide poisoning is poor. In a small retrospective study, 18 people with carboxyhaemoglobin levels of $31.7 \pm 11.0\%$ given hyperbaric oxygen after resuscitation post-cardiac arrest all died. The effects on the brain are more subtle, given that different sections of the brain are more sensitive to hypoxic insults, either as a consequence of reduced oxygen delivery, or by direct effects on intracellular metabolism. Therefore, in addition to the acute neurological sequelae leading to loss of consciousness, coma, and death, neurological sequelae, such as

poor concentration and memory problems, may be apparent in people recovering from carbon monoxide poisoning (persistent neurological sequelae) or develop after a period of apparent normality (delayed neurological sequelae). Delayed neurological sequelae develop between 2 and 240 days after exposure, and are reported to affect 10-32% of people recovering from carbon monoxide poisoning. Symptoms include cognitive changes, personality changes, incontinence, psychosis, and Parkinsonism. Fortunately, 50-75% of people recover within 1 year.

Aims of intervention

To reduce mortality, normalise carboxyhaemoglobin levels, alleviate symptoms, reduce the incidence of delayed neuropsychological sequelae, and reduce cardiovascular morbidity.

Outcomes

Improve conscious levels and cardiovascular parameters; limit neurological sequelae; reduce mortality, hyperoxic seizures, barotrauma associated with hyperbaric oxygen, and serum carboxyhaemoglobin levels.

Methods

BMJ Clinical Evidence search and appraisal March 2007. The following databases were used to identify studies for this review: Medline 1966 to March 2007, Embase 1980 to March 2007, and The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Clinical Trials 2007, Issue 1. Additional searches were carried out using these websites: NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) — for Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE) and Health Technology Assessment (HTA), Turning Research into Practice (TRIP), and NICE. Abstracts of the studies retrieved from the initial search were assessed by an information specialist. Selected studies were then sent to the contributor for additional assessment, using predetermined criteria to identify relevant studies. Study design criteria for inclusion in this review were: for hyperbaric oxygen, only published systematic reviews and RCTs in any language, and containing any number individuals; for interventions other than hyperbaric oxygen, published systematic reviews and RCTs and observational studies in any language, and containing any number individuals. There was no minimum length or follow-up loss required to include studies. We included studies described as "blinded", "open", or "open label". We also did a search for cohort studies on specific harms of named interventions. Studies where the population consisted wholly of children or adolescents have been excluded. Studies and trials were considered in a hierarchical manner with systematic reviews of RCTs being considered as most robust evidence and anecdote the least robust. In the event of no systematic reviews or RCTs being available, observational study data were considered, but only included where it was considered unethical or impractical to conduct an RCT. In addition, we use a regular surveillance protocol to capture harms alerts from organisations such as the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA), which are added to the reviews as required. We have performed a GRADE evaluation of the quality of evidence for interventions included in this review (see [table](#)).

Exhibit 4

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN

U.S.W.G.O.

MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

1. Cunha BA, Gill MV, Lazar J. Acute infective endocarditis. *Infect Dis Clin North Am.* 1996;10:811–834.
2. Saccente M, Cobbs CG. Clinical approach to infective endocarditis. *Cardiol Clin.* 1996;14:351–362.
3. Reynolds HR, Jagen MA, Tunick PA, Kronzon I. Sensitivity of transthoracic versus transesophageal echocardiography for the detection of native valve vegetations in the modern era. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* 2003;16:67–70.
4. Erbel R, Rohmann S, Drexler M, et al. Improved diagnostic value of echocardiography in patients with infective endocarditis by transesophageal approach: a prospective study. *Eur Heart J.* 1988;9:43–53.
5. McAfee JG, Samin A. In-111-labeled leukocytes: a review of problems in image interpretation. *Radiology.* 1985;155:221–229.
6. Borst U, Becker W, Maisch B, et al. Clinical and prognostic effect of a positive granulocyte scan in infective endocarditis. *Clin Nucl Med.* 1993;18:35–39.
7. Riba AL, Thakur ML, Gottschalk A, et al. Imaging experimental infective endocarditis with Indium-111-labeled blood cellular elements. *Circulation.* 1979;59:336–343.
8. Melvin ET, Berger M, Lutzker LG, et al. Noninvasive methods for detection of valve vegetations in infective endocarditis. *Am J Cardiol.* 1981;47:271–277.
9. McDougall IR, Baumer JE, Lantieri RL. Evaluation of 111In leukocyte whole body scanning. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 1979;133:849–854.
10. Rubin RJ, Harrington CA, Poon A, et al. The economic impact of *Staphylococcus aureus* infection in New York City hospitals. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 1999;5:9–17.

TRANSIENT CARDIAC DYSFUNCTION IN ACUTE CARBON MONOXIDE POISONING

To the Editor:

Carbon monoxide inhalation is a leading cause of poison-related morbidity and mortality in the United States (1). We report a patient with reversible right bundle branch block and severe cardiac dysfunction. This case describing a transient cardiac conduction block compliments those reported by others (2).

A 49-year-old woman was found in an idling automobile in a closed ga-

rage. First responders arrived within 30 minutes and found her to have sinus tachycardia with a heart rate of 100 beats per minute, systolic blood pressure of 80 mm Hg, respiratory rate of 8 breaths per minute with room air oxygen saturation of 85%, and a Glasgow Coma Scale of 6. The patient was intubated, given intravenous crystalloid, and transported to a community hospital.

On arrival, the patient had a carboxyhemoglobin level of 35%, a lactate level of 5.0 mmol/L (45.5 mg/dL), and a negative toxicology screen. The electrocardiogram (ECG) showed sinus tachycardia. She was treated with intravenous crystalloid followed by dopamine for hypotension, and then flown to a level 1 trauma center and treated with hyperbaric oxygen therapy (3).

At this facility, her carboxyhemoglobin level was 5.7%, creatine kinase level was 3161 mg/dL, and creatine kinase-MB level was 30.7 mg/dL with a creatine kinase-MB/creatinine ratio of 1%. Troponin I level was 2.6 ng/mL. Chest radiograph showed bilateral lower lobe infiltrates. Dopamine was changed to norepinephrine because of refractory hypotension. An ECG demonstrated a new right bundle branch block (Figure 1, left). Troponin I levels peaked at 23.4 ng/mL on hospital day 2. The patient had no history of coronary artery disease and had no associated risk factors. An echocardiogram on hospital day 2 demonstrated a left ventricular ejection fraction of 20% with global hypokinesis and moderately reduced right ventricular function. The right bundle branch block resolved by hospital day 3 (Figure 1, right). Norepinephrine was discontinued on hospital day 3. A repeat echocardiogram on hospital day 4 demonstrated a left ventricular ejection fraction of 40% with mild global hypokinesis and normal right ventricular function. A myocardial perfusion scan on hospital day 8 demonstrated no evidence of ischemia or infarct and a left ventric-

ular ejection fraction of 70% (Figure 2).

Neurologically the patient improved. She was extubated on day 6, and the Glasgow Coma Scale was 14. She exhibited cognitive dysfunction and balance problems. She was in rehabilitation from day 8 to 15 and was then discharged to an inpatient psychiatry unit. At 6 months, cognitive testing showed moderate depression and only subtle cognitive impairments.

This patient developed a reversible cardiac conduction block and severe, reversible left ventricular dysfunction. This case compliments prior reports of reversible left ventricular dysfunction with carbon monoxide inhalation (2). Reversible intraventricular cardiac conduction delays in carbon monoxide poisoning have been described in 2 cases (4), neither of which involved complete bundle branch block.

The patient had elevated troponin I levels suggesting cardiac myonecrosis. Prior reports of carbon monoxide poisoning suggest that cardiac toxicity is likely caused by acute, generalized tissue hypoxia and toxic effects on myocardial mitochondria (5). Throughout the patient's hospitalization, she had no ischemic ECG findings, such as ST-T abnormalities or Q waves. The patient's lack of known coronary artery disease and absence of risk factors, and normal follow-up nuclear perfusion study, suggest that her reversible cardiac dysfunction was due to acute carbon monoxide poisoning and not vascular obstruction, which agrees with a case report of acute myocardial infarction following carbon monoxide poisoning and subsequent normal coronary angiography (5).

The patient had cardiogenic shock requiring norepinephrine. Others have also reported reversible and persistent right and left ventricular dysfunction following acute carbon monoxide poisoning (4). It is uncertain how myocardial damage from carbon monoxide poisoning is differ-




Figure 1. Electrocardiogram of patient on arrival at the tertiary care center (left) and on hospital day 3 (right). The right bundle branch block resolved following hyperbaric treatments with the QRS narrowing from 130 to 90 ms.

ent from acute coronary arterial ischemia, and why the ventricular dysfunction may be reversible. Myocardial stunning may be responsible. Also, carbon monoxide poisoning causes oxidative stress (1), which could reduce nitric oxide levels, causing the heart to become stiffer (6), as well as causing direct myocardial injury. In addition to enhancing patient care, further understanding of the reversibility of carbon monoxide-induced myocardial dysfunction is important because carbon monoxide-poisoned patients are a potentially underutilized source of heart donors for transplantation (7).

Along with substantial troponin I elevation and reversible echocardiographic changes, the patient also

demonstrated a transient right bundle branch block. The reversible nature of her conduction disease could be consistent with acute carbon monoxide-induced myocardial stunning (8). ECG abnormalities have been described before in cases of carbon monoxide poisoning, including ST-segment and T-wave abnormalities, premature atrial and ventricular contractions, and atrial and ventricular fibrillation. We also discovered 2 other cases describing intraventricular conduction delays, but neither demonstrated a reversible or persistent right bundle branch block (9).

Myocardial injury with transiently abnormal ventricular function and electrical conduction can result from

acute carbon monoxide poisoning, yet have a favorable cardiac outcome.

David L. Chamberland, MD
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, Utah

Brent D. Wilson, MD, PhD
University of Utah Medical Center
Salt Lake City, Utah

Lindell K. Weaver, MD
LDS Hospital,
University of Utah School of Medicine
Salt Lake City, Utah

1. Ernst A, Zibrak JD. Carbon monoxide poisoning. *N Engl J Med.* 1998;339:1603-1608.
2. McMeekin JD, Finegan BA. Reversible myocardial dysfunction following carbon monoxide poisoning. *Can J Cardiol.* 1987;3:118-121.
3. Weaver LK, Hopkins RO, Chan KJ, et al. Hyperbaric oxygen for acute carbon mon-




Figure 2. Dual isotope nuclear medicine perfusion study. Noninvasive stress imaging demonstrated no reversible or fixed perfusion defects and a normal left ventricular ejection fraction of 70%.

Exhibit 5

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN



MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Acute Disease

journal homepage: www.jadweb.org

Toxicology <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joad.2015.06.009>

Detection of neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio as a serum marker associated with inflammations by acute carbon monoxide poisoning

Mustafa Karabacak¹, Kenan Ahmet Turkdogan^{2*}, Abuzer Coskun³, Orhan Akpınar⁴, Ali Duman², Mücahit Kapci², Sevki Hakan Eren³, Pınar Karabacak⁵

¹Isparta State Hospital, Department of Cardiology, Isparta, Turkey

²Adnan Menderes University, Department of Emergency Medicine, Aydın, Turkey

³Cumhuriyet University, Department of Emergency Medicine, Sivas, Turkey

⁴Süleyman Demirel University, Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Isparta, Turkey

⁵Süleyman Demirel University, Department of Anesthesia and Reanimation, Medical Faculty, Isparta, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 2 Jun 2015

Received in revised form 11 Jun 2015

Accepted 15 Jun 2015

Available online 29 Jul 2015

Keywords:

Carbon monoxide

Poisoning

Neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio

White blood cell

ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio (NLR), which is an indicator of systemic inflammation, in patients with carbon monoxide (CO) poisoning.

Methods: We included 528 patients (275 women) who presented with a diagnosis of CO poisoning between June 2009 and March 2014. Control group was composed of 54 patients (24 women). Platelet count and mean platelet volume level were significantly higher in the CO poisoning group.

Results: White blood cell level (9.8 ± 3.3 vs. $8.6 \pm 2.9 \times 10^3/\text{mL}$, respectively; $P = 0.01$), neutrophil count (6.00 ± 2.29 vs. $4.43 \pm 2.04 \times 10^3/\text{mL}$, respectively; $P < 0.01$) and NLR (3.01 ± 2.34 vs. 2.23 ± 1.27 , respectively; $P = 0.02$) were significantly higher in CO poisoning group.

Conclusions: The increase of NLR may indicate the progression of fatal complications due to CO poisoning.

1. Introduction

Carbon monoxide (CO) is a toxic gas that consists of one carbon and one oxygen atoms linked by two covalent bonds and one dative covalent bond, with no unpaired electrons^[1]. CO poisonings are frequent and can lead to high morbidity and mortality, involving multiple organ systems and undetected CO exposure can be fatal^[2]. Neurologic and cardiovascular complications are common. Unfortunately, symptoms are often non-specific and are frequently overlooked^[3].

The neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is easy, cheap, noninvasive, and widely available laboratory marker of systemic inflammation. Recently, it gained increased interest due to its role as an independent prognostic factor for many conditions

such as uncontrolled hypertension, diabetes mellitus, acute coronary syndromes, valvular heart disease, congenital heart disease, renal or hepatic dysfunction, malignancy, local or systemic infection, and some other inflammatory diseases^[4,5]. Whereas high neutrophil counts reflect inflammation, low lymphocyte counts reflect poor general health and physiologic stress^[6]. NLR combines these two independent markers of inflammation^[7]. In several studies, it has been shown that NLR is an indicator of systemic inflammation^[8].

The important role of leukocytes in the pathophysiology of fatal complications due to CO poisoning has been shown in previous studies^[9,10]. Moreover, Thom *et al.* report that leukocyte sequestration increases significantly in brain microvasculature following exposure to CO^[10]. We speculated that systemic inflammation might play a role in etiopathogenesis of acute CO poisoning. To the best of our knowledge, there is no study investigating the NLR in patients with acute CO poisoning. Therefore, we aimed to investigate NLR as an inflammation marker in patients with CO poisoning.

*Corresponding author: Kenan Ahmet Turkdogan, Adnan Menderes University, Department of Emergency Medicine, 09100, Aydın, Turkey.

Tel: +90 5324833881

E-mail: drturkdogan@gmail.com

Peer review under responsibility of Hainan Medical College.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Patient and methods

There were 569 patients who presented with a diagnosis of CO poisoning to the Emergency Department of Cumhuriyet University School of Medicine, Adnan Menderes University School of Medicine and Isparta State Hospital between June 2009 and March 2014. However, 41 patients were excluded from the study because of exclusion criteria and laboratory mistakes. Finally, the remaining 528 patients (253 male, 275 female; mean age 34 ± 20 years) were included in the study. An age, sex-matched control group was composed of 54 patients (24 women, 30 men with a mean age 39 ± 12 years). The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee and all patients gave their informed consent.

Exclusion criteria were history of acute or chronic renal and liver disease, atherosclerotic heart diseases, heart failure, valvular heart disease, peripheral arterial disease, obesity, diabetes mellitus, history of malignancy, chronic hematological diseases, acute or chronic inflammatory disease, autoimmune disease, drug use affecting NLR. Additionally, patients who were referred to the emergency department because of the need of urgent hyperbaric oxygen treatment and diagnosed died in the emergency department excluded from the study.

Cases were selected from Cumhuriyet University, Adnan Menderes University and Isparta State Hospital between June 2009 and March 2014 database including all patients admitted with diagnosis of CO poisoning. Patients' demographics and medical history including age, gender were abstracted from medical records. Blood samples were withdrawn to determine routine biochemical markers and blood cell analyses. Generally, the blood of patients admitted to the emergency department with the diagnosis of CO poisoning are studied within 15 min. Mean platelet volume (MPV) and other blood samples for platelet indices measurement collected in dipotassium ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) tubes and analyzed with a same automatic blood counter (Beckman Coulter).

2.2. Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with the SPSS software version 15.0 for Windows. Continuous variables from the study groups were reported as mean \pm SD. To compare continuous variables, the student's *t*-test or Mann-Whitney U test were used wherein appropriate. Categorical variables were compared with the χ^2 test. Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated to evaluate relationships between variables. A *P* value less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

3. Results

The study group consisted of 528 patients with CO poisoning from stove (275 women, 253 men, mean age 34 ± 20 years). There were 54 patients (24 women, 30 men, mean age 39 ± 12 years) in control group. Patients most commonly admitted between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m. (52 patients). The most common admission to the emergency room with the diagnosis of CO poisoning was at December (176 patients, 30.2%).

There was no statistically significant differences between two groups with respect to age ($P = 0.14$) and gender ($P = 0.31$)

Table 1

Demographic, biochemical characteristics of CO poisoning and control groups.

Characteristics	CO poisoning group (n = 528)	Control group (n = 54)	P
Mean age (year)	34 ± 20	39 ± 12	0.14
Male/Female	253/275	30/24	0.31
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.92 ± 0.22	0.98 ± 0.30	0.07
Sodium (mg/L)	137 ± 3	138 ± 3	0.06
K (mg/L)	4.0 ± 0.5	4.1 ± 0.4	0.45
AST (U/L)	27 ± 25	27 ± 15	0.87
ALT (U/L)	22 ± 16	27 ± 23	0.04
Ca (mg/L)	9.2 ± 0.5	9.4 ± 0.6	0.17
ALP (IU/L)	96 ± 59	42 ± 8	< 0.01
LDH (IU/L)	159 ± 76	76 ± 14	< 0.01

Table 2

Hematologic characteristics of CO poisoning and control groups.

Characteristics	CO group (n = 528)	Control group (n = 54)	P
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	13.70 ± 1.70	12.90 ± 1.10	< 0.01
WBC $\times 10^3$ /mL	9.80 ± 3.30	8.60 ± 2.90	0.01
Platelet $\times 10^3$ /mm ³	275.00 ± 80.00	253.00 ± 64.00	0.04
MPV (fl)	8.60 ± 1.40	8.00 ± 0.70	< 0.01
Neutrophils $\times 10^3$ /mL	6.00 ± 2.29	4.43 ± 2.04	< 0.01
Lymphocytes $\times 10^3$ /mL	2.76 ± 1.60	2.43 ± 1.21	0.15
NLR	3.01 ± 2.34	2.23 ± 1.27	0.02

(Table 1). Aspartate transaminase, potassium, calcium and lymphocytes levels (2.76 ± 1.60 vs. 2.43 ± 1.21 , respectively; $P = 0.15$) were comparable between CO poisoning group and control group (Table 1). Creatinine ($P = 0.07$) and sodium ($P = 0.06$) levels were slightly significant in both groups. Alanine transaminase level was higher in control group (22 ± 16 vs. 27 ± 23 , respectively; $P = 0.04$). Alkaline phosphatase (96 ± 59 vs. 42 ± 8 IU/L, respectively; $P < 0.01$) and lactate dehydrogenase (159 ± 76 vs. 76 ± 14 IU/L, respectively; $P < 0.01$) were significantly higher in CO poisoning group compared with control group (Table 1). Similarly, hemoglobin level (13.7 ± 1.7 vs. 12.9 ± 1.1 g/dL, respectively; $P < 0.01$) and platelet counts (275 ± 80 vs. $253 \pm 64 \times 10^9$, respectively; $P = 0.04$) were higher in CO poisoning group (Table 2). Moreover, MPV level was significantly higher in CO group (8.6 ± 1.4 vs. 8.0 ± 0.7 , respectively; $P < 0.01$).

The markers associated with inflammations; white blood cell (WBC) level (9.8 ± 3.3 vs. $8.6 \pm 2.9 \times 10^3$ /mL, respectively; $P = 0.01$) and neutrophil count (6.00 ± 2.29 vs. $4.43 \pm 2.04 \times 10^3$ /mL, respectively; $P < 0.01$) were significantly higher in CO poisoning group than control group. Moreover, NLR was also significantly higher in CO poisoning group (3.01 ± 2.34 vs. 2.23 ± 1.27 , respectively; $P = 0.02$) (Table 2).

In correlation analysis, the NLR was positively correlated with neutrophil count ($P < 0.01$, $r = 0.69$) and WBC level ($P < 0.01$, $r = 0.35$). Unlikely, it was negatively correlated with lymphocyte count ($P < 0.01$, $r = 0.56$).

4. Discussion

In the present study, we examined indices associated with inflammation in patients with acute CO poisoning. We found that WBC, MPV, neutrophil count and NLR were significantly

higher in patients with CO poisoning. More importantly, NLR was positively correlated with neutrophil count, WBC level.

The NLR is easy, cheap, noninvasive, and widely available laboratory marker of systemic inflammation. Recently, it gained increased interest due to its role as an independent prognostic factor for many conditions such as uncontrolled hypertension, diabetes mellitus, acute coronary syndromes, valvular heart disease, congenital heart disease, renal or hepatic dysfunction, malignancy, local or systemic infection, and some other inflammatory diseases^[4,5]. The NLR is a combination of 2 independent markers of inflammation: neutrophils, as a marker of ongoing nonspecific inflammation, and lymphocytes, as a marker of the regulatory pathway^[11]. The combination of these 2 markers, the NLR, has proved to be a powerful simple marker of inflammation^[12].

Several mechanisms have been postulated in the pathophysiological mechanisms of CO poisoning. As regards the specific mechanisms, CO is capable to bind to the heme group of myoglobin with an affinity of 60-times greater than that of oxygen, thus reducing the oxygen supply to the mitochondria, impairing the oxidative phosphorylation and deteriorating the energy source of myocardium^[13]. CO is also directly toxic for mitochondria, through impairment of mitochondrial respiratory chain at the cytochrome *c* oxidase level^[14]. This binding of CO to the hemoglobin molecule causes alterations in the hemoglobin molecule, preventing oxygen from being released easily, which causes a reduction in oxygen delivery to the tissues, resulting in tissue hypoxia^[15]. Thus, neurological and cardiovascular manifestations are observed^[16]. To the best of our knowledge, there is no study directly investigating the inflammation marker on acute complications due to CO poisoning. The pathophysiologic mechanisms underlying these complications are still not fully understood. One of these mechanisms is that CO poisoning activates nitric oxide and other oxygen free radicals^[17]. Oxygen free radicals can affect blood flow, contributing to endothelial damage^[18]. It is postulated that this oxidative injury is mediated largely by leukocytes. Moreover, in rats made leukopenic, lipid peroxidation is inhibited following CO poisoning. Leukocyte sequestration increases significantly in brain microvasculature following exposure to CO^[10]. The generation of oxygen radicals during reperfusion has been implicated as the major component of post-ischemic brain injury^[9]. In previous studies, it has shown that neutrophils play a role in CO-mediated brain injuries in CO poisoning^[10,19]. In present study, the number of circulating neutrophil was significantly higher in CO poisoning group. However, lymphocyte count was comparable in both groups.

A second mechanism, increased thrombotic tendency has been reported in patients with CO poisoning^[20,21]. Thom *et al.* shown that acute CO poisoning causes intravascular neutrophil activation due to interactions with platelets^[22]. Similarly, we found that platelet and MPV level were significantly higher in CO poisoning patients. We anticipate that the results of our study will indirectly support that study. Nevertheless, we did not know the exact cause of increased NLR in patients with CO poisoning. Further prospective studies are needed to establish the pathophysiological and clinical significance of increased NLR in patients with CO poisoning.

There are several limitations in this study. Firstly, this study was conducted on a retrospective basis and represented only three-center experience. Secondly, our analysis was based on a

simple baseline determination at a single time point that may not reflect patient status over long periods. Thirdly, evaluation of MPV within 30 min is recommended when blood sample is collected in EDTA tube. In our study, although it is retrospective, blood samples of patients admitted with the diagnosis of CO poisoning to the emergency department are usually studied within 15 min. Finally, our study population may be small. Accordingly, it may limit the statistical power of the study.

In conclusion, we found that the NLR significantly elevated in patients with CO poisoning. We suggested that systemic inflammation may be effective in the development of complications due to CO poisoning. Moreover, the increase of NLR may indicate the progression of complications due to CO poisoning. Therefore, anti-inflammatory drug usage in acute CO poisoning might be reasonable.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors report no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Turkdogan KA, Karabacak M, Kapci M, Akpınar O. Rare cause of acute myocardial infarction; carbon monoxide poisoning. *Acta Medica Mediterr* 2014; **30**: 743-5.
- [2] Alharbi Z, Grieb G, Pallua N. Carbon monoxide intoxication in burns. In: McLaughlin ES, Paterson AO, editors. *Burns: prevention, causes and treatment*. New York: Nova Science Publishers; 2012, p. 171-80.
- [3] Weaver LK. Clinical practice. Carbon monoxide poisoning. *N Engl J Med* 2009; **360**(12): 1217-25.
- [4] Baltas S, Demirkol S, Cakar M, Arslan Z, Unlu M, Celik T. Other inflammatory markers should not be forgotten when assessing the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost* 2013; **19**(6): 693-4.
- [5] Sahin DY, Elbasan Z, Gur M, Yildiz A, Akpınar O, Icen YK, et al. Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio is associated with the severity of coronary artery disease in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Angiology* 2013; **64**(6): 423-9.
- [6] Gibson PH, Cuthbertson BH, Croal BL, Rae D, El-Shafei H, Gibson G, et al. Usefulness of neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio as predictor of new-onset atrial fibrillation after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Am J Cardiol* 2010; **105**(2): 186-91.
- [7] Shen XH, Chen Q, Shi Y, Li HW. Association of neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio with long-term mortality after ST elevation myocardial infarction treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 2010; **123**(23): 3438-43.
- [8] Kaya MG, Akpek M, Elcik D, Kalay N, Yarlioglu M, Koc F, et al. Relation of left atrial spontaneous echocardiographic contrast in patients with mitral stenosis to inflammatory markers. *Am J Cardiol* 2012; **109**(6): 851-5.
- [9] Thom SR, Bhopale VM, Han ST, Clark JM, Hardy KR. Intravascular neutrophil activation due to carbon monoxide poisoning. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006; **174**(11): 1239-48.
- [10] Thom SR. Leukocytes in carbon monoxide-mediated brain oxidative injury. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 1993; **123**(2): 234-47.
- [11] Núñez J, Núñez E, Bodí V, Sanchis J, Miñana G, Mainar L, et al. Usefulness of the neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio in predicting long-term mortality in ST segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 2008; **101**(6): 747-52.
- [12] Home BD, Anderson JL, John JM, Weaver A, Bair TL, Jensen KR, et al. Which white blood cell subtypes predict increased cardiovascular risk? *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005; **45**(10): 1638-43.
- [13] Prockop LD, Chichkova RI. Carbon monoxide intoxication: an updated review. *J Neurol Sci* 2007; **262**(1-2): 122-30.
- [14] Alonso JR, Cardellach F, López S, Casademont J, Miró O. Carbon monoxide specifically inhibits cytochrome c oxidase of human

- mitochondrial respiratory chain. *Pharmacol Toxicol* 2003; **93**(3): 142-6.
- [15] Ernst A, Zibrak JD. Carbon monoxide poisoning. *N Engl J Med* 1998; **339**(22): 1603-8.
- [16] Olson KR. Carbon monoxide poisoning: mechanisms, presentation, and controversies in management. *J Emerg Med* 1984; **1**(3): 233-43.
- [17] Ruth-Sahd LA, Zulkosky K, Fetter ME. Carbon monoxide poisoning: case studies and review. *Dimens Crit Care Nurs* 2011; **30**(6): 303-14.
- [18] Felner JM, Churchwell AL, Murphy DA. Right atrial thromboemboli: clinical, echocardiographic and pathophysiologic manifestations. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1984; **4**(5): 1041-51.
- [19] Ischiropoulos H, Beers MF, Ohnishi ST, Fisher D, Garner SE, Thom SR. Nitric oxide production and perivascular tyrosine nitration in brain following carbon monoxide poisoning in the rat. *J Clin Invest* 1996; **97**(10): 2260-7.
- [20] Marius-Nunez AL. Myocardial infarction with normal coronary arteries after acute exposure to carbon monoxide. *Chest* 1990; **97**(2): 491-4.
- [21] Karabacak M, Varol E, Turkdogan KA, Duman A, Akpınar O, Karabacak P. Mean platelet volume in patients with carbon monoxide poisoning. *Angiology* 2014; **65**(3): 252-6.
- [22] Thom SR, Fisher D, Manevich Y. Roles for platelet-activating factor and *NO-derived oxidants causing neutrophil adherence after CO poisoning. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2001; **281**(2): H923-30.

Exhibit 6

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN


U.S.W.G.O.

MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR1900009-00

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA



The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH)

Promoting productive workplaces
through safety and health research 

Controlling Carbon Monoxide Hazard in Aircraft Refueling Operations

DHHS (NIOSH) Publication Number 84-106

February 1984

Investigators from the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) conducted an evaluation of the occupational health hazards to workers who fuel jet aircraft. During the investigation, we learned that two workers had died in or near their refueling vehicles. Although carbon monoxide (CO) poisoning was not suspected at the time of the deaths, a combination of the unusual location of the engine exhaust (under the front bumper), the deterioration of rubber seals (boots) around the gear shift lever and the pedals, and the fact that the workers spend a considerable amount of time sitting in idling vehicles (especially during poor weather), led us to measure CO levels in the truck cabs. Dangerous concentrations of CO were found. The company involved instituted maintenance procedures and work practice rules requiring that the windows be kept open whenever the truck is occupied. However, recent spot checks suggest that many operators of airport refueling services are unaware of the risk, and therefore have not taken precautions to prevent dangerous concentrations of CO.

Carbon monoxide is a colorless, odorless gas which limits the ability of the blood to carry oxygen to the tissues. Symptoms of acute CO poisoning include headaches, rapid breathing, nausea, weakness, dizziness, confusion, hallucinations, and discoloration of the lips or nail beds. If the exposure level is high, loss of consciousness may occur without other symptoms. Death may result from depression of the functions of the brain, or if there is underlying coronary artery disease, from heart attack. Because CO remains in the blood for several days, there may be a gradual increase in body levels of CO over the course of a work week. Effects of chronic exposure are not completely known.

The combination of methods used to control CO exposure may vary from one location to another, and care must be taken to assure that the principles and laws of fire safety are not violated; some recommendations by NIOSH for controlling dangers of CO are listed below.

1. To minimize generation of CO, trucks should be converted to electric or diesel power. While generating less CO, the stronger odor of diesel exhaust also provides better warning properties than does the odor of gasoline exhaust.
2. Refueling trucks should be maintained so that entry of CO from beneath the cab is prevented. Rubber boots around pedals and levers should be intact, with tight fittings; grommets in holes through the firewall should fit snugly; rust holes in the floor pans or elsewhere should be closed; heater and fresh air intakes should be remote from the exhaust discharge; and exhaust systems should be checked regularly and tightened or replaced whenever leaks are suspected.
3. Engines should be well-tuned since proper fuel-to-air ratios will reduce the amount of CO produced.
4. There should be installed in the cab a continuous CO monitor with alarm to warn the operator before the concentration of CO becomes dangerous.
5. Workers should be provided access to waiting areas, which are as comfortable as the truck cabs; they should be required to vacate the cabs when not engaged in operating the vehicle.
6. Workers engaged in fueling operations should be encouraged to refrain from smoking because smoking elevates blood levels of CO enough to reduce margins of safety.
7. Interim work rules requiring that windows be kept open whenever the cab is occupied, and that vehicles be parked with the exhaust downwind from the air intake, while prudent, cannot be relied upon as long-term solutions. Under some circumstances, for example, CO concentrations could be higher with windows open, and positioning of the truck may be restricted by aircraft parking arrangements. Wiring the ventilation fan to operate whenever the engine is running, will usually build a positive pressure in a closed cab and minimize seepage-in of CO; however, in some circumstances such an arrangement might actually draw CO into the vehicle.

We are requesting the assistance of airport managers and editors of appropriate trade journals in bringing this information to the attention of fueling service operators. Oil companies may, through their routine inspection services provided to operators, be especially effective in controlling the risk.

Suggestions, requests for information on control practices, or questions related to this announcement, should be directed to the Division of Standards Development and Technology Transfer, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, 4676 Columbia Parkway, Cincinnati, Ohio 45226, telephone (513) 684-8302.

We greatly appreciate your assistance.

[signature]

J. Donald Millar, M.D., D.T.P.H. (Lond.)

Assistant Surgeon General

Director, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health

Centers for Disease Control

Page last reviewed: June 6, 2014

Exhibit 7

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN

U.S.W.G.O.

MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

NASHVILLE TN 370


23 DEC 2017 PM 2 1



Roberta Hill
310 Forest St.
Martinsville, VA
24112



MEMPHIS, TENN
15 FEB 20 1961



Roberta Pitt
Box 1000
Martinsville, Virginia
24101

MEMPHIS, TENN
15 FEB 20 1961



Roberta R. Hill
310 Forest Street
Martinsville, Virginia 24112




Robert A Hill
310 Forest St
Martinsville, VA 24112



To wish you
a blessed Christmas
and a happy new year.

Hebrews 13:2

"Be not forgetful to entertain strangers;
for thereby some have entertained angels
unaware."



18681832




416 84703 2

5237274

FMTY80755-00D

2, LLC MADE IN U.S.A.






*Now that
your operation is over,
I hope you're
taking it easy
and concentrating
on getting well...*

I'll be thinking of you
warmly and often...
and always with a wish
that you're doing better
every day.

It's time to stop all
the nonsense and to
WAKE UP! Sickness
is horrible.
Jay



*Adopt the pace of nature:
her secret is patience.*

Emerson

about to

beginning

Coming about
how you're doing,
and thinking
of you often

US at the
Esoteric
Collection: 2018

WE visit through a collective meeting in geographic Nashville's Parthenon Esoteric circle to grant information.

WE've been requested to address YOU, and all others off track. You have an energy blockage in your physical being which allows to enter dark entities with a mission of doing Earth's humanity harm. They are intently power thirsty.

Our directive is to grant crystal clear information; to correct the fallacies those entities have encouraged you to broadcast to public. In public manner per your cloud of dispersements, you're doing humanity a giant dis-service. This is intolerable, and unacceptable behavior for one seeking elevation. Those with a concourse toward promoting humanity's elevation are being offended and assaulted by the mode of deliberate arrogance you project. This bombards you seemingly in unrealizable format and disrupts associations of social encounters with those whom are rising in their consciousness. You exhibit combative behavior. Corrective measure is for a Shaman to heal and correct your internal prana flow. It has blockages diliberately caused by dark entities trying to overtake and control your actions. Not to be explained now, but the dark energies intend you harm. A true Shaman can teach how to drive away dark energy.

Those whom are intervening to teach humanity of raising human consciousness and whom access the astral knowledge wish aid in the process. Guessing, or mere fantasy is offensive. Your fictional imaginings disturb the Earth work. The astral knowledge resides in what you perceive as spiritual plane, the ethers, or a heaven. Clairvoyant seers sollicit the Akasha records to acqulre truth behind the veil of humanity's eyes. They share truth to elevate mankind to their plane of energy existance. You think you do, but wrongfully you do not accurately grasp the true energy of sound vibration or light.

Something needs immediate clarification. The notion of "royalty" on Earth is a ridiculous mankind created concept. No human physical incarnation is of any higher station than another soul being. All are equal when born into a physical body vehicle, and equal in physical death when that soul returns to spirit plane. So the utter nonsense of any superiority of any special blood line does grand insult to the Universe.

Questions you hold.

You exist in DNA chain from one hybrid experiment in Atlantis. Created in a lab peetrie dish. Nothing more, no specialness to your heritage. But still with physical markers toward difference when in contrast to other human hybrid mixes. Each mix has its own characteristics or different propencies. For hundreds of centuries humanity has been seeded by other Univeral life forms. Each hybrid mix of Universal DNA in their own right is special. To repeat again: any notion of a special royal bloodline is ludicrous. Your mind fights this ideal due to cementing into mankind's religous fallacies induced in this lifetime by parental coaxings. Religions are mankind's invention. Writing and dogma related to religion stories are created in fiction formidably by the hand of mankind. There were several men named Issa, who you'd recognize as the soul incarnation of life form Jesus. He walked the Earth as a physical man, but his incarnations had no miraculous ability, none were any sort of deity or savior, and aspects recorded were distorted from Issa's original teaching intended. Mankind has diliberately polluted Issa's wisdom. Issa's blood type is not presently revealed to mankind, but it as a fact is unimportant to the rise of humankind's consciousness.

The Jewish people as anything superior. Sheerly more false arrogance. Jews are of no higher station than any other collections of peoples living on the Earth.

The information dark energies are planting in your thoughts needs immediate revision. They contour portions of true energy principles then distort them to you toward practices which are pointless. At this register, we will address guidance.

Indeed there is a torus field, but you are not bridging it correctly to a human kind's intended practical usage of energy intake and exhale.

The Jewish star was an emblem stolen from a tiny percentage of ancient Egyptians who had escaped the sinking of Atlantis. The symbol represents transmutation in alchemical practices. A "Davit" was a person clutching for power. A Davit is a magician who used what is termed dark magic ritual to maintain that authority. What was born of Davit was in fact the PLACE --Solomon. Not any human entity. Solomon is the name of an alchemical temple labeled over the doorway as "Solomon Temple", --- rather than possessive as Solomon's Temple. Sigil of the Solomon Cult, also known as the Saturn Cult in modern day, was an instrument of ritual used by what might be termed as wizards who had secret knowledge to divert and pull chaos control energy from the Universe.

The placement of the constellations and planets has a mathematical algorithm to this Universe which represent a state of intended harmony toward the full spectrum of this Universe.


Plichta's work you extract of prime mathematics is NOT essential to any elevation of human consciousness. Instead his work is cognizant to represent the grid of energy flow within Gaia. Understand Mother Earth's independant female energy and her core energy spirit being referred by the title Gaia. Math is merely a symbolic tool to give written expression to the workings of energy vibration of regard to the twins - sound and light. It can be used positively or negatively.

We are highly aware you borrow the research details of Paul Bevan (beginning in 2005 / published 2013) to claim as your own work. Don't gobble down the garbage of the Internet which might surprise you is the actual 666 beast Christians are so frightened. 98% of it is untruth. What is "seeded" there by darkness is meant to confuse. Just as Paul, you are delving into dark energy work with Qabala, and Ed Leedskalnin. You are not aware, a following of these topics are inviting and extending an invitation to bring negative, dark energies to your doorstep, to your energy, to your family, to your occupation, to your whole world.

Tesla wanted nothing to do with dark energies, thus had nothing to do with Ed Leedskalnin's secret black rituals. Tesla was in distinct opposition to any darkness, so they sought to destroy him and his vital work. His genius came from contact with higher intelligences guiding his mind. YOU still do not comprehend the 3, 6, 9 meaning that all initiates and masters of Light comprehend. The matrix effect of numbers, a human device, can melt down your mind.

Human consciousness abilities follow three true esoteric geometries, none of which hold any cross shape. A cross form (and others) were what you might refer were the herald symbols of the power hungry inhabitants of Atlantis. They blew up their own continent amid their quest to manipulate the entire population of Earth. The inhabitants of Lemuria (known as Mu) were a different set of life form entities from a separate residential spot in the Universe. Humans today hold arrays of DNA comprised of a plethora of lifeforms from many Universes. By seeding humanity, they raise the physical body's potential.

A Shaman can teach you to fill your head with LIGHT energy. You have been given accurate corrections... Now WE pause to see what you do with those corrections.



The Healing Power of the Smiley Face


Smileys are recommended
for ages 1 to 101.

Smileys have been known
to induce silliness.

Side effects may include laughing,
doing cartwheels, and an urge
to draw more smileys.

Smileys chase away the blues.

Last but not least —
smileys may become habit-forming.



Sending lots
of smileys your way!

It's for your
own benefit
to Wake UP
from the ridiculous

Par. ESO, Grp.

ROBOT has stubborn intention not to accept your true mirrored ego.

ROBOT's mind is held captive in a divided war.

Aptly labeled Robot A --- for one pegged for a mission, not being unable to control themselves, nor to control their mind wander.

It can be explained as a dualistic embodiment fed by artificial intelligence using high technology. This you do not comprehend.

It is not comprehended until one declares they assume control of their own body vehicle and purge away all infective negative energies.

A war evolving in your thoughts between two opposite energies, WE can see it. A puppet mouthing speech, wishing to be seen as a benevolent force in your present incarnation. Seen only as a false mask to those whom are able to read energy and see truth. On the contrary, the quest of data you copy, or border to plagerize from sources, then to disperse as "your own work", is a deratorgy measure and is percieved by our alliance as an intended harm to beings of Earth whom are trying to awaken to truth. Despite opportunity to take corrective action, to turn away from that twisted fiction, you persist in trying to convince others of absolute nonsense.

For accurate truth, allow an explanation of the Rh factor.

It was created in laboratory in Atlantis by genetic insemination over 30,000 years ago. Those creators were power hungry overlords wishing to establish what might be credited as supervisors to govern a slave race they'd also manufactured. If you must have a term for them, the Earth definition is applied to them as being fallen angels. Angel is a mankind analogy which simply means a more complex terrestrial visitor to the planet Earth. Despite what your ego wants to believe, Rh negative is nothing special. Just different. You are a hybrid mix of terrestrial and human form. As in all the differences among the variant life forms spread amid the 1,682 Universes. Earth is amid the 2nd Universe ever created. That power being accessed in Atlantis, or tapped as you might refer by those overlords, resulted in them blowing their own continent apart. It sunk below water and is now, as has been accurately reported, beneath the Atlantic Ocean. If your brain was sharp and released from fundamentalist rubbish, then you'd have realized prior to us imparting this informaton, Atlantis was concentric circles of 360 degrees each nested into each other with 4 water inlets toward the central isle of their communal temple. Might you see it would be definition of same cross shape you idiotically continue to harp in continuation to some fantasy new song. This cross shape formed by inlets to enter these concentric circles is merely a terrestrial emblem representing arrival from a constantly moving and changing Universe. The



archaeology accounts on record distribute this as the research continues to unearth new discoveries.

Of Atlantis, it was spoken of by it's eye witness survivors and their descendants for many centuries long after it submerged. Those who had inhabited the continent re-created it's layout as an image graphic and left as topographic markers plus relief carvings in rock. These markers and images were used across the centuries to speak of Atlantis' memory. Stonehenge is a limited topographic of Atlantis, so was never built by any biblical Judah character as your mind has imagined incorrectly in fantasy. Superhenge is another component of this picture of circular instillations to represent the larger Universe. The Alantean image of concentric circles holding what appeared to be what you suggest as a cross was actually four sections of transport water canals as previously stated. Inhabitants moved across them in barges you might perceive as water taxi.

In similar fashion of coils, another circular iconic image anciently created to represent Atlantis was an emblem worn by it's ancient terrestrial overlords. That is a coiled serpent/dragon. The serpent was intended by them to represent their wisdom amid this Universe (labeled the Milky Way with form that twists like a serpent). The image with that ideology of serpent carried forth to Atlantis' birthed child country Egypt. All Pharoahs wore the perched serpent over their third eye on their rulership crown to connote spiritual insight and wisdom. This serpent was also represented as one concentric circle labeled as the ouroboros. The phoenix sunbird is another emblem of Atlantis. Your other memory of Atlantis is a sunbird--- the vehicle you chose to drive. Present facts can't be disputed, --- you were in Atlantis working under these nasty overlords. The only thing you seem to have correctly digested was the torus effect you borrowed from Mark Rodin's vortex based math. You are totally wrong about Tesla numbers 3, 6, 9. What you don't comprehend is after Tesla's immigration to America and his direct contact with terrestrial beings, he admitted the notion of religion was nothing but a sham. If he were to speak to you in person, he'd proclaim the energy of electricity and his numeric comprehension had absolutely nothing to do with mankind's fabricated religions. The 3, 6, 9 are scientifically explainable but we shall not take the time nor energy to present the high technology which you would not comprehend. It is better left for field experts to contend.

The biblical material so many of your Earth fundamentalists swear is authentic is to be treated as a novel, not facts. The bible and other ancient gospel form texts are merely a collection of decorated comic stories modeled vaguely on other terrestrial visitors who have come and gone from this Universe. The material is used as an abusive tool, and as a whole to frighten, to enslave, to instill guilt of some imagined sin so to encourage subserviance to wicked overlords violating Universal laws. There have been good and evil terrestrial visitations to Earth over the last 500,000 years. One you seem to focus so we will reference is Issa, whom you connect as the Greek name Jesus. A being whose message has been distorted by man. He had a father who was a terrestrial being but was no omnipotent diety as those of Christian insurgence falsely swear. Issa's true teaching agreed more closely with the path of the Buddhist, thus trying to teach mankind there is no separation toward love. The manner Christianity has distorted so much truth into sheer rubbish should be disgraceful to their souls. We shall supply a for instance moment: the terminology "amen" which Issa used at the end of the anthem you term as the lord's prayer. Does any single Christian comprehend "amen"? No, they emphatically do not. Issa extracted the term directly from Egypt where it evolved 3,000 years prior to his earthly incarnation. The entire prayer quoted by Issa is acknowledged by archaeological scholars as a poem amid the Egyptian Book of the Dead. To Egyptians, this book they've titled the "Papyrus of Anu". We will let you discover whom is Anu. Also to rack your thinking about the term "Amen" as being a character, and in turn with praise being given to same when closing a spoken verse to that Egyptian name's honor.

Allow us to also refute another blatant fallacy you continue to promote with zeal. This idea of holy grail, royalty, or royal blood, or a concept of a sitting monarchy. You claim them holding the Rh factor as being some sort of supreme, or superior beings. Hear this CLEARLY. Them and YOU ARE NO BETTER, or NON-SUPERIOR than any other being in this Universe, or other Universes. All Spirit is equal, but while in physical incarnations each have distinct differences. To boast of such superiority or to hold a blown up ego goes affirmedly against all Universal Law. This violation originally began and was promoted by the nefarious Alantean overlords. You spew this collection of rubbish because you have true incarnation memories of being present in Atlantis amid your carried spiritual DNA. Hence the insatious appetite you hold to gorge it in united coordination with the mathematical reference of nested circles. 181

Disassembling other of your main fallacies is necessary for your comprehension toward a changing future:
The biblical acacia box made in Tyre, Phoenicia which embraced a nuclear weapon was real. Phoenicia was inhabited by former Atlanteans. Phoenician language is the first written on this planet above all other attempts at written language. The box was named for Aaron/Aron/Eron, a terrestrial Atlantean wizard. At first it was a device of off-planet war but the technology itself was brought by design plans to both Atlantis and to what is now India. One of several such devices was built in Egypt after Atlantis sunk. The Prince Thutmose, (same as Djhutmose, son of Amenhotep III, and sibling of Akenaten) whom you term the character Moses, stole the original technology from his father. Moses carried it to Egypt's territorial isolated fortress in the Desert of Sin atop Mt Sinai. Thus he became a "Sinner". (One who dwells in the Wilderness of Sin). It took no special blood to carry the technology cavity box, but rather required specially constructed UNIFORM attire to approach the radiation being emitted. That is the fact which kept the Levite carriers from being poisoned by radiation. It is even plainly given in the biblical wording "urim and thummim", of the "protective breastplate" which Earthlings have no equative conception to the accurate translational idea.

You term as the Solfeggio --of bringing some sort of miraculous new world into existencetotally false. You do not comprehend sound energy. The collective Solfeggio tones established in unity with the 440 hz. were adaptive in utilization by Adolph Hitler and his Nazi collective by the directive of nefarious terrestrials. Their desire was to alter world tuning to establish such as a controlling device for Earthlings. This tuning adapted for Earthling ear canals is like drug sedatives to place them in to what you would term "a zombie state" and open their brains to manipulation. Isn't this what has happened to you as a result of your tampering with it?

You've been granted explanation of some of your questions. The time is nearing. If you do not cease the fiction, a controlled action is to be taken to end the burage of deluded unfactual concourse you continue to disperse in speech, in movie, and in writings as attempt to confuse other people. It is considered your past actions were all a hatched convoluted plot by the nefarious energies to control your soul container vehicle. But now you have a decision to make regarding your path.

The "Janitor" has mop in hand, has ability to see all, and to know all, then will begin to clean this Earth before the year is out.

You've been granted explanation of some of your questions. The time is nearing. If you do not cease the fiction, a controlled action is to be taken to end the burage of deluded unfactual concourse you continue to disperse in speech, in movie, and in writings as attempt to confuse other people. It is considered your past actions were all a hatched convoluted plot by the nefarious energies to control your soul container vehicle. But now you have a decision to make regarding your path.

The "Janitor" has mop in hand, has ability to see all, and to know all, then will begin to clean this Earth before the year is out.

Exhibit 8

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN



MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

Brian David Hill
Martinsville City Jail
P.O. Box 1326
Martinsville, VA 24112

GREENSBORO NC 274
PIEDMONT TRIAD AREA
01 OCT 2018 PM 5 L




EVIDENCE

Clerk of the Court


RETURN TO SENDER
UNABLE TO FORWARD
UNABLE TO FORWARD
RETURN TO SENDER

REDIRECT TO FEDERAL DISTRICT
LEGAL MAIL CT



Brian David Hill
Martinsville City Jail
P.O. Box 1326
Martinsville, VA 24112

GREENSBORO NC 274
PIEDMONT TRIAD AREA
09 OCT 2018 PM 5 L



ATTN: Mayor
City of Martinsville
300 Clearview Drive
Martinsville, VA 24112


RETURN TO SENDER

24112-170400

LEGAL MAIL

Brian David Hill
Martinsville City Jail
P.O. Box 1326
Martinsville, VA 24114

GREENSBORO NC 274
PIEDMONT TRIAD AREA
01 OCT 2018 PM 2 L




8
ATTN: U.S. Marshals Service
L. Richardson Preyer Federal Building
374 W. Market Street

Correct address
resent
Oct 27 2018
Brian D. Hill
Received

251
2
MAIL 274 4C 1 0218/27/18
RETURN TO SENDER
NO SUCH NUMBER
NO POSTAGE TO FORWARD
NO: 24114130626 #0288-00202-10-03

Brian David Hill
Martinsville City Jail
P.O. Box 1326
Martinsville, VA 24114


GREENSBORO NC 274
FIEDMONT TRIAD AREA
OCT 2018 PM 31



8
ATTN: U.S. Marshals Service
L. Richardson Preyer Federal Building
324 W. Market Street
Martinsville, VA 24112

Called
address
resent
Oct. 27, 2018
Brian D. Hill
received
Oct. 26, 2018

2
S
2



Brian David Hill
Martinsville City Jail
P.O. Box 1326
Martinsville, VA 24112

GREENSBORO AREA
FEDERAL TRIAD AREA
01 OCT 2018 PM 5 L



EVIDENCE

65 Church
Clerk of the Court
U.S. District Court
324 W. Market St. Ste. 1
Martinsville, VA 24112

REDIRECT TO FEDERAL DISTRICT
LEGAL MAIL CT




Exhibit 9


USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN



MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

BRIAN HILL (174826) [DOB: 5/26/1990]

X Close  Print

DIAGNOSIS			
Axis/Order	Axis 3/1	Diagnosis	(F42.9) Obsessive-compulsive disorder, unspecified
Axis/Order	Axis 3/2	Diagnosis	(F84.0) Autistic disorder
Axis/Order	Axis 3/3	Diagnosis	(F29) Unspecified psychosis not due to a substance or known physiological condition
Axis/Order	Axis 3/4	Diagnosis	(F41.1) Generalized anxiety disorder
WHODAS 2.0 General Disability			
	Assessment Date	General Raw Score	General Average Score
	Score description	Raw Score	Average Score
	Cognition		
	Mobility		
	Self-care		
	Getting along		
	Life activities		
	Participation		



Piedmont Community Services
13 MOSS ST SOUTH
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112

Client Name: BRIAN HILL	SSN/Acct # 0319 / 174826
Address: 310 FOREST ST APT 2 MARTINSVILLE VA 24112-4939	Date/Time: 10/24/2018 9:51 AM to 10:23 AM
Insurance:	Employee Name: CONRAD DAUM / MD
Diagnosis:	Visit Type/CPT Med Note [Jail] / Nonbill
DOB 5/26/1990	
Notes: Non-Face-to-Face Service	

HISTORY

Chief Complaint: Notes:
"guy in hodie threatened to kill my mother if I didn't do what he said" "meltdown" He was arrested for walking down the street naked and charged with a probation violation.

History of Present Illness (HPI): Notes:
local is mental, quality he agreed to zyprexa and zoloft. severity moderate, duration 1st admit 2013 ONLY, time of tx start here 2013, context jail inmate. associated he was convicted for child porn and is on sex registry. He believes he was convicted unfairly by a conspiracy of the court officials. He believes Critical documents proving his innocence were deliberately destroyed. Modify is tx accepted, ill see med hx.

Past Medical / Family Medical / Social Hx:
LEGAL HX: He would only discuss the child pron and probation violation convictions.

PSYCH HX: He tried suicide, but no family hx, he denied wanting to harm self or others the past month. He denied any SUD or tobacco, Hx autism, OCD, GAD

MEDICAL HX: Diabetes, IBS, Eczema, op only wisdom teeth, no fx hx, hypoglycemic seizures, hx concussions during seizures.

FAMILY HX: 0 kids, 1/2 sisters=2, 0 brothers, mom living, dad hx unknown no hx of inpatient , SUD, jail. Hx Hypertension, ulcerative colitis,

SOCIAL HX: born Orlando FL, raised NC, some HS, single, no church, on disability, lives alone with caretaker's help.

Review of Symptoms (ROS)

Constitutional: Notes:
sleeping ok

Eyes: Notes:
see ok

Ears, Nose, Mouth, Throat: Notes:
hear ok

Cardiovascular: Notes:
no chest pain

Respiratory: Notes:
breathing ok

Musculoskeletal: Notes:
no LBP

Integumentary (skin and/or breast): Notes:
no tattoos

Neurological: Notes:
seizure hx and diabetic foot neuropathy

Endocrine: Notes:
diabetes

Hematologic/Lymphatic: Notes:
no nodes

Allergic/Immunologic: Notes:
allergy see list

Genitourinary: Notes:
bladder frequency

Gastrointestinal: Notes:
GERD SX, episodic diarrhea

EXAM

Constitutional Vital Signs:

Musculoskeletal

Muscle strength and tone: Notes
ok

Gait and station: Notes
ok

Behavior
Appearance: Well-groomed
Activity: Normal
Attitude: Cooperative
Articulation (Speech): Normal Rate, Rhythm, Volume
Sensorium
Consciousness: Alert
Orientation: Full
Memory: Intact
Attention/Concentration: Adequate
Emotion
Affect: Comfortable and Reactive
Mood: Euthymic
Congruency: Congruent
Suicidal Ideation: None
Homicidal Ideation: None
Thought
Thought Process: Goal-directed
Thought Content: Delusional
Intelligence: Average
(based upon fund of knowledge, comprehension, and vocabulary)
Insight: Full
Judgement: Intact
Perception: Normal
Impression
Brief summary of present status of case: Notes
aims=0

DIAGNOSES

Current Diagnoses:

Effective Date : 10/24/2018

1 (F42.9) Obsessive-compulsive disorder, unspecified

Diagnosed By : **Diagnosed Date :**

Onset Date : **Previous Onset Date :**

Onset Prior to Admission:

R/O: No

Notes:

Date Updated: 03/21/2017

SNOMED: -

2 (F84.0) Autistic disorder

Diagnosed By : **Diagnosed Date :**

Onset Date : **Previous Onset Date :**

Onset Prior to Admission:

R/O: No

Notes:

Date Updated: 03/02/2016

SNOMED: -

3 (F29) Unspecified psychosis not due to a substance or known physiological condition

Diagnosed By : **Diagnosed Date :**

Onset Date : **Previous Onset Date :**

Onset Prior to Admission:

R/O: No

Notes:

Date Updated: 10/24/2018
SNOMED: -

4 (F41.1) Generalized anxiety disorder
Diagnosed By : Diagnosed Date :
Onset Date : Previous Onset Date :
Onset Prior to Admission:
R/O: No
Notes: BRITTLE DIABETES
Date Updated: 10/24/2018
SNOMED: -

WHODAS 2.0 General Disability Assessment Date:
Raw Score: Avg Score:
Cognition:
Mobility:
Self-care:
Getting along:
Life activities:
Participation:

Psych Diagnoses & Status

Diagnosis: all

Status: Stable

Medical Diagnoses & Status

COLUMBIA ASSESSMENT

1) Wished to be Dead:

Have you wished you were dead or wished you could go to sleep and not wake up?: No

2) Suicidal Thoughts:

Have you actually had any thoughts of killing yourself?: No

6) Suicidal Behavior Question:

Have you ever done anything, started to do anything, or prepared to do anything to end your life?: Yes

Was this within the past three months? (please explain): No

SUMMARY

Service Modality: Non-Face-to-Face Service

Current Medications:

Medication:insulin aspart U-100 100 unit/mL subcutaneous solution

Start Date:10/24/2018

Dosage:

Frequency:

Medication:olanzapine 2.5 mg tablet

Start Date:10/24/2018

Sig:Take 1 Caplet By Oral Route 1 time at bedtime for mood swings

Medication:sertraline 50 mg tablet

Start Date:10/24/2018

Sig:Take 1 Caplet By Oral Route 1 time after breakfast for anxiety

Plan

Medication Changes: .

Next Appointment: Date

prn

E/M Level: 5

E/M Score: 5



<p>Employee Signature</p>  <p>10/24/18 2:51 PM CONRAD DAUM - MD MD</p>
 <p>Supervisor's Signature Approved by CDAUM on 10/24/18 CONRAD DAUM, MD, MD</p>

Exhibit 10

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN

U.S.W.G.O.

MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

Brian Hill
MRN: MM00370912
ACCT: MM7806761243

2

Sovah Health Martinsville

320 Hospital Drive
Martinsville, VA 24112
276-666-7237

7806761243

**Emergency Department
Instructions for:**

Hill, Brian D

Arrival Date:

Friday, September 21, 2018

Thank you for choosing Sovah Health Martinsville for your care today. The examination and treatment you have received in the Emergency Department today have been rendered on an emergency basis only and are not intended to be a substitute for an effort to provide complete medical care. You should contact your follow-up physician as it is important that you let him or her check you and report any new or remaining problems since it is impossible to recognize and treat all elements of an injury or illness in a single emergency care center visit.

Care provided by: Hinchman, Brant, DO

Diagnosis: Abrasion, right knee; Abrasion of unspecified front wall of thorax

DISCHARGE INSTRUCTIONS	FORMS
VIS, Tetanus, Diphtheria (Td) - CDC Abrasion, Easy-to-Read Knee Pain, Easy-to-Read	Medication Reconciliation
FOLLOW UP INSTRUCTIONS	PRESCRIPTIONS
Private Physician When: Tomorrow; Reason: Further diagnostic work-up, Recheck today's complaints, Continuance of care Emergency Department When: As needed; Reason: Fever > 102 F, Trouble breathing, Worsening of condition	None
SPECIAL NOTES	
None	

National Hopeline Network: 1-800-784-2433

If you received a narcotic or sedative medication during your Emergency Department stay you should not drive, drink alcohol or operate heavy machinery for the next 8 hours as this medication can cause drowsiness, dizziness, and decrease your response time to events.

I hereby acknowledge that I have received a copy of my transition care record and understand the above instructions and prescriptions.

Brian D. Hill
Brian Hill

Jenica Tate, RN, BSN
ED Physician or Nurse
09/21/2018 04:52

2

EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT RECORD

Physician Documentation
Sovah Health Martinsville

Name: Brian Hill

Age: 28 yrs

Sex: Male

DOB: 05/26/1990

MRN: MM00370912

Arrival Date: 09/21/2018

Time: 04:04

Account#: MM7806761243

Bed ER 9

Private MD:

ED Physician Hinchman, Brant

HPI:

09/21

04:40 This 28 yrs old White Male presents to ER via Law Enforcement with complaints of Knee Pain. bdh

09/21

04:48 28-year-old male with diabetes and autism presents for evaluation after complaining of right knee pain and scrapes and abrasions. bdh
Patient was apparently taking pictures of himself in the nude across town this evening and when police attempted apprehend him brain through Briar patch. Patient does report scratches and abrasions to the right knee but no pain on range of motion. Unknown last tetanus..

Historical:

- Allergies: Ranitidine;
- PMRx: autism; Diabetes - IDDM; OCD;
- Exposure Risk/Travel Screening:: Patient has not been out of the country in last 30 days. Have you been in contact with anyone who is ill that has traveled outside of the country in the last 30 days? No.
- Social history:: Tobacco Status: The patient states he/she has never used tobacco. The patient/guardian denies using alcohol, street drugs, The patient's primary language is English. The patient's preferred language is English.
- Family history:: No immediate family members are acutely ill.
- Sepsis Screening:: Sepsis screening negative at this time.
- Suicide Risk Screen:: Have you been feeling depressed in the last couple of weeks? No Have you been feeling hopeless to the extent that you would want to end your life? No Have you attempted suicide or had a plan to attempt within the last 12 months? No.
- Abuse Screen:: Patient verbally denies physical, verbal and emotional abuse/neglect.
- Tuberculosis screening:: No symptoms or risk factors identified.
- The history from nurses notes was reviewed: and my personal history differs from that reported to nursing.

ROS:

09/21

04:49 All other systems are negative, except as documented below. bdh

Constitutional: Negative for chills, fever. Respiratory: Negative for

Brian Hill
MRN: MM00370912
ACCT: MM7806761243

②

FOLLOW UP INSTRUCTIONS

Private Physician

When: Tomorrow

Reason: Further diagnostic work-up, Recheck today's complaints, Continuance of care

Emergency Department

When: As needed

Reason: Fever > 102 F, Trouble breathing, Worsening of condition

→ 806761243

Brian Hill
MRN: MM00370912
ACCT: MM7806761243

2

MRN # MM00370912

X-RAYS and LAB TESTS:

If you had x-rays today they were read by the emergency physician. Your x-rays will also be read by a radiologist within 24 hours. If you had a culture done it will take 24 to 72 hours to get the results. If there is a change in the x-ray diagnosis or a positive culture, we will contact you. Please verify your current phone number prior to discharge at the check out desk.

MEDICATIONS:

If you received a prescription for medication(s) today, it is important that when you fill this you let the pharmacist know all the other medications that you are on and any allergies you might have. It is also important that you notify your follow-up physician of all your medications including the prescriptions you may receive today.

TESTS AND PROCEDURES

Labs
None

Rad
None

Procedures
None

Other
Call ERT, IV saline lock

Chart Copy

7806761234

cough, dyspnea on exertion, shortness of breath. MS/extremity: Positive for pain, Negative for decreased range of motion, paresthesias, swelling, tenderness, tingling. Skin: Positive for abrasion(s), Negative for rash, swelling.

Exam:
09/21

04:49 Constitutional: This is a well developed, well nourished patient who bdh is awake, alert, and in no acute distress. Head/Face: Normocephalic, atraumatic. Eyes: Pupils equal round and reactive to light, extra-ocular motions intact. Lids and lashes normal. Conjunctiva and sclera are non-icteric and not injected. Cornea within normal limits. Periorbital areas with no swelling, redness, or edema. ENT: Oropharynx with no redness, swelling, or masses, exudates, or evidence of obstruction, uvula midline. Mucous membranes moist. No meningismus. Neck: Supple, full range of motion without nuchal rigidity, or vertebral point tenderness. No Meningismus. No JVD Cardiovascular: Regular rate and rhythm with a normal S1 and S2. No gallops, murmurs, or rubs. No JVD. No pulse deficits. Respiratory: Lungs have equal breath sounds bilaterally, clear to auscultation and percussion. No rales, rhonchi or wheezes noted. No increased work of breathing, no retractions or nasal flaring. Abdomen/GI: Soft, non-tender, with normal bowel sounds. No distension or tympany. No guarding or rebound. No pulsatile mass. Back: No spinal tenderness. No costovertebral tenderness. Full range of motion. Skin: Multiple superficial abrasions to the groin and abdomen without fluctuance or tenderness. MS/ Extremity: Pulses equal, no cyanosis. Neurovascular intact. Full, normal range of motion. No peripheral edema, tenderness. Abrasion to right knee but nontender, no deformity or swelling. Ambulating without difficulty. Neuro: Awake and alert, GCS 15, oriented to person, place, time, and situation. Cranial nerves II-XII grossly intact. Psych: Awake, alert, with orientation to person, place and time. Behavior, mood, and affect are within normal limits.

Vital Signs:

09/21

04:09 BP 124 / 86; Pulse 119; Resp 19; Temp 98; Pulse Ox 98% ; Weight 99.79 jt kg; Height 6 ft. 0 in. (182.88 cm); Pain 0/10;

09/21

05:01 BP 119 / 80; Pulse 106; Resp 16; Temp 98.2; Pulse Ox 99% ; Pain 0/10; jt

09/21

04:09 Body Mass Index 29.84 (99.79 kg, 182.88 cm) jt

MDM:

09/21

04:04 MSE Initiated by Provider. bdh

09/21

04:50 Differential diagnosis: fracture, sprain, penetrating trauma, et al. bdh

ED course: Cleared from a psychiatric standpoint by Behavioral Health. Patient will be discharged to jail. No new complaints.. Data reviewed: vital signs, nurses notes. Counseling: I had a detailed

discussion with the patient and/or guardian regarding: the historical points, exam findings, and any diagnostic results supporting the

2

discharge/admit diagnosis, the need for outpatient follow up, to return to the emergency department if symptoms worsen or persist or if there are any questions or concerns that arise at home.

09/21
04:16 Order name: Call ERT; Complete Time: 04:25 bdh
09/21
04:16 Order name: IV saline lock; Complete Time: 04:36 bdh
09/21
04:29 Order name: Other: NO suicidal homicidal risk; Complete Time: 05:03 bdh

Dispensed Medications:

Discontinued: NS 0.9% 1000 ml IV at 999 mL/hr once
09/21
04:36 Drug: Tetanus-Diphtheria Toxoid Adult 0.5 ml (Manufacturer: Grifols Therapeutics. Exp: 09/27/2020. Lot #: A112A.) Route: IM; Site: right deltoid; lb1
09/21
05:04 Follow up: Response: No adverse reaction lb1
09/21
04:36 Drug: NS 0.9% 1000 ml Route: IV; Rate: 999 mL/hr; Site: right arm; Delivery: Primary tubing; lb1
09/21
05:11 Follow up: IV Status: Completed infusion dr

Disposition:

09/21
04:52 Electronically signed by Hinchman, Brant, DO at 04:52 on 09/21/2018. Chart complete. bdh

Disposition:

09/21/18 04:52 Discharged to Jail/Police. Impression: Abrasion, right knee, Abrasion of unspecified front wall of thorax.
- Condition is Stable.
- Discharge Instructions: VIS, Tetanus, Diphtheria (Td) - CDC, Abrasion, Easy-to-Read, Knee Pain, Easy-to-Read.
- Medication Reconciliation form.
- Follow up: Private Physician; When: Tomorrow; Reason: Further diagnostic work-up, Recheck today's complaints, Continuance of care. Follow up: Emergency Department; When: As needed; Reason: Fever > 102 F, Trouble breathing, Worsening of condition.
- Problem is new.
- Symptoms have improved.

Order Results:

There are currently no results for this order.

Signatures:

Dispatcher MedHost		EDMS
Tate, Jessica, RN	RN	jt
Hinchman, Brant, DO	DO	bdh

2

Ramey, Nicole
Bouldin, Lauren, RN
Reynolds, Daniel R

nmr
RN lbl
RN dr

Corrections: (The following items were deleted from the chart)

09/21
04:48 09/21 04:16 COMPREHENSIVE METABOLIC PANEL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:48 09/21 04:16 COMPLETE BLD COUNT W/AUTO DIFF+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:49 09/21 04:16 CPK, TOTAL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:50 09/21 04:16 ALCOHOL, ETHYL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:50 09/21 04:16 STAT OVERDOSE PANEL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:52 09/21 04:52 09/21/2018 04:52 Discharged to Jail/Police. Impression: bdh
Abrasion, right knee; Abrasion of unspecified front wall of thorax.
Condition is Stable. Discharge Instructions: Medication
Reconciliation. Follow up: Private Physician; When: Tomorrow; Reason:
Further diagnostic work-up, Recheck today's complaints, Continuance
of care. Follow up: Emergency Department; When: As needed; Reason:
Fever > 102 F, Trouble breathing, Worsening of condition. Problem is
new. Symptoms have improved. bdh
09/21
04:54 09/21 04:16 URINALYSIS W/REFLEX TO CULTURE+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Inquiry Letter to Martinsville Circuit Court on any record of Search Warrant

Friday, September 13, 2019 - 04:27 PM

ATTN: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk
Martinsville Circuit Court
21st Judicial Circuit of Virginia
Phone: (276) 403-5106 // Fax: (276) 403-5232
P.O. Box 1206
55 W. Church Street
Martinsville, VA 24114-1206

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 09/13/2019 @16:48:58

TESTE: Betty Swanson
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

Dear Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk,

I would like to receive a written letter/response to this inquiry, as to whether there is any court record in regards to a search warrant that may have been executed in September, 2018 and October, 2018 (date range) by Officer Sgt. Robert D. Jones of the Martinsville Police Department or any other officer that had worked for Martinsville Police Department at the time such request for a "search warrant" was made or may have been made.

If there is no record of such search warrant, please notify me As Soon AS Possible.

The search warrant is in regards to "Brian David Hill, 310 Forest Street, Apartment 2 (address at the time of whether the search warrant was executed if it exists), 276-790-3505. Brian David Hill would have been the target of any such search warrant. If there was any existence of such warrant, Brian David Hill was never served with a copy of such warrant if it was executed against Brian David Hill in September to October, 2018. Please let me know if such record exists so that I can request a copy.

Thank You,

URGENT
RECEIPT CONFIRMATION
REQUESTED

Signed, Brian D. Hill
Signe J
Brian D. Hill

Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter
Phone #: 276-790-3505

Mailing Address: 310 Forest Street, Apartment 1, Martinsville, Virginia 24112

U.S.W.G.O.

QANON - DRAIN THE SWAMP

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill
Stanley's 2255 blog: JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

**CITY OF MARTINSVILLE
CIRCUIT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
P. O. BOX 1206
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24114
276-403-5105
FAX # 276-403-5232**

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO: Matt Clark copy: Brian Hill	FROM: Betty E. Wagoner, Certified Master Deputy Clerk <i>Betty E. Wagoner</i>
COMPANY:	DATE: 9/17/2019
FAX NUMBER: 276-634-4004 276-790-3505	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER: 2
PHONE NUMBER:	SENDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER: CR19000009-00
RE: Brian David Hill	YOUR REFERENCE NUMBER:

URGENT FOR REVIEW PLEASE COMMENT PLEASE REPLY PLEASE RECYCLE

NOTES/COMMENTS:

Please see attached request from your client; Brian Hill.
When we receive a request from a defendant for information it is forwarded to their attorney for response.

*** TX REPORT ***

JOB NO. 0730
ST. TIME 09/17 08:59
SHEETS 2
FILE NAME
TX INCOMPLETE -----
TRANSACTION OK 96344004
ERROR -----

**CITY OF MARTINSVILLE
CIRCUIT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
P. O. BOX 1206
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24114
276-403-5105
FAX # 276-403-5232**

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO:	Matt Clark copy: Brian Hill	FROM:	Betty E. Wagoner, Certified Master Deputy Clerk <i>Betty E. Wagoner</i>
COMPANY:		DATE:	9/17/2019
FAX NUMBER:	276-634-4004 276-790-3505	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:	2
PHONE NUMBER:		SENDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER:	CR19000009-00
RE:	Brian David Hill	YOUR REFERENCE NUMBER:	

URGENT FOR REVIEW PLEASE COMMENT PLEASE REPLY PLEASE RECYCLE

NOTES/COMMENTS:
Please see attached request from your client; Brian Hill.
When we receive a request from a defendant for information it is forwarded to their attorney for response.

*** TX REPORT ***

JOB NO. 0731
ST. TIME 09/17 09:00
SHEETS 2
FILE NAME

TX INCOMPLETE -----
TRANSACTION OK -----
ERROR 97903505

**CITY OF MARTINSVILLE
CIRCUIT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
P. O. BOX 1206
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24114
276-403-5105
FAX # 276-403-5232**

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO: Matt Clark
copy: Brian Hill
COMPANY:
FAX NUMBER: 276-634-4004 276-790-3505
PHONE NUMBER:
RE: Brian David Hill

FROM: Betty E. Wagoner,
Certified Master Deputy Clerk *Betty E. Wagoner*
DATE: 9/17/2019
TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER: 2
SENDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER: CR19000009-00
YOUR REFERENCE NUMBER:

URGENT FOR REVIEW PLEASE COMMENT PLEASE REPLY PLEASE RECYCLE

NOTES/COMMENTS:
Please see attached request from your client; Brian Hill.
When we receive a request from a defendant for information it is forwarded to their attorney for response.

July 24, 2019

Hon. G. Carter Greer
Martinsville Circuit Court
55 West Church Street
Martinsville, VA 24112


VIA HAND-DELIVERY

RE: Commonwealth v. Brian Hill
CR19000009-00

Dear Judge Greer,

Mr. Hill is currently charged with Indecent Exposure. His case is scheduled to be tried by a jury on August 30, 2019. Mr. Hill continues to send me his writings, just as he did when the case was in General District Court, and afterwards. As he is ably represented by his court appointed attorney, Ms. Lauren McGarry, I have not responded to any of his writings.

With kindest regards,



G Andrew Hall
Commonwealth's Attorney
City of Martinsville

Cc: Lauren McGarry, *Esquire*
File



Quick Letter - Please forward to Judge and to the Sheriff and the processing Jail staff
ASAP! URGENT

Thursday, November 14, 2019 11:50 PM

Please FORWARD to classification of Martinsville City Jail ASAP!

Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00

Commonwealth of Virginia v. Brian David Hill

URGENT

Clerk of Martinsville Circuit Court,

As I had faxed to you days ago. Because I believe I will not get an impartial trial and won't get a fair trial without unfair prejudice, I will be heading down to the Courthouse tomorrow on November 15, 2019 to sign a paper that I withdraw my Appeal.

I also understand that I will be taken down to the jail for processing, even though I was already given time served in General District Court.

As I was already sentenced to 30 days sentence and I already had served 90 or 91 days from September 21, 2018, until December 22, 2018.

I would like the processing to be done as quick and painless as possible.

I am a type 1 brittle diabetic, my lawyer informed me that the processing would be quick like maybe 10 or 15 minutes I would be down there. I am wearing an ankle monitor as part of my Federal Supervised Release at this time and cannot be taken off without a court order in Federal Court. I am also having to tie something around my leg to keep water from getting in the ankle monitor as it isn't very durable but I am in a bad situation because of the state charge. I am also wearing a patch for my blood glucose monitor to do blood glucose readings which is expensive but paid for by Medicaid. If I have to take it off to be processed, it will do unnecessary harm to me and then I cannot test my blood sugars as each patch has to be on the back of my arm for two weeks, before I have to remove and apply new patch to continue getting blood glucose readings without test strips. I still have 6 or 7 days left that the patch needs to stay on.

If I have to be processed in Martinsville City Jail after I withdraw my appeal tomorrow, I ask that it be as quick and painless as possible. My health is brittle and I hope I will be able to be processed before lunch time.



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act federal law, I ask that I quickly be processed then released so that I can eat lunch and do my NovoLog insulin and Lantus Insulin.

Please give this fax and copies thereto to anybody who is in charge of processing me after I withdraw my appeal. I ask that the processing be quick.

I will try to arrive by 9:00AM and sign a form to withdraw my appeal, and hopefully be processed as quick as possible so that I can return back to my family and caretaker and be able to do my insulin shots.

Thanks,
Brian David Hill
Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative New reporter
Friend's site: JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com
276-790-3505
310 Forest Street, Apartment 1
Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Qanon "Question Everything"

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 11/15/2019 @09:23:34

TESTE: Jennifer C. Adair
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

Jennifer Coplin

From: Jennifer Coplin
Sent: Tuesday, November 26, 2019 1:34 PM
To: wally25523@gmail.com
Subject: Brian Hill - CR19000009-00
Attachments: motion to vacate fraudulent begotten judgment.pdf; order denied.pdf

Don't shoot the messenger. But Mr. Hill filed this and we are just sending you a copy of the motion he filed and the order were the court denied the motion.

Hope you have a Happy Thanksgiving.

*Jennifer Coplin
Certified Master Deputy Clerk
Martinsville Circuit Court
P O Box 1206
Martinsville VA 24114*

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 11/11/2019
Number of pages: 11
Attn.: Commonwealth Attorney
Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478
Filename: C:\ProgramData\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\Brian's motion to withdraw
File description: Brian's motion to withdraw appeal(4)Signed.pdf
Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478
Rate: 14400 bps

Time: 7:58:49 PM
Session duration: 8:53
To: Commonwealth Attorney
Message type: Fax
Error Correction: Yes
Resolution: 200*200 dpi
Record number: 7994

send copies to counsel
[Signature]
11/12/19

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 11/12/2019 @09:12:29

TESTE: *[Signature]*
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
)	
v.)	
)	
Brian David Hill,)	Motion to Withdraw Appeal
)	
Defendant,)	

MOTION TO WITHDRAW APPEAL

COMES NOW criminal Defendant Brian David Hill ("Brian", "Hill") respectfully requests to withdraw the Appeal of the December 21, 2018, General District Court finding of guilty. However Brian does NOT waive his right to collaterally attack/challenge his conviction in General District Court and also does NOT waive his right to file a Writ of Actual Innocence.

The reason for withdrawing his appeal is because he is facing a fixed jury trial where the cards are stacked against him. It will not be a fair trial and his legal innocence will not matter as various private lawyers had explained to Brian when Brian's family asked for free consultation with multiple private lawyers, to see if any had opinions differing from the court appointed lawyers.

One lawyer told Brian that his federal conviction will be brought up if Brian testifies on the stand, when testifying is necessary to show a defense that Brian has Autism Spectrum Disorder which would explain why Brian smiles in photos, because his Autism affects his behaviors and can cause incorrect or abnormal behaviors such as smiling or giving the inappropriate facial expressions or gestures



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
)	
v.)	
)	
Brian David Hill,)	Motion to Withdraw Appeal
)	
Defendant,)	

MOTION TO WITHDRAW APPEAL

COMES NOW criminal Defendant Brian David Hill (“Brian”, “Hill”) respectfully requests to withdraw the Appeal of the December 21, 2018, General District Court finding of guilty. However Brian does NOT waive his right to collaterally attack/challenge his conviction in General District Court and also does NOT waive his right to file a Writ of Actual Innocence.

The reason for withdrawing his appeal is because he is facing a fixed jury trial where the cards are stacked against him. It will not be a fair trial and his legal innocence will not matter as various private lawyers had explained to Brian when Brian’s family asked for free consultation with multiple private lawyers, to see if any had opinions differing from the court appointed lawyers.

One lawyer told Brian that his federal conviction will be brought up if Brian testifies on the stand, when testifying is necessary to show a defense that Brian has Autism Spectrum Disorder which would explain why Brian smiles in photos, because his Autism affects his behaviors and can cause incorrect or abnormal behaviors such as smiling or giving the inappropriate facial expressions or gestures



during a situation of duress or in any other situation. Autism should not be legally treated as the same as normal behaviors because Autism behaviors differ from the normal set of expected human behaviors in a society. Such as after being threatened Brian didn't walk for miles to get to the Police Department but instead did exactly what the man in the hoodie had directed Brian to do or his mother will be killed, he presumed that if he didn't do what the man in the hoodie had said. Another lawyer said that his affidavits filed in federal court about his state case cannot be used. Another one said that Brian's allegation of fraud upon the court against the Commonwealth Attorney cannot be sustained because the evidence that may prove fraud by the Police Department cannot be used because of dual sovereignty where federal evidence concerning the same state charge of indecent exposure and is relevant to it cannot be brought up at the jury trial because of a claim of dual sovereignty, then hinting that Brian may face contempt of court for bringing the truthful allegations because of a technicality of dual sovereignty barring Brian from bringing up a map in the federal case showing where Brian allegedly took the pictures on September 21, 2018, which disproves Martinsville Police Department's fraudulent claim that Brian took photos all around the town, or all around the city when the evidence presented by the U.S. Attorney and witness Sergeant Robert Jones differs from his earlier claims to the psychologist which means fraudulent claims was told to both the psychologist of the mental evaluation at the General District Court level. Different lawyers gave slightly different opinions but all of them recommended that Brian withdraw his appeal because he would not win the jury trial even if he is legally innocent because the jurors would be biased and picked from the bible belt area and would automatically make their own personal determinations of Brian's guilt rather than the law's determination in the Appellate courts of record. They thought if Brian had faced a bench trial that he'd have a better chance at being found legally innocent of his



charge. Since there is no way possible for Brian to get a bench trial, the lawyers thinks Brian has a poor chance, which doesn't make any sense.

Brian was told he can't have this as evidence, he cannot have that as evidence. Brian doesn't seem to be getting anywhere and each lawyer just gives the same bad news, that because Brian was wrongfully convicted of possession of child pornography in a corrupt Federal Court in the Middle District of North Carolina that ignored evidence and wouldn't show Brian all of his discovery material before compelling him to falsely plead guilty, that his Writ of Habeas Corpus on the ground of actual innocence does not matter, and that his fraud upon the court allegations in his federal case that were unopposed also does not matter for his state case. All the jurors would hear is about Brian's "sex charge" when Brian testifies on the stand and he was told by one private lawyer that he cannot bring up to the jury that he is fighting his federal criminal conviction on the ground of actual innocence and fraud upon the court and both of them are not subject to statute of limitations, and that it can be used to discredit him as a witness because all felons are liars as one lawyer told Brian. It takes time for Brian to overturn his wrongful federal conviction but the state jury trial will not delay indefinitely for Brian to overturn his wrongful conviction in Federal Court so that then he can testify at the jury trial without facing that prejudice. Brian will not get a fair trial in state court until his federal conviction is overturned, or that President Donald John Trump grants Brian a pardon of innocence for his federal conviction. His federal conviction cannot establish any facts or relevance as to why Brian was naked (but not obscene) on September 21, 2018. However when the jurors hear the words "possession of child pornography", any Christian will instantly turn on Brian and want him dead or to suffer, and want his house burned down. Because of the stigmatization of his "federal sex charge" of "possession", his actual innocence



will not matter, even if Brian is later found actually innocent of his wrongful federal conviction, if they hear those words, their ears turn off, their brains turn off, and their eyes turn off and they will refuse to hear or see any evidence except that Brian will be guilty because they will all believe that he is guilty when they hear the words of his federal conviction if Brian were to testify on the stand. He doesn't stand a chance, even if he is legally innocent. His pro se motions do not work because they force all motions through his attorney who isn't fighting for him. Brian submitted interrogatories to the Commonwealth's Attorney to ask for answers but his own lawyer will not submit interrogatories asking the same questions that were asked in Federal Court by Attorney Renorda Pryor, which would allow answers under oath before the trial and would give Brian a good chance at trying for a motion to dismiss based on the fact that Brian wasn't being obscene and obscenity is required in order for Brian to be found guilty of V.A. Code § 18.2-387. "Indecent exposure." Brian is legally innocent but the Jury will not recognize it if they are mainly Christians from the Bible belt, which highly likely will be Christians from the Bible belt. It doesn't matter what motions his friend Eric Clark (a legal expert from Kansas) tells Brian to file because any pro se motions he files or any interrogatories that he faxes to the Commonwealth Attorney will also be ignored because they were done pro se. He has been deprived of effective counsel every step of the way in his case, he doesn't stand a chance at jury trial. His former lawyer Scott Albrecht had never asked for the police body-camera footage while it was retained by Martinsville Police Department last year (*Brian also filed a motion for discovery for that body-camera footage but that was also ignored because it was filed pro se*), and Matthew Clark tells Brian that his letters to the Police Department asking for the body camera footage to be turned over to his lawyer doesn't matter, even though the body-camera footage would also help to show evidence of duress to the jury and show his autistic behaviors which



would be evident. His court appointed lawyers and private lawyers told him and his family that none of that matters, his legal innocence doesn't matter, none of the evidence matters. It is all one sided, a one sided jury trial that Brian would be facing. The prosecutor can use his autistic behaviors against him to make him look guilty but he isn't allowed to have a medical expert to testify to Brian's autism in his defense because the only defenses that will be accepted is if Brian were criminally insane (ruled mentally insane) and is declared cocoo, and that he would not be allowed to bring up anything from his Autism in his defense. They all practically said that if it was a bench trial, he would have a better chance at being found legally innocent for not being obscene, but Brian has no chance at a jury because it will all be fixed from what all lawyers have told Brian. Brian could debate with them all day long about how they are wrong about this and how they are about that, but Brian can't chance the minds of lawyers that are fixed to a certain belief or a certain repetitious ways of doing things a certain way all of the time, and that nothing else matters from this narrow way of doing things. Brian could file a motion to proceed pro se and try to set a new precedent on the way the rules work and that lawyers could have done this for Brian and could have done that for Brian, but then his Autism will work against him and make it difficult for Brian to present a case on his own during the jury trial as Brian is not a lawyer and has no Bar license, he doesn't have memorized the trial rules and rules of the court which puts him at risk of not knowing what he is doing, and if he is at risk of having an autistic meltdown, that would be used against him and put him in a worse situation. It is all fixed and Brian has no chance under a fixed jury trial. Since Brian is legally innocent, he can always file a Writ of Actual Innocence at a later time or ask a higher court to overturn his state conviction in General District Court on the basis of legal innocence since there is no time limit on actual innocence claims according to the U.S. Supreme Court on rulings for Writ of



Habeas Corpus petitions that are time barred but not time barred for actual innocence.

For the foregoing explanations above, Brian does not stand a chance, and because of his Autism his ability to present the case on his own (pro se) without any legal trial experience is a very good chance of losing and that his legal innocence would not matter to Christian Bible belt jurors. It would not matter to Christian Bible belt jurors even though Adam and Eve were naked but not sexual, and Noah was naked in the tent and his sons tried to cover him up and Noah got angry but was not sexual, and another bible story where Peter was fishing naked but wasn't sexual. However regular Christians would portray Brian's non-sexual nudity and confusing autistic behavior as sexual from their own religious perspectives. They wouldn't know of Brian's carbon monoxide exposure, they wouldn't know of Brian having a documented medical history of Mild Autism and would only know that at sentencing like that would matter, and they wouldn't know of Brian proving his innocence of possession of child pornography and be forced to tell the jurors under oath what his federal conviction was for but then would not be allowed to explain to the jurors that he had filed a Writ of Habeas Corpus petition in November 2017 for his actual innocence and was also attacking his conviction for fraud upon the court committed by the federal prosecution in violation of N.C. State Bar Rule 3.8.

For the foregoing explanations above, Brian would stand a better chance at a bench trial for his legal innocence or would stand a good chance of getting his case dismissed on not being obscene but his pro se motions are ignored and his lawyer refuses or fails to even try filing a motion to dismiss based on Brian's legal innocence on the obscenity requirement under Virginia persuasive case laws. There is no way Brian can legally push for a bench trial because the Commonwealth



Attorney pushed for jury trial, knowing that Brian will not get a fair trial and that his federal conviction can be used against him and prejudice the jurors one way or another. Legal innocence does not matter in a jury trial when the jurors can be prejudiced by a wrongful federal conviction that was and is currently being challenged on actual innocence and frauds upon the court under case law of Chambers v. Nasco, Inc. and other case laws. Brian would have won had it been a bench trial. Because of that reason, Brian has no choice but to withdraw his appeal from the General District Court, and consider finding other means to challenge his state case by other legal means he could use. He may even have to consider filing a Writ of Habeas Corpus in federal court for his state conviction in General District Court. Brian is having to weigh his options to ensure that his legal innocence is recognized and won't be barred by discrimination and prejudice on its face.

Then another lawyer who said that Brian did have a chance at being found innocent of indecent exposure, turned his back on Brian and refused to take the case at all because he spoke with Lauren McGarry who made a big deal out of somebody else's investigative work and blog post that doesn't even have the information on that blog for months after Brian's family requested that it be removed from Laurie's blog post on JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com. So that one lawyer refused to take the case because Lauren McGarry complained to him (this private lawyer) about somebody else's blog post from June or July of 2019. So Brian cannot even get a good lawyer to fight for him. Brian is screwed over, every way ever since the jury trial was started by the Commonwealth Attorney. Brian has had to beg for a pardon in his federal case for his actual innocence but Trump is being attacked so much by lies and deceit, Donald John Trump doesn't even have the time to consider pardoning Brian David Hill of his wrongful federal conviction on the basis of recognizing his actual innocence. Brian isn't going to



find a good lawyer that his family can pay for cheaply just so Brian can be found legally innocent and defeat his Supervised Release Violation in federal court over his legal innocence in this state case. One turned his back on Brian because of what one lawyer or two lawyers from the Martinsville Public Defender office had told him. Brian is screwed over in many ways and will never get a fair trial in this state case, EVER. Brian is having to consider asking for a non-local Virginia attorney away from the Bible belt and away from the Public Defender office, but then the cost will be unaffordable for a third party aka Brian's family to even pay to aid in Brian's winning this state case.

Brian's only chance to preserve his legal innocence is to withdraw his appeal in the Circuit Court, and just find another way to get a fair bench hearing to be found legally innocent of his state charge.

Brian has given a good series of explanations in this motion as to why he is withdrawing his appeal. He has other routes to prove his legal innocence and overturn his conviction in the General District Court. Brian doesn't to have to deal with any drama coming from the Martinsville Public Defender office over what one of Brian's friends had posted at JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com back in June or July 2019, but then removed those from the blog posting out of concerns from Brian's family that it would put a target on all of our backs. At this point, there is just no way any fair trial can happen in the City of Martinsville. Brian is requesting appeal be withdrawn and accepts the conviction in the General District Court, and will find other legal ways to overturn his wrongful conviction on December 21, 2018, in the Martinsville General District Court.

Also an argument suggested by Brian's family:

According to our US Constitution, Sixth Amendment - Rights of Accused in Criminal Prosecutions a defendant has a right to a speedy and public trial,



by an **impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed**, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; **to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor**, and to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defense.

It is now 21 or 22 days until Brian's jury trial. His attorney has not asked Brian who he would want to have as witnesses to represent him, has not tried to get a professional witness who understands and can explain to the jury how his autism caused him to leave the house and caused other things that night, and it is getting too close to time to prepare or arrange for these witnesses to appear. All cards are stacked against Brian and work for the prosecution which would explain why he wanted a jury trial, and Brian's court appointed attorney wanted a bench trial.

Brian and his family have contacted several local attorneys and found out from all that we live in a Bible belt so most jurors in this area will be offended by these photos. Taking photos of oneself in the nude is not against the law. These were taken in the dark when no one else was around, and the camera was in Brian's backpack at the time of arrest. Now these personal photos will be shown to many people without explaining that Brian has autism which is brain damage and similar to dementia and was under the influence of undetected carbon monoxide gas in his home for almost a year which caused Brian's health to worsen and caused a lot of physical damage to the ceiling above & walls around his fireplace from the gas hot water heater and gas boiler/furnace. The vent was blocked in the chimney. If Brian testifies, the prosecution then can bring up about a former charge, but Brian can't bring up that this charge is based on fraud upon the court and is being contested in court. With Brian's autism, he will most likely have a melt-down. This court appointed attorney wants Brian to testify and did not tell us what other attorneys have told us about this. This attorney has not discussed if he has obtained permission for Brian to have autism and type I insulin brittle diabetes supports in the court which is a federal law according to the Americans with Disabilities Act as well as instructions on how to question someone with autism in the court. He has not told Brian or his family what type of measure will be taken when they



question someone with autism. Brian has now accepted the fact that he will lose and so it is time to withdraw his appeal.

Hill respectfully files this Motion with this honorable Court, this the 11th day of November, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian D. Hill

Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 1

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

This pleading had been transmitted by facsimile to the Office of the Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Clerk's office at the Martinsville Circuit Court on November 11, 2019, at the address of 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112 and at Fax: (276) 403-5232. It has also been transmitted by facsimile to the General District Court at the Fax: Fax: (276) 403-5114 since granting of this motion to withdraw appeal sends the case record back to the General District Court.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this the 11th day of November, 2019, a true copy of the foregoing Motion/Pleading was transmitted by facsimile to the office of the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville,



Virginia 24112, counsel for Plaintiff of the Commonwealth of Virginia, Fax: 276-403-5478. Transmission ticket attached.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 1

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill



VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
)	
v.)	
)	
Brian David Hill,)	Motion to Withdraw Appeal
)	
Defendant,)	

MOTION TO WITHDRAW APPEAL

COMES NOW criminal Defendant Brian David Hill ("Brian", "Hill") respectfully requests to withdraw the Appeal of the December 21, 2018, General District Court finding of guilty. However Brian does NOT waive his right to collaterally attack/challenge his conviction in General District Court and also does NOT waive his right to file a Writ of Actual Innocence.

The reason for withdrawing his appeal is because he is facing a fixed jury trial where the cards are stacked against him. It will not be a fair trial and his legal innocence will not matter as various private lawyers had explained to Brian when Brian's family asked for free consultation with multiple private lawyers, to see if any had opinions differing from the court appointed lawyers.

One lawyer told Brian that his federal conviction will be brought up if Brian testifies on the stand, when testifying is necessary to show a defense that Brian has Autism Spectrum Disorder which would explain why Brian smiles in photos, because his Autism affects his behaviors and can cause incorrect or abnormal behaviors such as smiling or giving the inappropriate facial expressions or gestures



during a situation of duress or in any other situation. Autism should not be legally treated as the same as normal behaviors because Autism behaviors differ from the normal set of expected human behaviors in a society. Such as after being threatened Brian didn't walk for miles to get to the Police Department but instead did exactly what the man in the hoodie had directed Brian to do or his mother will be killed, he presumed that if he didn't do what the man in the hoodie had said. Another lawyer said that his affidavits filed in federal court about his state case cannot be used. Another one said that Brian's allegation of fraud upon the court against the Commonwealth Attorney cannot be sustained because the evidence that may prove fraud by the Police Department cannot be used because of dual sovereignty where federal evidence concerning the same state charge of indecent exposure and is relevant to it cannot be brought up at the jury trial because of a claim of dual sovereignty, then hinting that Brian may face contempt of court for bringing the truthful allegations because of a technicality of dual sovereignty barring Brian from bringing up a map in the federal case showing where Brian allegedly took the pictures on September 21, 2018, which disproves Martinsville Police Department's fraudulent claim that Brian took photos all around the town, or all around the city when the evidence presented by the U.S. Attorney and witness Sergeant Robert Jones differs from his earlier claims to the psychologist which means fraudulent claims was told to both the psychologist of the mental evaluation at the General District Court level. Different lawyers gave slightly different opinions but all of them recommended that Brian withdraw his appeal because he would not win the jury trial even if he is legally innocent because the jurors would be biased and picked from the bible belt area and would automatically make their own personal determinations of Brian's guilt rather than the law's determination in the Appellate courts of record. They thought if Brian had faced a bench trial that he'd have a better chance at being found legally innocent of his



charge. Since there is no way possible for Brian to get a bench trial, the lawyers thinks Brian has a poor chance, which doesn't make any sense.

Brian was told he can't have this as evidence, he cannot have that as evidence. Brian doesn't seem to be getting anywhere and each lawyer just gives the same bad news, that because Brian was wrongfully convicted of possession of child pornography in a corrupt Federal Court in the Middle District of North Carolina that ignored evidence and wouldn't show Brian all of his discovery material before compelling him to falsely plead guilty, that his Writ of Habeas Corpus on the ground of actual innocence does not matter, and that his fraud upon the court allegations in his federal case that were unopposed also does not matter for his state case. All the jurors would hear is about Brian's "sex charge" when Brian testifies on the stand and he was told by one private lawyer that he cannot bring up to the jury that he is fighting his federal criminal conviction on the ground of actual innocence and fraud upon the court and both of them are not subject to statute of limitations, and that it can be used to discredit him as a witness because all felons are liars as one lawyer told Brian. It takes time for Brian to overturn his wrongful federal conviction but the state jury trial will not delay indefinitely for Brian to overturn his wrongful conviction in Federal Court so that then he can testify at the jury trial without facing that prejudice. Brian will not get a fair trial in state court until his federal conviction is overturned, or that President Donald John Trump grants Brian a pardon of innocence for his federal conviction. His federal conviction cannot establish any facts or relevance as to why Brian was naked (but not obscene) on September 21, 2018. However when the jurors hear the words "possession of child pornography", any Christian will instantly turn on Brian and want him dead or to suffer, and want his house burned down. Because of the stigmatization of his "federal sex charge" of "possession", his actual innocence



will not matter, even if Brian is later found actually innocent of his wrongful federal conviction, if they hear those words, their ears turn off, their brains turn off, and their eyes turn off and they will refuse to hear or see any evidence except that Brian will be guilty because they will all believe that he is guilty when they hear the words of his federal conviction if Brian were to testify on the stand. He doesn't stand a chance, even if he is legally innocent. His pro se motions do not work because they force all motions through his attorney who isn't fighting for him. Brian submitted interrogatories to the Commonwealth's Attorney to ask for answers but his own lawyer will not submit interrogatories asking the same questions that were asked in Federal Court by Attorney Renorda Pryor, which would allow answers under oath before the trial and would give Brian a good chance at trying for a motion to dismiss based on the fact that Brian wasn't being obscene and obscenity is required in order for Brian to be found guilty of V.A. Code § 18.2-387. "Indecent exposure." Brian is legally innocent but the Jury will not recognize it if they are mainly Christians from the Bible belt, which highly likely will be Christians from the Bible belt. It doesn't matter what motions his friend Eric Clark (a legal expert from Kansas) tells Brian to file because any pro se motions he files or any interrogatories that he faxes to the Commonwealth Attorney will also be ignored because they were done pro se. He has been deprived of effective counsel every step of the way in his case, he doesn't stand a chance at jury trial. His former lawyer Scott Albrecht had never asked for the police body-camera footage while it was retained by Martinsville Police Department last year (*Brian also filed a motion for discovery for that body-camera footage but that was also ignored because it was filed pro se*), and Matthew Clark tells Brian that his letters to the Police Department asking for the body camera footage to be turned over to his lawyer doesn't matter, even though the body-camera footage would also help to show evidence of duress to the jury and show his autistic behaviors which



would be evident. His court appointed lawyers and private lawyers told him and his family that none of that matters, his legal innocence doesn't matter, none of the evidence matters. It is all one sided, a one sided jury trial that Brian would be facing. The prosecutor can use his autistic behaviors against him to make him look guilty but he isn't allowed to have a medical expert to testify to Brian's autism in his defense because the only defenses that will be accepted is if Brian were criminally insane (ruled mentally insane) and is declared cocoo, and that he would not be allowed to bring up anything from his Autism in his defense. They all practically said that if it was a bench trial, he would have a better chance at being found legally innocent for not being obscene, but Brian has no chance at a jury because it will all be fixed from what all lawyers have told Brian. Brian could debate with them all day long about how they are wrong about this and how they are about that, but Brian can't chance the minds of lawyers that are fixed to a certain belief or a certain repetitious ways of doing things a certain way all of the time, and that nothing else matters from this narrow way of doing things. Brian could file a motion to proceed pro se and try to set a new precedent on the way the rules work and that lawyers could have done this for Brian and could have done that for Brian, but then his Autism will work against him and make it difficult for Brian to present a case on his own during the jury trial as Brian is not a lawyer and has no Bar license, he doesn't have memorized the trial rules and rules of the court which puts him at risk of not knowing what he is doing, and if he is at risk of having an autistic meltdown, that would be used against him and put him in a worse situation. It is all fixed and Brian has no chance under a fixed jury trial. Since Brian is legally innocent, he can always file a Writ of Actual Innocence at a later time or ask a higher court to overturn his state conviction in General District Court on the basis of legal innocence since there is no time limit on actual innocence claims according to the U.S. Supreme Court on rulings for Writ of



Habeas Corpus petitions that are time barred but not time barred for actual innocence.

For the foregoing explanations above, Brian does not stand a chance, and because of his Autism his ability to present the case on his own (pro se) without any legal trial experience is a very good chance of losing and that his legal innocence would not matter to Christian Bible belt jurors. It would not matter to Christian Bible belt jurors even though Adam and Eve were naked but not sexual, and Noah was naked in the tent and his sons tried to cover him up and Noah got angry but was not sexual, and another bible story where Peter was fishing naked but wasn't sexual. However regular Christians would portray Brian's non-sexual nudity and confusing autistic behavior as sexual from their own religious perspectives. They wouldn't know of Brian's carbon monoxide exposure, they wouldn't know of Brian having a documented medical history of Mild Autism and would only know that at sentencing like that would matter, and they wouldn't know of Brian proving his innocence of possession of child pornography and be forced to tell the jurors under oath what his federal conviction was for but then would not be allowed to explain to the jurors that he had filed a Writ of Habeas Corpus petition in November 2017 for his actual innocence and was also attacking his conviction for fraud upon the court committed by the federal prosecution in violation of N.C. State Bar Rule 3.8.

For the foregoing explanations above, Brian would stand a better chance at a bench trial for his legal innocence or would stand a good chance of getting his case dismissed on not being obscene but his pro se motions are ignored and his lawyer refuses or fails to even try filing a motion to dismiss based on Brian's legal innocence on the obscenity requirement under Virginia persuasive case laws. There is no way Brian can legally push for a bench trial because the Commonwealth



Attorney pushed for jury trial, knowing that Brian will not get a fair trial and that his federal conviction can be used against him and prejudice the jurors one way or another. Legal innocence does not matter in a jury trial when the jurors can be prejudiced by a wrongful federal conviction that was and is currently being challenged on actual innocence and frauds upon the court under case law of Chambers v. Nasco, Inc. and other case laws. Brian would have won had it been a bench trial. Because of that reason, Brian has no choice but to withdraw his appeal from the General District Court, and consider finding other means to challenge his state case by other legal means he could use. He may even have to consider filing a Writ of Habeas Corpus in federal court for his state conviction in General District Court. Brian is having to weigh his options to ensure that his legal innocence is recognized and won't be barred by discrimination and prejudice on its face.

Then another lawyer who said that Brian did have a chance at being found innocent of indecent exposure, turned his back on Brian and refused to take the case at all because he spoke with Lauren McGarry who made a big deal out of somebody else's investigative work and blog post that doesn't even have the information on that blog for months after Brian's family requested that it be removed from Laurie's blog post on JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com. So that one lawyer refused to take the case because Lauren McGarry complained to him (this private lawyer) about somebody else's blog post from June or July of 2019. So Brian cannot even get a good lawyer to fight for him. Brian is screwed over, every way ever since the jury trial was started by the Commonwealth Attorney. Brian has had to beg for a pardon in his federal case for his actual innocence but Trump is being attacked so much by lies and deceit, Donald John Trump doesn't even have the time to consider pardoning Brian David Hill of his wrongful federal conviction on the basis of recognizing his actual innocence. Brian isn't going to



find a good lawyer that his family can pay for cheaply just so Brian can be found legally innocent and defeat his Supervised Release Violation in federal court over his legal innocence in this state case. One turned his back on Brian because of what one lawyer or two lawyers from the Martinsville Public Defender office had told him. Brian is screwed over in many ways and will never get a fair trial in this state case, EVER. Brian is having to consider asking for a non-local Virginia attorney away from the Bible belt and away from the Public Defender office, but then the cost will be unaffordable for a third party aka Brian's family to even pay to aid in Brian's winning this state case.

Brian's only chance to preserve his legal innocence is to withdraw his appeal in the Circuit Court, and just find another way to get a fair bench hearing to be found legally innocent of his state charge.

Brian has given a good series of explanations in this motion as to why he is withdrawing his appeal. He has other routes to prove his legal innocence and overturn his conviction in the General District Court. Brian doesn't to have to deal with any drama coming from the Martinsville Public Defender office over what one of Brian's friends had posted at JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com back in June or July 2019, but then removed those from the blog posting out of concerns from Brian's family that it would put a target on all of our backs. At this point, there is just no way any fair trial can happen in the City of Martinsville. Brian is requesting appeal be withdrawn and accepts the conviction in the General District Court, and will find other legal ways to overturn his wrongful conviction on December 21, 2018, in the Martinsville General District Court.

Also an argument suggested by Brian's family:

According to our US Constitution, Sixth Amendment - Rights of Accused in Criminal Prosecutions a defendant has a right to a speedy and public trial,



by an **impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed**, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; **to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor**, and to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defense.

It is now 21 or 22 days until Brian's jury trial. His attorney has not asked Brian who he would want to have as witnesses to represent him, has not tried to get a professional witness who understands and can explain to the jury how his autism caused him to leave the house and caused other things that night, and it is getting too close to time to prepare or arrange for these witnesses to appear. All cards are stacked against Brian and work for the prosecution which would explain why he wanted a jury trial, and Brian's court appointed attorney wanted a bench trial.

Brian and his family have contacted several local attorneys and found out from all that we live in a Bible belt so most jurors in this area will be offended by these photos. Taking photos of oneself in the nude is not against the law. These were taken in the dark when no one else was around, and the camera was in Brian's backpack at the time of arrest. Now these personal photos will be shown to many people without explaining that Brian has autism which is brain damage and similar to dementia and was under the influence of undetected carbon monoxide gas in his home for almost a year which caused Brian's health to worsen and caused a lot of physical damage to the ceiling above & walls around his fireplace from the gas hot water heater and gas boiler/furnace. The vent was blocked in the chimney. If Brian testifies, the prosecution then can bring up about a former charge, but Brian can't bring up that this charge is based on fraud upon the court and is being contested in court. With Brian's autism, he will most likely have a melt-down. This court appointed attorney wants Brian to testify and did not tell us what other attorneys have told us about this. This attorney has not discussed if he has obtained permission for Brian to have autism and type I insulin brittle diabetes supports in the court which is a federal law according to the Americans with Disabilities Act as well as instructions on how to question someone with autism in the court. He has not told Brian or his family what type of measure will be taken when they



question someone with autism. Brian has now accepted the fact that he will lose and so it is time to withdraw his appeal.

Hill respectfully files this Motion with this honorable Court, this the 11th day of November, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian D. Hill

Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro-Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 1

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

This pleading had been transmitted by facsimile to the Office of the Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Clerk's office at the Martinsville Circuit Court on November 11, 2019, at the address of 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112 and at Fax: (276) 403-5232. It has also been transmitted by facsimile to the General District Court at the Fax: Fax: (276) 403-5114 since granting of this motion to withdraw appeal sends the case record back to the General District Court.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this the 11th day of November, 2019, a true copy of the foregoing Motion/Pleading was transmitted by facsimile to the office of the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville,



Attn: Ms Stacie Renae Prillaman or any authorized Deputy Clerk; Martinsville General District Court

Virginia 24112, counsel for Plaintiff of the Commonwealth of Virginia, Fax: 276-403-5478. Transmission ticket attached.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 1

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill



Attn.: Ms Stacie Renae Prillaman or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville General District Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 11/11/2019

Number of pages: 11

Attn.: Commonwealth Attorney

Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478

Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\Brian's motion to withdraw Error Correction: Yes\19-11-11.tif

File description: Brian's motion to withdraw appeal(4)Signed.pdf

Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478

Rate: 14400 bps

Time: 7:58:49 PM

Session duration: 8:53

To: Commonwealth Attorney

Message type: Fax

Resolution: 200*200 dpi

Record number: 7994

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
)	
v.)	
)	
Brian David Hill,)	Motion to Withdraw Appeal
)	
Defendant,)	

MOTION TO WITHDRAW APPEAL

COMES NOW criminal Defendant Brian David Hill ("Brian", "Hill") respectfully requests to withdraw the Appeal of the December 21, 2018, General District Court finding of guilty. However Brian does NOT waive his right to collaterally attack/challenge his conviction in General District Court and also does NOT waive his right to file a Writ of Actual Innocence.

The reason for withdrawing his appeal is because he is facing a fixed jury trial where the cards are stacked against him. It will not be a fair trial and his legal innocence will not matter as various private lawyers had explained to Brian when Brian's family asked for free consultation with multiple private lawyers, to see if any had opinions differing from the court appointed lawyers.

One lawyer told Brian that his federal conviction will be brought up if Brian testifies on the stand, when testifying is necessary to show a defense that Brian has Autism Spectrum Disorder which would explain why Brian smiles in photos, because his Autism affects his behaviors and can cause incorrect or abnormal behaviors such as smiling or giving the inappropriate facial expressions or gestures

In The Circuit Court of Martinsville
For The Commonwealth of Virginia

Commonwealth of Virginia,
Plaintiff,

Case no.
C18-3138

v.

Brian David Hill,
Defendant,

Motion to Admit
Evidence before trial

Motion to Admit Evidence before Trial

Criminal Defendant Brian David Hill respectfully files this Motion with the Martinsville Circuit Court to Admit Evidence in this case prior to the trial date of January 28, 2019.

The evidence is one (1) General Affidavit by witness Brian David Hill, and a handwritten copy for the Commonwealth Attorney, all to be submitted to this case of this Court.

Brian David Hill is willing to comply with his Constitutional obligations to this Court by testifying orally at his trial and be cross examined under the Oath clause of the U.S. Constitution.

Respectfully filed with the Court, this the 8th day of January, 2019.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 01/23/2019 @12:03:01

TESTE: --CLERK/DEPUTY-CLERK--

Brian David Hill #00-21123
Western V.A. Regional Jail
5885 West River Road
Salem, VA 24153

235

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Copy provided for Comm Atty by D&K
Copies out in CA Box.

General Affidavit

As a resident of Martinsville within the Commonwealth of Virginia (temporarily Western Virginia Regional Jail) Brian David Hill personally approached me, the undersigned Notary, made his/her sworn testimony in a General Affidavit, that the following statement is completely factual and true to the best of his/her belief and knowledge.

Statement:

I am Brian David Hill, I have Autism Spectrum Disorder, Obsessive Compulsive Disorder, and Type 1 brittle Diabetes. Prior to my incarceration at Western Virginia Regional Jail (current) and Martinsville City Jail (prior) I had lived at 310 Forest Street Apt. 2, Martinsville, VA 24114 24112. I am a victim of crime. My Autism can cause me to give misleading statements and body language/gestures. On the night of September 20, 2018. I had walked to the area around Downtown Martinsville, a big warehouse beside a road and the Dick and Willie hiking trail. It was around 11:00PM to 12:00 midnight, between that time span. A man wearing a dark hoodie was there, had me to go over to the trees, told me that I will get naked in public, walk on the Dick and Willie trail, find a spot to take pictures of myself then place the camera or SD card at the bench
cont.

after Southern Finishing factory. He also said that if I do not do this, "they" will kill my "mother Roberta Hill", then said "Do you understand?" and I said "yes, I will do it please don't kill my mother". Last thing he said was "go and do it or else". He was between 5ft to 6ft slim, maybe 160lbs, sounded like a white guy, wore a hard mask, dark clothing.

I am submitting this testimony under oath to the Martinsville Circuit Court at 55 West Church Street, criminal case no. C18-3138, in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Brian D. Hill
signed

Affiant signature

County of Roanoke, Commonwealth of Virginia
The foregoing instrument was subscribed and sworn before me this 8 day of January by Brian D. Hill (name of person seeking acknowledgement)
Notary Public
My commission expires: 8/31/22

5885 West River Road
Salem, VA 24153
Brian David Hill

Affiant name

Affiant Address

January 8, 2019
Date signed


Sworn and subscribed to before me on this day of 2019.

JOHN D. MASTRANGELO
NOTARY PUBLIC
REGISTRATION # 7725720
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
AUGUST 31, 2022

JOHN D. MASTRANGELO
NOTARY PUBLIC
REGISTRATION # 7725720
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
AUGUST 31, 2022

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 07/23/2019 13:29:55

TESTE: 
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

NOTARY PUBLIC
COMMISSION EXPIRES
AUGUST 31, 2022

NOTARY PUBLIC
COMMISSION EXPIRES
AUGUST 31, 2022

Brian David Hill #00-21123
c/o Smart Communications -
Western Virginia Regional Jail
8735 Franklin Road SW #275
Roanoke, VA 24014-2260

GREENSBORO NC 274
FREDMONT TRAIL AREA
09 JAN 2019 PM 3 L



Hon. Clerk of the Court
Martinsville Circuit Court
P.O. Box 1206
Martinsville, Virginia 24114-1206

WVRJ
INMATE MAIL

LEGAL MAIL

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,	}	
	}	RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S
	}	MOTION FOR DISCOVERY
VS:	}	
	}	AND
	}	
	}	MOTION FOR RECIPROCAL
BRIAN DAVID HILL,	}	DISCOVERY
	}	
	}	CR19-009

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW the Commonwealth of Virginia by its Commonwealth's Attorney and in response to the Defendant's Motion for Discovery states as follows:

The Commonwealth has no objection to the inspection and copying or photographing by the counsel for the Defendant of those materials specified in Rule 3A:11 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of Virginia, namely:

- 1) Any relevant written or recorded statements or confessions made by the Defendant, or copies thereof, and the substance of any oral statements or confessions made by the Defendant to any law enforcement officer, the existence of which is known to the Attorney for the Commonwealth, and any relevant written reports of autopsies, ballistic tests, fingerprint analysis, blood, urine and breath tests, other scientific reports, and written reports of a physical or mental examination of the Defendant or the alleged victim made in connection with this particular case, or copies thereof, that are known by the Commonwealth's Attorney to be within the possession, custody or control of the Commonwealth, and

MARTINSVILLE
COMMONWEALTH'S
ATTORNEY

55 WEST CHURCH STREET
P.O. Box 1311
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24114

276-403-5470 (PHONE)
276-403-5478 (FAX)

G. ANDREW HALL
VSB #71048

PAULA A. BOWEN
VSB #72081

ALBERTO Z. HERRERO
VSB #38159

DANIEL P. MOOK
VSB #84231

- 2) All of the specifically designated books, papers, documents, tangible objects, buildings or places, or copies or portions thereof, that are within the possession, custody, or control of the Commonwealth, requested by the Defendant.

The Commonwealth requests that the Court require that the inspection and copying or photographing take place at the office of the Commonwealth's Attorney at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia, or at some other mutually agreeable location by appointment or at any time during regular business hours.

The Commonwealth moves the Court to require the Defendant not less than ten days before trial to provide reciprocal discovery in accordance with Rule 3A:11(c), namely:

- 1) The Defendant shall permit the Commonwealth, not less than ten days before the trial or sentencing, as the case may be, to inspect, copy and photograph any written reports of autopsy examinations, ballistic tests, fingerprint, blood, urine and breath analyses, and other scientific tests that may be within the Defendant's possession, custody or control and which the defense intends to proffer or introduce into evidence at the trial or sentencing, and
- 2) The Defendant shall disclose whether he or she intends to introduce evidence to establish an alibi and, if so, the Defendant shall disclose the place at which he or she claims to have been at the time of the commission of the alleged offense, and
- 3) If the Defendant intends to rely upon the defense of insanity or feeble-mindedness, the Defendant shall permit the Commonwealth to

MARTINSVILLE
COMMONWEALTH'S
ATTORNEY

55 WEST CHURCH STREET
P.O. Box 1311
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24114

276-403-5470 (PHONE)
276-403-5478 (FAX)

G. ANDREW HALL
VSB #71048

PAULA A. BOWEN
VSB #72081


ALBERTO Z. HERRERO
VSB #38159

DANIEL P. MOOK
VSB #84231

inspect, copy or photograph any written reports of any physical or mental examination of the Defendant made in connection with this particular case.

Respectfully moved,

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

By: 
Asst. Commonwealth's Attorney
City of Martinsville, Virginia

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing response was faxed/mailed/delivered to Scott Albrecht, counsel for defendant, P.O. Drawer 31, Martinsville, Virginia 24112, in the above styled case, on this the 6th day of February, 2019.


Asst. Commonwealth's Attorney

MARTINSVILLE
COMMONWEALTH'S
ATTORNEY

55 WEST CHURCH STREET
P.O. Box 1311
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24114

276-403-5470 (PHONE)
276-403-5478 (FAX)

G. ANDREW HALL
VSB #71048


PAULA A. BOWEN
VSB #72081

ALBERTO Z. HERRERO
VSB #38159

DANIEL P. MOOK
VSB #84231

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 02/06/2019 @15:25:56

TESTE: 
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,
Plaintiff

vs.

CR19-009

BRIAN DAVID HILL,
Defendant.

ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY

Came this day, the Defendant, Brian David Hill, by counsel, who moved, pursuant to Rule 3A:11 of the Rules of Court, that the Commonwealth's Attorney be directed to permit the Defendant discovery in this case, as set forth in the said Rule, and upon the motion of the attorney of the Commonwealth requesting reciprocal discovery under the said Rule; and,

It appearing to the Court that discovery pursuant to Rule 3A:11(b) should be granted to the Defendant, it is hereby ORDERED that the Commonwealth's Attorney permit counsel for the Defendant to inspect and copy or photograph, within a reasonable time, before the trial or sentencing, the following:

(1) Any relevant written or recorded statements or confessions made by the Defendant, or copies thereof, or the substance of any oral statements or confessions made by the Defendant to any law enforcement officer, the existence of which is known to the attorney for the Commonwealth, any certificates of analysis pursuant to §19.2-187, and any relevant written reports of autopsies, ballistic tests, fingerprint analyses, handwriting analyses, blood, urine, and breath tests, other scientific reports, and written reports of a physical or mental examination of the Defendant or the alleged victim made in connection with this particular case, or copies thereof, that are known by the Commonwealth's Attorney to be within the possession, custody, or

control of the Commonwealth.

(2) Any exculpatory information or evidence under the guidelines established by Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and subsequent case law, whether by way of statements, real evidence, scientific analysis, or reports, known to or in the possession of the Commonwealth.

(3) The Commonwealth shall promptly notify counsel for the Defendant of the existence of any additional material subsequently discovered (until the time of trial and at trial) which falls within the scope of this motion and make all such additional material available to the Defendant's attorney in accordance with the text and intention of this Motion.

It appearing to the Court that the motion for reciprocal discovery filed by the attorney for the Commonwealth pursuant to Rule 3A:11 should also be granted, it is hereby ORDERED that counsel for the Defendant permit the Commonwealth's Attorney to inspect, copy, or photograph, within a reasonable time, but not less than ten days before the trial or sentencing, any written reports of autopsy examinations, ballistic tests, fingerprints, blood, urine, and breath analyses, and other scientific tests that may be within the Defendant's possession, custody, or control and which the defense intends to proffer or introduce into evidence at the trial or sentencing:

It is further ORDERED that the Defendant disclose whether he or she intends to introduce evidence to establish an alibi, and, if so, to disclose the place at which he or she claims to have been at the time of the commission of the alleged offense.

It is further ORDERED that if the Defendant intends to rely upon the defense of insanity or feeble-mindedness, the Defendant shall permit the Commonwealth to inspect, copy, or photograph any written reports of physical or mental examination of the Defendant made in connection with this particular case.


It is further ORDERED that the inspection and copying or photographing by the counsel for the Defendant shall take place at the office of the Commonwealth's Attorney at Martinsville, Virginia, or at some other mutually agreeable location, by appointment or at any convenient time during regular office hours, and that the inspection and copying or photographing by the attorney for the Commonwealth shall take place at the office of the counsel for the Defendant, or at some other mutually agreeable location, by appointment or at any convenient time during regular office hours.

ENTERED this 6th day of February, 2019.



Judge

I ASK FOR THIS:



Scott Albrecht (VSB #88411)
Office of the Public Defender
P. O. Drawer 31
Martinsville, VA 24114
276-666-2206
276-666-8929 (fax)
salbrecht@mar.idc.virginia.gov

SEEN:



Counsel for the Commonwealth

In The Circuit Court of Martinsville
For The Commonwealth of Virginia

Commonwealth of Virginia,
Plaintiff,

Case no. C18-3138
CR9-009

v.

Brian David Hill,
Defendant,

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 04/08/2019 @11:38:03

TESTE: ^{CLK}
~~Motion to file Evidence/Photos~~
before Trial

Motion to file Evidence/Photos before Trial

Criminal Defendant Brian David Hill ("Brian", "Hill") hereby files photos/photographs as evidence in this case, requesting that they be admitted as evidence in this Court case before the bench trial scheduled in April, 2019. Photos were taken in March, 2019, by Roberta Hill (witness), and document the damage in Brian Hill's Apartment as the cause was carbon monoxide due to the gas. Hill was exposed to the carbon monoxide for days, weeks, maybe even months prior to Hill's arrest on September 21, 2018. A 4-page letter, a photocopy of what was mailed to psychologist Dr. Dawn Graney (dated March 21, 2019) shall also be filed as evidence along with the six (6) photographs in this case. Carbon monoxide poisoning can damage not just the home but can damage the brain and effect the brain. Imagine carbon monoxide affecting Hill's brain while Hill has Autism Spectrum Disorder which is a neurological brain disability.

Copy provided for CA by def
Placed in CA mail box

1

Made copy for PD and
Placed in PD box 246

The four (4) page letter makes a good explanation about how Hill was exposed to carbon monoxide as caused by the natural gas due to the holes/flumes in the fireplace being blocked.

Again, Hill exhibits a behavior on September, 21st, 2018, that Hill never exhibited before. Hill never masturbated at all in public. The Commonwealth Attorney even admitted verbally/orally during the first trial on December 21st, 2018 that Hill was not charged with obscenity that Hill was not being ~~obse~~ obscene in public from what the Commonwealth had claimed.

Under the arguments made in case laws of A.M. v. Commonwealth (Alexandria), Kenneth Sameiel Moses v. Commonwealth (Salem), and Kimberly F. Neice v. Commonwealth (Teleconference), one cannot be guilty of indecent exposure unless the person was aroused in public aka intending to make an obscene display for the purpose of sexual gratification (a sex offense).

Hill, at the time Sgt. R. D. Jones had found Mr. Hill, was under the influence of carbon monoxide poisoning exposure in his own living residence aka his home. The photos further document and prove this fact. The psychologist in November, 2018, who mentally evaluated Mr. Hill was not made aware of the carbon monoxide exposure; and neither was Hill made aware until March, 2019.

It is clear that Hill was innocent legally and factually of

indecent exposure. Hill is a victim of crime and carbon monoxide. Hill should not be convicted of indecent exposure as doing such would be wrongful under the facts and circumstances of this case. The case law in three different Appellate circuits in the Commonwealth of Virginia are in Hill's favor and for good reason.

Please find Brian David Hill innocent of his charge of indecent exposure that was on September 21st, 2018.
Thank You, all due respects.

Respectfully filed with the Court, this the 2nd day of April, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,
Brian David Hill (Pro Se)

Brian D. Hill
signed

#29947-057

Federal Correctional Institution¹
Old N.C. Hwy 75/P.O. Box 1000
Butner, N.C. 27509

Stanley's blog: JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Drain The Swamp
Make America Great Again

Qanon

Certificate of Service

Brian David Hill hereby certifies that the foregoing pleading (plus six photos and 4-page letter evidence) has been placed inside an envelope, postage prepaid under certified mail tracking no. 7018-1130-0000-8938-9923, deposited at the Mail Room at the FCI¹ Butner institution, and directed to the Clerk of the Martinsville Circuit Court. Copy made for the Commonwealth Attorney. Since Brian Hill proceeds In Forma Pauperis, he requests that the Clerk serve the pre-copied pleading and attached evidence with the Commonwealth Attorney. Thank You.

Note: Mistake, it was seven (7) photos not six

Respectfully submitted,

Brian D. Hill

Signed

Brian David Hill (Pro Se)
#29947-057

Federal Correctional Institution¹
Old N.C. Hwy 75/P.O. Box 1000
Butner, N.C. 27509

Stanley's blog: JusticeForUSNGO.wordpress.com

Drain The Swamp
Make America Great Again

Qanon

March 21, 2019

201 Greyson St, Martinsville, VA 24112

Dr. Graney,

(Brian's copy)

We got a letter from Brian yesterday, and he asked us to send photos of the damage on the ceiling and walls around his fireplace in the living room of the apartment where he has been living since May, 2016. There was also white residue inside of his fireplace. The chimney expert who found the carbon monoxide damage said that this could be cleaned with detergent & water so Brian's mom has already cleaned that. It needed months to dry before repairs. There is a carpenter lined up to repair it around April 20th. It was the young son of the owner of the chimney company in Rocky Mount, VA who was sent to clean the fireplace (chimney sweep) and to put screen on all 3 holes to keep birds out of the fireplace; instead he put tin over all 3 holes including the hole for the natural gas heater and the gas water heater in the basement leaving no where for the gas and water steam to escape in October, 2017. None of us were aware that there was tin there and thought it was screen. This took care of the bird problem.


In December, 2017 or January, 2018 there was a snow storm, and that was when we noticed the damage in the ceiling of Brian's apartment but no damage in his mom's ceiling above her fireplace in her apartment. We thought it was odd that there were no problems until the chimney people came. It continued getting worse through the winter, so in the spring Roberta cocked the foundation around her porch because we thought it looked like a foundation problem, not a roof problem because there was no water damage on Roberta's ceiling. Brian's ceiling was below his mom's apartment. After this the problem got worse. Brian's OCD was getting worse too. He was having a diarrhea problem and was using the hot water 6 to 8 hours a day. This is a gas hot water heater with nowhere for the gas & steam to escape. It was escaping apparently through both fireplaces leaving damage in the ceiling in Brian's apartment below the brick (hearth) on the floor in front of Roberta's apartment and all along the walls below Roberta's fireplace into the walls beside of Brian's fireplace (both sides) and the top above the mirror. At the time, it just looked like rain water. We thought eventually half of the ceiling in

Brian's apartment would have water damage. Looking at the photos now, you can clearly see that it is from the gas heaters, not rain and just covered under the hearth of Roberta's fireplace above Brian's on his ceiling so both were effected but up in Roberta's apartment, you couldn't see the damage.


Both Roberta & Brian were complaining about not feeling well, being weak and very tired. We (Brian's grandparents) were moving from one house to another in Martinsville, VA during this time, and Roberta couldn't understand where we got our energy in our 70's when she & Brian were so tired. Roberta tried to help us from time to time with the move, but she couldn't hold out like we could. Both of us are disabled and elderly and are slow and took months for this move. She was always before this stronger than we were. Her head was shaking a lot. We thought it was stress from taking care of Brian and not being able to get much sleep or rest. Brian kept complaining that he couldn't think straight. The cats' personalities had changed, but yet none of us even thought that all of the moisture damage around the fireplace was damage from the moisture & natural gas required to run the natural gas boiler furnace downstairs and the natural gas hot water heater. Now that we know the facts, we all feel bad and guilty for what all has happened to Brian. It takes a long time in Martinsville, VA to locate carpenters and wait for prices and for them to do any work on homes. We also are glad that Brian no longer came back to his house in September, 2018 due to the fact that the carbon monoxide poisoning with the use of the heater through the winter and from the hot water heater was not discovered until January, 2019.

There were many threats due to Brian's fighting to get off from false federal charges. He was set-up with child porn on his computer in 2012, and he and his family have been putting proof in the court with his 2255 since November, 2017 of his innocence, and there have been strange things happening after this date, but then along with the threats (including death threats and threats of putting more child porn in Brian's email after he is released to keep him on the sex registry, sending threats in emails to Brian's family & attorneys and actually sending and bragging about it to Brian and other alternative news people and to Brian's friends after Brian appealed his case in 2015 and the hate cards and letter sent from Nashville, TN with no return address to Brian's mom after we filed the 2255 in Nov. 2017 from Dec. 2017 till May, 2018 and the fake 911 calls in July, 2018 & police coming to the house about the calls that they didn't make.

We wanted to include more information to go along with the photos. We have had natural gas heaters and don't know much about natural gas boiler heaters. Found some photos on the internet to show here of similar in their house.




Gas Hot Water Boiler



(gas Boiler furnace & gas hot water heater).

You can now see what is on top of the chimney. The tin has been removed from the hole above the gas hot water boiler (furnace) and the gas hot water heater. The chimney guy from Basset, VA who has done this type of work for 25 years who took the tin off in Jan. 2019 and put the chimney cap on top of their chimney in Feb. 2019 had us to climb on the ladder and showed us what he saw. This is now what you can see from the road, and we now know that it is vented – not even screen on the top of the furnace & water heater venting hole.



This is a similar top photo from the Internet of the chimney cap that was put on the top of Roberta's & Brian's chimney covering all 3 holes with screen on the side.

We are including 7 photos Brian's mom, Roberta took last night (March 20, 2019) of the ceiling & walls showing damage around Brian's fireplace in his living room in his apartment.

Brian's grandparents,

Stella & Ken Forinash

Copy sent to Brian David Hill and 7 photos mailed to Brian.

Brian, Hand written descriptions on back of photos. Copies of these letters & photos in your legal container at home
Letter to Brian (4 page follows) & 1 page
article about autism in VA

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 04/08/2019 @11:39:09


ESTD: ~~the~~
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

Clerk of
Court to file
Case no.
C18-3138
8pg 7photos



for more stamp

visit usps.com/stamps





FIREPLACE IN BRIAN DAVID HILL'S
APARTMENT 2 AT 310 FOREST ST
MARTINSVILLE, VA IN HIS LIVING ROOM CEILING
ABOVE HIS FIREPLACE AND BELOW THE HEARTH
IN HIS MOM'S FIREPLACE ABOVE HIS.

DAMAGE FROM OCT 2017 UNTIL JAN 2019
MOISTURE & GAS FROM GAS HOT WATER
BOILER (KURNACE) AND GAS HOT WATER
HEATER - BOTH IN BASEMENT (CARBON
MONOXIDE POISONING)

PHOTO TAKEN BY ROBERTA HILL
MARCH 20, 2019

damage on side wall
of Brian's fireplace
beside mirror

damage on side of
Brian's fireplace
beside mirror





Damage on side wall of
Brian's fire place

Fireplace in Brian David Hill's apartment 2
at 810 Forest St in Martinsville, VA in
his living room ceiling above his fireplace

Damage From Oct. 2017 until JAN. 2019

Top of Brian's fireplace - moisture &
gas from gas hot water boiler (Furnace)
and gas hot water heater, both in
basement (Carbon monoxide poisoning)

Photo taken by Roberta Hill on
March 20, 2019



Fire place in Brian David Hill's apartment 2
at 310 Forest St, Martinsville, VA in
his living room ceiling above his fireplace
and below the hearth in his mom's
fireplace above his.

Moisture & gas from gas hot water
boiler (furnace) and gas hot water
heater both in basement - carbon
monoxide poisoning.

Photo taken by Roberta Hill on
March 20, 2019

FIREPLACE IN BRIAN DAVID HILL'S APARTMENT 2
AT 310 FOREST ST, MARTINSVILLE, VA IN HIS
LIVING ROOM.

DAMAGE FROM OCT 2017 UNTIL JAN 2019

TOP OF BRIAN'S FIREPLACE - MOISTURE AND
GAS FROM GAS HOT WATER BOILER (FURNACE)
AND GAS HOT WATER HEATER - BOTH IN
BASEMENT (CARBON MONOXIDE POISONING)

PHOTO TAKEN BY ROBERTA HILL ON
MARCH 20, 2019

VIRGINIA:
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
BRIAN DAVID HILL)
Defendant,) Motion to Request Earlier Trial date
_____)

Motion to Request Earlier Trial date

Criminal Defendant Brian David Hill (“Brian”, “Hill”) would like to request an earlier Trial date for this criminal case pursuant to the Speedy Trial clause of both the U.S. Constitution and Virginia Constitution and for good reasons as stated herein.

Because of Hill being detained under Federal Custody (criminal case no. 7:18-MJ-149, Western District of Virginia, citing Document #1; criminal case no. 1:13-cr-435-1, Middle District of North Carolina, United States District Court, citing Documents #156, #157, #158) Hill was unable to attend the Trial date set for 04/23/2019 due to the Federal mental evaluation. Therefore the trial date was rescheduled to July 15, 2019.

However on May 14, 2019 (criminal case no. 7:18-MJ-149, Western District of Virginia, citing Documents #25, #26) Hill was released on Federal bond conditions pending the outcome of this Commonwealth criminal case. Hill is released on bond and therefore is able to attend any and all hearings for this honorable court.

Hill believes that the trial date of July 15, 2019, is too late and would like to request an earlier trial date for the following reasons:

1. Hill is no longer under detainment due to being released on bond. Therefore Hill can attend all hearings imposed by this court.
2. Hill has Type 1 brittle diabetes and Mild Autism. The waiting on this case, is taking it's toll on Hill's mental health. Hill has Generalized Anxiety Disorder and waiting for over 1 month will cause unnecessary worry and anxiety.
3. Hill had appealed the case from the Martinsville General District Court (case no. C18-3138) in December, 2018, and the Circuit Court case was filed on January 9, 2019. It was originally scheduled for January 28, 2019, but the mental evaluation at the Federal Correctional Institution 1 ("FCI-1") at Butner, North Carolina had delayed the trial date. Now that Hill isn't detained, it would be better for Hill's Constitutional speedy trial right to have the trial date set for June, 2019.

Therefore for the following reasons, Hill respectfully requests with this honorable Court that the trial date in this criminal case be set for June 2019. Hill asks the scheduling Clerk to schedule an earlier date for this trial to resolve this case as soon as possible. Hill's health is deteriorating, worrying about waiting for the resolution of this case. Hill has a speedy trial right. Now that Hill is no longer in Federal detainment, Hill is free to report to the Court and prepare for trial. Hill is preparing for trial right now and request that the trial date be set earlier than July 15, 2019.

Hill would also like for this Court to acknowledge on record whether it had received the Pro Se filings of the (1)Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") Accommodation form, and the (2)Affidavit of Brian David Hill, cause both were mailed to the Clerk of the Martinsville Circuit Court in January. 2019. and wasn't sure if the court had received those and added those to the record in this case.

The Commonwealth Attorney acknowledged during the General District Court trial on December 21, 2019, that Hill was not charged with obscenity, meaning that the Commonwealth had no indication to believe Hill was being obscene, since Hill did not do anything sexual while he was naked, he was just naked at night when nobody was on the trail. Since Hill was not sexually aroused, is technically innocent under the law, Hill again introduces three case laws in favor of Hill not being guilty of indecent exposure under Virginia Code § 18.2-387. In all three cases the convictions were reversed when the conduct did not rise to being obscene, because “it does not rise to the level of obscenity required under Code § 18.2-387, as defined in Code § 18.2-372.”

1. Kimberly F. Neice v. Commonwealth of Virginia, Record No. 1477-09-3 in the Circuit Court of Giles County
2. A. M. v. Commonwealth of Virginia, Record No. 1150-12-4 in the Circuit Court of Shenandoah County
3. Kenneth Samuel Moses v. Commonwealth of Virginia, Record No. 0985-03-3 in the Circuit Court of Richmond

Also Hill had filed two Pro Se Motions with evidence to introduce to the trial Court in Hill's defense: (1) MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE (Filed: 01/23/2019), and (2) MOTION TO FILE EVIDENCE BEFORE TRIAL (Filed: 04/08/2019). This brings up newly discovered evidence in 2019 from a Chimney expert finding evidence of carbon monoxide in the home of 310 Forest Street, Apartment 2, Defendant's home. That evidence was not known from the first trial on December 21, 2019. Hill wasn't aroused and was only naked, and was exposed to carbon monoxide in his own home in 2018 prior to being arrested, Hill was under the influence of an invisible gas (gas that cannot be detected by smell via the human nose) which can cause impulsiveness and hallucinations. Hill was not well at the time due to the carbon monoxide poisoning and Hill never masturbated. Hill was not being obscene and therefore Hill is innocent of indecent exposure if this Circuit Court adopts the decisions of the three case laws stated

above and any other similar case laws which may exist. Hill was under Federal custody and detainment due to this Commonwealth case, many months spent sitting in Jail. Hill had already suffered enough waiting for a trial when sufficient evidence and case law points towards Hill being innocent of the violation of Virginia Code § 18.2-387. Hill suffered enough under the federal Supervised Release Violation charge while waiting the pending charge in this Circuit Court. The Federal Court wants this resolved in the State so that they can make a final determination as to whether Hill violated his Supervised Release conditions as a result of being charged under Code § 18.2-387. Since Hill has both case law and evidence of innocence, an innocent man's fate in the Judicial system pushing for justice should not be delayed nor denied. Justice needs to come as soon as possible for Hill.

Hill respectfully files this Motion with this honorable Court, this the 29th day of May, 2019.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant, Brian David Hill, prays that this Court enter an Order scheduling an earlier date for the Trial in this criminal case.

Signed, Brian D. Hill
Signed
Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
Phone #: 276-790-3505
310 Forest Street, Apartment 2
Martinsville, Virginia 24112

U.S.W.G.O.

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill
Stanley's 2255 blog: JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

This pleading has been filed by hand delivery to the office of the Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Clerk's office at the Martinsville Circuit Court on May 29, 2019, at the address of 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112.

4
:

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 29th day of May, 2019, a true copy of the foregoing Motion was hand delivered to the office of the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112, counsel for Plaintiff of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Signed, Brian D. Hill
signed
Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
Phone #: 276-790-3505
310 Forest Street, Apartment 2
Martinsville, Virginia 24112

U.S.W.G.O.

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill
Stanley's 2255 blog: JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Ranon

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 05/29/2019 @11:59:49

TESTE: Betty E. Wagon
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)
)
)
v.)
)
)
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)
Defendant.)

CR19-09

MOTION FOR BOND

COMES NOW the Defendant, Brian David Hill, by and through counsel, and pursuant to Code of Virginia, Section 19.2-120, 1950, as amended, moves the Court for a bond in the above captioned cases. The Defendant sets forth the following facts in support of his motion:

1. The Defendant is currently charged with one count of Indecent Exposure, in violation of the Code of Virginia as Amended;
2. This case is an appeal of a conviction in General District Court.
3. The case is set for Pretrial in Martinsville City Circuit Court on July 15, 2019 at 9:00 a.m.;
4. The Defendant is being held in the Martinsville City Jail;
5. The Defendant understands that he will be subject to such conditions that this Court may impose upon him;
6. The Defendant respectfully requests that a reasonable bond be granted in this case.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant requests that the Court grant him a reasonable secured bond.

Respectfully submitted,


BRIAN HILL



Scott B. Albrecht, VSB No. 88411
Assistant Public Defender
Office of the Public Defender
P. O. Drawer 31
Martinsville, Virginia 24114
(276) 666-2206
(276) 666-8929 Facsimile
Counsel for the Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Motion was mailed, faxed or delivered to the office of Andrew Hall, Martinsville Commonwealth's Attorney, 55 W. Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112, on this 31st day of May, 2019.



Counsel for Defendant

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 05/31/2019 @09:18:42

TESTE: 
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)

v.)

CR19- 09

BRIAN DAVID HILL,)
Defendant.)

ORDER

Came this day, BRIAN DAVID HILL, and after presentation and consideration of the evidence, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Defendant's request for bond be, and hereby is GRANTED, and shall be as follows:

ENTERED this ____ day of _____, 2019.

Judge

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)

v.)

CR19-9-00

BRIAN DAVID HILL,)
Defendant.)

ORDER

Came this day the Defendant, BRIAN DAVID HILL, and after presentation and consideration of the evidence, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Defendant's request for bond be, and hereby is, DENIED.

ENTERED this ____ day of _____, 2019.

Judge

B

RECOGNIZANCE

Va. Code §§ 9.1-165, 9.1-185.8, 19.2-123, 19.2-143, 19.2-238

DOB Jul 15, 2019 05:30 AM
BIRTHPLACE: COVINGTON

Martinsville CIRCUIT COURT - CITY OR COUNTY

55 W. Church Street, Martinsville, VA 24112
COURT - STREET ADDRESS

[] Commonwealth of Virginia v. Hill, Brian David
DEPENDENT - NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE)

[x] Martinsville
310 Forest St Apt 2, Martinsville, VA 24112 (276) 790-3508
DEPENDENT - RESIDENTIAL ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER

Mailing address: [x] Same as above OR []

I certify that this document contains my current mailing address.


CHARGES(s):

Offense Tracking Number	Virginia Crime Code (For Administrative Use Only)	Code Section	Case Number
690GM1800003560	18.1-371.3-C1 INDECENT EXPOSURE	(C) 18-1712.2-187	69-DCR1800003560

[] Additional charging information is attached and incorporated.

I, THE DEFENDANT, HEREBY agree to the condition of my release from custody, by signing this recognizance, on or before the date and time noted above. If this date, time or place is changed for any reason by any court or judge, I shall appear as directed. I understand that I [] may [x] may not leave the Commonwealth of Virginia until my case, and any appeals thereon, are heard. I further agree to keep the peace and be of good behavior and agree to the conditions listed below.
Comply with a Curfew of 7:00 PM to 7:00 AM.

Defendant shall reside with his mother at 310 Forest St Apt 1, Martinsville, VA 24112; Defendant is to remain medication and counseling compliant and report to Piedmont Community Service when required for treatment; Defendant is to remain of good behavior; Defendant shall not possess firearms; Defendant shall not possess or consume alcohol or any illegal or non-prescribed narcotics; Defendant shall not go on the Dick and Willy trail.




I, THE DEFENDANT, UNDERSTAND THAT: (1) if I fail to obey the conditions, I may be ARRESTED and, may be removed; (2) if I fail to appear, the court may try and convict me in my absence; (3) I shall appear in the Circuit Court or a non-superior court; I give up my right to a jury trial; (4) failure to appear is a separate crime; (5) I must promptly notify the court of any change in my mailing address or where I live while this case is pending.

y Brian D. Hill
SIGNATURE OF DEFENDANT

06/04/2019
TIME

The face of this document has microprinting and "VOID" when copied. Paper has a printed watermark, invisible fibers, and coin reactive authentication.



2019-AA-045988

Only the Original Power of Attorney will bind this surety.

POWER OF ATTORNEY
LEXINGTON NATIONAL INSURANCE CORPORATION
P.O. Box 6098, Lutherville, Maryland 21094 • 410-625-0800
info@lexingtonnational.com

Power No 2019-AA-045988
THIS POWER OF ATTORNEY IS VOID UNLESS IT IS SIGNED BY THE

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that LEXINGTON NATIONAL INSURANCE CORPORATION, a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida, hereby constitutes and appoints, subject to any General Qualifying Power of Attorney or other legal prerequisite, as its true and lawful attorney-in-fact the person signing hereby as Attorney-In-Fact with full power and authority to sign the Company's name and affix its corporate seal and deliver on its behalf as surety any and all obligations as herein provided, and the execution of such obligations in pursuance of these presents shall be as binding upon the Company as fully and to all intents and purposes as if done by the regularly elected officers of the Company at its home office in their own proper persons and the Company hereby ratifies and confirms all and whatsoever its attorney-in-fact may lawfully do and perform in its premises by virtue of these presents.

THE OBLIGATION OF THE COMPANY SHALL NOT EXCEED THE SUM OF FIVE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$5,500.00). THIS POWER OF ATTORNEY IS VOID IF ALTERED OR ERASED. VOID IF USED TO FURNISH BAIL ON THE SUBJECT BOND IN EXCESS OF THE STATED MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF THIS POWER AND VOID IF USED WITH OTHER POWERS OF THIS COMPANY OR OTHER POWERS OF OTHER COMPANIES TO MAKE BAIL ON THE SUBJECT BOND. EACH POWER OF ATTORNEY CAN ONLY BE USED ONCE AND MAY BE EXECUTED ONLY FOR RECOGNIZANCE ON CRIMINAL BAIL BONDS.

Bond Amount: \$ 1000.⁰⁰
Defendant: Brian Hill
First Court Date: 7-15-19 Case Number: CR1900008900
Defendant's Address: 30 Forest St Apt 1
Court: Circuit County/City: Marshville
Offense(s): indecent exposure
Date of Exaction: 6-4-19 Court Assigned Agent #: Shannon Mize Shannon Mize
Attorney-In-Fact: Shannon Mize Shannon Mize

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, LEXINGTON NATIONAL INSURANCE CORPORATION, by virtue of authority conferred by its Board of Directors, has caused this instrument to be signed with its corporate seal, signed by its President and attested by its Secretary on April 9, 1998.

[Signature]
Secretary

[Signature]
Secretary

1. Any copy of Power of Attorney must be attached to original document.
2. Powers of Attorney need not be filed with attorney general, but must be filed with the court in which they are used.
3. The authority of such attorney-in-fact is limited to approval bonds and is not to be used to guarantee judgment in the absence of a judgment or to issue a writ of habeas corpus, or to file a petition for writ of habeas corpus, or any other writ or process by a court not judicially created or approved.



Form # LNC-045988

RELEASE ORDER

R

ACCUSED: Hill, Brian David
NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE)

ADDRESS: 310 Forest St Apt 1
Martinsville, VA 24112

Jurisdiction: Martinsville

SSN: ██████-0319 DOB: 05/26/1990

- GENERAL DISTRICT (TRAFFIC)
- GENERAL DISTRICT (CRIMINAL)
- GENERAL DISTRICT (CIVIL)
- J&DR DISTRICT COURT
- CIRCUIT COURT

CHARGE(S):

Offense Tracking Number	Virginia Crime Code (For Administrative Use Only)	Code Section	Case Number
690GM1800003560	OBS-3713-01 INDECENT EXPOSURE	(L) 13-17/18.2-387	690CR1900000900

Addendum listing additional charges is attached and incorporated.

TO THE SHERIFF, JAIL OFFICER OR CORRECTIONAL OFFICER:
You are ordered to RELEASE the accused on the above charge(s).

06/04/2019 05:58 PM
DATE AND TIME

Deborah L. Hall

MAGISTRATE CLERK JUDGE

Deborah L. Hall

Released 06/04/19 1800 AM/PM By [Signature]

RELEASE ORDER



CBR 690CM1900002192

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,

v.

CR19-9-00

BRIAN DAVID HILL,

Defendant.

AGREED ORDER FOR BOND


Came this day the Defendant, BRIAN DAVID HILL by counsel, and the Commonwealth, by counsel, and upon agreement of counsel, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Defendant's bond shall be the following:

\$1,000.00 secured upon the following conditions:

1. The Defendant is not to leave the Commonwealth of Virginia;
2. Defendant shall reside with his mother at 310 Forest St. Apt. 1, Martinsville, VA 24112;
3. The Defendant is to remain medication and counseling compliant, report to Piedmont Community Service when required for treatment;
4. The Defendant is to remain of good behavior.
5. Defendant shall not possess firearms;
6. Defendant shall not possess or consume alcohol or any illegal or non-prescribed narcotics.
7. Defendant shall observe a curfew of 7p.m. to 7a.m.
8. Defendant shall not go on the Dick and Willy trail.

ENTERED this 4th day of June, 2019.




Judge

I ASK FOR THIS:



Scott B. Albrecht VSB # 88411
Office of the Public Defender
10 E. Main Street
P. O. Drawer 31
Martinsville, Virginia 24114
(276) 666-2206
(276) 666-8929 Facsimile
salbrecht@mar.idc.virginia.gov
Counsel for the Defendant

SEEN AND AGREED:



Andy Hall
City of Martinsville Commonwealth's Attorney

ORDER FOR CONTINUED CUSTODY

Commonwealth of Virginia

Jurisdiction: MARTINSVILLE

ACCUSED: HILL, BRIAN DAVID
NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE)

- Juvenile & Domestic Relations District Court
- General District Court
- Circuit Court

 -0319
SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

05/26/1990
DATE OF BIRTH

Hearing Date and Time: 07/15/2019 at 9:00 AM

CHARGED UNDER:

- State Local Ordinance

CHARGE(S):

Offense Tracking Number	Offense Date	Virginia Crime Code (For Administrative Use Only)	Code Section	Case Number
690GM1800003560	09/21/2018	OBS-3713-O1	18.2-387	CR19000009-00
		Description: INDECENT EXPOSURE		
		Description:		
		Description:		
		Description:		
		Description:		
		Description:		

Addendum listing additional charges is attached and incorporated.

Public Workforce Authorized Not Authorized

BAIL: \$ 1,000.00 Secured Bond Unsecured Bond Recognizance
 Custody and Supervision of Pretrial Services Agency
 Third-Party Custody and Supervision
 No Change in Existing Amount of Bond Amend Bond to \$
 Held Without Bail No change in Existing Bail Conditions

Accused may may not leave the Commonwealth of Virginia may may not leave
CITY/COUNTY

Additional Conditions of Bail:

The Accused must

- Submit to Drug/Alcohol Screening Submit to Drug/Alcohol Testing Comply with a Curfew of
- Avoid All Contact with.....
- Refrain from Possessing Firearm, Destructive Device, Dangerous Weapon
- Refrain from Excessive Use of Alcohol Refrain from Use of Illegal Drugs/Controlled Substances not Prescribed by a Health Care Provider
- Maintain or Seek Employment Maintain or Commence Education
- The Accused is assigned to home-electronic incarceration by Judge
- The Accused is to be monitored by a GPS/other tracking device.
- Other: DEFENDANT PRESENT SA AHALL SEE AGREED ORDER ATTACHED AS TO BOND CONDITIONS.

TO THE SHERIFF, JAIL OFFICER OR CORRECTIONAL OFFICER:

Hold the accused in custody pending the hearing date and convey the accused to the appropriate court so that the accused will be present in court at the hearing date and time, unless otherwise ordered by the appropriate judicial officer.

06/04/2019

DATE

CLERK

JUDGE

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

v.

Brian D. Hill, Defendant

**COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL
and CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO APPEAR**

This day came the defendant, who appeared in person with his attorney. The Commonwealth was also present. Whereupon the defendant was arraigned and after being advised by his counsel pleaded **NOT GUILTY** to the Indictment, which plea was tendered by the defendant in person, and after being first advised by his counsel and by the Court of his right to trial by jury, the defendant in person, knowingly and voluntarily waived trial by jury, however, the Attorney for the Commonwealth requested a trial by jury, therefore, the Court shall set this case for a Jury Trial on the Commonwealth's Attorneys motion.

The defendant understands that if he fails to appear on this date, he may be tried in his absence, and may be indicted for the offense of Failure to Appear which may be a felony carrying a sentence of up to 5 years in the penitentiary. By his signature below, the Defendant confirms his understanding of the trial date and the consequences of his failure to appear, therefore

This case is set for a Jury Trial on August 30, 2019 at 9 A M and this case is continued on the joint motion of counsel.

This 15th day of July, 2019
Brian D. Hill

Defendant

Counsel for Defendant

Commonwealth's Attorney

[Signature]
Judge

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA)
CITY OF MARTINSVILLE)
VS.)
Brian D Hill)
DEFENDANT)

NOTICE TO APPEAR

CASE # CK 19-009

You are required to appear in person before the Martinsville Circuit Court, in this Courtroom, on August 30, 20 19, at 9:00 AM/PM.

Unexcused failure to appear at the time and place specified will constitute a separate criminal offense for which you can be charged, and if convicted, will subject you to a fine and/or jail sentence regardless of what happens to the original charge(s). *By query - comm*

You agree to follow the Instructions on the bottom of this Notice.

You acknowledge receipt of this Notice, this 15th day of July, 20 19.
Brian D. Hill
DEFENDANT

This is to certify that this Notice was executed on the above date by the Defendant, and that a copy was delivered to the Defendant.

[Signature]
JUDGE/CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE DEFENDANT

1. You must personally appear at each court hearing on your case(s).
2. You have the right to be present at your trial and to confront and cross-examine witnesses.
3. You shall keep in touch with your attorney at all times.
4. If serious illness or emergency prevents you from appearing in court, you must call the Clerk's Office at (276) 403-5106 and fully explain the reason for non-appearance. You shall also promptly notify your attorney of any such problem(s).
5. Unexcused failure to appear in Court may result in you being tried in your absence, and additional criminal charges for Failure to Appear in Court.

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,
Plaintiff

vs.

CR19000009-00

BRIAN DAVID HILL,
Defendant.

ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY

Came this day, the Defendant, Brian David Hill, by counsel, who moved, pursuant to Rule 3A:11 of the Rules of Court, that the Commonwealth's Attorney be directed to permit the Defendant discovery in this case, as set forth in the said Rule, and upon the motion of the attorney of the Commonwealth requesting reciprocal discovery under the said Rule; and,

It appearing to the Court that discovery pursuant to Rule 3A:11(b) should be granted to the Defendant, it is hereby ORDERED that the Commonwealth's Attorney permit counsel for the Defendant to inspect and copy or photograph, within a reasonable time, before the trial or sentencing, the following:

(1) Any relevant written or recorded statements or confessions made by the Defendant, or copies thereof, or the substance of any oral statements or confessions made by the Defendant to any law enforcement officer, the existence of which is known to the attorney for the Commonwealth, any certificates of analysis pursuant to §19.2-187, and any relevant written reports of autopsies, ballistic tests, fingerprint analyses, handwriting analyses, blood, urine, and breath tests, other scientific reports, and written reports of a physical or mental examination of the Defendant or the alleged victim made in connection with this particular case, or copies thereof, that are known by the Commonwealth's Attorney to be within the possession, custody, or

control of the Commonwealth.

(2) Any exculpatory information or evidence under the guidelines established by Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and subsequent case law, whether by way of statements, real evidence, scientific analysis, or reports, known to or in the possession of the Commonwealth.

(3) The Commonwealth shall promptly notify counsel for the Defendant of the existence of any additional material subsequently discovered (until the time of trial and at trial) which falls within the scope of this motion and make all such additional material available to the Defendant's attorney in accordance with the text and intention of this Motion.


It appearing to the Court that the motion for reciprocal discovery filed by the attorney for the Commonwealth pursuant to Rule 3A:11 should also be granted, it is hereby ORDERED that counsel for the Defendant permit the Commonwealth's Attorney to inspect, copy, or photograph, within a reasonable time, but not less than ten days before the trial or sentencing, any written reports of autopsy examinations, ballistic tests, fingerprints, blood, urine, and breath analyses, and other scientific tests that may be within the Defendant's possession, custody, or control and which the defense intends to proffer or introduce into evidence at the trial or sentencing:

It is further ORDERED that the Defendant disclose whether he or she intends to introduce evidence to establish an alibi, and, if so, to disclose the place at which he or she claims to have been at the time of the commission of the alleged offense.


It is further ORDERED that if the Defendant intends to rely upon the defense of insanity or feeble-mindedness, the Defendant shall permit the Commonwealth to inspect, copy, or photograph any written reports of physical or mental examination of the Defendant made in connection with this particular case.

It is further ORDERED that the inspection and copying or photographing by the counsel for the Defendant shall take place at the office of the Commonwealth's Attorney at Martinsville, Virginia, or at some other mutually agreeable location, by appointment or at any convenient time during regular office hours, and that the inspection and copying or photographing by the attorney for the Commonwealth shall take place at the office of the counsel for the Defendant, or at some other mutually agreeable location, by appointment or at any convenient time during regular office hours.

ENTERED this 15th day of July, 2019.



Judge

I ASK FOR THIS:



Scott Albrecht (VSB #88411)
Office of the Public Defender
P. O. Drawer 31
Martinsville, VA 24114
276-666-2206
276-666-8929 (fax)
salbrecht@mar.idc.virginia.gov

SEEN:


Counsel for the Commonwealth

VIRGINIA:
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

_____)	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
)	
BRIAN DAVID HILL)	
)	
Defendant,)	
)	Motion to Request an Insanity Defense
_____)	
)	

Motion to Request an Insanity Defense – Sanity at the time of the Offense

Pursuant to Virginia Code §19.2-169.5(A), criminal Defendant Brian David Hill (“Brian”, “Hill”) would like to request an outpatient mental evaluation/examination by a forensic psychologist or psychiatrist.

Hill would also like to give NOTICE to both the Court and the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, that in accordance with Virginia Code §19.2-168, that the Defense gives notice of intention to file an insanity plea.

Here are the facts which can be presented to the Court upon determining whether Defendant Hill will show and has shown enough evidence warranting a plea of insanity at the time of the instant offense on September 21, 2018:

1. At the time of the court ordered mental evaluation conducted in November, 2018, pursuant to a “motion” filed by former defense counsel Scott Albrecht in the General District Court of Martinsville,

newly discovered evidence was found since that evaluation which was pertinent to the case, relevant to the time of the alleged offense of September 21, 2018, and material to what happened during the alleged offense of September 21, 2018.

2. Newly discovered evidence not known during the time of the first mental evaluation was (1) that Defendant Hill had sinus tachycardia level abnormally high resting blood pulse two times at Martinsville hospital prior to being arrested by Martinsville Police Officer Sgt. R. D. Jones. That any resting blood pulse over the level of “100” is considered sinus tachycardia; (2) that Defendant Hill had also suffered sinus tachycardia on November 19, 2017 when he fell and hit his head. Blood was pouring out of his head while unconscious and wondering to bed with blood all over his pillow; (3) that sinus tachycardia is a symptom of Carbon Monoxide poisoning; (4) Abnormally high White Blood Cell (“WBC”) count and Mean Platelet Volume (“MPV”) count on November 19, 2017 which are also more further medical symptoms caused by exposure to Carbon Monoxide poisoning; (5) Medical records from 2017 also show that Brian was reportedly visited by an ambulance but Brian had asked the EMT staff to let him go to the hospital voluntarily to do his hand washing and body washing routines to satisfy his Obsessive Compulsive Disorder (“OCD”) so that he doesn't feel extreme anxiety. The hospital record reported that it took 4 hours for Brian to get to the Emergency Room, four (4) hours to complete his OCD hand washing and body washing rituals before he was even at the Hospital Emergency Room. That was why he had suffered sinus tachycardia which was during his resting blood pulse.
3. Medical records were recently obtained from Piedmont Community Services, a mental health service provider, that Dr. Conrad Daum a forensic psychiatrist had diagnosed Brian on October 24, 2018 with “psychosis” and “delusions” over Brian's repeated statements about a man wearing a dark hoodie threatening to kill Brian's mother if he didn't get naked in public, on the Dick and Willie hiking trail. Psychosis is another symptom of Carbon Monoxide gas poisoning exposure. A forensic psychiatrist didn't think that Brian was making statements of an alleged event which caused him to take his clothes off in public, was even based on reality. When somebody makes statements that are not based on reality, then it is considered a mental “psychosis”.

4. Brian had filed written statements on federal court record about his version of the story regarding a man wearing a dark hoodie, saying that if he didn't follow his instructions that his mother Roberta Hill would be murdered. Brian said that he thought somebody was watching him in the thicket in his backyard while he was mowing outside. He also said that he was afraid to be in his home but kept the doors unlocked and that his mother had witnessed him keeping his door unlocked. It was as if Brian wasn't afraid of somebody coming into the house, but subconsciously Brian was almost keeping his door unlocked to escape something in his home that scared him. Brian also made contradictory and confusing statements to law enforcement officer R. D. Jones before and after his visit to Martinsville Hospital on September 21, 2018 prior to being arrested and jailed at Martinsville City Jail. Sinus Tachycardia level blood pulse was found two times prior to being released from the Hospital. Both extremely high resting blood pulse readings are in the level of sinus tachycardia. The first blood pulse reading around 4:09AM was "119" for a resting pulse, then around 5:01AM the last resting blood pulse reading was "106". So Brian's heart beats were at extremely high or even possibly dangerous levels (*high risk of a heart attack or a stroke*) showing signs that something was wrong with Brian's body which can also attribute to his confusing mental state. His mental state was confusing, that Officer Sgt R. D. Jones assumed that Brian was lying about a man wearing a dark hoodie and verbally told Brian that he was lying, caused him to charge Brian with indecent exposure and also caused Brian to cuss out Sgt R. D. Jones two times. He said "F**k You" to Sgt. Jones on September 21, 2018, out of frustration that Sgt. R. D. Jones refused to believe Brian's statements about a man wearing a dark hoodie and about Brian's Autism Spectrum Disorder despite the fact that it was on record at the Virginia Department of Motor Vehicles which gave Brian legal handicap status and received a handicap placard. Then on December 21, 2018, again out of frustration that police refused to believe Brian's story and at that time Brian didn't know that he had been influenced by Carbon Monoxide poisoning, told Sgt. Jones "F**k the Police" and was rushed out of the courtroom for cussing at the officer. Brian would still had suffered the effects of Carbon Monoxide poisoning because some effects can return to somebody within 3 months, even upon no longer being exposed to the gas poison. Brian was frustrated at Martinsville Police and verbally agitated at Martinsville Police officers for not believing his story, when in reality Carbon Monoxide was to blame for Brian's indecent and weird/abnormal behavior. Carbon

Monoxide was not known to the Defendant Brian David Hill until after February, 2019. It was not known to the Defendant during the General District Court Trial and neither was it even known to the evaluator who conducted the mental evaluation and competency evaluation of Brian in November, 2018. Prior to him saying those cuss words, Brian has never cussed at police officers, not even once prior to that time. Brian had left his home late night (*approx. around 11:00PM*) of September 20, 2018, with clothes on, from the home with the Carbon Monoxide gas, and would have still have been under it's effects, then was told by a guy wearing a hoodie to take his clothes off in a public place or his mother would be murdered. Brian didn't act right, and the police were wondering why Brian made confusing and possibly contradictory statements as to why he was naked in a public place. The police would not have known at the time that Brian was under Carbon Monoxide poisoning. If they had known, they may not have arrested him but instead would have attempted to have the hospital provide him treatments and to determine what caused the carbon monoxide in Brian's apartment, to relieve him of the Carbon Monoxide symptoms instead of jailing Brian David Hill at Martinsville City Jail which has no means to treat any symptoms of Carbon Monoxide gas exposure.

Therefore for the following reasons, Hill respectfully requests with this honorable Court that the Court grant this motion for an insanity evaluation for Sanity at the Time of the Offense. It is clear with this Pro-Se Motion that Brian is sane now and is not repeating the behavior of indecent exposure, therefore Brian is sane now and is competent, but was not sane at the time of the offense which was September 21, 2018.

Hill is also including a "proposed order" to grant Hill's request for an outpatient sanity evaluation pursuant to §19.2-169.5(A). Carbon Monoxide gas is a dangerous, invisible gas that cannot be detected by taste or smell. Sources from different places such as Hospital records (November 19, 2017, and September 21, 2018), Piedmont Community Services records (October 24, 2018), Brian sending off legal mailings from the Jail to the wrong addresses less than 2-3 weeks after he was arrested and would have still suffered the effects of Carbon Monoxide poisoning. Brian places the right federal building name (which is in Greensboro, North Carolina) but was sending it to the "L

Richardson Preyer Federal Building” in Martinsville, Virginia when Martinsville has no federal courthouses and no federal buildings. Brian was told that he had exhibited a form of delusions and “psychosis” from a forensic psychiatrist named Dr. Conrad Daum. Brian has obtained evidence from the National Institute of Health (“NIH”) (*a federal government research organization*), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (“CDC”), research study in regards to sinus tachycardia and carbon monoxide exposure, and research study from Universities and a State hospital, that the multiple symptoms Brian had suffered were all symptoms caused by being poisoned by Carbon Monoxide. Carbon monoxide can cause hallucinations, mental confusion, and psychosis. In Document #153 in the federal filings Brian had filed on 10/17/2018 (MOTION to Appoint Attorney and STATUS REPORT filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # 1 Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 10/18/2018), Middle District of North Carolina), Brian's handwriting had appeared to be sloppy and his statements made no sense, and were contradictory or confusing or both. Brian had mailed an earlier federal filing to the right federal courthouse on “10/03/2018” but then the envelope before and after were sent to a non-existing federal building in Martinsville, Virginia. Mental confusion and psychosis as caused by Carbon Monoxide exposure.

Brian will also like to introduce a photocopy of the letter from chimney expert Pete Compton of Bassett, Virginia (Ace Chimney Sweep, located at 296 Dodson Rd, Bassett, VA 24055), that he removed metal tin from the chimney at 310 Forest Street, Martinsville Virginia. He found residue of Carbon Monoxide gas. That would mean evidence of Carbon Monoxide gas coming into apartments at 310 Forest Street until late January 2019. Brian had lived in a home with carbon monoxide gas coming into his apartment and his mother's apartment until he was arrested on September 21, 2018. Had Brian not been arrested and had been allowed to go back to his home in September, 2018, Brian would have been under the same conditions of Carbon Monoxide which either would have led to his possible death or may have exhibited the same behavior as

what had happened on September 21, 2018.

Brian would also like to make it known that since the metal tin was removed from the chimney by expert witness and material witness Pete Compton, the home had plenty of time to air out, and Carbon Monoxide (“CO”) monitoring devices were installed in both apartments at 310 Forest Street, Martinsville, and the detectors report that the CO levels are at zero, non-detectable levels. So Brian is safe to live at 310 Forest Street at this time and has not repeated the behavior of what had happened on September 21, 2018. So it is quite clear that Brian was butt naked on a hiking trail, at night which is a dangerous time to be walking with wild animals and possibly criminals, and being brittle diabetic with a history of diabetic seizures. Walking naked at night runs a higher risk of being raped or kidnapped or killed. Brian did something not just very stupid around September 21, 2018, but an act that Brian had not done previously and an act that was not a normal behavior of Brian. The fact that Brian is in better control of his behavior and is not cussing out the police anymore, means that Brian is recovering from the Carbon Monoxide poisoning. Brian is also taking supplements such as “colloidal silver” and drinking Aloe Vera drinks from the ALDI grocery store to clean out his liver and clean out his body from any toxins and damage caused by the CO gas poisoning.

It is quite clear that Hill was not in his right frame of mind at the time of the alleged offense. Brian didn't bring up all of these different facts around the mental evaluation in November, 2018 because they were not known to him at the time. That evaluator did not know of Brian's CO exposure, and did not know of Brian's writings on federal court record at the time and how it relates to the diagnosis of “psychosis” and how it relates to Carbon Monoxide. All of these different facts were not known to the evaluator for the General District Court case at the time. It is clear that another evaluation is warranted for this case and would be for the means of justice to be served. Brian's mental state as caused by an exposure to an external gas poisoning which can

affect the body internally and the mind, constitutes questionable behavior which is not normal and does not signify sanity. Insanity is rather what his abnormal behavior signifies. Brian was acting insane on September 21, 2018, Brian was talking insane to Officer Sgt. R. D. Jones and cussed him out without even understanding that he was confused because of suffering from an invisible gas that cannot be detected by smell or taste. Brian was talking insane which was why Dr. Conrad Daum, a forensic psychiatrist diagnosed him with a "psychosis" which that very symptom happens to those with the Carbon Monoxide poisoning. If Brian was an exhibitionist, a person who loves to be seen naked and gets sexually aroused of such, then he would be doing this in the day time when people are out walking the trail. Brian wasn't even flashing, he was just naked, walking naked, confused, and making confusing statements. He said he thought he was drugged on his federal court filing in 2018. Brian was "drugged" but not by illegal drugs, but by Carbon Monoxide poisoning. He said his memories were blacked out and cannot remember some or most of what happened that night, yet he was walking on a hiking trail, alone, butt naked, at night and then making confusing statements. It is quite clear and evident that Brian wasn't sane and wasn't sane when questioned by Sgt. R. D. Jones. Brian didn't exhibit appropriate behavior but his behavior improved overtime as the Carbon Monoxide poisoning slowly leaves his system and slowly recovering. The evidence is clear, the mental evaluation around November, 2018, was not done properly and did not take all evidence into account, especially the newly discovered evidence which could not have possibly been discovered until after late January, 2019. A new mental evaluation is warranted under the weight of this new evidence and logic surrounding his statements, federal court filings, Sgt. R. D. Jones reaction to Brian's confusing statements on September 21, 2018.

An outpatient psychological and psychiatric evaluation would be better for the interest of justice, because the forensic psychiatrist/psychologist can personally inspect the white and rust colored residue that was caused by the carbon monoxide gas and a Medical

Doctor can also inspect the residue evidence if the Court finds that it is necessary for the administration of justice. Since a psychiatrist is also considered a doctor, a forensic psychiatrist may be more suitable to conduct the psychiatric evaluation for determining sanity at the time of the offense.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant, Brian David Hill, prays that this Court enter an Order mandating that Brian be given an outpatient mental evaluation by a forensic psychologist and/or a forensic psychiatrist in regards to the issues stated herein.

Hill respectfully files this Motion with this honorable Court, this the 19th day of July, 2019. An attached "proposed order" is attached to the end of this pleading.

Signed, Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
Phone #: 276-790-3505
310 Forest Street, Apartment 1
Martinsville, Virginia 24112

U.S.W.G.O.

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill
Stanley's 2255 blog: JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Qanon

Brian D. Hill asks President Donald John Trump and QANON for help.

This pleading has been filed by hand delivery to the office of the Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Clerk's office at the Martinsville Circuit Court on July 19, 2019, at the address of 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 19th day of July, 2019, a true copy of the foregoing Motion/Pleading was hand delivered to the office of the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112, counsel for Plaintiff of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Signed, Brian D. Hill
Signed
Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
Phone #: 276-790-3505
310 Forest Street, Apartment 1
Martinsville, Virginia 24112

U.S.W.G.O.

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill
Stanley's 2255 blog: JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Qanon

Brian D. Hill asks President Donald John Trump and QANON for help.

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 07/19/2019 @09:39:53

TESTE: _____
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER FOR PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION

Commonwealth of Virginia Va. Code §§ 19.2-168, 19.2-168.1, 19.2-169.1, 19.2-169.5

Case No. CR-19000009-00

Circuit Court of the City of Martinsville, VA 55 West Church St, Martinsville, VA

COURT NAME AND ADDRESS

Commonwealth of Virginia v. Brian David Hill

TYPE OF EVALUATION AND REPORT

- COMPETENCY EVALUATION:** It appearing to the Court, on motion of
 - Commonwealth's Attorney defendant's attorney the Court
 and upon hearing evidence or representations of counsel, that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant lacks substantial capacity to understand the proceedings against him or to assist in his own defense, the Court therefore appoints the evaluator(s) listed below to evaluate the defendant and to submit a report, on or before the date shown below, to this Court, the Commonwealth's Attorney and the defendant's attorney, concerning: (1) the defendant's capacity to understand the proceedings against him; (2) his ability to assist his attorney; and (3) his need for treatment in the event that he is found to be incompetent but restorable, or incompetent for the foreseeable future. If a need for restoration treatment is identified in the event he is found incompetent but restorable, or incompetent for the foreseeable future, the report shall state whether inpatient or outpatient treatment is recommended. No statements of the defendant relating to the time period of the alleged offense shall be included in the report.
- SANITY AT THE TIME OF THE OFFENSE:** It appearing to the Court, upon hearing evidence or representations of counsel for the defendant, that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant's sanity may be a significant factor in his defense and that the defendant is financially unable to pay for expert assistance, the Court therefore appoints the evaluator(s) listed below to evaluate the defendant's sanity at the time of the offense and, where appropriate, to assist in the development of an insanity defense. They shall prepare and submit a full report, on or before the date shown below, solely to the defendant's attorney, concerning the defendant's sanity at the time of the offense, including whether he may have had a significant mental disease or defect which rendered him insane at the time of the offense. If further evaluation on this issue is necessary, the evaluator(s) shall so state.
- The motion for the evaluation having been made by the Commonwealth after receiving notice pursuant to Virginia Code § 19.2-168, the Court also orders the defendant to submit to an evaluation and has advised the defendant that a refusal to cooperate with the Commonwealth's evaluator(s) could result in the exclusion of defendant's expert evidence. The Court further orders the evaluator(s) to submit to the attorneys for the Commonwealth and defendant copies of the report and the records obtained during the evaluation.

DESIGNATION OF EVALUATOR(S)

The Court finds and concludes that:

- the evaluation shall be performed on an outpatient basis at a mental health facility or in jail.

The Court therefore appoints the following evaluator(s) to conduct the evaluation:

.....
EVALUATOR(S): NAME(S) AND TITLE(S) OR NAME OF FACILITY

- the evaluation shall be conducted on an inpatient basis by qualified staff at a hospital designated by the Commissioner of the Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services because:
 - an outpatient evaluation (copy attached) has been conducted and the outpatient evaluator opined that a hospital-based evaluation is needed to reliably reach an opinion.
 - the defendant is currently in the custody of the Commissioner of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services pursuant to Virginia Code §§ 19.2-169.2, 19.2-169.6, 19.2-182.2, 19.2-182.3, 19.2-182.8, 19.2-182.9, or Article 5 (§ 37.2-814 et seq.) of Chapter 8 of Title 37.2.

DUE DATE AND TIME:

The Court further orders that the Commonwealth's Attorney and the defendant's attorney forward appropriate background information to the evaluator(s) as required by law.

TO EVALUATORS AND ATTORNEYS: See reverse for additional instructions.

DATE

Proposed Order

ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTIONS TO EVALUATOR(S) AND ATTORNEYS

Providing Background Information

1. **Competency Evaluation:** Prior to an evaluation of competency pursuant to Va. Code § 19.2-169.1, the Commonwealth's Attorney must forward to the evaluator(s) within 96 hours of the issuance of this order:
 - a. a copy of the warrant;
 - b. the names and addresses of the Commonwealth's Attorney, the defendant's attorney, and the judge ordering the evaluation;
 - c. information about the alleged crime; and
 - d. a summary of the reasons for the evaluation request.

The defendant's attorney must provide any available psychiatric records and other information that are deemed relevant within 96 hours of the issuance of this order. Va. Code § 19.2-169.1(C).

2. **Sanity at the Time of the Offense:** Prior to an evaluation of sanity at the time of the offense, the party making the motion for the evaluation must forward to the evaluator(s):
 - a. a copy of the warrant;
 - b. the names and addresses of the Commonwealth's Attorney, the defendant's attorney, and the judge ordering the evaluation;
 - c. information about the alleged crime, including statements by the defendant made to the police and transcripts of preliminary hearings, if any;
 - d. a summary of the reasons for the evaluation request;
 - e. any available psychiatric, psychological, medical or social records that are deemed relevant; and
 - f. a copy of defendant's criminal record, to the extent reasonably available.

Va. Code § 19.2-169.5(C).

Use of Information Obtained During Evaluation

No statement of disclosure by the defendant concerning the alleged offense made during the evaluation may be used against the defendant at the trial as evidence, or as a basis for such evidence, except on the issue of his/her mental condition at the time of the offense after the defendant raises the issue pursuant to § 19.2-168 of the Code of Virginia. Va. Code § 19.2-169.7.

VIRGINIA:
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.) Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
)
BRIAN DAVID HILL)
)
Defendant,)
) Motion to Request Substitute Counsel
_____)
)

Motion to Request Substitute Counsel

Pursuant to U.S. Supreme Court case Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668 (1984), No. 82-1554, 6th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, and Virginia Code § 19.2-163.01(A)(4), criminal Defendant Brian David Hill (“Brian”, “Hill”) would like to request substitute counsel to be appointed to indigent defendant Brian David Hill.

Hill's Sixth Amendment right was violated by court appointed lawyer Lauren Michelle McGarry, Martinsville Public Defender, P.O. Box 31, Martinsville, VA 24114.

Here are the facts which can be presented to the Court upon determining whether Lauren McGarry (“Lauren”) had been ineffective as assistance of counsel and that the Court should move to appoint new counsel to Brian D. Hill to protect his Sixth Amendment right to effective counsel.

1. Lauren had informed Brian on July 15, 2019, outside of the Circuit Courtroom that Brian couldn't present anything he offered as evidence,

because she said in her own words and determination that any piece of evidence that was ever offered was going to be inadmissible. Then she said that anything I give her (medical records, other circuit court case law regarding the obscenity clause requirements for indecent exposure, pictures, etc etc) will be kept in her file, and that is where it will always be, in her file. Roberta Hill had witnessed that statement.

2. Lauren was faxed three different Virginia Circuit Court case laws on July 3, 2019, that ruled indecent exposure convictions were to be reversed since those cases did not meet the obscenity clause requirement. There is strict liability where somebody is technically guilty of a crime and then there are case laws where certain statutory criteria must be met in order to be guilty of a crime. After Brian's appeal pro se to the General District Court which appealed the case to the Circuit Court, attorney Scott Albrecht met Brian and had told him (*after Brian's friend named Eric Clark from Kansas sent an email to Scott Albrecht in regards to the case laws for acquitting those convicted of indecent exposure*) that he can argue Brian's technical innocence in court, and took Brian's description about a man wearing a hoodie. Scott Albrecht originally argued on December 21, 2018, during the trial in General District Court, that Brian was not being obscene when he was naked, that nobody was on the trail screaming "oh my god!" and that Brian was not aroused on the trial. Then the Commonwealth Attorney Assistant argued that "He was not charged with obscenity". So the Commonwealth's attorney had argued that Brian was not charged with obscenity, meaning that the Government doesn't have the evidence to support obscenity. Scott Albrecht showed the obscenity statute. The three case laws which were faxed to the Public Defender office for Lauren McGarry to read, the two pages excerpted from Brian's pro se "Motion for an Earlier Trial Date" which was filed with the Circuit Court Clerk in May, 2019. Lauren has totally ignored the case laws. She told Brian on July 15, 2019, that if Brian wants to continue taking the case to trial, that Brian will be found guilty, that he will lose. Her statement on that contradicts former Assistant Public Defender Scott Albrecht who was formerly assigned to Brian's case as counsel. Again, Scott had said in December 2018 (*after Brian had filed his "notice of appeal" pro se*) that he can argue Brian's technical innocence, after receiving an email from Eric Clark regarding the indecent exposure acquittals based upon the three case laws. So Lauren's claims are contradicting Scott Albrecht a lawyer.

3. Brian had met with a private attorney for free consultation (to get a second opinion) on the date of July 18, 2019, at the “Haymore & Holland, P.C.” who are located at 219 Patton Street, Danville, VA 24541. He wasn't aware of the three indecent exposure acquittal case laws in the other circuit courts, so he gave his opinion based upon strict liability that he thought Brian would be found technically guilty of indecent exposure, but however he said that the evidence his family showed him was admissible as evidence. He sounded puzzled as if he disagreed with my grandmother Stella Forinash when she told that lawyer that Lauren McGarry told Brian and his mother that any evidence him or his family gave to her would be considered inadmissible. He said Brian can bring up his medical records, the psychosis diagnosis, and any other cumulative evidence up as a defense, not a regular defense but a “Insanity defense”. So he told Brian and his family that he should get his court appointed lawyer to file a motion for a sanity evaluation to enter in a plea of insanity at the time of the offense. That is my explanation to the court why I had filed the “Motion to Request an Insanity Defense – Sanity at the time of the Offense” pro se with the Circuit Court Clerk on July 19, 2019. I knew Lauren was never going to bring up the insanity defense, and she wasn't going to bring up any medical records, diagnosis I was given, none of it. She will bring none, no evidence, nothing at all, because she thinks all of it and any of it was inadmissible the way she sounded and the way she had said it. The other lawyer from the private law firm during free consultation had totally disagreed with Lauren McGarry's position on the admissibility matter. I had also reviewed over the Virginia Rules of Evidence and it doesn't sound like Lauren was correct as to why she personally believes the evidence I had presented her and was going to present her would have been in any way, shape, or form, be considered inadmissible. She was wrong.
4. She had behaved rude towards Brian's family on multiple occasions. Unlike the lawyer from Danville, VA during the consultation. Even Scott Albrecht had not acted rude as Lauren has in the past with Brian's family.
5. Lauren said that she cannot and will not bring up chimney expert witness “Pete Compton” of ACE Chimney Sweep at 296 Dodson Rd, Bassett, VA 24055, (276) 629-4453, even though he had found residue evidence of carbon monoxide poisoning, because he didn't get the levels

of carbon monoxide gas poisoning. So according to her, it was better for him to leave carbon monoxide in the entire apartment complex at 310 Forest Street, for the whole home to consistently have carbon monoxide gas in order to find out what the levels were. She said his testimony would be inadmissible.

6. Roberta Hill had discovered that Lauren's LinkedIn profile had omitted the fact that in 2014, she was an intern for the Commonwealth Attorney's Office of Martinsville. Brian's family found at least 2-3 articles showing that Lauren McGarry had worked for the Martinsville Commonwealth Attorney Office as an intern back in 2014. Explains why she doesn't want any witnesses to testify and doesn't want any evidence to be presented to the court for admissibility for the jury trial either. A potential conflict of interest. All of her refusals to do any defense work is beneficial to the other side, to the Commonwealth Attorney.

7. Brian no longer wishes to stay in communication with his lawyer which causes a problem for Brian's Circuit Court case. He feels angry about her, and doesn't want to talk to her anymore because she is rude to Brian's family, complains that nothing can be brought up as evidence and not even medical records from the Hospital, and then she said that she cannot bring up Pete Compton as a witness for the trial. She ignored the case law which means, if there is a guilty verdict by a jury and the case is appealed up further, Lauren will not bring up the three different case laws regarding acquittals of indecent exposure for not meeting the bar of the obscenity clause. Lawyer Scott Albrecht told Brian and his family in 2018 that Brian was innocent of indecent exposure because Brian wasn't sexually aroused when he was naked, he was just naked, that was it. So while Scott argues the obscenity clause just like in the three Circuit Courts ruling acquittals, Lauren seems to be giving her opinion on the basis of strict liability. What Lauren is arguing in regards to strict liability is dangerous. Part of the reason why different Circuit Courts rule that one cannot be guilty of indecent exposure unless they are being obscene in public (sexual behavior such as masturbation and arousal) is because of people with mental illnesses that might not think straight but aren't being sexual if naked in public at night, or an elderly man or woman with Alzheimer's disease or dementia that was out naked in a public place not knowing what is going on. There could be somebody drunk in public that takes clothes off but is not being sexual. The purpose of the indecent exposure statute is to prevent lewd sexual

behavior in public. Even the U.S. Supreme Court and lower courts have upheld the constitutionality of "nudity without more," specifically referring to the nudist depiction as a fully constitutional form of expression. (*Sunshine Book Co. v. Summerfield, Postmaster General*, 355 U.S. 372) That may also be likely as to why the three circuit courts require obscenity in order to be guilty of indecent exposure. In those three cases faxed to Lauren, the convictions were reversed when the conduct did not rise to being obscene, because "it does not rise to the level of obscenity required under Code § 18.2-387, as defined in Code § 18.2-372." (1) *Kimberly F. Neice v. Commonwealth of Virginia*, Record No. 1477-09-3 in the Circuit Court of Giles County; (2) *A. M. v. Commonwealth of Virginia*, Record No. 1150-12-4 in the Circuit Court of Shenandoah County; (3) *Kenneth Samuel Moses v. Commonwealth of Virginia*, Record No. 0985-03-3 in the Circuit Court of Richmond. Scott Albrecht had informed Brian that there was a few other case laws he was looking into months prior to Lauren being assigned as Brian's new counsel. If the Circuit Court decides to adopt strict liability instead which is dangerous for the mentally ill, the elderly, the mentally handicapped, and those who were confused or on drugs/alcohol but not sexual in public. However if strict liability is to be adopted by the Martinsville Circuit Court, then the case could be decided by the Virginia Supreme Court due to the conflict between the different Circuit Court decisions. Nudity and nothing more is not being obscene. Obscenity means that somebody feels sexually aroused by being seen and wants to pleasure themselves in public. Brian did nothing like that, especially since evidence is building showing that Brian had over eight (8) symptoms of carbon monoxide poisoning which would have caused Brian to have behaved insanely. Lauren ignored all of that.

It is quite clear that Brian no longer wishes to work with Lauren McGarry as counsel because she doesn't want to present any evidence during the pre-trial phase to determine it's admissibility. Lauren made her own personal determination of the entire case as if she were Judge, Jury, and Executioner. She decides that any evidence ever offered is all inadmissible and that case laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia did not matter at all either which contradicts both former attorney Scott Albrecht and the lawyer during the free consultation period at "Haymore & Holland, P.C." in Danville. Lauren

believes in strict liability when she doesn't know or understand that the Circuit Court may rule along with the other Circuit Courts on the obscenity requirements (“nudity and nothing more”) for indecent exposure and for good reason. There are crazy people that might just go insane and take clothes off, there are those with carbon monoxide poisoning like what had happened to Brian, elderly people, people who have severe mental handicaps, and all of the people like that would face sex charges when none of them had any sexual behavior and no sexual intent. The Adam Walsh Act was never meant to be used for this purpose, it was mainly meant for rapists and child molesters. All this does is delude the trust and credibility of the sex offender registry while Jeffery Epstein from New York was reported to have molested hundreds of kids with the Lolita Express and he was already registered as a sex offender but that didn't stop him from sexually abusing children and engaging in child trafficking. The registry has become a failure, especially with the strict liability issues of the indecent exposure charge, that is why there are Circuits which have ruled that obscenity is required for indecent exposure, as to not delude the sex offender registry with stupid non-sexual acts being a reason to convict people with indecent exposure to even warrant registration. Strict liability again is very dangerous and can put innocent people onto a sex offender registry, somebody who is drunk and peed at a bush or tree in public could become a sex offender under strict liability. An elderly woman or man with dementia or brain problems that could cause somebody to undress in a public place without understanding the consequences would become an instant sex offender. The obscenity requirements protects the mentally ill and those with brain/neurological illnesses from being convicted of indecent exposure. More likely police that would find such people would place them in a hospital, or in a mental facility, or back with their families or caregivers. There is a reason why Scott Albrecht had brought up the obscenity requirement and argued that Brian wasn't being obscene and therefore wasn't guilty of indecent exposure.

Carbon Monoxide poisoning can also cause abnormal behaviors and can cause something like indecent exposure and other weird behaviors. It can cause hallucinations and psychosis. You can think your in the shower while naked but yet be at a public waterfall in a state park. You can think you were naked in your home but instead be in a public building. Carbon monoxide is a good defense to bring up but Lauren refuses to bring any of that up.

Counsel is ineffective, counsel refuses to present any evidence to the Judge to determine whether it can be admissible for the Jury Trial. Counsel refuses to present any witnesses, counsel gives an opinion of only guilt for Brian at the jury trial when Scott Albrecht and the Danville VA counsel both said otherwise. When two lawyer's opinions conflicts with Lauren's own opinions and beliefs, it is clear that Lauren will not defend Brian and is not good counsel for Brian to be able to defend himself in a court of law against the charge of indecent exposure. Therefore Brian recommends to the Court that they find Lauren McGarry of the Public Defender Office to being ineffective as assistance of counsel in Brian's case, and therefore the Court should move to appoint substitute counsel to protect Brian's Sixth Amendment right under the United States Constitution. If Brian is to have any chance of a constitutionally guaranteed fair trial instead of a kangaroo trial, Brian does not need Lauren McGarry as counsel. Brian doesn't even want to talk with his counsel anymore which effects the case entirely. Brian's family is having to check the case status and history just to find out when Brian's next court hearing is because Brian is very displeased with his lawyer for screwing up his case. Brian is agitated, angry, and annoyed with his counsel for lying to him and his family, refusing to defend Brian on her personal belief that Brian is guilty no matter what he says, argues, or whatever evidence that he presents.

Brian requests that the Court grant him substitute counsel without any unnecessary delay.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant, Brian David Hill, prays that this Court enter an Order granting this motion and give the Defendant substitute counsel appointed by this Court for Brian so that he receives due process of law, a fair and just trial, and an impartial jury. His constitutional rights are in jeopardy under current assigned counsel.

Hill respectfully files this Motion with this honorable Court, this the 19th day of July, 2019.

Signed, Brian D. Hill
Signed
Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
Phone #: 276-790-3505
310 Forest Street, Apartment 1
Martinsville, Virginia 24112

U.S.W.G.O.

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill
Stanley's 2255 blog: JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Qanon

Brian D. Hill asks President Donald John Trump and QANON for help.

This pleading has been filed by hand delivery to the office of the Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Clerk's office at the Martinsville Circuit Court on July 19, 2019, at the address of 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 19th day of July, 2019, a true copy of the foregoing Motion/Pleading was hand delivered to the office of the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112, counsel for Plaintiff of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Signed, Brian D. Hill
Signed
Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
Phone #: 276-790-3505
310 Forest Street, Apartment 1
Martinsville, Virginia 24112

U.S.W.G.O.

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill
Stanley's 2255 blog: JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Qanon

Brian D. Hill asks President Donald John Trump and QANON for help.

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 07/19/2019 @09:40:24

TESTE: ER
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

VIRGINIA:
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.) Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
)
BRIAN DAVID HILL)
)
Defendant,)
)
) Motion for Discovery
_____)
)

Motion for Discovery

Pursuant to Rule 4:1 of the Virginia Rules of the Supreme Court and U.S. Supreme Court decision of Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 S. Ct. 1194; 10 L. Ed. 2d 215; 1963, criminal Defendant Brian David Hill (“Brian”, “Hill”) would like to request that the Commonwealth Attorney (“CA”) be compelled to provide discovery materials to Defense counsel which are both material and relevant to the case. That is for the jury trial for the charge of “indecent exposure” as defined in Virginia Code § 18.2-387. The jury trial is scheduled for August 30, 2019, unless the court considers changing the date for any reason including but not limited to expert witnesses and a mental evaluation to determine sanity at the time of the offense.

Hill and/or his family have attempted to contact Martinsville Police Department (“CC: Commonwealth Attorney”) through written multiple correspondences asking for the body camera footage of Officer Sgt. R. D. Jones, by Hill writing the Martinsville Chief of Police G. E. Cassady asking for the body-camera footage to be turned over to

Brian's defense counsel (*Note: Attorney Scott Albrecht, at the time*) as pertinent to Virginia discovery requirements.

Evidence of attempting to request the police-body-camera footage of September 21, 2018, are made in the following Exhibits:

Exhibit 1) 2-Page U.S.W.G.O. Mailing Log from Brian David Hill of important legal mailings which was mailed while Hill was being mentally evaluated at the Federal Correctional Institution 1 in Butner, North Carolina. The #4 entry was the mailing to the Chief of Police asking for the body-camera footage. Mailing was delivered to the prison Mail Room on January 30, 2019, treated as legal mail and was not fettered with in accordance with Federal Bureau of Prisons policies. **Total of 2-pages.**

Exhibit 2) Photocopy of 1-Page letter from Brian David Hill to the Martinsville Police Chief dated January 19, 2019 while Hill was being mentally evaluated at the Federal Correctional Institution 1 in Butner, North Carolina. Also the second page of this Exhibit is a 1-page photocopy of the mailing envelope with mailing label before it was delivered to the prison Mail Room, treated as legal mail and was not fettered with in accordance with Federal Bureau of Prisons policies. **Total of 2-pages.**

Exhibit 3) 1-Page of U.S.W.G.O. Mailing Log from Brian David Hill of important legal mailings which was mailed while Hill was being mentally evaluated at the Federal Correctional Institution 1 in Butner, North Carolina. The #8 entry was the mailing to the Chief of Police asking for the body-camera footage. Mailing was delivered to the prison Mail Room on January 22, 2019 with

the original letter before the photocopy of that same discovery letter was mailed at a later time (See Exhibit 1). The prison treated the mailing as legal mail and was not fettered with in accordance with Federal Bureau of Prisons policies. **Total of 1-page.**

Exhibit 4) 3-Page letter to the Martinsville Chief of Police, was typed up and mailed to them by Brian David Hill's grandparents. Noted: January 19, 2019 (Typed letter March 13, 2019), “Dear Chief of Police of Martinsville Police Dept: G. Edward Cassady”, “CC: Commonwealth Attorney, Case no C18-3138,”. Note: The Defendant will be looking for the return receipt to see if it can be located in the pile of papers in the multiple boxes full of legal papers, so that the court will have proof of receipt if necessary. Total of 3-pages.

Exhibit 5) A 2-page news article titled “Body Cameras Proving Useful for Martinsville Police | WSET”. It proves that since 2013, Martinsville Police Department records body-camera footage of incidents. That may include recording of Brian David Hill on September 21, 2018, and any statements that he had made in regards to a “man wearing a hoodie” and may be useful in proving that Brian David Hill was not acting right at the time which would help prove that he was under carbon monoxide poisoning. **Total of 2-pages.**

Total evidence of 10 pages of five (5) Exhibits, 5 additional pages for the Exhibit page markers. 15 pages attached to this letter.

ANALYSIS:

From the Virginia Supreme Court rules document:

“The parties have a duty to seasonably supplement and amend discovery responses

pursuant to Rule 4:1(e) of the Rules of Supreme Court of Virginia. Seasonably means as soon as practical. No provision of this Order supersedes the Rules of Supreme Court of Virginia governing discovery. Any discovery motion filed shall contain a certification that counsel has made a good faith effort to resolve the matters set forth in the motion with opposing counsel.”

Since Defendant has sent two letters with “CC: Commonwealth Attorney, Case no. C18-3138,” and family sent one typed letter asking for the police body-camera footage for Hill's case, it is clear that Hill had made a good faith effort to explain to the prosecution and the Police Department that the body-camera footage of what had happened on September 21, 2018, was needed for discovery purposes for the case. The old case number for the General District Court case was referenced because Hill did not know the Circuit Court case number at the time he was sending those letters, but that case number is the very same case number of what was appealed. No responses were ever found or noted. As far as Hill is concerned, there are no responses to his discovery requests. Hill had mailed a copy of the letter (Exhibit 2) to Scott Albrecht while he was still Hill's counsel of record at the time. Attorney Scott Albrecht never informed Hill as to whether or not the body-camera footage was turned over to defense counsel. Therefore no responses are noted and no responses exist in regards to Hill's two attempts to ask for the body-camera footage and Hill's families one attempt in a typed letter asking for the body-camera footage. Three written attempts have been made asking for the body-camera footage this year, in a request to Martinsville Police Department and “CC: Commonwealth Attorney”.

It is clear that Brian David Hill as Defendant is entitled to the police body-camera footage pursuant to Rule 4:1 of the Supreme Court Rules for Virginia Courts as well as Brady v. Maryland case law from the U.S. Supreme Court (law of the land) which also applies to state courts, and any other rule or statute for the discovery process.

Also Hill would like to request from the Commonwealth Attorney and from Martinsville Police Department, that Hill's defense counsel get access to any blood-work or blood samples taken from Hill while he was at Sovah Hospital on September 21, 2018, before he was arrested. This includes any laboratory results, blood vials taken at the time of Hill's arrest, blood samples taken at the time of Hill's arrest, etc etc. Blood was clearly taken from Hill while he was at the Hospital, but since he was arrested, the Hospital likely would have given the blood drawn to the Police for conducting their own laboratory tests including but not limited to possible drugs.

Last page of Exhibit 10 in the evidence Exhibits which were attached to Brian's filed pro se Motion (Seq. # 22, filed 07/19/2019, evidence attached to this filing was filed on 07/22/2019 after being given to Clerk's office) for Defense of Mental Insanity "INSANITY DEF-FILED BY DEF", shows that laboratory results were ordered but later deleted from the chart and then Hill was released to Martinsville City Jail as stated in the medical records. Because Hill was escorted there with law enforcement, the Hospital likely had given the blood vials to the Martinsville Police Department to conduct their own laboratory work. That would mean a possibility that the Police Department has the blood samples, and the blood vials are likely in evidence storage for the indecent exposure investigation. Those are also subject to discovery for defense counsel. The blood vials are needed to conduct laboratory tests to find evidence of Carbon Monoxide poisoning in the blood with a lab test of "carboxyhemoglobin" which would prove that Carbon Monoxide was in the blood of Brian David Hill during the time of the alleged offense on September 21, 2018. Hill had asked Attorney Scott Abrecht, after he had turned himself in (Seq. #15, 05/30/2019, "HILL TURNED HIMSELF IN") to find the laboratory results but Hill later learned from his family that the Commonwealth Attorney didn't have the laboratory results, but the Commonwealth

Attorney never said anything to Scott Albrecht about the blood vials and blood-work that was drawn while Hill was at the hospital. So the blood vials may still exist as evidence and may be retained by Martinsville Police Department due to Sovah Hospital's policy in regards to a patient that is escorted by law enforcement or was with law enforcement.

Therefore for the following reasons, Hill respectfully requests with this honorable Court that the Court grant this motion for Discovery and compel the Commonwealth Attorney and Martinsville Police Department (who the Commonwealth represents) to turn over the evidence of the body-camera footage (*as noted above*) to Defense counsel, and the blood-work and/or blood-vials of Brian David Hill (*at the time he was arrested*) to Defense counsel. That the Court order all discovery evidence that the Commonwealth Attorney and Martinsville Police Department has withheld be turned over to Defense counsel As Soon As Possible.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant, Brian David Hill, prays that this Court enter an Order compelling discovery materials be turned over to Defense Counsel in regards to the issues stated herein.

Hill respectfully files this Motion with this honorable Court, this the 26th day of July, 2019.

Signed, Brian D. Hill
Signed
Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
Phone #: 276-790-3505
310 Forest Street, Apartment 1
Martinsville, Virginia 24112

U.S.W.G.O.

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill
Stanley's 2255 blog: JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Qanon

Brian D. Hill asks President Donald John Trump and QANON for help.

This pleading has been filed by hand delivery to the office of the Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Clerk's office at the Martinsville Circuit Court on July 26, 2019, at the address of 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 26th day of July, 2019, a true copy of the foregoing Motion/Pleading was hand delivered to the office of the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112, counsel for Plaintiff of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Signed, Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
Phone #: 276-790-3505
310 Forest Street, Apartment 1
Martinsville, Virginia 24112

U.S.W.G.O.

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill
Stanley's 2255 blog: JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Qanon

Brian D. Hill asks President Donald John Trump and QANON for help.

Exhibit 1

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN

Qanon **U.S.W.G.O.** Qanon

MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00
“Motion for Discovery”

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

Mailing Log 2019 U.S.W.G.O. Brian David Hill #29947-057

Federal Correctional Institution ¹ Butner, N.C.
Old N.C. Hwy 75 - P.O. Box 1000 - 27509

- | | | |
|-----|------|---|
| #1 | 4pg | Jason McMurray, Western Dist. of Virginia, U.S. Probation Office,
210 Franklin RD SW, Roanoke, VA 24011 01/25/2019 |
| #2 | 4pg | Alexandria Veletsis, Exe. Office of President, 1600 Pennsylvania Ave NW,
The White House, Washington DC 20005, US 01/28/2019 |
| #3 | 10pg | Hon Ashby Pritchett, Clerk of the Court, P.O. Box 1206,
Martinsville Circuit CRT, Martinsville, VA 24114-1206,
US 01/28/2019 |
| #4 | 2pg | Chief of Police, Police of Martinsville, Martinsville VA Police,
55 West Church St, Municipal Building, Martinsville, VA 24112
US 01/30/2019 |
| #5 | 5pg | Alexandria Veletsis, Exe. Office of President, 1600 Pennsylvania Ave NW,
The White House, Washington DC 20005, US 01/31/2019 |
| #6 | 1pg | Law Office of Marcia G. Shein (Attorney), Marcia G. Shein,
2392 N Decatur RD, Decatur, GA 30033, US 02/01/2019 |
| #7 | 1pg | Law Offices of Alan Ellis (Attorney), Alan Ellis, 271 Madison Ave
20th Floor, New York, NY 10016, US 02/04/2019 |
| #8 | 4pg | ATTN: National Security Council, The White House, Exe. Office of
President, 1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW, National Security Council,
Washington, DC 20005, US 02/04/2019, Letter Feb. 3 2019 |
| #9 | 3pg | Clerk of The Court, 210 Franklin RD SW, U.S. District Court,
Roanoke, VA 24011, US 02/06/2019 |
| #10 | 1pg | Office of The Clerk, Middle Dist North Carolina, 324 W Market
St, U.S. District Court, Ste. 1, Greensboro, NC 27401-2513, US
02/07/2019 |
| #11 | 5pg | ATTN: National Security Council. Same mailing address as #8
02/14/2019 |

#1. 2-page letter to U.S. Probation Officer Jason McMurray, Copy of 1-page letter to Chief of Police dated January 19th, 2019 and Copy of 1-page letter to Chief of Police dated January 20th, 2019.

#2. 4-page letter to Alexandria Veletsis dated January 26, 2019.

#3. 3-page Testimony of Brian David Hill - Declaration and 3-page copy for Commonwealth Attorney; 2-page Notice of Additional Evidence and 2-page copy dated Jan 28, 2019, for Commonwealth Attorney. Testimony dated January 27, 2019.

#4. copy of 1-page letter to Chief of Police dated January 20, 2019, and copy of 1-page letter to Chief of Police dated January 19, 2019.

#5. Photocopy of same 4-page letter to Alexandria Veletsis (#2.) dated January 26, 2019; Copy of 1-page letter personally delivered to Bernie Maidoff delivered 5:35PM January 30, 2019.

Certified mail tracking number:

7018 1130 0000 8936 6290

#6. 1-page letter to Attorney Marcia G. Shein dated February 1, 2019.

#7. 1-page letter to Attorney Alan Ellis dated February 1, 2019.

#8. 4-page letter to the National Security Council dated February 3, 2019.

Certified Mail tracking number: Feb. 3

7018 1130 0000 8936 6320

#9. 1-page Motion to Request Transcripts, 1-page Certificate of Service, and 1-page letter to the Clerk of the Court dated February 6, 2019.

#10. 1-page Docket Report request letter to Clerk of the Court dated February 7, 2019.

#11. 4-page letter to National Security Council dated February 13, 2019. 1-page photocopy of Request to Staff dated 02/13/2019 06:49:30PM. Certified Mail tracking no.

7018 1130 0000 8936 6306

Exhibit 2

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN

Qanon **U.S.W.G.O.** Qanon

MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00
“Motion for Discovery”

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

Dear Chief of Police of Martinsville Police Dept,
CC: Commonwealth Attorney, Case no. C18-3138,
55 West Church Street,
Municipal Building,
Martinsville, VA 24112,

Martinsville Circuit Court case
Discovery Request

Under Virginia Code in regards to discovery requirements for misdemeanor and felony trials in the Commonwealth of Virginia, Brady v. Maryland, Giglio v. U.S., Brian David Hill hereby requests a copy of Police Body-Camera footage presumably recorded by Sgt. R.D. Jones of Martinsville Police Department between the times of 3:00AM and 4:00AM, September ~~20~~ 21, 2018, where I gave statements about the man wearing the hoodie who had threatened to kill my mother Roberta Hill on the late night of September 20, 2018. Please turn over that Police body camera footage recording evidence copy to my Attorney Scott Albrecht of the Martinsville Public Defender Office, As Soon As Possible. Thank You for your service.

My Respects,

Brian D. Hill

Signed

Brian David Hill #29947-057

Federal Correctional Institution 1

Old NC Hwy 75; P.O. Box 1000

Butner, N.C. 27509

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

U.S.W.G.O.

Dated January 19, 2019.

P.S. Brian Hill has Autism
Spectrum Disorder in DMV
handicap placard records.

Exhibit 3

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN

Qanon **U.S.W.G.O.** Qanon

MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00
“Motion for Discovery”

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

FCI², Butner, N.C.
Brian David Hill #29947-057

Mailing Log 2019 U.S.W.G.O.

Brian David Hill #29947-057

Federal Correctional Institution¹, Butner, N.C.

- | | | |
|-----|------|--|
| #1 | 1 pg | ↔29947-057 ↔ Federal Building, 324 W Market St
Suite 1, Greensboro, NC 27401-2513, US 01/14/2019
Clerk of the Court - 01/26/2019 01-14-2019 |
| #2 | 2 pg | Hon Ashby Pritchett, Clerk of the Court PO Box 1206,
Martinsville Circuit CRT, Martinsville, VA 1206, US
01/14/2019 |
| #3 | 2 pg | Scott Albrecht Public Defender Office, 31 P.O. Drawer,
Martinsville, VA 24114 US - 01/15/2019 01/18/2019 |
| #4 | 2 pg | Clerk of the Court, U.S. District Court, Federal Building, 324
W Market St Suite 1, Greensboro, NC 27401-2513,
US 01/18/2019 |
| #5 | 2 pg | Office of VA Attorney General 202 N 9TH ST
Virginia Attorney General, Richmond VA 23219 US
01/17/2019 - 7018-1130-0000-8936-6214 Certified |
| #6 | 2 pg | Administra Office Of Us Courts Admin. Office of US
Courts 1 Columbus CIR NE Probation Oversight Branch,
Washington, DC 20002 US [Urgent] 01/17/2019 |
| #7 | 4 pg | Clerk of the Court 210 Franklin Rd SW U.S. District Court,
Roanoke VA 24011 US 01/18/2019 |
| #8 | 4 pg | Chief of Police, Police of Martinsville, 55 W Church St,
Municipal Building, Martinsville, VA 24112 US 01/22/2019 |
| #9 | 4 pg | Us Federal Courthouse, Hon. Judge Joe Webster, Magistrate 323 E Chapel
Hill ST, Room 2, Durham, NC 27701-3351, US 01/24/2019 |
| #10 | 4 pg | Anand P Ramaswamy, AUSA United States Attorney 101 S Edgeworth ST
4th Floor, Greensboro NC 27401 US 01/24/2019 |
| #11 | 2 pg | Attorney Scott Albrecht, Public Defender Office, 31 P.O. Drawer,
Martinsville, VA 24114 US 01/24/2019 |

Exhibit 4

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN

Qanon **U.S.W.G.O.** Qanon

MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00
“Motion for Discovery”

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

January 19, 2019 (Typed letter March 13, 2019)

Dear Chief of Police of Martinsville Police Dept: G. Edward Cassady

CC: Commonwealth Attorney, Case no C18-3138,

55 West Church Street Municipal Building Martinsville, VA 24112

Martinsville Circuit Court case Discovery Request

Under Virginia Code in regards to discovery requirements for misdemeanor and felony trials in the Commonwealth of Virginia, Brady v Maryland, Giglio v U.S., Brian Hill hereby requests a copy of Police-Camera footage presumably recorded by Sgt. R.D. Jones of Martinsville Police Department between the times of 3:00AM and 4:00AM, September 20, 2018, where I gave statements about the man wearing the hoodie, who had threatened to kill my mother Roberta Hill on the late night of September 20, 2018. Please turn over that Police body camera footage recording evidence copy to my Attorney Scott Albrecht of the Martinsville Public Defender Office, As Soon As Possible. Thank you for your service.

My Regards,

Brian D. Hill (Signed)

Dated January 19, 2019

P.S. Brian Hill has Autism Spectrum Disorder in DMV handicap placard records

Brian David Hill #29947-057 Federal Correctional Institution 1
Old NC Hwy 75; P.O. Box 1000 Butner, NC 27509
JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com USWGO

(Letter 1)

January 20, 2019 (Typed letter March 13, 2019)

Dear Chief of Police of Martinsville Police Department: G. Edward Cassady

CC: Commonwealth Attorney, Case no C18-3138,

55 West Church Street Municipal Building Martinsville, VA 24112

Martinsville Circuit Court case

There are more facts that must be known about me in this case which involve my mental/neurological disability/handicap of Autism Spectrum Disorder. The man that had threatened to kill my mother Roberta Hill if I didn't get naked and take pictures of myself is a form of verbal sexual abuse similar to a pedophile threatening a kid to get naked. I almost would have gotten sexually taken advantage of by an inmate named Crutchfield while I'm being evaluated mentally here meaning, I would have been raped if other inmates with life sentences had not taken up for me and protected me that are against rape. Research on Google that people with Autism are more likely to be verbally and physically sexually abused. The man wearing the hoodie wanted to take advantage of me. Please contact Renetta Craighead of Piedmont Community Services and REACH. They will explain to you about my condition. I never should have been arrested and should have been placed in witness protection. This case should be dismissed. I am Innocent. Thank you.

My respects,

Brian D. Hill (Signed)

Dated January 20, 2019

Caretaker: Roberta Hill:
276-790-3505, 276-224-7373
Kenneth Forinash, U.S.A.F:
276-632-2599, 276-224-4527

Brian David Hill #29947-057
Federal Correctional Institution
Old NC Hwy 75; PO Box 1000
Butner, NC 27509

Copy of note mailed with letter dated January 19, 2019

**Chief of Police and Commonwealth Attorney in Martinsville,
VA,**

Please acknowledge receipt of letters. Please write response.

Thank you

Brian D. Hill

God bless you!

Note: In a week of no response, I will assume that it was lost and mail another copy. Thanks.

Note from Brian's grandparents. Brian wrote this on January 19, 2019 and January, 20, 2019. He received no response, He sent it again and received no response a week later. After waiting almost two months, his grandparents will have to go to the post office and send this out return receipt requested. You also should know that Brian has been on disability since the age of 19 months; has brittle diabetes requiring insulin shots, has seizures, autism, anxiety and OCD. His actions that night were not normal. He was a victim who was arrested and sent to jail by the police who are supposed to protect its citizens and disabled. Brian's mom and grandparents were at the trial and noticed the prosecuting attorney making derogatory comments and making fun of this disabled citizen of Martinsville in front of his family and many other people in the court room.

*Brian, We are also sending a copy
of the 3 page disabled parking placard
with your disability (autism) &
your name & address with this letter ^{to chief} _{of Police}*

Exhibit 5

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN

Qanon **U.S.W.G.O.** Qanon

MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR1900009-00
“Motion for Discovery”

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA



m/archive/body-cameras-proving-useful-f
2019 12:56:15 GMT. The current page cou

73°

86°

90°

Search Site



Tip: To quickly find your search term on this page, press **Ctrl+F** or **⌘-F** (Mac) and use the find bar.

ADVERTISEMENT



Useful for Martinsville Po

73°

86°

90°



Martinsville, VA -- The Martinsville Police Department says a small device has been making a big difference in fighting crime.

About a year ago, they got 38 cameras that the officers wear. They received the cameras because of a grant from the Virginia Municipal League. And they say they have really proven themselves.

Even on a very routine call, every word spoken and every movement taken will be captured clearly.

"Having this thing with us is like having someone with us whose memory is infallible," said Sgt. Chad Rhoads with the Martinsville Police Department.

Captain Eddie Cassady calls the cameras "like another officer" watching out for his force.

"They have been very useful for us," said Cassady.

For about a year, every Martinsville Police patrolling officer has worn one of these cameras. And for such a small device, it does a lot even capturing the sound of cars driving by in the distance.

"It helps clear up any disagreements. Anytime you talk to somebody, there are two different versions of what went on," said Rhodes.

And Rhoads explains, this camera shows the real version.

"It helps us investigate cases. It also helps us identify potential witnesses in other crime scenes too," said Cassady.

In the past few months, it did something they didn't even expect. When a man

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 07/26/2019 @10:59:43

TESTE: *Jennifer C. Coplin*
CLERK DEPUTY CLERK

the Virginia Supreme Court) show that presenting evidence of Brian's November 12, 2014 federal conviction would be inadmissible as evidence and should be excluded from being presented to the jury, or that Hill should be allowed to present all of his actual innocence evidence (*including all Exhibits, 2255 brief/memorandum and fraud upon the court claims from that federal case*) from his Writ of Habeas Corpus petition (*still pending before the federal court, has not been dismissed, even till this day.*) in defense to the CA's use of a conviction that may or may not be a final decision.

ANALYSIS OF THE RULES:

1. RULES OF SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA

PART TWO

VIRGINIA RULES OF EVIDENCE

ARTICLE IV. RELEVANCY, POLICY, AND CHARACTER TRAIT PROOF

Rule 2:403 EXCLUSION OF RELEVANT EVIDENCE ON GROUNDS OF PREJUDICE, CONFUSION, MISLEADING THE JURY, OR NEEDLESS PRESENTATION OF CUMULATIVE EVIDENCE

Relevant evidence may be excluded if:

- (a) the probative value of the evidence is substantially outweighed by (i) the danger of unfair prejudice, or (ii) its likelihood of confusing or misleading the trier of fact; or
- (b) the evidence is needlessly cumulative.

2. RULES OF SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA

PART TWO

VIRGINIA RULES OF EVIDENCE

ARTICLE IV. RELEVANCY, POLICY, AND CHARACTER TRAIT PROOF

Rule 2:413. Evidence of similar crimes in child sexual offense cases (derived from Code § 18.2-67.7:1)

(a) In a criminal case in which the defendant is accused of a felony sexual offense involving a child victim, evidence of the defendant's conviction of another sexual offense or offenses is admissible and may be considered for its bearing on any matter to which it is relevant.

(b) The Commonwealth shall provide to the defendant 14 days prior to trial notice of its intention to introduce copies of final orders evidencing the defendant's qualifying prior criminal convictions. Such notice shall include (i) the date of each prior conviction, (ii) the name and jurisdiction of the court where each prior conviction was obtained, and (iii) each offense of which the defendant was convicted. Prior to commencement of the trial, the Commonwealth shall provide to the defendant photocopies of certified copies of the final orders that it intends to introduce.

(c) This Rule shall not be construed to limit the admission or consideration of evidence under any other rule of court or statute.

(d) For purposes of this Rule, "sexual offense" means any offense or any attempt or conspiracy to engage in any offense described in Article 7 (§ 18.2-61 et seq.) of Chapter 4 or § 18.2-370, 18.2-370.01, or 18.2-370.1 or any substantially similar offense under the laws of another state or territory of the United States, the District of Columbia, or the United States.

(e) Evidence offered in a criminal case pursuant to the provisions of this Rule shall be subject to exclusion in accordance with the Virginia Rules of Evidence, including but not limited to Rule 2:403.

Adopted and promulgated by Order dated July 1, 2014; effective July 1, 2014.

First of all, the evidence of the federal criminal conviction itself may violate "Rule 2:403(a) the probative value of the evidence is substantially outweighed by (i) the danger of unfair prejudice" of the Virginia Rules of Evidence.

Second of all, the evidence of the federal criminal conviction itself may violate “Rule 2:413” of the Virginia Rules of Evidence.

Here are the facts which can be presented to the Court as to why Hill's past criminal conviction may not be admissible for this particular case and if the CA wishes to present such evidence, should be subject to suppression of the very evidence of Brian's federal criminal conviction on November, 2014:

Hill introduces the following evidence Exhibits in support of this motion:

Exhibit 1) The docket sheet of Brian's federal criminal case which was under a conviction in November 12, 2014, but the conviction is currently under a pending Writ of Habeas Corpus matter (*Title 28 U.S. Code § 2255*) constitutionally challenging such conviction which was filed in November 14, 2017, and is still pending before the court. A motion to vacate, set aside, or correct a sentence by a person under federal custody under Title 28 U.S. Code § 2255, is a statutory framework for the federal Writ of Habeas Corpus. It can also be considered a federal appeal by the state court, or that the decision is not final until the issues within a Writ of Habeas Corpus petition has been resolved. **Total of 19 pages.**

Exhibit 2) A 2-page letter to U.S. Magistrate Judge Joe Webster (presiding judge over the Writ of Habeas Corpus matter) had asserted that the Assistant U.S. Attorney had engaged in a fraud upon the court (*or was a victim of fraud upon the court if he didn't know the contradictory issues with the original prosecution evidence material*). A judgment may be void and not a final disposition if it was obtained by fraudulent evidence aka a “fraud upon the court” under *Chambers v. Nasco, Inc.*, 501 U.S. 32 (1991) of the U.S. Supreme Court regarding a Court's inherit powers to vacate an earlier judgment if such judgment was obtained by

fraud. The court is currently under the inherit authority of determining whether the prosecution had engaged in a fraud upon the court to obtain a wrongful conviction against Brian David Hill. Case 1:13-cr-00435-TDS, Document 169, Filed 01/30/19. **Total of 2 pages.**

Exhibit 3) The petition itself on the federal Writ of Habeas Corpus using the statute of Title 28 U.S. Code § 2255. One of the grounds is actual innocence, which is subject to remedy according to multiple U.S. Supreme Court rulings in regards to the Anti-Terrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act. **Total of 12 pages.**

Exhibit 4) Evidence filed of a 1-page Notice before a photocopy of a 2-page letter to the prosecuting attorney (*U.S. Attorney Assistant Anand Prakash Ramaswamy*) at the time in regards to the issues of a fraud upon the court. **Total of 3 pages.**

Because such federal conviction is still subject to collateral attack via Writ of Habeas Corpus for actual innocence claims, as well as the Court's inherit constitutional power to overturn an earlier judgment in a case if it learns that such judgment was obtained by a fraud upon the court. it is not a final disposition. Because of the issues regarding “fraud upon the court” being brought up in reference to the wrongful conviction of Brian David Hill, it is not a final conviction until the court makes such an investigation and determination into the “fraud upon the court” claim.

The CA should not bring up Brian's federal conviction on “possession of child pornography” from November 25, 2013 (charge) to November 12, 2014 (conviction) because such charge is not relevant to the elements of the indecent exposure charge since no children was involved in what had happened on September 21, 2018. The federal charge was over computer files which the federal prosecutor claimed was found

on Brian's computer but their claims/facts were subject to dispute by both the fraud upon the court claims and the Writ of Habeas Corpus petition. No child victims were possible during what happened on September 21, 2018, the indecent exposure allegations were around nighttime when nobody of the general public was out walking on the hiking trail (*noted: kids would normally be asleep during this time, who would walk on a hiking trail at night?*), this Commonwealth charge has nothing to do with children but about the issue of public nudity.

Virginia Rule 2:413(a) specifically says that *“In a criminal case in which the defendant is accused of a felony sexual offense involving a child victim, evidence of the defendant's conviction of another sexual offense or offenses is admissible and may be considered for its bearing on any matter to which it is relevant.”* The criminal conviction itself is not relevant to indecent exposure, especially when there was no obscenity involved and no masturbation in public. The police were even aware that there was no masturbation of what Martinsville Police Officer Sgt. R. D. Jones had saw when he testified to that regard on December 21, 2018 during the General District Court trial. Because Brian was just naked at night on a hiking trail, no playground, no actual park but a hiking trail with a lot of trees and high hills around the trail with just certain areas with some roads close by to the trail, Brian was not being obscene and had no intent to be indecent (carbon monoxide poisoning exposure) it is not at all relevant to the federal criminal charge. Three circuit court case laws had ruled precedent which would apply to this Circuit if they adopt the rulings from those Circuit Courts, that Brian had to be obscene in order to be guilty of indecent exposure (aka the “obscenity requirement”). There may be even more case laws that Brian had not discovered in regards to the obscenity requirement since using Westlaw law library on the jail tablets while in Western Virginia Regional Jail in late December, 2018. Even any Circuit court affirmed criminal convictions on indecent exposure would mean technical innocence for Brian if any of those decisions were also based on the obscenity requirement. Citing (1)

Kimberly F. Neice v. Commonwealth of Virginia, Record No. 1477-09-3 in the Circuit Court of Giles County; (2) A. M. v. Commonwealth of Virginia, Record No. 1150-12-4 in the Circuit Court of Shenandoah County; (3) Kenneth Samuel Moses v. Commonwealth of Virginia, Record No. 0985-03-3 in the Circuit Court of Richmond. Any more cases with the obscenity requirement would make very strong case law precedent with good reasoning as to why obscenity is required to be guilty of indecent exposure. That makes it critical that there is severe differences between the indecent exposure when Brian was 28 years old versus his 2013 charge of “possession of child pornography” back when Brian was 23 years old and was claiming actual innocence to that federal charge upon Writ of Habeas Corpus and fraud upon the court, none of those constitutional and inherit legal challenges have been resolved yet. The final disposition to Brian's sex charge and conviction in federal court may not even be final due to the Habeas Corpus and fraud upon the court filings on record. The Circuit Court cannot just make a decision on a federal court case in another state, in another jurisdiction while that court itself is determining whether it was victim of fraud upon the court which makes the final disposition VOID if the fraud is proven. Any decision by another Court to make a determination over the federal court while it is still making a determination over matters in such case causes disrespect for that court in that jurisdiction and overpowers their inherit ability to correct any frauds. All of this just because the CA wants to use Brian's federal conviction to unfairly persuade a jury that Brian is guilty of indecent exposure over another case in federal court.

Even if the conviction back in November 12, 2014, was a sex charge and indecent exposure is considered a sex charge, both charges are based on entirely different things, different facts, different circumstances, and different evidence. There was no indecent exposure in federal court, the Defendant was not caught naked by any North Carolina Police Department, it was entirely over their claims about files on a computer when the

State Bureau of Investigation (SBI”) would not even verify each supposed photo/file and the U.S. Attorney admits that there are no victims, that no victims can be identified (*in the Pre-sentence Investigation report*) which contradicts their claims that photos are supposed to be of children which were downloaded over a public Peer-to-Peer (“P2P”) file sharing network. Then the SBI also had admitted that files had been downloaded with the eMule program between July 20, 2012, and July 28, 2013. The computers were seized on August 28, 2012. So for 11 months files were supposedly downloading on Brian's computer when he didn't even have his computer. The controversy over Brian's child pornography possession charge was over discovery material facts that Brian wasn't even allowed to see by his ineffective court appointed lawyer until after he was convicted. Brian's conviction was fraudulent since Brian wasn't even able to review over all discovery materials turned over by the federal prosecutor until after he had falsely plead guilty. He didn't know what he had and could have won the jury trial had he known what was entirely in the discovery materials. Brian doesn't have \$100,000 or even \$300,000 for a private criminal defense lawyer or Habeas Corpus lawyer to represent him in federal court over his charge of possession of child pornography. Most average poor and middle class people cannot afford to pay these expensive legal fees just to have a good chance of being found actually innocent or not guilty of any particular sex charge for that matter. So many innocent people could be sitting on the Virginia Sex Offender Registry because they couldn't afford a good lawyer. Brian doesn't have the money for a lawyer to prove his actual innocence but had to fight to prove his actual innocence on his own based on what he had been able to review within the discovery evidence materials and other records, and the admissions by the federal prosecution. It is a fraud upon the court.

Yes Brian is on the Virginia Sex Offender Registry but that is only over his federal sex charge of possession of possible child pornography files but that is in dispute over a “fraud upon the court” claim and upon actual innocence assertion on a Writ of Habeas

Corpus petition. If Brian's conviction is overturned by Habeas Corpus and/or even the fraud upon the court inherit powers, then Brian is no longer to be a registered Sex Offender and is actually innocent but the court has yet to decide on his actual innocence evidence.

It is prejudicial to the jurors to hear of his federal conviction and what the federal conviction pertains to, because when the jurors hear the word "child porn" or "kiddie porn" then people will instantly go into an angry rage, filled with hate and their brains will just shut off. Then the jurors will be more likely to refuse to look at any evidence that the Defense will present during the jury trial. The jurors may convict Brian David Hill of this charge no matter what he presents, because they will be angry over hearing the subject matter of "child porn" and refuse to look at any evidence of Brian's actual innocence in regards to federal charge. Jurors will instantly ignore the carbon monoxide evidence, Brian's legal technical innocence, and will instantly believe that Brian is some kind of creep or pervert and refuse to look at any evidence because their minds may already be made up during the trial if that charge is ever brought up against the Defense. It will cause prejudice and bias, unfair advantage for the Commonwealth Attorney, and an unfair disadvantage with the Defense attorney and the Defendant.

Therefore for the following reasons, Hill respectfully requests with this honorable Court that the Court grant this motion to suppress the Commonwealth Attorney's ability to present evidence of Brian Hill's November 12, 2014 federal criminal conviction to the jury trial. It is clear with the Exhibits filed with this court, that Brian's conviction is being collaterally challenged by (1) actual innocence facts and (2) fraud upon the court claims which neither of those claims are time-barred. Brian's federal conviction should not be brought up at the jury trial since it will cause prejudice and unfair bias towards Hill. The Court should strike the CA's evidence regarding his federal charge and

conviction and should not even be allowed to have such wrongful conviction brought up as evidence for Hill's indecent exposure charge.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant, Brian David Hill, prays that this Court enter an Order suppressing and/or striking the evidence of Brian's federal criminal conviction and federal criminal charge in regards to the issues stated herein.

Hill respectfully files this Motion with this honorable Court, this the 26th day of July, 2019.

Signed, Brian D. Hill
Signed
Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
Phone #: 276-790-3505
310 Forest Street, Apartment 1
Martinsville, Virginia 24112

U.S.W.G.O.

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill
Stanley's 2255 blog: JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Qanon

Brian D. Hill asks President Donald John Trump and QANON for help.

This pleading has been filed by hand delivery to the office of the Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Clerk's office at the Martinsville Circuit Court on July 26, 2019, at the address of 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 26th day of July, 2019, a true copy of the foregoing Motion/Pleading was hand delivered to the office of the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112, counsel for Plaintiff of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Signed, Brian D. Hill
Signed
Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
Phone #: 276-790-3505
310 Forest Street, Apartment 1
Martinsville, Virginia 24112

U.S.W.G.O.

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill
Stanley's 2255 blog: JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Qanon

Brian D. Hill asks President Donald John Trump and QANON for help.

Exhibit 1

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN

Qanon **U.S.W.G.O.** Qanon

MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00
“Motion to Suppress Evidence”

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

**U.S. District Court
North Carolina Middle District (NCMD)
CRIMINAL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:13-cr-00435-TDS All Defendants**

Case title: USA v. HILL
Related Case: 1:17-cv-01036-TDS-JLW

Date Filed: 11/25/2013
Date Terminated: 11/12/2014

Assigned to: JUDGE THOMAS
D. SCHROEDER

Appeals court case number:
15-4057

Defendant (1)

BRIAN DAVID HILL
TERMINATED: 11/12/2014

represented by **BRIAN DAVID HILL**
310 FOREST STREET
APARTMENT 1
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112
PRO SE

ERIC D. PLACKE
OFFICE OF FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
301 N. ELM ST., STE. 410
GREENSBORO, NC 27401
336-333-5455
Fax: 336-333-5463
Email: Eric.Placke@fd.org
TERMINATED: 09/30/2014
LEAD ATTORNEY
*Designation: Public Defender or Community
Defender Appointment*

JOHN SCOTT COALTER
COALTER LAW, PLLC
910 NORTH ELM STREET
GREENSBORO, NC 27401
336-549-5386
Email: scott@greensborolawyers.com
TERMINATED: 11/12/2014
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Designation: CJA Appointment

RENORDA E. PRYOR
HERRING LAW CENTER
1821 HILLDALE ROAD, STE. 1B-220
DURHAM, NC 27705
919-355-5001
Email: rpryor@herringlawcenter.net
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Designation: CJA Appointment

Pending Counts

18:2252A(a)(5)(B) and (b)(2)
Possess child pornography of a
prepubescent minor under 12
years of age
(1)

Disposition

Ten (10) months and twenty (20) days
imprisonment, but not less than time served; ten (10)
years supervised release; \$100.00 special assessment

Highest Offense Level (Opening)

Felony

Terminated Counts

None

Disposition

Highest Offense Level (Terminated)

None

Complaints

None

Disposition

Plaintiff

USA

represented by **ANAND PRAKASH RAMASWAMY**
U. S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
101 S. EDGEWORTH ST., 4TH FLOOR
GREENSBORO, NC 27401
336-333-5351
Fax: 336-333-5381
Email: anand.ramaswamy@usdoj.gov
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Designation: Retained

ANGELA HEWLETT MILLER
OFFICE OF U. S. ATTORNEY
101 South Edgeworth Street, Fourth Floor
GREENSBORO, NC 27401
336-333-5351
Email: angela.miller@usdoj.gov
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Designation: United States Attorney

JOHN M. ALSUP
U. S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
101 S. EDGEWORTH ST., 4TH FLOOR
GREENSBORO, NC 27401
336-332-6331
Fax: 336-333-5381
Email: john.alsup@usdoj.gov
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
11/25/2013	<u>1</u>	INDICTMENT as to BRIAN DAVID HILL (1) count(s) 1. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 11/26/2013)
11/26/2013	<u>2</u>	ARREST Warrant Issued in case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 11/26/2013)
12/23/2013		Arrest of BRIAN DAVID HILL on 12/23/2013. (Starr, Logan) (Entered: 12/23/2013)
12/23/2013		Attorney update in case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. Attorney ERIC D. PLACKE for BRIAN DAVID HILL added. (Starr, Logan) (Entered: 12/23/2013)

12/23/2013		Minute Entry for proceedings held before MAG/JUDGE L. PATRICK AULD:Initial Appearance as to BRIAN DAVID HILL held on 12/23/2013. Proceedings Recorded. AUSA Sandra Hairston appeared on behalf of the USA. (Williamson, Wanda) (Entered: 12/23/2013)
12/23/2013		Oral Motion Re: Detention by USA as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Williamson, Wanda) (Entered: 12/23/2013)
12/23/2013	<u>3</u>	SEALED FINANCIAL AFFIDAVIT by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 12/23/2013)
12/23/2013	<u>4</u>	ORDER appointing Assistant Federal Public Defender ERIC D. PLACKE as counsel for BRIAN DAVID HILL. Signed by MAG/JUDGE L. PATRICK AULD on 12/23/2013. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 12/23/2013)
12/23/2013	<u>5</u>	ORDER SCHEDULING DETENTION HEARING/ARRAIGNMENT for 1/2/2014 at 2:30 PM in Winston-Salem Courtroom #3 before MAG/JUDGE JOI ELIZABETH PEAKE as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. Signed by MAG/JUDGE L. PATRICK AULD on 12/23/2013. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 12/23/2013)
12/27/2013	<u>6</u>	SEALED Pretrial Service Report. UPON COMPLETION OF THE DETENTION HEARING, ANY PAPER COPIES OF THE PRETRIAL SERVICES REPORT SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE PROBATION OFFICE. by Plaintiff USA, Defendant BRIAN DAVID HILL (Walser, Elizabeth) (Entered: 12/27/2013)
12/27/2013	<u>7</u>	ARREST Warrant Returned Executed on 12/20/2013 in case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 12/30/2013)
01/02/2014		Minute Entry for proceedings held before MAG/JUDGE JOI ELIZABETH PEAKE:ARRAIGNMENT AND DETENTION HEARING as to BRIAN DAVID HILL (1) Count 1 held on 1/2/2014. AUSA Rob Lang, AFD Eric Placke present with defendant. Defendant(s) pleads NOT GUILTY to all charges. No evidence presented. Defendant's Counsel stipulated to presentence report and ask detention order be entered until suitable conditions of release could be presented to the Court. The government consented to this request. Written order forthcoming. Proceedings recorded. (Garrett, Kim) (Entered: 01/02/2014)
01/02/2014	<u>8</u>	SCHEDULING ORDER as to BRIAN DAVID HILL Signed by John S. Brubaker, Clerk, U. S. District Court. Motions due 1/15/14. Responses due 1/22/14. Motion Hearing on any motions set for 2/3/14 at 9:30 am in Greensboro, N.C. Plea Agreements, if any, due no later than 12:00 noon 1/30/14. Change of Plea Hearing at 9:30 a.m. on 2/3/14, in Greensboro, N.C. Counsel to notify U.S. Attorneys Office of any scheduling conflicts no later than 1/27/14. Jury Trial set for 2/10/14 at 9:30 am in Greensboro, N.C. unless otherwise instructed by the Court. Distributed on 01/02/14. (Garrett, Kim) (Entered: 01/02/2014)
01/03/2014	<u>9</u>	ORDER signed by MAG/JUDGE JOI ELIZABETH PEAKE on 1/2/2014, granting Government's Oral Motion for Detention without prejudice to Defendant moving to reopen these proceedings if he able to present a potential release plan for consideration by the Court as to BRIAN DAVID HILL (1). (Daniel, J) (Entered: 01/03/2014)
01/15/2014	<u>10</u>	MOTION for Extension of Time To File Motion Pretrial Motions until January 22, 2014 by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(PLACKE, ERIC) (Entered: 01/15/2014)
01/17/2014		Motion Submission as to BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>10</u> MOTION for Extension of Time To File Motion Pretrial Motions until January 22, 2014 to JUDGE CATHERINE C. EAGLES. (Sanders, Marlene) (Entered: 01/17/2014)
01/17/2014	<u>11</u>	ORDER signed by JUDGE CATHERINE C. EAGLES on 1/17/2014; the pretrial motion deadline in this case is hereby extended until Wednesday, January 22, 2014. The deadline for responses is hereby extended until Wednesday, January 29, 2014. The Court finds that the ends of justice are best served by granting this extension, and that they outweigh the interest of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. Accordingly, the delay occasioned by the granting of this extension shall be excluded in computing the time within which the trial of any such offense must commence. See Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7). (Sheets, Jamie) (Entered: 01/17/2014)

01/22/2014	<u>12</u>	MOTION for Psychiatric Exam – SEALED by USA, BRIAN DAVID HILL as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. Responses due by 2/18/2014 (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(PLACKE, ERIC) (Entered: 01/22/2014)
01/23/2014		Motion Submission as to BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>12</u> MOTION for Psychiatric Exam – SEALED to JUDGE CATHERINE C. EAGLES. (Sanders, Marlene) (Entered: 01/23/2014)
01/23/2014	<u>13</u>	SEALED ORDER re: <u>12</u> Motion for Psychiatric Exam – SEALED filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Signed by JUDGE CATHERINE C. EAGLES on 1/23/2014. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 01/23/2014)
02/18/2014	<u>14</u>	SEALED ORDER. Signed by JUDGE CATHERINE C. EAGLES on 2/18/2014. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 02/19/2014)
04/21/2014	<u>15</u>	MOTION to Suppress Confession by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 04/23/2014)
04/21/2014	<u>16</u>	MOTION to Suppress Evidence by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Letter from Defendant, # <u>2</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 04/23/2014)
05/08/2014	<u>17</u>	Psychiatric Report Received SEALED as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 05/08/2014)
06/04/2014		Minute Entry for proceedings held before JUDGE N. C. TILLEY, JR: AUSA Anand Ramaswamy appeared on behalf of the Government. FAFPD Eric Placke appeared as counsel for the defendant. Status Conference as to BRIAN DAVID HILL held on 6/4/2014. Defendant did not state a basis to support the Pro Se Motions to Suppress, therefore the court denied the Motions. The Court denies Pro Se Motion to Substitute Counsel. Jury Trial set for 6/9/2014 before Judge Osteen, Jr., Courtroom No. 1, Greensboro, NC. (Court Reporter Jane Calhoun.) (Winchester, Robin) (Entered: 06/04/2014)
06/04/2014		ORAL ORDER denying <u>15</u> Motion to Suppress as to BRIAN DAVID HILL (1); denying <u>16</u> Motion to Suppress as to BRIAN DAVID HILL (1) by JUDGE N. C. TILLEY, JR on 6/4/2014. See 6/4/2014 Minute Entry. (Winchester, Robin) (Entered: 06/04/2014)
06/04/2014		Set Hearing as to BRIAN DAVID HILL Jury Trial set for 6/9/2014 09:30 AM in Greensboro Courtroom #1 before CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN JR.. (Winchester, Robin) (Entered: 06/04/2014)
06/04/2014		Case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL assigned to Judge CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN JR. (Winchester, Robin) (Entered: 06/04/2014)
06/04/2014	<u>18</u>	MOTION to Continue Trial by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Responses due by 6/30/2014 (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(PLACKE, ERIC) (Entered: 06/04/2014)
06/05/2014		TEXT ORDER – The case of <u>United States v. Brian David Hill</u> was originally set for plea or trial during the June, 2014 Criminal Term. On June 4, 2014, a status conference was held, and following the status conference, this matter was set for trial beginning June 9, 2014, in Courtroom 1, apparently without objection. Later on June 4, 2014, counsel for Defendant filed a motion to continue. In light of the exceptional family circumstances described in the motion to continue, this court will continue jury selection and trial from June 9 to June 10 beginning at 11:00. If additional time is required to allow counsel and Defendant time to prepare for trial, the court will consider a request on June 10 to delay the start of the evidence until Wednesday, June 11, 2014. However, a further continuance to another term of court does not appear necessary in light of the fact counsel's motion suggests the requested delay is primarily for the purpose of allowing consultation between counsel and Defendant as to the court's rulings and to permit further plea discussions. Notably, the motion does not specifically identify any necessary trial preparation. [Issued by CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN, JR., on 6/5/2014.] (Cable, Frances) (Entered: 06/05/2014)
06/10/2014	<u>19</u>	Factual Basis Document as to BRIAN DAVID HILL filed on 6/10/2014 (Welch, Kelly) (Entered: 06/10/2014)

06/10/2014	<u>20</u>	PLEA AGREEMENT as to BRIAN DAVID HILL (Welch, Kelly) (Entered: 06/10/2014)
06/10/2014		Minute Entry for proceedings held before CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN JR. in G-1: CHANGE OF PLEA HEARING held on 6/10/2014. AUSA Anand Ramaswamy present on behalf of the Government. FAFPD Eric Placke present on behalf of the Defendant. Defendant present in custody. Defendant placed under oath and advised of rights/charges/penalties; Court reviews the plea agreement; BRIAN DAVID HILL (1) pleads GUILTY to Count 1. Court finds the Defendant is competent to enter a guilty plea; plea accepted and defendant adjudged GUILTY; Court orders the preparation of a Presentence Report, to be expedited. Court orders a psychosexual evaluation; (Sentencing set for 9/30/2014 09:30 AM in Greensboro Courtroom #1 before CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN JR.) (Court Reporter Joseph Armstrong.) (Welch, Kelly) (Entered: 06/10/2014)
08/11/2014	<u>21</u>	SEALED Draft Presentence Investigation Report as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. Per LCrR32.2, the parties shall notify the probation officer of initial objections or corrections/modifications to the presentence report by 8/25/2014. Pleadings related to sentencing factors are due by 9/2/2014. (Whitten, Dana) (Entered: 08/11/2014)
08/19/2014	<u>22</u>	SEALED Response to Draft PSR – NOTICE TO PROBATION AND OPPOSING COUNSEL ONLY as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. (RAMASWAMY, ANAND) (Entered: 08/19/2014)
08/21/2014	<u>23</u>	Psychiatric Report Received SEALED dated 8/5/2014 in case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 08/21/2014)
08/25/2014	<u>24</u>	SEALED Response to Draft PSR – NOTICE TO PROBATION AND OPPOSING COUNSEL ONLY as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. (PLACKE, ERIC) (Entered: 08/25/2014)
08/27/2014	<u>25</u>	SEALED MOTION to Withdraw as Counsel of Record and to Extend Deadline for Filing Sentencing Position Papers by BRIAN DAVID HILL as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. Responses due by 9/22/2014 (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit)(PLACKE, ERIC) (Entered: 08/27/2014)
09/02/2014	<u>26</u>	(SEALED) POSITION PAPER RE SENTENCING FACTORS – NOTICE TO THE COURT AND OPPOSING COUNSEL ONLY as to BRIAN DAVID HILL (PLACKE, ERIC) (Entered: 09/02/2014)
09/02/2014	<u>27</u>	MOTION filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL to Withdraw Guilty Plea. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 09/03/2014)
09/03/2014	<u>28</u>	FACTUAL STATEMENT of BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 09/03/2014)
09/03/2014		Minute Entry for proceedings held before CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN JR. in G-1: Motion Hearing as to BRIAN DAVID HILL held on 9/3/2014. AUSA Nick Matkins appeared on behalf of the Government. FAFPD Eric Placke appeared on behalf of the Defendant. For the reasons stated in the record the Court denied <u>25</u> SEALED Motion, and as a result of the denial of the motion, the Court finds the motion for extension of time to file sentencing pleadings is moot and therefore denied. (Court Reporter Joseph Armstrong.) (Welch, Kelly) (Entered: 09/04/2014)
09/09/2014	<u>29</u>	MOTION filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL to file more evidence. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 09/09/2014)
09/10/2014	<u>30</u>	SUPPLEMENT filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL re: <u>29</u> MOTION to file more evidence. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 09/10/2014)
09/10/2014	<u>31</u>	Additional Attachments to <u>30</u> Supplement. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 09/10/2014)
09/15/2014	<u>32</u>	MOTION by BRIAN DAVID HILL to file Evidence. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 09/15/2014)
09/16/2014		Motion Submission as to BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>29</u> MOTION to file more evidence, <u>27</u> MOTION to Withdraw Plea of Guilty, <u>32</u> MOTION to file evidence to CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN JR. (Welch, Kelly) (Entered: 09/16/2014)

09/16/2014	<u>33</u>	SEALED PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT – FINAL as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Whitten, Dana) (Entered: 09/16/2014)
09/18/2014	<u>34</u>	MOTION to File Evidence filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Presidential Pardon Application, # <u>2</u> Envelope) (Sheets, Jamie) (Entered: 09/18/2014)
09/18/2014	<u>35</u>	MOTION entitled "Motion of Discovery" (Case files) filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Sheets, Jamie) (Entered: 09/18/2014)
09/18/2014	<u>36</u>	MOTION entitled "Motion of Discovery" (forensic computer examination) filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Sheets, Jamie) (Entered: 09/18/2014)
09/18/2014	<u>37</u>	MOTION entitled "Motion to File Evidence" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope) (Sheets, Jamie) (Entered: 09/18/2014)
09/22/2014	<u>38</u>	MOTION filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL of Evidence and Notification. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 09/23/2014)
09/23/2014	<u>39</u>	MOTION filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL for Substitution of Counsel. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 09/23/2014)
09/23/2014	<u>40</u>	MOTION filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL of Notification. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 09/23/2014)
09/26/2014	<u>41</u>	MOTION by BRIAN DAVID HILL to Declare. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 09/26/2014)
09/26/2014	<u>42</u>	MOTION by BRIAN DAVID HILL to Suppress Evidence & Suppress the Confession. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 09/26/2014)
09/26/2014	<u>43</u>	MOTION by BRIAN DAVID HILL to Withdraw Guilty Plea and Request a Trial. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 09/26/2014)
09/26/2014	<u>44</u>	MOTION by BRIAN DAVID HILL to Testify. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 09/26/2014)
09/26/2014	<u>45</u>	MOTION by BRIAN DAVID HILL to file Last Minute Evidence. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 09/26/2014)
09/30/2014	<u>46</u>	DECLARATION of Susan Basko in Support of BRIAN DAVID HILL'S Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea, Motion for a Substitute Attorney, Sentencing, and any other purposes. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Welch, Kelly) (Entered: 09/30/2014)
09/30/2014		Minute Entry for proceedings held before CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN JR. in G-1: Case called for Sentencing as to BRIAN DAVID HILL on 9/30/2014; AUSA Anand Ramaswamy appeared on behalf of the Government. FAFPD Eric Placke appeared on behalf of the Defendant. Defendant present in custody. In light of Defendants pro se statements, Court allows FAFPD Placke to withdraw as counsel of record; Court to appoint substitute counsel; Court ordered all discovery material previously provided by the United States to be sealed and can only be viewed by substitute counsel; FAFPD Placke returned discovery material to AUSA Ramaswamy at the conclusion of this hearing; Court ordered Government to subpoena Susan Basko to appear at the sentencing hearing (see pleading <u>46</u>); Court continued sentencing in this matter to 11/7/2014 at 3:00 p.m. in Greensboro, Courtroom 1; Status Conference set for 10/15/2014 at 2:00 p.m. (Court Reporter Joseph Armstrong.) (Welch, Kelly) (Entered: 09/30/2014)
09/30/2014		Set Hearings as to BRIAN DAVID HILL: Sentencing reset for 11/7/2014 03:00 PM in Greensboro Courtroom #1 before CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN JR. Status Conference set for 10/15/2014 02:00 PM in Greensboro Courtroom #1 before CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN JR. (Welch, Kelly) (Entered: 09/30/2014)
10/01/2014	<u>47</u>	CJA 20 Appointment of Attorney JOHN SCOTT COALTER for BRIAN DAVID HILL. NOTICE: Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 3006A(d)(4), approved CJA voucher forms will be posted to CM/ECF. Appointed counsel is responsible for requesting redactions to information contained within CJA vouchers.

		Signed by CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN JR. on 10/1/2014. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> CJA 20 Worksheets)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 10/01/2014)
10/06/2014	<u>48</u>	MOTION by BRIAN DAVID HILL to File Evidence. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 10/07/2014)
10/06/2014	<u>49</u>	MOTION by BRIAN DAVID HILL to File Evidence. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 10/07/2014)
10/07/2014	<u>50</u>	MOTION by BRIAN DAVID HILL to File Evidence. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 10/07/2014)
10/07/2014	<u>51</u>	MOTION by BRIAN DAVID HILL to File Evidence. Responses due by 10/31/2014 (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 10/07/2014)
10/15/2014		Minute Entry for proceedings held before CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN JR. in G-1: Status Conference as to BRIAN DAVID HILL held on 10/15/2014. AUSA Anand Ramaswamy appeared on behalf of the Government. Attorney Scott Coalter appeared on behalf of the Defendant. Court addressed the status of this case with the parties; Defense counsel advised Mr. Hill doesn't want to withdraw his guilty plea and that he would be prepared to proceed with sentencing on 11/07/2014; Court allowed defendant until 10/27/2014 to file pleadings; Government's responses due 11/04/2014; (Court Reporter Joseph Armstrong.) (Welch, Kelly) (Entered: 10/15/2014)
10/24/2014	<u>52</u>	(SEALED) POSITION PAPER RE SENTENCING FACTORS – NOTICE TO THE COURT AND OPPOSING COUNSEL ONLY as to BRIAN DAVID HILL (COALTER, JOHN) (Entered: 10/24/2014)
10/29/2014	<u>53</u>	NOTICE by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope) (Sheets, Jamie) (Entered: 10/29/2014)
11/10/2014		Minute Entry for proceedings held before CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN JR. in G-1: Sentencing hearing as to BRIAN DAVID HILL held on 11/10/2014. AUSA Kyle Pousson appeared on behalf of the Government. Attorney Scott Coalter appeared on behalf of the Defendant. Defendant present in custody. For the reasons stated on the record, Court denied all pending pro se motions. (Court Reporter Joseph Armstrong.) (Welch, Kelly) (Entered: 11/10/2014)
11/10/2014		ORAL ORDER denying Pro se Motions; Documents <u>27</u> , <u>29</u> , <u>32</u> , <u>34</u> , <u>35</u> , <u>36</u> , <u>38</u> , <u>41</u> , <u>42</u> , <u>43</u> , <u>44</u> , <u>45</u> , <u>48</u> , <u>49</u> , <u>50</u> and <u>51</u> as to BRIAN DAVID HILL (1). Issued by CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN JR. on 11/10/14. (See Minute Entry on 11/10/2014.) (Welch, Kelly) (Entered: 11/10/2014)
11/12/2014	<u>54</u>	JUDGMENT as to BRIAN DAVID HILL (1), Count(s) 1, Ten (10) months and twenty (20) days imprisonment, but not less than time served; ten (10) years supervised release; \$100.00 special assessment. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN JR. on 11/12/2014. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 11/12/2014)
01/12/2015	<u>55</u>	MOTION filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL for Extension of Time to file Notice of Appeal. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Notice of Appeal, # <u>2</u> Complaint, # <u>3</u> Additional Evidence, # <u>4</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 01/13/2015)
01/13/2015		Motion Submission as to BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>55</u> MOTION for Extension of Time to File Notice of Appeal to CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN JR. (Welch, Kelly) (Entered: 01/13/2015)
01/15/2015	<u>56</u>	NOTICE filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL of Motion to Extend Time to file Appeal. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 01/15/2015)
01/16/2015	<u>57</u>	ORDER signed by CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN JR. on 1/16/2015; that Defendant's pro se Motion to Extend Time to File Appeal (Doc. <u>55</u>) is DENIED . (Sheets, Jamie) (Entered: 01/16/2015)
01/26/2015	<u>58</u>	MOTION by BRIAN DAVID HILL to Stay Judgment. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 01/26/2015)
01/26/2015	<u>59</u>	MOTION by BRIAN DAVID HILL to Request a Delay on Destruction of Property. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 01/26/2015)

01/26/2015	<u>60</u>	New Evidence by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 01/26/2015)
01/26/2015	<u>61</u>	New Evidence Brief by BRIAN DAVID HILL re: <u>60</u> New Evidence. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 01/26/2015)
01/29/2015	<u>62</u>	NOTICE OF APPEAL without payment of fees filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL re: <u>54</u> Judgment and <u>57</u> Order. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 01/29/2015)
01/29/2015	<u>63</u>	Transmission of Notice of Appeal and Docket Sheet as to BRIAN DAVID HILL to US Court of Appeals re: <u>62</u> Notice of Appeal Without Fee Payment. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 01/29/2015)
01/30/2015	<u>64</u>	NOTICE of Docketing Appeal from USCA as to BRIAN DAVID HILL re: <u>62</u> Notice of Appeal Without Fee Payment. USCA Case Mgr. Cathi Bennett; USCA Case Number 15-4057. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 01/30/2015)
02/02/2015	<u>65</u>	REQUEST by BRIAN DAVID HILL for Transcript. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> CJA 24 & Letter to Court Reporter, # <u>2</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 02/03/2015)
02/02/2015	<u>66</u>	REQUEST by BRIAN DAVID HILL for Transcripts. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> CJA 24(s))(Daniel, J) (Entered: 02/03/2015)
02/03/2015	<u>67</u>	CJA 20 as to BRIAN DAVID HILL Authorization to Pay John S. Coalter in the amount of \$4,680.48, Voucher #141216000106. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN JR. on 12/30/2014. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 02/03/2015)
02/03/2015	<u>68</u>	SEALED Attachments to <u>67</u> CJA 20 - Authorization to Pay in case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL (1). (Daniel, J) (Entered: 02/03/2015)
02/05/2015	<u>69</u>	USCA ORDER appointing Mark A. Jones as counsel for BRIAN DAVID HILL re: <u>62</u> Notice of Appeal Without Fee Payment. USCA Case #15-4057. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 02/06/2015)
03/30/2015	<u>70</u>	Letter/MOTION by BRIAN DAVID HILL for certified copies of all documents or information including all SEALED documents for this case. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 03/30/2015)
04/03/2015	<u>71</u>	New Evidence for a New Trial, Habeas Corpus Petition (2255 Motion), Judgment of Acquittal, to overturn the conviction, or any other purpose filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Exhibit B, # <u>3</u> Exhibit C, # <u>4</u> Exhibit D, # <u>5</u> Exhibit E, # <u>6</u> Exhibit F, # <u>7</u> Exhibit G, # <u>8</u> Exhibit H, # <u>9</u> Exhibit I, # <u>10</u> Exhibit J, # <u>11</u> Exhibit K, # <u>12</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 04/07/2015)
04/06/2015	<u>72</u>	Correction to New Evidence for a New Trial, Habeas Corpus Petition (2255 Motion), Judgment of Acquittal, to overturn the conviction, or any other purpose filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Additional attachment(s) added on 4/7/2015: # <u>2</u> Affidavit of Brian David Hill) (Daniel, J). (Entered: 04/07/2015)
04/06/2015	<u>73</u>	Additional Evidence for a New Trial, Habeas Corpus Petition (2255 Motion), Judgment of Acquittal, to overturn the conviction, or any other purpose filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 04/07/2015)
04/07/2015	<u>74</u>	USCA OPINION affirming in part; dismissed in part in case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. USCA Case #15-4057. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 04/07/2015)
04/07/2015	<u>75</u>	JUDGMENT of USCA. In accordance with the decision of this court, the judgment of the district court is affirmed in part. The appeal is dismissed in part. This judgment shall take effect upon issuance of this court's mandate in accordance with Fed. R. App. P. 41 in case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. USCA Case #15-4057. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 04/07/2015)
04/13/2015		Motion Submission as to BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>70</u> MOTION for certified copies of all documents or information including all SEALED documents, <u>58</u> MOTION to Stay, <u>59</u> MOTION to Request a Delay on Destruction of Property to CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN JR. (Welch, Kelly) (Entered: 04/13/2015)

04/22/2015		Case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL referred to CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN JR., re: Prob 12B. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 04/22/2015)
04/22/2015	<u>76</u>	MOTION by BRIAN DAVID HILL requesting computer forensic examination in preparation for a writ of habeas corpus. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 04/23/2015)
04/22/2015	<u>77</u>	DECLARATION by BRIAN DAVID HILL on conditions at the times of false admissions of guilt. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 04/23/2015)
04/23/2015	<u>78</u>	Letter to BRIAN DAVID HILL regarding proper filing of court documents. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 04/24/2015)
04/27/2015	<u>79</u>	LETTER to Judge filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope) (Daniel, J) (Entered: 04/27/2015)
04/27/2015	<u>80</u>	MOTION by BRIAN DAVID HILL to Strike and Rule out Psychiatric Diagnosis. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Exhibit B, # <u>3</u> Exhibit C, # <u>4</u> Exhibit D, # <u>5</u> Exhibit E, # <u>6</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Exhibit E replaced with correct image on 4/28/2015) (Daniel, J). (# <u>7</u> Exhibit F) (Daniel, J) (Entered: 04/27/2015)
04/27/2015	<u>81</u>	MOTION by BRIAN DAVID HILL requesting for Autism Expert Testimony in preparation for Writ of Habeas Corpus. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 04/27/2015)
04/27/2015	<u>82</u>	SECOND DECLARATION by BRIAN DAVID HILL on conditions at the times of false admissions of guilt. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 04/27/2015)
04/27/2015	<u>83</u>	EVIDENCE by BRIAN DAVID HILL of the fact IP Address 24.148.156.211 was well known to other people prior to it being flagged or manually entered in Boca Raton. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 04/27/2015)
04/27/2015	<u>84</u>	EVIDENCE by BRIAN DAVID HILL of first Joy Strickland email proving prior warning to NC SBI agent Rodney White on defendant's innocence. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Exhibit B, # <u>3</u> Exhibit C, # <u>4</u> Exhibit D, # <u>5</u> Exhibit E, # <u>6</u> Exhibit F, # <u>7</u> Exhibit G, # <u>8</u> Exhibit H, # <u>9</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 04/27/2015)
04/28/2015		Motion Submission as to BRIAN DAVID HILL re: <u>76</u> MOTION for Discovery, <u>80</u> MOTION to Strike, <u>81</u> MOTION Requesting Autism Expert Testimony in preparation for Writ of Habeas Corpus, to CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN JR. (Welch, Kelly) (Entered: 04/28/2015)
04/29/2015	<u>85</u>	MANDATE of USCA. The judgment of this court, entered April 7, 2015, takes effect today. This constitutes the formal mandate of this court issued pursuant to Rule 41(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. USCA Case #15-4507. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 04/29/2015)
04/29/2015	<u>86</u>	Probation form 12B Petition for Modification of Conditions of Probation with Consent of the Offender as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN JR. on 4/29/2015. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 04/29/2015)
04/29/2015	<u>87</u>	ORDER signed by CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN JR. on 4/29/2015, that Defendant's pro se Motion to Stay Judgment (Doc. <u>58</u>), Motion to Request a Delay on Destruction of Property (Doc. <u>59</u>), Letter/Motion requesting certified copies of documents (Doc. <u>70</u>), Motion for Requesting Computer Forensic Examination (Doc. <u>76</u>), Motion to Strike and Rule out Psychiatric Diagnosis (Doc. <u>80</u>), and Motion for Requesting Autism Expert Testimony (Doc. <u>81</u>) are DENIED for lack of good cause as to BRIAN DAVID HILL (1). (Daniel, J) (Entered: 04/29/2015)
04/29/2015	<u>88</u>	ORDER FOR WARRANT as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN JR. on 4/29/2015. (Daniel, J) Modified on 5/27/2015 to unseal document (Garrett, Kim). (Entered: 04/29/2015)
04/29/2015	<u>89</u>	Warrant Issued SRV as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Daniel, J) Modified on 5/27/2015 to unseal document(Garrett, Kim). (Entered: 04/29/2015)
05/05/2015	<u>90</u>	USCA ORDER granting counsel's motion to withdraw in case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. USCA Case #15-4057. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 05/05/2015)

05/28/2015		Minute Entry for proceedings held before MAG/JUDGE JOI ELIZABETH PEAKE:Initial Appearance for Violators in case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL held on 5/28/2015. AUSA Randall Galyon. Proceedings recorded. (Garrett, Kim) (Entered: 05/28/2015)
05/28/2015		Oral Motion Re: Detention by USA as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Garrett, Kim) (Entered: 05/28/2015)
05/28/2015	<u>91</u>	SEALED FINANCIAL AFFIDAVIT by BRIAN DAVID HILL (Garrett, Kim) (Entered: 05/28/2015)
05/28/2015	<u>92</u>	CJA 20 Appointment of Attorney RENORDA E. PRYOR for BRIAN DAVID HILL. NOTICE: Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 3006A(d)(4), approved CJA voucher forms will be posted to CM/ECF. Appointed counsel is responsible for requesting redactions to information contained within CJA vouchers. Signed by MAG/JUDGE JOI ELIZABETH PEAKE on 05/28/15. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> . CJA Worksheets) (Garrett, Kim) (Entered: 05/28/2015)
05/28/2015	<u>93</u>	ORDER SCHEDULING DETENTION/PRELIMINARY REVOCATION HEARING as to BRIAN DAVID HILL Detention/Preliminary Revocation Hearing set for 6/4/2015 02:30 PM in Winston-Salem Courtroom #3 before MAG/JUDGE JOI ELIZABETH PEAKE. Signed by MAG/JUDGE JOI ELIZABETH PEAKE on 05/28/15. (Garrett, Kim) (Entered: 05/28/2015)
05/28/2015	<u>94</u>	SRV Warrant Returned Executed on 5/27/2015 in case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 05/29/2015)
05/29/2015	<u>95</u>	Emergency MOTION by BRIAN DAVID HILL to Recuse Judge. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 05/29/2015)
05/29/2015	<u>96</u>	Emergency MOTION by BRIAN DAVID HILL for Private Counsel. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 05/29/2015)
05/29/2015	<u>97</u>	NOTICE by BRIAN DAVID HILL of Deteriorating Health. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 05/29/2015)
05/29/2015	<u>98</u>	DECLARATION on Probation Issue by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 05/29/2015)
06/01/2015	<u>100</u>	MOTION by BRIAN DAVID HILL for Case Dismissal. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 06/02/2015)
06/01/2015	<u>101</u>	DECLARATION by BRIAN DAVID HILL re: <u>100</u> Motion for Case Dismissal. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 06/02/2015)
06/02/2015	<u>99</u>	First MOTION to Continue <i>Detention and Preliminary Revocation Hearing</i> by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(PRYOR, RENORDA) (Entered: 06/02/2015)
06/03/2015		Motions Referred: RE: <u>99</u> First MOTION to Continue <i>Detention and Preliminary Revocation Hearing</i> , to MAG/JUDGE JOI ELIZABETH PEAKE (Garrett, Kim) (Entered: 06/03/2015)
06/03/2015	<u>102</u>	ORDER signed by MAG/JUDGE JOI ELIZABETH PEAKE on 6/3/2015, that the Defendant's Motion to Continue (Doc. # <u>99</u>) is GRANTED, and the Detention and Preliminary Revocation Hearing is continued until 6/11/2015 in case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL (1). (Daniel, J) (Entered: 06/03/2015)
06/03/2015	<u>104</u>	DECLARATION by BRIAN DAVID HILL for Probable Cause hearing and any other purposes. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 06/04/2015)
06/04/2015	<u>103</u>	NOTICE OF HEARING as to BRIAN DAVID HILL: Final Hearing re Revocation of Supervised Release set for 6/25/2015 09:30 AM in Greensboro Courtroom #1 before CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN JR. (Welch, Kelly) (Entered: 06/04/2015)
06/04/2015		Motion Submission as to BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>95</u> MOTION for Recusal, <u>96</u> MOTION to Appoint Attorney, <u>100</u> MOTION to Dismiss to CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN JR. (Welch, Kelly) (Entered: 06/04/2015)

06/05/2015		Reset Hearings as to BRIAN DAVID HILL: Detention/Preliminary Revocation Hearing set for 6/11/2015 02:30 PM in Winston-Salem Courtroom #3 before MAG/JUDGE JOI ELIZABETH PEAKE. (Garrett, Kim) (Entered: 06/05/2015)
06/05/2015	<u>105</u>	LETTER by BRIAN DAVID HILL {Entitled "Opinion on Judge Osteen"}. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Def't's Letter "Promise of Change following Reinstatement of Supervised Release", # <u>2</u> Envelope) (Daniel, J) (Entered: 06/08/2015)
06/08/2015		Case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL Reassigned to JUDGE THOMAS D. SCHROEDER. CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN JR. no longer assigned to the case. (Powell, Gloria) (Entered: 06/08/2015)
06/08/2015	<u>106</u>	LETTER by BRIAN DAVID HILL {Entitled "Defendant Begg Probation Office"}. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Def't's Letter "Health Deterioration Report #1/Week1", # <u>2</u> Envelope) (Daniel, J) (Entered: 06/08/2015)
06/09/2015	<u>107</u>	NOTICE of Rescheduling: Final Revocation Hearing set for 6/30/2015 at 02:00 PM in Winston-Salem Courtroom #2 before JUDGE THOMAS D. SCHROEDER. (Engle, Anita) (Main Document 107 replaced on 6/9/2015) (Engle, Anita). (Entered: 06/09/2015)
06/11/2015		Minute Entry for proceedings held before MAG/JUDGE JOI ELIZABETH PEAKE:PRELIMINARY REVOCATION AND DETENTION HEARING as to BRIAN DAVID HILL held on 6/11/2015. AUSA Drew Cochran. Attorney Renorda Pryor present with defendant. Evidence presented. Probable cause found. Defendant detained. Written order forthcoming. Proceedings recorded. (Garrett, Kim) (Entered: 06/11/2015)
06/15/2015	<u>108</u>	LETTER filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL {Entitled "Health Deterioration Report #2/Week 2"}. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope) (Daniel, J) (Entered: 06/15/2015)
06/16/2015	<u>109</u>	REQUEST by BRIAN DAVID HILL for Transcripts. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 06/16/2015)
06/17/2015	<u>110</u>	ORDER granting Oral Motion as to BRIAN DAVID HILL (1) signed by MAG/JUDGE JOI ELIZABETH PEAKE on 6/17/2015; that Defendant be held in custody until the final revocation hearing in this matter. (Sheets, Jamie) (Entered: 06/17/2015)
06/18/2015	<u>111</u>	REQUEST by BRIAN DAVID HILL {Entitled "Request for Expediation of Revocation Hearing – Emergency Request/Motion"}. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Complaint, # <u>2</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 06/19/2015)
06/18/2015	<u>112</u>	DECLARATION filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL {Entitled "Declaration of Suffering and Recommendation for Release to Mental Hospital Pending Further Proceedings – Motion (Petition)"}. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 06/19/2015)
06/24/2015	<u>113</u>	TRANSCRIPT of Plea as to BRIAN DAVID HILL for dates of 06/10/2014 before Judge William L. Osteen, Jr., Court Reporter/Transcriber J. Armstrong, Telephone number 336-332-6034. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the Court Reporter/Transcriber before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date it may be obtained through PACER. NOTICE RE: REDACTION OF TRANSCRIPTS: The parties have 5 business days to file a Notice of Intent to Request Redaction and 21 calendar days to file a Redaction Request. If no notice is filed, this transcript will be made electronically available to the public without redaction after 90 calendar days. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the court reporter before the 90 day deadline. After that date it may be obtained through PACER. Redaction Request due 7/20/2015. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 7/30/2015. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 9/25/2015. (Armstrong, Joe) (Entered: 06/24/2015)
06/24/2015	<u>114</u>	TRANSCRIPT of Motion Hearing as to BRIAN DAVID HILL for dates of 09/03/2014 before Judge William L. Osteen, Jr., Court Reporter/Transcriber J. Armstrong, Telephone number 336-332-6034. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the Court Reporter/Transcriber before the

		<p>deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date it may be obtained through PACER.</p> <p>NOTICE RE: REDACTION OF TRANSCRIPTS: The parties have 5 business days to file a Notice of Intent to Request Redaction and 21 calendar days to file a Redaction Request. If no notice is filed, this transcript will be made electronically available to the public without redaction after 90 calendar days. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the court reporter before the 90 day deadline. After that date it may be obtained through PACER.</p> <p>Redaction Request due 7/20/2015. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 7/30/2015. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 9/25/2015. (Armstrong, Joe) (Entered: 06/24/2015)</p>
06/24/2015	<u>115</u>	<p>TRANSCRIPT of Hearing as to BRIAN DAVID HILL for dates of 09/30/2014 before Judge William L. Osteen, Jr., Court Reporter/Transcriber J. Armstrong, Telephone number 336-332-6034. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the Court Reporter/Transcriber before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date it may be obtained through PACER.</p> <p>NOTICE RE: REDACTION OF TRANSCRIPTS: The parties have 5 business days to file a Notice of Intent to Request Redaction and 21 calendar days to file a Redaction Request. If no notice is filed, this transcript will be made electronically available to the public without redaction after 90 calendar days. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the court reporter before the 90 day deadline. After that date it may be obtained through PACER.</p> <p>Redaction Request due 7/20/2015. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 7/30/2015. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 9/25/2015. (Armstrong, Joe) (Entered: 06/24/2015)</p>
06/24/2015	<u>116</u>	<p>TRANSCRIPT of Status Conference as to BRIAN DAVID HILL for dates of 10/15/2014 before Judge William L. Osteen, Jr., Court Reporter/Transcriber J. Armstrong, Telephone number 336-332-6034. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the Court Reporter/Transcriber before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date it may be obtained through PACER.</p> <p>NOTICE RE: REDACTION OF TRANSCRIPTS: The parties have 5 business days to file a Notice of Intent to Request Redaction and 21 calendar days to file a Redaction Request. If no notice is filed, this transcript will be made electronically available to the public without redaction after 90 calendar days. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the court reporter before the 90 day deadline. After that date it may be obtained through PACER.</p> <p>Redaction Request due 7/20/2015. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 7/30/2015. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 9/25/2015. (Armstrong, Joe) (Entered: 06/24/2015)</p>
06/24/2015	<u>117</u>	<p>TRANSCRIPT of Sentencing as to BRIAN DAVID HILL for dates of 11/10/2014 before Judge William L. Osteen, Jr., Court Reporter/Transcriber J. Armstrong, Telephone number 336-332-6034. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the Court Reporter/Transcriber before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date it may be obtained through PACER.</p> <p>NOTICE RE: REDACTION OF TRANSCRIPTS: The parties have 5 business days to file a Notice of Intent to Request Redaction and 21 calendar days to file a Redaction Request. If no notice is filed, this transcript will be made electronically available to the public without redaction after 90 calendar days. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the court reporter before the 90 day deadline. After that date it may be obtained through PACER.</p> <p>Redaction Request due 7/20/2015. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 7/30/2015. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 9/25/2015. (Armstrong, Joe) (Entered: 06/24/2015)</p>

06/30/2015		Minute Entry for proceedings held before JUDGE THOMAS D. SCHROEDER: FINAL HEARING RE REVOCATION OF SUPERVISED RELEASE as to BRIAN DAVID HILL held on 6/30/2015. Matter is contested. The Defendant denies violations and evidence is presented (see witness and exhibit list). The Court finds the Defendant in violation and continues the Defendant on supervision. Defendant withdraws all Pro se filings filed prior to 6/30/2015 hearing. Defendant is in the custody of the United States Marshal. AUSA Anand Ramaswamy appeared on behalf of the Government. Attorney Renorda Pryor appeared as counsel for the Defendant. (Court Reporter Briana Nesbit.) (Engle, Anita) (Entered: 07/01/2015)
07/01/2015		TEXT ORDER by JUDGE THOMAS D. SCHROEDER on 7/1/2015 as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. Defendant withdraws all Pro se filings filed prior to the 6/30/2015 Supervised Release Violation Hearing including <u>97</u> Notice-Other filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL, <u>104</u> Declaration filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL, <u>108</u> Letter filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL, <u>111</u> Request filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL, <u>98</u> Declaration, <u>106</u> Letter filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL, <u>112</u> Declaration, <u>105</u> Letter filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL, and <u>109</u> Request filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. All motions are denied as moot (see 6/30/2015 Minute Entry). (Engle, Anita) Docket Entry Modified on 7/8/2015 (Kemp, Donita). (Entered: 07/01/2015)
07/01/2015	<u>118</u>	***FILED IN ERROR*** OPINION filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope) (Daniel, J) Modified on 7/2/2015 to reflect filed in error. (Entered: 07/01/2015)
07/01/2015	<u>119</u>	***FILED IN ERROR*** DIABETIC SEIZURE REPORT #1 filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope) (Daniel, J) Modified on 7/2/2015 to reflect filed in error. (Entered: 07/01/2015)
07/01/2015	<u>120</u>	***FILED IN ERROR*** EMERGENCY MOTION filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL for Autism Expert for Revocation Hearing. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Daniel, J) Modified on 7/2/2015 to reflect filed in error. (Entered: 07/01/2015)
07/01/2015	<u>121</u>	***FILED IN ERROR*** NOTICE filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL of Recanting Testimonial Statement. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Letters)(Daniel, J) Modified on 7/2/2015 to reflect filed in error. (Entered: 07/01/2015)
07/02/2015		NOTICE of Docket Text/Event Modification as to defendant BRIAN DAVID HILL re: <u>118</u> OPINION, <u>119</u> DIABETIC SEIZURE REPORT #1, <u>120</u> EMERGENCY MOTION for Autism Expert for Revocation Hearing, <u>121</u> NOTICE of Recanting Testimonial Statement removed from the docket and released to the Defendant's attorney. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 07/02/2015)
07/24/2015	<u>122</u>	ORDER Supervised Release Violation Hearing signed by JUDGE THOMAS D. SCHROEDER on 7/23/2015. Defendant's supervised release is not revoked and the Defendant is to remain on supervised release. The Defendant shall participate in a cognitive behavioral treatment program and location monitoring home detention program as set out herein. All other terms and conditions of supervised release as previously imposed remain in full force and effect in case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL (1). (Daniel, J) (Entered: 07/24/2015)
08/21/2015	<u>123</u>	TRANSCRIPT of Proceedings as to BRIAN DAVID HILL SRV hearing for dates of 6/30/2015 before Judge Thomas D. Schroeder, Court Reporter Briana L. Nesbit, Telephone number 336-734-2514. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the Court Reporter before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date it may be obtained through PACER. NOTICE RE: REDACTION OF TRANSCRIPTS: The parties have 5 business days to file a Notice of Intent to Request Redaction and 21 calendar days to file a Redaction Request. If no notice is filed, this transcript will be made electronically available to the public without redaction after 90 calendar days. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the court reporter before the 90 day deadline. After that date it may be obtained through PACER. Redaction Request due 9/14/2015. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 9/24/2015. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 11/23/2015. (Nesbit, Brianna) (Entered: 08/21/2015)

08/28/2015		Case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL referred to CHIEF JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEEN, JR. RE: PROB 12A. (Israel, Lisa) (Entered: 08/28/2015)
09/01/2015		Case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL referred to JUDGE THOMAS D. SCHROEDER RE: PROB 12A. (Israel, Lisa) (Entered: 09/01/2015)
09/04/2015	<u>124</u>	PROBATION PETITION/ORDER adopting the Recommendation(s) of the Probation Officer in case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. Signed by JUDGE THOMAS D. SCHROEDER on 9/2/2015. (Sheets, Jamie) (Entered: 09/04/2015)
09/22/2015		Case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL referred to JUDGE THOMAS D. SCHROEDER re: Prob 22. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 09/22/2015)
11/14/2017	<u>125</u>	MOTION to Vacate, Set Aside or Correct Sentence (pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2255) by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope)(Taylor, Abby) Civil case 1:17-cv-01036-TDS opened. (Entered: 11/14/2017)
11/14/2017	<u>126</u>	MOTION/APPLICATION for IFP by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Taylor, Abby) (Entered: 11/14/2017)
11/14/2017	<u>127</u>	NOTICE by BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>125</u> MOTION to Vacate, Set Aside or Correct Sentence (pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2255) Civil Case 1:17CV1036. (Taylor, Abby) (Entered: 11/14/2017)
11/14/2017	<u>128</u>	MEMORANDUM by BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>125</u> Motion to Vacate/Set Aside/Correct Sentence (2255) filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Civil Case 1:17CV1036. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Additional Attachments, # <u>2</u> Additional attachments)(Taylor, Abby) (Entered: 11/14/2017)
11/14/2017	<u>129</u>	DECLARATION by BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>125</u> Motion to Vacate/Set Aside/Correct Sentence (2255) filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Civil Case 1:17CV1036. (Taylor, Abby) (Entered: 11/14/2017)
11/14/2017	<u>130</u>	SECOND DECLARATION by BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>125</u> Motion to Vacate/Set Aside/Correct Sentence (2255) filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Civil Case 1:17CV1036. (Taylor, Abby) (Entered: 11/14/2017)
11/14/2017	<u>131</u>	EXHIBITS 1-12 by BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>128</u> Memorandum. Civil Case 1:17CV1036. (Taylor, Abby) (Additional attachment(s) added on 11/15/2017: # <u>1</u> Envelope) (Taylor, Abby). (Entered: 11/15/2017)
11/14/2017	<u>132</u>	EXHIBITS 13-32 by BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>128</u> Memorandum. Civil Case 1:17CV1036. (Taylor, Abby) (Entered: 11/15/2017)
11/14/2017	<u>133</u>	EXHIBITS 33-45 by BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>128</u> Memorandum. Civil Case 1:17CV1036. (Taylor, Abby) (Entered: 11/15/2017)
11/14/2017	<u>134</u>	EXHIBITS 46-53 by BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>128</u> Memorandum. Civil Case 1:17CV1036. (Taylor, Abby) Modified on 11/16/2017, Exhibit no. 49 Stricken, see <u>135</u> Order. (Taylor, Abby). (Entered: 11/15/2017)
11/15/2017		CASE REFERRED for Screening <u>126</u> MOTION/APPLICATION for IFP, <u>125</u> MOTION to Vacate, Set Aside or Correct Sentence (pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2255) (Taylor, Abby) (Entered: 11/15/2017)
11/16/2017	<u>135</u>	ORDER signed by MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER on 11/16/2017, that Petitioner's Application (Docket Entry <u>126</u>) to proceed in forma pauperis is denied, that Exhibit 49 as contained in Docket Entry <u>134</u> is stricken and will be removed from the record, and that the United States Attorney is directed to file a Response to Petitioner's § 2255 Motion (Docket Entry <u>125</u>) within sixty (60) days from the date of the entry of this Order. Civil Case 1:17CV1036. (Taylor, Abby) (Entered: 11/16/2017)
11/16/2017		Set/Response Deadline in case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL <u>125</u> MOTION to Vacate, Set Aside or Correct Sentence (pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2255). Responses due by 1/15/2018. Civil Case 1:17CV1036. (Taylor, Abby) (Entered: 11/16/2017)
12/04/2017	<u>136</u>	DECLARATION entitled "Third Additional Evidence Declaration" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>128</u> Memorandum. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit 1, # <u>2</u> Exhibit 2, # <u>3</u> Exhibit 3, # <u>4</u> Exhibit 4, # <u>5</u> Certificate of Service, # <u>6</u> Envelope - Front and Back) (Civil Case number: 17CV1036) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 12/06/2017)

12/04/2017	<u>137</u>	DECLARATION entitled "fifth Additional Evidence Declaration" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>128</u> Memorandum. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit 1, # <u>2</u> Exhibit 2, # <u>3</u> Exhibit 3, # <u>4</u> Exhibit 4, # <u>5</u> Exhibit 5, # <u>6</u> Exhibit 6, # <u>7</u> Exhibit 7, # <u>8</u> Certificate of Service, # <u>2</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Civil Case number: 17CV1036) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 12/06/2017)
12/04/2017	<u>138</u>	DECLARATION entitled "Redacted Fourth Additional Evidence Declaration", filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>128</u> Memorandum. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Certificate of Service, # <u>2</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 12/07/2017)
12/04/2017	<u>139</u>	SEALED UNREDACTED DECLARATION entitled "Sealed Fourth Additional Evidence Declaration" filed BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Certificate of Service, # <u>2</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 12/07/2017)
12/07/2017	<u>140</u>	MOTION to Seal entitled "Defendant's/Petitioner's Motion and Brief to File under Seal" re: <u>137</u> Fourth Additional Evidence Declaration, filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Response to Motion due by 12/28/2017 (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 12/07/2017)
01/10/2018	<u>141</u>	MOTION to Dismiss <i>Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct Sentence</i> by USA as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. Response to Motion due by 2/5/2018 (RAMASWAMY, ANAND) (Entered: 01/10/2018)
01/10/2018	<u>142</u>	Roseboro Letter regarding <u>141</u> Motion to Dismiss as to defendant BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Civil Case number: 17CV1036) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 01/10/2018)
01/26/2018	<u>143</u>	RESPONSE in Opposition to <u>141</u> MOTION to Dismiss <i>Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct Sentence</i> filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Replies due by 2/9/2018. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit 1, # <u>2</u> Exhibit 2, # <u>3</u> Exhibit 3, # <u>4</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Civil Case number: 17CV1036) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 01/26/2018)
02/12/2018		Motions Referred to MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER RE: <u>141</u> MOTION to Dismiss <i>Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct Sentence</i> , <u>140</u> MOTION to Seal, and <u>125</u> MOTION to Vacate, Set Aside or Correct Sentence (pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2255) (1:17CV1036). (Engle, Anita) (Entered: 02/12/2018)
03/07/2018	<u>144</u>	MOTION entitled "Petitioner's Motion and Brief for Leave to File Additional Evidence" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Response to Motion due by 3/28/2018. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 03/07/2018)
03/07/2018	<u>145</u>	BRIEF entitled "Petitioner's Additional Evidence Brief in Opposition to "Motion to Dismiss Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, Or Correct Sentence" (Document #141) and in support to Petitioner's 2255 Motion (Document #125)" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>141</u> Motion to Dismiss. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit 1, # <u>2</u> Exhibit 2, # <u>3</u> Exhibit 3, # <u>4</u> Exhibit 4, # <u>5</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 03/07/2018)
03/09/2018	<u>146</u>	MOTION for Extension of Time To File Motion by USA as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(RAMASWAMY, ANAND) (Entered: 03/09/2018)
03/11/2018		Motion Referred to MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER RE: <u>146</u> MOTION for Extension of Time To File Motion. (Engle, Anita) (Entered: 03/11/2018)
03/12/2018		TEXT ORDER granting <u>146</u> Motion for Extension of Time To File Response as to BRIAN DAVID HILL (1). The Government shall have up to and including March 23, 2018 to respond to Petition's Motion (D.E. #144, 145). Issued by MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER on 3/12/2018. (Lee, Pedra) (Entered: 03/12/2018)
03/13/2018		Set <u>3/23/2018</u> Response Deadline in case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL re: (D.E. # <u>144</u> , <u>145</u>) MOTION & BRIEF for Leave to File Additional Evidence. Civil Action 1:17CV1036. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 03/13/2018)
03/15/2018	<u>147</u>	RESPONSE entitled "Petitioner's Response Brief in Support of "Government's Motion for Leave for Time to file Response" (Document <u>146</u>) with a few Objections in Opposition" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 03/15/2018)
03/23/2018	<u>148</u>	RESPONSE to Motion AND BRIEF FOR LEAVE TO FILE ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE AND GOVERNMENTS MOTION FOR PRE-FILING INJUNCTION filed

		by USA as to BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>144</u> MOTION for Leave to File Replies due by 4/9/2018 (RAMASWAMY, ANAND) (Entered: 03/23/2018)
03/23/2018	<u>149</u>	MEMORANDUM by USA as to BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>144</u> MOTION for Leave to File filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Supplement, # <u>2</u> Supplement, # <u>3</u> Supplement, # <u>4</u> Supplement)(RAMASWAMY, ANAND) (Entered: 03/23/2018)
04/06/2018	<u>150</u>	REPLY TO RESPONSE to <u>144</u> MOTION for Leave to File entitled "Petitioner's Response Brief in Opposition to "Government's Response to "Motion and Brief for Leave to File Additional Evidence" and Government's Motion for Pre-Filing Injunction" (Document # <u>148</u>) and "Memorandum in support of Government's Motion for Pre-Filing Injunction" (Document # <u>149</u>)" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Supplement 1, # <u>2</u> Supplement 2, # <u>3</u> Supplement 3, # <u>4</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Civil Case number: 17CV1036) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 04/09/2018)
04/10/2018		Motion Referred to MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER RE: <u>144</u> MOTION entitled "Petitioner's Motion and Brief for Leave to File Additional Evidence." (1:17CV1036) (Engle, Anita) (Entered: 04/10/2018)
06/25/2018	<u>151</u>	MOTION entitled "Petitioner's Motion for requesting Psychological/Psychiatric Evaluation to Determine actual Innocence factor under False Confession element and to resolve the controversy/conflict between Government and Petitioner over "Delusional Disorder" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Response to Motion due by 7/17/2018. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Supplement 1, # <u>2</u> Supplement 2, # <u>3</u> Supplement 3, # <u>4</u> Supplement 4, # <u>5</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 06/26/2018)
06/27/2018		Motion Referred to MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER RE: <u>151</u> MOTION entitled "Petitioner's Motion for requesting Psychological/Psychiatric Evaluation to Determine actual Innocence factor under False Confession element and to resolve the controversy/conflict between Government and Petitioner over "Delusional Disorder." (Engle, Anita) (Entered: 06/27/2018)
10/03/2018	<u>152</u>	STATUS REPORT by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Civil Case 1:17CV1036. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back)(Taylor, Abby) (Entered: 10/03/2018)
10/17/2018	<u>153</u>	MOTION to Appoint Attorney and STATUS REPORT filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 10/18/2018)
10/19/2018		Motion Referred to MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER RE: <u>153</u> MOTION to Appoint Attorney and STATUS REPORT filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (1:17cv1036) (Engle, Anita) (Entered: 10/19/2018)
10/24/2018	<u>154</u>	MOTION entitled "Petitioner asks Court to continue Supervised Release" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Response to Motion due by 11/16/2018. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 10/24/2018)
10/25/2018		Motion Submission to CHIEF JUDGE THOMAS D. SCHROEDER as to BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>154</u> MOTION entitled "Petitioner asks Court to continue Supervised Release." (Engle, Anita) (Entered: 10/25/2018)
10/29/2018	<u>155</u>	STATUS REPORT entitled "October 25, 2018 Status Report" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 10/29/2018)
11/13/2018	<u>156</u>	SEALED Statement of Reasons as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Bailey, Jeanne) (Entered: 11/13/2018)
11/13/2018	<u>157</u>	USPO PROB 12C – Offender Under Supervision as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Bailey, Jeanne) Modified on 5/15/2019 to unseal. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 11/13/2018)
11/13/2018	<u>158</u>	SEALED USPO PROB 12C – Supplement Report as to BRIAN DAVID HILL (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Memo to Clerk) (Bailey, Jeanne) (Entered: 11/13/2018)
11/13/2018		Case Referred to CHIEF JUDGE THOMAS D. SCHROEDER as to BRIAN DAVID HILL, RE: <u>157</u> SEALED USPO PROB 12C – Offender Under Supervision and <u>158</u> SEALED USPO PROB 12C – Supplement Report. (Engle, Anita) (Entered: 11/13/2018)

11/13/2018	<u>159</u>	ORDER FOR WARRANT as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. Signed by CHIEF JUDGE THOMAS D. SCHROEDER on 11/13/2018. (Daniel, J) Modified on 5/15/2019 to unseal. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 11/13/2018)
11/14/2018	<u>160</u>	Warrant Issued SRV as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Daniel, J) Modified on 5/15/2019 to unseal. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 11/14/2018)
11/29/2018	<u>161</u>	DECLARATION entitled "November 28, 2018 Status Report and Declaration of Brian David Hill in support of Doc. # <u>125</u> , # <u>128</u> " filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 11/30/2018)
11/30/2018	<u>162</u>	NOTICE entitled "Status Report of Brian David Hill – November 27, 2018" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Civil Case number: 17CV1036) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 11/30/2018)
12/12/2018	<u>163</u>	DECLARATION of BRIAN DAVID HILL filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 12/12/2018)
12/13/2018	<u>164</u>	AMENDED DECLARATION of BRIAN DAVID HILL entitled "Declaration of Brian David Hill in support of continuing Supervised Release, towards innocence of case" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back)(Garland, Leah) (Entered: 12/13/2018)
12/21/2018	<u>165</u>	MOTION to Dismiss (Entitled: Motion for Summary Judgment or Case Dismissal of Supervised Release Violation) by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Response to Motion due by 1/9/2019. Civil Case 1:17CV1036. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back)(Taylor, Abby) (Entered: 12/26/2018)
12/24/2018		Arrest of BRIAN DAVID HILL in the WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 05/15/2019)
12/27/2018		Motion No Longer Submitted to CHIEF JUDGE THOMAS D. SCHROEDER: <u>154</u> MOTION entitled "Petitioner asks Court to continue Supervised Release" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Engle, Anita) (Entered: 12/27/2018)
12/27/2018		Motions Referred to MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER RE: <u>165</u> MOTION to Dismiss, (Entitled: Motion for Summary Judgment or Case Dismissal of Supervised Release Violation), and <u>154</u> MOTION entitled "Petitioner asks Court to continue Supervised Release" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Engle, Anita) (Entered: 12/27/2018)
01/09/2019	<u>166</u>	NOTICE OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Address updated on docket sheet. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 01/09/2019)
01/17/2019	<u>167</u>	NOTICE OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Address updated on docket sheet. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 01/17/2019)
01/24/2019	<u>168</u>	LETTER MOTION for Certified Copies filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Response to Motion due by 2/14/2019. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 01/24/2019)
01/25/2019		Motion Referred to MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER RE: <u>168</u> LETTER MOTION for Certified Copies filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Engle, Anita) (Entered: 01/25/2019)
01/30/2019	<u>169</u>	MOTION for Hearing and for Appointment for Counsel filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Responses due by 2/20/2019. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 01/30/2019)
01/30/2019		Motion Referred to MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER RE: <u>169</u> MOTION for Hearing and for Appointment for Counsel filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (1:17CV1036) (Engle, Anita) (Entered: 01/30/2019)
03/01/2019	<u>170</u>	EXHIBIT to <u>125</u> MOTION to Vacate, Set Aside or Correct Sentence (pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2255), by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Civil Case number: 17CV1036) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 03/04/2019)

03/13/2019	<u>171</u>	BRIEF/MEMORANDUM entitled "Brief/Memorandum of Law on Rule 11 Plea in support of 2255 Motion (Doc. # <u>125</u>) and 2255 Brief (Doc. # <u>128</u>)" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL to <u>128</u> Memorandum, <u>125</u> Motion to Vacate/Set Aside/Correct Sentence (2255) filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Supplement 1, # <u>2</u> Supplement 2, # <u>3</u> Supplement 3, # <u>4</u> Supplement 4, # <u>5</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Civil Case number: 17CV1036) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 03/13/2019)
03/28/2019	<u>172</u>	DECLARATION entitled "Declaration of Brian David Hill in opposition to charge under documents <u>156</u> , <u>157</u> , and <u>158</u> " filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 03/28/2019)
04/11/2019	<u>173</u>	DECLARATION entitled "Declaration of Brian David Hill in Opposition to Government's Documents <u>156</u> , <u>157</u> , and <u>158</u> " filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 04/11/2019)
05/03/2019	<u>174</u>	DECLARATION of BRIAN DAVID HILL entitled "Declaration of Brian David Hill in Opposition to Government's charging documents # <u>156</u> , # <u>157</u> , and # <u>158</u> " filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Civil Case number: 17CV1036) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 05/03/2019)
05/03/2019	<u>175</u>	NOTICE OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Address updated on docket sheet. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Civil Case number: 17CV1036) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 05/03/2019)
05/15/2019	<u>176</u>	Rule 32.1 Documents Received from the WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> WDVA Competency/Detention Minutes, # <u>2</u> WDVA Order Setting Conditions of Release, # <u>3</u> WDVA Appearance Bond, # <u>4</u> WDVA Waiver of Rule 32.1 Hearings, # <u>5</u> WDVA Docket Sheet) (Daniel, J) Modified on 6/26/2019 to correct typo. (Engle, Anita) (Entered: 05/15/2019)
06/24/2019	<u>177</u>	NOTICE OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Address updated on docket sheet. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Civil Case number: 17CV1036) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 06/25/2019)
06/24/2019	<u>178</u>	DECLARATION entitled "Evidence Declaration of Brian David Hill regarding State Pro Se Motion in Opposition to Government's/Respondent's Document #156, #157, and #158" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Civil Case number: 17CV1036) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 06/25/2019)
06/24/2019	<u>179</u>	DECLARATION entitled "June 21, 2019 Declaration of Brian David Hill in Opposition to Government's/Respondent's Documents #156, #157, and #158" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Civil Case number: 17CV1036) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 06/25/2019)
06/26/2019		Case Referred to MAGISTRATE JUDGE L. PATRICK AULD as to BRIAN DAVID HILL, RE: Appointment of Counsel. (Engle, Anita) (Entered: 06/26/2019)
06/26/2019		Attorney update in case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. RENORDA E. PRYOR for BRIAN DAVID HILL added pending review of Financial Affidavit. (O'Doherty, Sinead) (Entered: 06/26/2019)
06/26/2019	<u>180</u>	NOTICE OF HEARING as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. Final Hearing re Revocation of Supervised Release set for 8/9/2019 at 02:00 PM in Winston-Salem Courtroom #2 before CHIEF JUDGE THOMAS D. SCHROEDER. (Engle, Anita) (Main Document 180 replaced on 6/27/2019) (Engle, Anita). (Entered: 06/26/2019)
06/26/2019		Attorney update in case as to BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Engle, Anita) (Entered: 06/26/2019)
07/22/2019	<u>181</u>	DECLARATION entitled "Evidence Declaration of Brian David Hill Regarding Carbon Monoxide and Letgter to Martinsville Police Chief in Opposition to Government's/Respondent's Documents # <u>156</u> , # <u>157</u> , # <u>158</u> , # <u>159</u> , and # <u>160</u> " filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit 0, # <u>2</u> Exhibit 1, # <u>3</u> Exhibit 2, # <u>4</u> Exhibit 3, # <u>5</u> Exhibit 4, # <u>6</u> Exhibit 5, # <u>7</u> Exhibit 6, # <u>8</u> Exhibit 7, # <u>9</u> Exhibit 8, # <u>10</u> Exhibit 9, # <u>11</u> Exhibit 10, # <u>12</u> Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 07/22/2019)

07/22/2019	<u>182</u>	MOTION to Continue <i>Revocation of Supervised Release Hearing</i> by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(PRYOR, RENORDA) (Entered: 07/22/2019)
07/23/2019		Motion Submission to CHIEF JUDGE THOMAS D. SCHROEDER as to BRIAN DAVID HILL re <u>182</u> MOTION to Continue <i>Revocation of Supervised Release Hearing</i> . (Engle, Anita) (Entered: 07/23/2019)
07/23/2019	<u>183</u>	ORDER as to BRIAN DAVID HILL signed by CHIEF JUDGE THOMAS D. SCHROEDER on 7/23/2019; that the Defendant's motion for a continuance is GRANTED, and the Defendant's supervised release violation hearing is rescheduled to September 12, 2019, at 2:00 p.m. in Courtroom 2 in Winston-Salem, North Carolina. (Sheets, Jamie) (Entered: 07/23/2019)
07/23/2019		Reset Hearings as to BRIAN DAVID HILL: Final Hearing re Revocation of Supervised Release set for 9/12/2019 at 02:00 PM in Winston-Salem Courtroom #2 before CHIEF JUDGE THOMAS D. SCHROEDER. (Sheets, Jamie) (Entered: 07/23/2019)
07/23/2019	<u>184</u>	NOTICE OF ATTORNEY APPEARANCE JOHN M. ALSUP appearing for USA. (ALSUP, JOHN) (Entered: 07/23/2019)

Exhibit 2

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN

Qanon **U.S.W.G.O.** Qanon

MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00
“Motion to Suppress Evidence”

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA


Dear Hon. U.S. Magistrate Judge Joe Webster

323 E. Chapel Hill St. Room 2,

Durham, N.C. 27701-3351,

CC: Assistant U.S. Attorney Anand Prakash Ramaswamy

Re: 1:13-CR-435-1, 2255:1:17-CV-1036,



I, Brian D. Hill, Petitioner of my filed 2255 Motion and 2255 Brief/Memorandum-of-Law (Documents 125 et seq.) am notifying you that I won't let a guy in a hoodie who had threatened to kill my mother (Documents 152 et seq.) stop me from proving my factual innocence in this case. Being temporarily in FCI³ Butner prison for a mental evaluation study has severely crippled my ability to prove factual innocence and prove AUSA Ramaswamy's fraud upon the Court. However I am ready for an evidentiary hearing if necessary, As Soon As Possible (ASAP), and I am ready for effective assistance of Counsel to be appointed for my 2255 case. The need for such a hearing is long overdue. According to the U.S. Supreme Court case law *Chambers v. Nasco INC*, 501 US 32, 115 L. ED 2d 27, 111 S. CT 2123 (1991) Courts § 18 "inherent or implied powers", as well as Courts § 225.1; Equity § 47 "power to vacate fraudulent judgment", this Court has an inherent power to investigate a fraud upon the Court and to vacate an earlier judgment upon proof of such fraud. The fraud upon the Court is caused by both ineffective assistance of Counsel forcing me to falsely plead guilty under Oath, and a fraud upon the Court by a false factual basis of guilt in this criminal case.

1 2255 letter #01

The fraud in the fact that I never got to review over the entire discovery evidence with Attorney Eric David Placke, before he persuaded me to falsely plead guilty under Oath means I had plead guilty without understanding the full weight of the very evidence that the prosecution had used against me in my case. The "Factual Basis" of my guilt provided by the Government prior to Sentencing was fraudulent. My confession statements were proven to ~~inaccurate~~ be inaccurate and false, a false confession caused by my Autism because of the way I was interrogated. The SBI, that is the State Bureau of Investigation and through their Case File (forensic report) reported files/images/videos of interest but there was NO affidavit verifying/confirming whether each such file could have been actual child pornography. In addition to that, the SBI case file said that 454 files had been downloaded with the eMule program between July 20, 2012, and July 28, 2013, while my computer was ~~seiv~~ seized on August 28, 2012. The criminal Judgment of guilty on November 12, 2014 was a fraudulent Judgment based upon fraud on the Court. Letter respectfully filed with both the Hon. Magistrate Judge of the Court and the AUSA Ramaswamy on this the 24th day of January, 2019.

U.S.W.G.O. Brian D. Hill

Signed

Brian David Hill #29947-057

Federal Correctional Institution 1

Old NC Hwy 75; P.O. Box 1000

Butner, NC. 27509

My friend's blog:

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

God Bless America

God Bless You.

2

2255 letter #01

Magistrate's Copy

To Anand Prakash Ramaswamy,
Re: 1:13-CR-435

Dated: January 23, 2019

United States Attorney Office

Middle District of North Carolina

CC: Hon. U.S. Magistrate Judge Joe Webster

101 S. Edgeworth ST, 4th Flr, Greensboro, NC,

I just want my life back, I am respectfully giving you an olive branch to do what is right. President Donald Trump's Art of the Deal. God Bless You.

You understand with the threatening greeting card postmarked Tennessee that I am a victim of crimes that somebody out to hurt me, my family, and Attorney Susan Baske was behind the threatening Tormail.org messages and the threatening greeting card. Me and my family is under attack Ramaswamy, I am tired of being a darn victim Ramaswamy. We need to come to a resolution because it is clear that I am factually innocent. You and the Court and I are victims of fraud. It's clear that I was set-up and framed. Stop resisting State Bar Rule 3.8. Let's make a deal. I want my life back. I would like to be acquitted. Please vacate my sentence.

God Bless,

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill #29947-057
Federal Correctional Institution 1
P.O. Box 1000

Please make a deal.

Butner, N.C. 27509

A anon

Exhibit 3


USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN

Qanon **U.S.W.G.O.** Qanon

MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00
“Motion to Suppress Evidence”

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

MOTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2255 TO VACATE, SET ASIDE, OR CORRECT
SENTENCE BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY



United States District Court		District	Middle District of North Carolina
Name (under which you were convicted): Brian David Hill		Docket or Case No.: 1:13-cr-435-1	
Place of Confinement: Supervised Release under the U.S. Probation Office		Prisoner No.: 29947-057 (USM number)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		Movant (include name under which convicted) V. Brian David Hill	

MOTION

- (a) Name and location of court which entered the judgment of conviction you are challenging:
United States District Court
for Middle District of North Carolina
324 West Market Street, Suite 1, Greensboro, NC 27401
- (b) Criminal docket or case number (if you know): 1:13-cr-435-1
- (a) Date of the judgment of conviction (if you know): 11/12/2014
- (b) Date of sentencing: 11/10/2014
- Length of sentence: 10 months and 20 days, but not less than time served
- Nature of crime (all counts):
Count 1: 18:2252A(a)(5)(B) and (b)(2) - Possession of Child Pornography

- (a) What was your plea? (Check one)
(1) Not guilty (2) Guilty (3) Nolo contendere (no contest)
- (b) If you entered a guilty plea to one count or indictment, and a not guilty plea to another count or what did you plead guilty to and what did you plead not guilty to?

I plead guilty to possession of child pornography because from what I understood, the U.S. Attorney claimed that it was on my computer, regardless of whom put it there, so therefore I thought I was technically guilty of possession of child porn. However at a later time I realized that I was wrong to assume that, that I am entitled to prove the affirmative defense of Frame Up which is recognized by the U.S. Supreme Court. I falsely plead guilty because of ineffective Counsel and deteriorating health. See Brief/Memorandum in attachment to this Motion for more information.

- If you went to trial, what kind of trial did you have? (Check one) Jury Judge only
- Did you testify at a pretrial hearing, trial, or post-trial hearing? Yes No
- Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction? Yes No

Defendant's Answer to 8.: Almost had a Jury trial Defendant's Answer to 7.: Not testified on the stand

9. If you did appeal, answer the following:

- (a) Name of court: U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit
- (b) Docket or case number (if you know): 15-4057
- (c) Result: The judgment of the district court is affirmed in part. The appeal is dismissed in part. Doc #19-1
- (d) Date of result (if you know): 4/7/2015
- (e) Citation to the case (if you know): _____
- (f) Grounds raised:
N/A - Untimely filed

(g) Did you file a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court? Yes No

If "Yes," answer the following:

- (1) Docket or case number (if you know): _____
- (2) Result: _____
- (3) Date of result (if you know): _____
- (4) Citation to the case (if you know): _____
- (5) Grounds raised: _____

10. Other than the direct appeals listed above, have you previously filed any other motions, petitions, or applications, concerning this judgment of conviction in any court?

Yes No

11. If your answer to Question 10 was "Yes," give the following information:

- (a) (1) Name of court: U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina
- (2) Docket or case number (if you know): 1:13-cr-435-1
- (3) Date of filing (if you know): _____
- (4) Nature of the proceeding: Misc. pro se Motions
- (5) Grounds raised: Various issues in the Misc. pro se Motions

(6) Did you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No

(7) Result: Judge Osteen denied every single pro se motion since conviction

(8) Date of result (if you know):

(b) If you filed any second motion, petition, or application, give the same information:

(1) Name of court:

(2) Docket of case number (if you know):

(3) Date of filing (if you know):

(4) Nature of the proceeding:

(5) Grounds raised:

(6) Did you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No

(7) Result:

(8) Date of result (if you know):

(c) Did you appeal to a federal appellate court having jurisdiction over the action taken on your motion, petition, or application?

(1) First petition: Yes No

(2) Second petition: Yes No

(d) If you did not appeal from the action on any motion, petition, or application, explain briefly why you did not:

12. For this motion, state every ground on which you claim that you are being held in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. Attach additional pages if you have more than four grounds. State the facts supporting each ground.

GROUND ONE: Actual Innocence

(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):

Defendant Brian David Hill ("Defendant"), is asserting the claim of actual innocence based on particular elements of what was discovered after conviction.

The Defendant didn't get to review over the rest of all of the discovery material for the criminal case until January 22, 2015 at the office of John Scott Coalter (court appointed lawyer).

(Confession element)The Defendant confirmed after conviction that he made false confession statements which could have been proven by cross referencing/examining the U.S. Attorney's discovery material. Defendant made a confirmed false confession statement regarding child pornography in his Netbook, regarding the child pornography download date for "about a year or so", and his statement of describing PTHC which stands for "Preteen Hardcore" (excerpt cited from Mayodan Police Report) was fabricated over what was already described in Police detective Robert Bridge's search warrant affidavit and in the Police Report, so Defendant describing what PTHC stood for was already described in Detective Bridge's Affidavit. Defendant exhibited a sophisticated form of echolalia which means he repeated what was already described to him by Police. See Brief/Memorandum in attachment to this Motion for more information.

(Forensic element)The Defendant asserts that the entire "SBI Case File" forensic report is questionable on it's own merits. Making a claim that child pornography downloaded using the eMule program between the dates "July 20, 2012, and July 28, 2013." That same Laptop had been seized on August 28, 2012. The child porn download dates corroborate the claims in various threatening emails from tormail.org. More are stated in the Brief attached.

(b) **Direct Appeal of Ground One:**

(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?

Yes No

(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:

Untimely filed Appeal. The U.S. Court of Appeals would not let me raise any of these issues due to filing too late. Actual Innocence claim doesn't require prior direct appeal, especially on newly discovered evidence.

(c) **Post-Conviction Proceedings:**

(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?

Yes No

(2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state:

Type of motion or petition: Various pro se filings on actual innocence. Document #71, Document #73, etc

Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:

U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina

Docket or case number (if you know): 1:13-cr-435-1

Date of the court's decision: 4/29/2015

Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):

Document #87

(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No

(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No

(5) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise the issue in the appeal?

Yes No

(6) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," state:

Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:

Docket or case number (if you know):

Date of the court's decision:

Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):

(7) If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal or raise this issue:

Because there was no statute or federal rule that was used to back any of the post-conviction pro se motions, that was why they were all denied. There was no use appealing motions that hold no legal basis. That is why this 2255 motion is being filed, because it is backed by both case law and statute. I have a legal basis for this motion, good evidence, and good grounds. See Brief/Memorandum in attachment to this Motion for more information.

GROUND TWO: Ineffective Assistance of Counsel

(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):

See Brief/Memorandum in attachment to this Motion for more information.

Eric David Placke did many things that were ineffective and would be difficult to explain in this little box.

See "BRIEF / MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF BRIAN DAVID HILL'S "MOTION UNDER 28 USC § 2255 TO VACATE, SET ASIDE, OR CORRECT SENTENCE BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY" "DECLARATION, ATTACHED EXHIBITS, AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF THIS MOTION" for all of the evidence and Affidavits/Declarations in support of Defendant's ineffective assistance of Counsel claim needed to prove actual innocence, as the change of plea from guilty to not guilty will require me to prove ineffective Counsel prior to my false guilty plea, and a good reason why I had falsely plead guilty instead of taking it to trial. Evidence I have is that my health was deteriorating while in Jail, my Counsel was going to provide no evidence for the Jury Trial, my Autism would not be brought up, Placke had no defense planned nor prepared. I would have faced prison time if I had no falsely taken the guilty plea. Now that I am out of jail, I can fight to prove my innocence without Placke.

(b) Direct Appeal of Ground Two:

(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?

Yes No

(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:

Untimely filed Appeal. The U.S. Court of Appeals would not let me raise any of these issues due to filing too late.

(c) Post-Conviction Proceedings:

(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?

Yes No

(2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state:

Type of motion or petition: _____

Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: _____

Docket or case number (if you know): _____

Date of the court's decision: _____

Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):

(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No

(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No

(5) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise the issue in the appeal?

Yes No

(6) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," state:

Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed: _____

Docket or case number (if you know): _____

Date of the court's decision: _____

Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):

(7) If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal or raise this issue:

GROUND THREE: Deprivation of due process rights as guaranteed by Fourteenth Amendment. Deprivation of discovery rights

(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):

Was not given full access to criminal case discovery materials until January 22, 2015, at John Scott Coalter's office, a few months after I was convicted upon final Judgment. That was why I was furious and filed a bunch of pro se motions with evidence, even though none of those had any statutory basis. I was angry that I was swindled by my own lawyers. They wouldn't let me prove my innocence in any way. All Placke wanted me to do was to say falsely under Oath that I was guilty, and Coalter to stick with my false guilty plea.

See Brief/Memorandum in attachment to this Motion for more information.

See "BRIEF / MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF BRIAN DAVID HILL'S "MOTION UNDER 28 USC § 2255 TO VACATE, SET ASIDE, OR CORRECT SENTENCE BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY""
"DECLARATION, ATTACHED EXHIBITS, AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF THIS MOTION"

(b) Direct Appeal of Ground Three:

(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?

Yes No

(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:

Untimely filed Appeal. The U.S. Court of Appeals would not let me raise any of these issues due to filing too late.

(c) Post-Conviction Proceedings:

(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?

Yes No

(2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state:

Type of motion or petition: _____

Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: _____

Docket or case number (if you know): _____

Date of the court's decision: _____

Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available): _____

(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No

(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No

(5) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise the issue in the appeal?

Yes No

(6) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," state:

Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed: _____

Docket or case number (if you know): _____

Date of the court's decision: _____

Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available): _____

(7) If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal or raise this issue:

GROUND FOUR: Prosecutorial misconduct - Based upon new evidence that has surfaced in a 2017 Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") lawsuit and FOIA Appeal case, in the Western Dist. of Virginia.

(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):

The U.S. Attorney has covered up two pieces of evidence that is needed to help prove factual innocence for this 2255 motion. Because of this I ask that the Court enforce the discovery of the criminal case evidence that was originally received by Eric David Placke but he refused to let me prove my innocence in any way with the discovery evidence material. John Scott Coalter has threatetened that he may destroy the evidence of discovery which further forces me to be stuck with my false guilty plea. Eric avid Placke only wanted to work with the U.S. Attorney and get the best guilty plea bargain he could. He was no interested in suppressing any evidence, and not inteested in my innocence. Because of not getting access to all of my discovery material, I had to sue the Executive Office for United States Attorneys and U.S. Department of Justice citing the deprivation of my rights under Brady v. Maryland and Giglio v. United States.

See "BRIEF / MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF BRIAN DAVID HILL'S "MOTION UNDER 28 USC § 2255 TO VACATE, SET ASIDE, OR CORRECT SENTENCE BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY""
"DECLARATION, ATTACHED EXHIBITS, AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF THIS MOTION"

(b) **Direct Appeal of Ground Four:**

(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?

Yes No

(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:

Newly discovered evidence filed in Federal civil case "Brian David Hill v. Executive Office for United States Attorneys (EOUSA) et al," case no. 4:17-cv-00027, U.S. Dist. Court for Western District of Virginia.

(c) **Post-Conviction Proceedings:**

(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?

Yes No

(2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state:

Type of motion or petition: _____

Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: _____

Docket or case number (if you know): _____

Date of the court's decision: _____

Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available): _____

(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No

(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No

(5) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise the issue in the appeal?

Yes No

(6) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," state:

Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:

Docket or case number (if you know):

Date of the court's decision:

Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):

(7) If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal or raise this issue:

13. Is there any ground in this motion that you have not previously presented in some federal court? If so, which ground or grounds have not been presented, and state your reasons for not presenting them:

U.S. Attorney refusing to give me access to my entire criminal case discovery material even though requested via Freedom of Information Act.

Federal civil case "Brian David Hill v. Executive Office for United States Attorneys (EOUSA) et al," case no. 4:17-cv-00027, U.S. Dist. Court for Western District of Virginia.

14. Do you have any motion, petition, or appeal now pending (filed and not decided yet) in any court for the you are challenging? Yes No

If "Yes," state the name and location of the court, the docket or case number, the type of proceeding, and the issues raised.

15. Give the name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the you are challenging:

(a) At the preliminary hearing:
Eric David Placke

(b) At the arraignment and plea:
Eric David Placke

(c) At the trial:
Eric David Placke (no trial had to proceed because of the change of plea to guilty)

(d) At sentencing:
John Scott Coalter

(e) On appeal:
Mark Jones

(f) In any post-conviction proceeding:
No proceeding yet

(g) On appeal from any ruling against you in a post-conviction proceeding:

16. Were you sentenced on more than one court of an indictment, or on more than one indictment, in the same court and at the same time? Yes No

17. Do you have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence for the judgment that you are challenging? Yes No

(a) If so, give name and location of court that imposed the other sentence you will serve in the future:

(b) Give the date the other sentence was imposed:

(c) Give the length of the other sentence:

(d) Have you filed, or do you plan to file, any motion, petition, or application that challenges the judgment or sentence to be served in the future? Yes No

18. **TIMELINESS OF MOTION:** If your judgment of conviction became final over one year ago, you must explain why the one-year statute of limitations as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2255 does not bar your motion.*

Because actual innocence and my Constitutional rights should not be barred by statute. Even John Scott Coalter ("Mr. Coalter") admitted on September 30, 2016, that if I decide to file a 2255 and assert actual innocence, that I would have to appear before a "change of plea" hearing and I would have to raise ineffective assistance of Counsel as a reason why I had (falsely) taken the plea of guilty. Since ineffective Counsel can arubly be raised, then why not all Constitutional grounds since I have been deprived of all Constitutional rights that an Article III Court is supposed to guarantee all criminal Defendants accused of serious crimes.

Also new evidence has been discovered since then. I had filed a Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") request with the Executive Office for U.S. Attorneys ("EOUSA") concerning my criminal case discovery evidence since Mr. Coalter refused to give me my discovery, has threatened to possibly destroy the evidence, and Mr. Coalter has admitted to being in conflict of interest of me wanting to prove my actual innocence so he is working against me.

In a June 29, 2017, letter mailed to me from the Office of Government Information Services ("OGIS"), the Mediation staffer admitted to receiving a claim from the EOUSA that the U.S. Attorney office of Greensboro, NC do not have the confession audio and SBI case file, even though they were made aware on June 30, 2015 during the Supervised Release Revocation ("SRV") hearing that I fully intend on overturning my criminal conviction and prove my actual innocence via a 2255 Motion. The U.S. Attorney has removed evidence from their office to evade my FOIA request and prevent me from getting access to my criminal case discovery evidence to be able to mount a factual claim of actual innocence. The original evidence that they had used against me to led me to being wrongfully convicted, they have removed a portion of the evidence records that was used to indict and convict me. Because of that I had filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court, for the Western District of Virginia, case # 4:17-cv-27. The case is currently being reviewed and heard in the Danville Division in Danville, VA. The evidence presented in my Complaint that has been presented had enough of a merit to cause the U.S. Attorney office of Greensboro, NC (Middle District of North Carolina) to file answers to my complaint. They filed answers denying all allegations, even denying knowledge of my health condition (aka Autism and Type 1 Brittle Diabetes) which in my criminal case that had fully had knowledge of my health condition in both Transcripts and the U.S. Attorney admitted to receiving and reviewing the psychological report by Dr. Dawn Graney at the June 3, 2014, Pretrial Status Conferenece. The U.S. Attorney has made denial of knowledge to things that they are very well knowledgable on. The U.S. Attorney of Greensboro, NC, to my knowledge has perpetuated a fraud among the Court with answers that I and witnesses (Kenneth Forinash, Stella Forinash, Roberta Hill) know for a fact of matter are not the truth. The U.S. Attorney office of Greensboro, NC, collectively in the FOIA lawsuit in 2017, have lied about the evidence that they had originally used to indict and eventually convict me by plea agreement. I feel that the U.S. Attorney knew of any facts of possible factual innocence but they have either ignored it, lied about it, or got rid of any evidence records, papers, or things that can help to prove any facts of my actual innocence.

If the U.S. Attorney perpetuated a fraud among the Court, then I have a right to investigate if that is indeed the case, and as to why. The Court has a right to investigate if that is indeed the case, and as to why. If there is clear and convincing evidence that the U.S. Government may have perpetuated a fraudulent criminal case against an innocent man, then the Court needs to investigate with a full eventiary hearing and ask both sides what evidence they have. Under Marbery v. Madison, any law that is repugnant to the Constitution is null and void. My Constitutional rights should not be further deprived by the one year limitation. Actual Innocence is also a factor in Constitutional rights being deprived. Even though I am not in a federal prison, I am still remanded to the custody of the U.S. Marshal, to serve my sentence under Supervised Release and sex offender restrictions. I am not free to come and go as I please.

See Brief/Memorandum in attachment to this Motion for more information. See "BRIEF / MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF BRIAN DAVID HILL'S "MOTION UNDER 28 USC § 2255 TO VACATE, SET ASIDE, OR CORRECT SENTENCE BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY""

"DECLARATION, ATTACHED EXHIBITS, AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF THIS MOTION"

* The Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 ("AEDPA") as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2255, paragraph 6, provides in part that:

A one-year period of limitation shall apply to a motion under this section. The limitation period shall run from the latest of –

- (1) the date on which the judgment of conviction became final;
- (2) the date on which the impediment to making a motion created by governmental action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States is removed, if the movant was prevented from making such a motion by such governmental action;
- (3) the date on which the right asserted was initially recognized by the Supreme Court, if that right has been newly recognized by the Supreme Court and made retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review; or
- (4) the date on which the facts supporting the claim or claims presented could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence.

Therefore, movant asks that the Court grant the following relief:

Vacate and overturn the criminal conviction and Judgment on November 12, 2014. Vacate the entire sentence. Grant the Defendant a "certificate of innocence" allowing the Defendant the right to expunge records. State facts of innocence. or any other relief to which movant may be entitled.

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
Signed

Signature of Attorney (if any)

11

I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this Motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 was placed in the prison mailing system on November 14, 2017 (month, date, year)

U.S. Postal Service
OR FedEx

Executed (signed) on November 14, 2017 (date)
11

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signature of Movant

If the person signing is not movant, state relationship to movant and explain why movant is not signing this motion.

Certified Mail tracking #: 7017-1450-0000-9407-6759

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
310 Forest St. Apartment 2
Martinsville, VA 24112

2nd certified mail tracking #: 7017-1450-0000-9407-6766

Exhibit 4

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN

Qanon **U.S.W.G.O.** Qanon


MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00
"Motion to Suppress Evidence"

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

Clerk,

Notice - Please file -

To: U.S. Magistrate



Please file the attached Photocopy of a letter to Anand Prakash Ramaswamy dated February 18 2019 on Docket no. 1:13CR435 as proof of 2255 negotiations, that Petitioner Brian David Hill is the reasonable party and only wants to prove enough of Actual Innocence to be acquitted of the wrongful conviction. Hill is willing to waive his right to sue the United States for malicious prosecution if they agree to acquit Hill or accept Hill's clearly established facts of Actual Innocence. USPO Jason McMurray believes in Hill's innocence, and Hill will prove his Probation Officer right. Hill is innocent and never should have ~~never~~ been forced into falsely pleading guilty. Hill and the U.S. Attorney were victims of a fraud upon the Court. The witnesses Susan Basto, Kenneth Forinash, Stella Forinash, Roberta Hill, and Brian Hill under Oath clearly establish facts of Hill's innocence.

-Papers enclosed-

Brian David Hill
Feb. 26, 2019

Brian D. Hill
signed

FCT 4 Butner
P.O. Box 1000
Butner, NC 27509

To: U.S. Magistrate Judge Joe Webster U.S. Attorney Office
Middle District of North Carolina
Dear Anand Prakash Ramaswamy,

Cases: 1:13-cr-435, 1:17-cv-1036
U.S. District Court
Middle District of North Carolina
February 18, 2019

I just want my life back Ramaswamy. It's not your fault that I was set up, that you were given 'bad evidence' (fraud upon the Court) from the Town of Mayodan and the State Bureau of Investigation, and that I was misled and you were misled. I want to visit Japan, I want to go on cruise ships with my family while they are still alive. I don't want the bad guys to kill my family, I love my family, I care about my life and my family. U.S. President Trump is overthrowing the New World Order (NWO) Deep State Government, draining the Swamp as David Wilcock had talked about with Qanon and #SealedIndictments. I have no reason to ever bring back U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News. You know that I have brought up good case law, evidence, and arguments on my Actual Innocence. You never need to worry about losing this case when you never had a case to begin with. Please let it go. You are not perfect, I am not perfect either. I forgive you for the wrongs, the wrong things you committed. I just want to be free, no felony on my record, that I can go back home to my family safely as soon as possible without being a target. Please let this case go, declare my actual innocence due to State Bar Rule 3.8, and please stop letting my family and me be a target. Please stop resisting me.

1 6566 Letter

My Probation Officer McMurray is not pushing for revocation, there was no good reason for my arrest instead of a Summons. Me and my mother's cat Angel Hill was brutally killed in July or August 2018, around that period my mother received a threatening greeting card with no return address, somebody falsely called the police to come to the 310 Forest St, Martinsville, VA, address twice. It was clear that me and my family were being criminally targeted and harrassed and threatened before my arrest on September 21, 2018. I am tired of being targeted here. I should be released as soon as possible. My loving cat being brutally killed, the threatening greeting card and the other anonymous harrassing greeting cards came shortly after I had filed my 2255 Motion and Brief/Memorandum-of-law. My family mailed this information to the FBI. This is getting ridiculous, I am tired of being targeted for trying to prove my innocence. I forgive Maydan and the SBI for their Fraud upon the Court. Please stop my detainment, let me go. You were used as a puppet by my political enemies. Don't be their damn puppet. You have the power to acquit me, agree that I'm factually innocent, we can resolve all issues in this 2255. Please work with me there's still time. There's still time to set me free. God Bless You,

Brian D. Hill
signed

Brian David Hill
#29947-057

Federal Correctional Institution¹
Old N.C. Hwy 75 / P.O. Box 1000
Butner, NC 27509

JusticeForUSWGD.wordpress.com

Certified Mail tracking: 7018-1130-0000-8936-
2 6566

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 07/26/2019 @11:01:07

TESTE: Jennifer C. Coplin
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)

vs.)

CR19-0009-00

BRIAN DAVID HILL,)


Defendant.)

MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD

COMES NOW Lauren McGarry, counsel for the Defendant Brian David Hill, who respectfully requests to be permitted to withdraw, and who shows unto the Court as follows:

1. An actual conflict of interest exists concerning matters of zealous representation of clients, diligence and the relationship between counsel and the Defendant. *See* Rules of Professional Conduct 1.3, 1.6 and 1.7; *see also* Holloway v. Arkansas, 435 U.S. 475 (1978); Dowell v. Commonwealth, 3 Va. App. 555 (1987).
2. WHEREFORE, the undersigned attorney prays that she has shown good cause and prays as follows:
 1. That the Court find good cause to permit withdrawal of the undersigned counsel;
 2. That the Court enter an order allowing the undersigned counsel, and the Office of the Public Defender, to withdraw; and
 3. That the Court by separate order appoint another attorney to represent the named Defendant.

Respectfully submitted,



 Lauren McGarry
 Counsel for Defendant

SEEN: Brian D. Hill
 signed

 Brian David Hill

I ASK FOR THIS:



Lauren McGarry, VSB #92400
Counsel for Defendant
Office of the Public Defender
P.O. Drawer 31
Martinsville, Virginia 24114
(276) 666-2206 (office)
(276) 666-8929 (fax)
lmcgarry@mar.idc.virginia.gov

SEEN:


Commonwealth's Attorney

SEEN: Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill

CERTIFICATE


I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing motion was delivered, mailed, or faxed to the office of G. Andrew Hall, Esquire, Commonwealth's Attorney for the city of Martinsville on this the 26 day of July, 2019.



Lauren McGarry
Counsel for the Defendant

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 07/29/2019 @10:28:35

TESTE: 
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)

vs.)

CR19-0009-00

BRIAN DAVID HILL,)

Defendant.)

ORDER


CAME THIS DAY the Defendant, by counsel, upon motion of counsel for the Defendant to withdraw, and upon consideration of the evidence and arguments of counsel, the Court finds:

1. That the Defendant has heretofore been represented by Lauren McGarry, who is a duly licensed attorney, and who was appointed to represent the indigent defendant; and
2. That a conflict of interest exists between the Office of the Public Defender and Brian David Hill in this matter. The conflict concerns matters of zealous representation of clients, diligence, and the relationship between counsel and the Defendant.


IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

1. That good cause exists to permit the withdrawal of Lauren McGarry and the Office of the Public Defender as attorney of record, and that the said attorney is hereby allowed to withdraw; and,
2. The Court by separate order has appointed another attorney to represent the above named Defendant.

ENTERED this 30th day of July, 2019.



Judge

I ASK FOR THIS:



Lauren McGarry, VSB #92400
Counsel for Defendant
Office of the Public Defender
P.O. Drawer 31
Martinsville, Virginia 24114
(276) 666-2206 (office)
(276) 666-8929 (fax)
lmcgarry@mar.idc.virginia.gov

SEEN:



Commonwealth's Attorney

SEEN:

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

v.

ORDER

Case No. CR19000009-00


BRIAN DAVID HILL

It appearing to the Court that the above defendant is indigent and is entitled to representation by court-appointed counsel, it is

ORDERED that Matthew Clark, being a competent and discreet attorney practicing before the bar of this Court, be and he is hereby appointed to represent the above-named defendant on the above case.

The Clerk of this Court is directed to mail or deliver a copy of this Order to the Commonwealth's Attorney and Matthew Clark.

ENTER this 1st day of August, 2019.



Judge

Endorsement of Counsel is dispensed with -- Rule 1:13

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)

Plaintiff)

VS:)

BRIAN DAVID HILL,)

Defendant,)

NOTICE OF PRIOR CONVICTIONS

CR190009

Pursuant to §19.2-295.1 of the Code of Virginia (1950), you are hereby notified that the Commonwealth intends to introduce into evidence certified, attested or exemplified copies of the attached records of convictions of the defendant at the sentencing proceeding of the bifurcated trial in the above case, should the defendant be found guilty of one or more offenses at trial.



Assistant Commonwealth's Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this Notice, with the specified attachments, was hand delivered, faxed or mailed to Matthew S. Clark, Counsel for Defendant, 711 B Starling Avenue, P.O. Box 903, on this the 6th day of August, 2019 and that a copy was filed with the Clerk of this Court.

MARTINSVILLE
COMMONWEALTH'S
ATTORNEY
55 WEST CHURCH STREET
P.O. Box 1311
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24114

276-403-5470 (PHONE)
276-403-5470 (FAX)

G. ANDREW HALL
VSB #71018

PAULA A. BOWEN
VSB #72008


ALBERTO Z. HERRERO
VSB #73018

DANIEL P. MOOK
VSB #84231

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 08/06/2019 @14:00:57


CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK



Assistant Commonwealth's Attorney

DEFENDANT: BRIAN DAVID HILL
CASE NUMBER: 1:13CR435-1

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of **ten (10) months and twenty (20) days, but not less than time served.**

The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.

The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district.

at _____ am/pm on _____ .

as notified by the United States Marshal.

The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons:

before 2 pm on _____ .

as notified by the United States Marshal.

as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office.

RETURN

I have executed this judgment as follows:

Defendant delivered on _____ to _____ at _____
_____, with a certified copy of this judgment.

UNITED STATES MARSHAL
BY _____
DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL

DEFENDANT: BRIAN DAVID HILL
CASE NUMBER: 1:13CR435-1

SUPERVISED RELEASE

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be on supervised release for a term of **ten (10) years**.

The defendant must report to the probation office in the district to which the defendant is released within 72 hours of release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons.

The defendant shall not commit another federal, state, or local crime.

The defendant shall not unlawfully possess a controlled substance. The defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. The defendant shall submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter, as determined by the court.

- The above drug testing condition is suspended based on the court's determination that the defendant poses a low risk of future substance abuse. *(Check, if applicable.)*
- The defendant shall not possess a firearm, destructive device, or any other dangerous weapon. *(Check, if applicable.)*
- The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer. *(Check, if applicable.)*
- The defendant shall comply with the requirements of the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (42 U.S.C § 16901, *et seq.*) as directed by the probation officer, the Bureau of Prisons, or any state sex offender registration agency in which he or she resides, works, is a student, or was convicted of a qualifying offense. *(Check, if applicable.)*
- The defendant shall participate in an approved program for domestic violence. *(Check, if applicable.)*

If this judgment imposes a fine or a restitution, it is a condition of supervised release that the defendant pay in accordance with the Schedule of Payments sheet of this judgment.

The defendant must comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court as well as with any additional conditions on the attached page.

STANDARD CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

- 1) the defendant shall not leave the judicial district without the permission of the court or probation officer;
- 2) the defendant shall report to the probation officer in a manner and frequency directed by the court or probation officer;
- 3) the defendant shall answer truthfully all inquiries by the probation officer and follow the instructions of the probation officer;
- 4) the defendant shall support his or her dependents and meet other family responsibilities;
- 5) the defendant shall work regularly at a lawful occupation unless excused by the probation officer for schooling, training, or other acceptable reasons;
- 6) the defendant shall notify the probation officer at least ten days prior to any change in residence or employment;
- 7) the defendant shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol and shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any controlled substance or any paraphernalia related to any controlled substances, except as prescribed by a physician;
- 8) the defendant shall not frequent places where controlled substances are illegally sold, used, distributed, or administered;
- 9) the defendant shall not associate with any persons engaged in criminal activity, and shall not associate with any person convicted of a felony unless granted permission to do so by the probation officer;
- 10) the defendant shall permit a probation officer to visit him or her at any time at home or elsewhere and shall permit confiscation of any contraband observed in plain view of the probation officer;
- 11) the defendant shall notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer;
- 12) the defendant shall not enter into any agreement to act as an informer or a special agent of a law enforcement agency without the permission of the court;
- 13) as directed by the probation officer, the defendant shall notify third parties of risks that may be occasioned by the defendant's criminal record or personal history or characteristics, and shall permit the probation officer to make such notifications and to confirm the defendant's compliance with such notification requirement.

DEFENDANT: BRIAN DAVID HILL
CASE NUMBER: 1:13CR435-1

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

The defendant shall cooperatively participate in an evaluation and a mental health treatment program with emphasis on sex offender treatment, and pay for those treatment services, as directed by the probation officer. Treatment may include physiological testing, such as the polygraph and penile plethysmograph, and the use of prescribed medications.

The defendant shall not possess or use a computer or any other means to access any "on-line computer service" at any location (including employment) without the prior approval of the probation officer. This includes any Internet service provider, bulletin board system, or any other public or private computer network.

If granted access to an "on-line computer service," the defendant shall consent to the probation officer conducting periodic unannounced examinations of his computer equipment, which may include hardware, software, and copying all data from his computer. This may include the removal of such equipment, when necessary, for the purpose of conducting a more thorough examination.

The defendant shall consent to third-party disclosure to any employer or potential employer concerning any computer-related restrictions that have been imposed upon him.

The defendant shall provide his personal and business telephone records to the probation officer upon request and consent to the release of certain information from any on-line, telephone, or similar account.

The defendant shall not have any contact, other than incidental contact in a public forum such as ordering in a restaurant, grocery shopping, etc., with any person under the age of 18, except his own children, without prior permission of the probation officer. Any approved contact shall be supervised by an adult at all times. The contact addressed in this condition includes, but is not limited to, direct or indirect, personal, telephonic, written, or through a third party. If the defendant has any contact with any child, that is a person under the age of 18, not otherwise addressed in this condition, the defendant is required to immediately remove himself from the situation and notify the probation office within 24 hours.

The defendant shall not frequent places where children congregate, such as parks, playgrounds, schools, video arcades, daycare centers, swimming pools, or other places primarily used by children under the age of 18, without the prior approval of the probation officer.

The defendant shall not view, purchase, possess, or control any sexually explicit materials, including, but not limited to, pictures, magazines, video tapes, movies, or any material obtained through access to any computer or any material linked to computer access or use.

The defendant shall submit to a search of his person, property, house, residence, vehicle, papers, computer, other electronic communication or data storage devices or media, and effects at any time, with or without a warrant, by any law enforcement officer or probation officer with reasonable suspicion concerning unlawful conduct or a violation of a condition of probation or supervised release.

The defendant shall register with the state sex offender registration agency in any state where he may reside, is employed, carries on a vocation, or is a student.

DEFENDANT: BRIAN DAVID HILL
CASE NUMBER: 1:13CR435-1

CRIMINAL MONETARY PENALTIES

The defendant must pay the total criminal monetary penalties under the schedule of payments on Sheet 6.

	<u>Assessment</u>		<u>Fine</u>		<u>Restitution</u>
TOTALS	\$ 100.00		\$		\$

The determination of restitution is deferred until _____. An Amended Judgment in a Criminal Case (AO 245C) will be entered after such determination.

The defendant must make restitution (including community restitution) to the following payees in the amount listed below.

If the defendant makes a partial payment, each payee shall receive an approximately proportioned payment, unless specified otherwise in the priority order or percentage payment column below. However, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3664(i), all nonfederal victims must be paid before the United States is paid.

<u>Name of Payee</u>	<u>Total Loss*</u>	<u>Restitution Ordered</u>	<u>Priority or Percentage</u>
----------------------	--------------------	----------------------------	-------------------------------

TOTALS	\$ _____	\$ _____
---------------	----------	----------

Restitution amount ordered pursuant to plea agreement \$ _____

The defendant must pay interest on restitution and a fine of more than \$2,500, unless the restitution or fine is paid in full before the fifteenth day after the date of the judgment, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3612(f). All of the payment options on Sheet 6 may be subject to penalties for delinquency and default, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3612(g).

The court determined that the defendant does not have the ability to pay interest and it is ordered that:

the interest requirement is waived for the fine restitution.

the interest requirement for the fine restitution is modified as follows:

* Findings for the total amount of losses are required under Chapters 109A, 110, 110A, and 113A of Title 18 for offenses committed on or after September 13, 1994, but before April 23, 1996.

DEFENDANT: BRIAN DAVID HILL
CASE NUMBER: 1:13CR435-1

SCHEDULE OF PAYMENTS

Having assessed the defendant's ability to pay, payment of the total criminal monetary penalties is due as follows:

- A Lump sum payment of \$ 100.00 due immediately, balance due
 - not later than _____, or
 - in accordance with C, D, E, or F below; or
- B Payment to begin immediately (may be combined with C, D, or F below); or
- C Payment in equal _____ (e.g. weekly, monthly, quarterly) installments of \$ _____ over a period of _____ (e.g., months or years), to commence _____ (e.g., 30 or 60 days) after the date of this judgment; or
- D Payment in equal _____ (e.g. weekly, monthly, quarterly) installments of \$ _____ over a period of _____ (e.g., months or years), to commence _____ (e.g., 30 or 60 days) after release from imprisonment to a term of supervision; or
- E Payment during the term of supervised release will commence within _____ (e.g., 30 or 60 days) after release from imprisonment. The court will set the payment plan based on an assessment of the defendant's ability to pay at that time; or
- F Special instructions regarding the payment of criminal monetary penalties:

Unless the court has expressly ordered otherwise, if this judgment imposes imprisonment, payment of criminal monetary penalties is due during imprisonment. All criminal monetary penalties, except those payments made through the Federal Bureau of Prisons' Inmate Financial Responsibility Program, are to be made to the Clerk of Court, United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, 324 West Market Street, Greensboro, NC 27401-2544, unless otherwise directed by the court, the probation officer, or the United States Attorney. **Nothing herein shall prohibit the United States Attorney from pursuing collection of outstanding criminal monetary penalties.**

The defendant shall receive credit for all payments previously made toward any criminal monetary penalties imposed.

Joint and Several

Defendant and Co-Defendant Names, Case Numbers (including defendant number), Total Amount, Joint and Several Amount, and corresponding payee, if appropriate.

The defendant shall pay the cost of prosecution.

The defendant shall pay the following court cost(s):

The defendant shall forfeit the defendant's interest in the following property to the United States: to the extent any personal items not related to the offense of this investigation, the United States is authorized to return those items to Mr. Hill at the conclusion of any appeals period.

Payments shall be applied in the following order: (1) assessment; (2) restitution principal; (3) restitution interest, (4) fine principal, (5) fine interest, (6) community restitution, (7) penalties, and (8) costs, including cost of prosecution and court costs.

VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)

v.)

BRIAN DAVID HILL,)
Defendant,)

) **Case Nos: CR19000009-00**

MOTION TO CONTINUE

COMES NOW the Defendant, Brian D. Hill, by and through counsel, Matthew S. T. Clark, pursuant to Virginia Code Section 19.2-162, and requests the Honorable Court to continue his trial, which is currently set for Friday, August 30, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. As for his reasons theretofore, Defendant states the following:

1. Counsel was appointed on August 1, 2019 to represent Defendant in this matter;
2. As a result, counsel needs additional time to prepare for trial and already has other matters set for court in other jurisdictions for August 30, 2019; and
3. Counsel has spoken with the Commonwealth and there is no objection to this request for continuance.

Respectfully Submitted,

BRIAN DAVID HILL

BY: 

Counsel

Matthew S. T. Clark, Esquire, P.C.
Attorney and Counselor at Law
711-B Starling Avenue
P.O. Box 903
Martinsville, VA 24114
(276) 634-4000 - Telephone
(276) 634-4004 -- Facsimile
VSB# 46753
Counsel for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Matthew S.T. Clark, Esquire, hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of the above Motion to Continue was either mailed via first class mail or hand delivered to G. Andrew Hall, Esquire, City of Martinsville Commonwealth's Attorney, 55 W. Church St, Martinsville, Virginia 24112 on this the 19th day of August, 2019.


Matthew S. T. Clark

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 08/19/2019 @12:41:20

TESTE: 
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

VIRGINIA:


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

_____)	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)	
)	
v.)	Case No: CR19000009-00
)	
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

ORDER TO CONTINUE


CAME THIS DAY Brian David Hill, by counsel, upon a Motion to Continue his trial now set for Friday, August 30, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. The foregoing motion, having been duly considered by this Court, and it appearing that the same ought to be **GRANTED** for good cause shown, it is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED** that the above-styled case is **CONTINUED** to August 27, 2019 at 9:00 am to be reset.

Entered this 20th day of August, 2019.



Judge

I ask for this:



Matthew S. T. Clark, Esquire, P.C.
Attorney and Counselor at Law
711-B Starling Avenue
P.O. Box 903
Martinsville, VA 24114
Phone: 276-634-4000
Fax: 276-634-4004
VSB #46753

Seen and agreed:



City of Martinsville Commonwealth's Attorney's Office

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA)
CITY OF MARTINSVILLE)
VS.)
Brian D. Hill)
DEFENDANT)

NOTICE TO APPEAR

CASE # CK1900009-00

You are required to appear in person before the Martinsville Circuit Court, in this Courtroom, on August 27, 20 19, at 9:00 AM/PM.

Unexcused failure to appear at the time and place specified will constitute a separate criminal offense for which you can be charged, and if convicted, will subject you to a fine and/or jail sentence regardless of what happens to the original charge(s).

You agree to follow the Instructions on the bottom of this Notice.

You acknowledge receipt of this Notice, this 21 day of Aug, 20 19.

x/ Brian D. Hill
Signed
DEFENDANT

This is to certify that this Notice was executed on the above date by the Defendant, and that a copy was delivered to the Defendant.

Chamber C. Capri
JUDGE/CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE DEFENDANT

1. You must personally appear at each court hearing on your case(s).
2. You have the right to be present at your trial and to confront and cross-examine witnesses.
3. You shall keep in touch with your attorney at all times.
4. If serious illness or emergency prevents you from appearing in court, you must call the Clerk's Office at (276) 403-5106 and fully explain the reason for non-appearance. You shall also promptly notify your attorney of any such problem(s).
5. Unexcused failure to appear in Court may result in you being tried in your absence, and additional criminal charges for Failure to Appear in Court.

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF **CITY OF MARTINSVILLE**
FEDERAL INFORMATION PROCESSING
STANDARDS CODE: **690**

Hearing Date: **August 27, 2019**
Judge: **G. Carter Greer**

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

v. **CR19000009-00 Indecent Exposure**

BRIAN DAVID HILL, DEFENDANT

This day came the defendant, who appeared in person with his attorney, **MATT Clark, heretofore appointed.** The Commonwealth was represented by **Andy Hall.**

Whereupon this case having previously been set for a Jury Trial and was continued due to new counsel being appointed and was continued due to having new counsel appointed. The parties appeared to have a new trial date assigned.

Therefore, these offenses are reset for a Jury Trial and the request of the Commonwealth on December 2, 2019 at 9:00 A.M.

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA)
CITY OF MARTINSVILLE)
VS.)
Brian Hill)
DEFENDANT)

NOTICE TO APPEAR

CASE # CL19000009

You are required to appear in person before the Martinsville Circuit Court, in this Courtroom, on December 2, 2019, at 9:00 AM AM/PM.

Qty - Comm.

Unexcused failure to appear at the time and place specified will constitute a separate criminal offense for which you can be charged, and if convicted, will subject you to a fine and/or jail sentence regardless of what happens to the original charge(s).

You agree to follow the Instructions on the bottom of this Notice.

You acknowledge receipt of this Notice, this 27th day of August, 2019.

Brian D. Hill
DEFENDANT

This is to certify that this Notice was executed on the above date by the Defendant, and that a copy was delivered to the Defendant.

[Signature]
JUDGE/CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE DEFENDANT

1. You must personally appear at each court hearing on your case(s).
2. You have the right to be present at your trial and to confront and cross-examine witnesses.
3. You shall keep in touch with your attorney at all times.
4. If serious illness or emergency prevents you from appearing in court, you must call the Clerk's Office at (276) 403-5106 and fully explain the reason for non-appearance. You shall also promptly notify your attorney of any such problem(s).
5. Unexcused failure to appear in Court may result in you being tried in your absence, and additional criminal charges for Failure to Appear in Court.

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)
Plaintiff,)
)
)
v.)
)
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)
Defendant.)

Case No: CR19000009-00

AMENDED BOND ORDER

CAME THIS DAY the Defendant, Brian David Hill, by his counsel, and also the Commonwealth, by counsel; and upon agreement of counsel, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Defendant's Order for Bond entered on June 4, 2019, in this Court shall be amended as follows:

1. Defendant shall be allowed to leave the Commonwealth of Virginia for the purpose of attending Court in North Carolina and for meeting with his counsel for that matter, provided that he has documentation from that attorney, Renorda Pryor, Esquire, that verifies such meeting.


It is further ORDERED that the remaining provisions of the Order for Bond entered on June 4, 2019, shall remain in full force and effect.

ENTERED this 11th day of September, 2019.



Judge


I ASK FOR THIS:



Matthew S. T. Clark, Esq., P.C. (VSB #46753)
711-B Starling Avenue

P.O. Box 903
Martinsville, VA 24114
Phone: (276) 634-4000
Facsimile: (276) 634-4004
matthewstclarklaw@gmail.com

SEEN AND NOT OBJECTED:



G. Andrew Hall, Esquire
Commonwealth's Attorney

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
)	
v.)	
)	
Brian David Hill,)	Motion to Dismiss
)	
Defendant,)	

MOTION TO DISMISS

COMES NOW criminal Defendant Brian David Hill (“Brian”, “Hill”) respectfully requests that the indictment for violation of Virginia Code (1) § 18.2-387. Indecent exposure; be summarily dismissed for lack of evidence of obscenity as required by statute, according to persuasive authorities as stated herein. Also Hill would like to request dismissal based upon the additional ground that Hill will not receive a fair and impartial jury trial as guaranteed by the U.S. Constitution or that in alternate the Commonwealth Attorney should be barred from bringing up Brian’s federal conviction when Brian testifies as a witness as it would create prejudice.

This court should also examine whether the Commonwealth Attorney (“Commonwealth”) for the Commonwealth of Virginia had violated state bar Rule 3.8, *“Additional Responsibilities Of A Prosecutor”*.

The persuasive case law authorities are cited herein:

1. Kenneth Wayne Romick v. Commonwealth of Virginia, Record No. 1580-12-4, Argued at Alexandria, Virginia



2. A. M. Commonwealth of Virginia, Record No. 1150-12-4, Argued at Alexandria, Virginia
3. Kenneth Samuel MOSES v. COMMONWEALTH of Virginia, Record No. 0985-03-3, Court of Appeals of Virginia, Richmond.
4. Kimberly F. Neice v. Commonwealth of Virginia, 1477093 (Va. Ct. App. 2010), Record No. 1477-09-3, CIRCUIT COURT OF GILES COUNTY.
5. Price v. Commonwealth, 214 Va. 490, 493, 201 S.E.2d 798, 800 (1974)
6. Hart v. Commonwealth, 18 Va. App. 77, 79, 441 S.E.2d 706, 707 (1994)

Analysis:

From A. M. Commonwealth of Virginia:

“Code § 18.2-387, the statute under which appellant was convicted, states:”

“Every person who intentionally makes an obscene display or exposure of his person, or the private parts thereof, in any public place, or in any place where others are present, or procures another to so expose himself, shall be guilty of a Class 1 misdemeanor. No person shall be deemed to be in violation of this section for breastfeeding a child in any public place or any place where others are present.”

“(Emphasis added).”

“While “private parts” can include the buttocks, Hart v. Commonwealth, 18 Va. App. 77, 79, 441 S.E.2d 706, 707 (1994), Code § 18.2-387 does not criminalize mere exposure of a naked body, see Price v. Commonwealth, 214 Va. 490, 493, 201 S.E.2d 798, 800 (1974) (“A portrayal of nudity is not, as a matter of law, a sufficient basis for finding that [it] is obscene.”). Instead, a conviction under Code § 18.2-387 requires proof beyond a reasonable doubt of obscenity.”



“Code § 18.2-372 defines the word “obscene” accordingly:”

“The word “obscene” where it appears in this article shall mean that which, considered as a whole, has as its dominant theme or purpose an appeal to the prurient interest in sex, that is, a shameful or morbid interest in nudity, sexual conduct, sexual excitement, excretory functions or products thereof or sadomasochistic abuse, and which goes substantially beyond customary limits of candor in description or representation of such matters and which, taken as a whole, does not have serious literary, artistic, political or scientific value.”

“(Emphasis added).”

“The “obscenity” element of Code § 18.2-387 may be satisfied when: (1) the accused admits to possessing such intent, Moses v. Commonwealth, 45 Va. App. 357, 359-60, 611 S.E.2d 607, 608 (2005) (en banc); (2) the defendant is visibly aroused, Morales v. Commonwealth, 31 Va. App. 541, 543, 525 S.E.2d 23, 24 (2000); (3) the defendant engages in masturbatory behavior, Copeland v. Commonwealth, 31 Va. App. 512, 515, 525 S.E.2d 9, 10-11 (2000); or (4) in other circumstances when the totality of the circumstances supports an inference that the accused had as his dominant purpose a prurient interest in sex.”

From Kenneth Wayne Romick v. Commonwealth of Virginia:

“Kenneth Wayne Romick was convicted of indecent exposure, third offense, in violation of Code §§ 18.2-387 and 18.2-67.5:1, and he argues the evidence was insufficient to prove that he intentionally made a display of his private parts and that such display was obscene. We agree that such display was not obscene and reverse and dismiss the indictment.”

“The mere exposure of a naked body is not obscene. See Price v. Commonwealth, 214 Va. 490, 493, 201 S.E.2d 798, 800 (1974) (finding that “[a] portrayal of



nudity is not, as a matter of law, a sufficient basis for finding that [it] is obscene”).”

The facts that were established are as follows:

According to the evidence submitted by the United States Attorney office for the Middle District of North Carolina, concerning the very state charge of indecent exposure for the supervised release violation, officer Robert Jones (the one who charged Hill with indecent exposure) had admitted under oath (penalty of perjury) that Brian had not been obscene. He also had admitted on the stand at the General District Court of Martinsville on December 21, 2018, that he did not see any arousal and not seen any masturbation. Brian had filed true and correct photocopies of federal court declarations/affidavits where Brian had admitted multiple times under oath in writing that he “never masturbated”. See Seq. #4, MOTION to admit evidence, filed 01/23/2019, see Seq. #7 MOTION to file evidence before trial, filed 04/08/2019.

“...I was threatened to get naked, I never masturbated, it was a crazy incident.”

“Whoever threatened me needs to be charged and arrested...” (ECF No. 153, Pg. 9, U.S. District Court, Middle District of North Carolina, case no. 1:13-cr-435)

“Attorney said that I can bring up about the guy wearing the hoodie. Said that under the law, I would have to have masturbated or be aroused in public to have committed indecent exposure. After he heard my story about the guy in the hoodie, he said taking pictures of myself is not illegal. So he argued that I am technically innocent.” (ECF No. 163, Pg. 5, U.S. District Court, Middle District of North Carolina, case no. 1:13-cr-435)



"I never masturbated, I told the police the truth. When I was seen by a passing vehicle, I never masturbated." (ECF No, 163, Pg. 4, U.S. District Court, Middle District of North Carolina, case no. 1:13-cr-435)

Same statements in Amended declaration under Document #164 of Brian's federal case.

Multiple affidavits, same statements that Brian had never masturbated in public.

There is no evidence at all of obscenity, no evidence of sexual behavior.

The only inferences of attempting to establish any element of obscenity was that one attorney gave Brian his opinion that Brian was reportedly smiling in some photographs. Brian has a mental and neurological condition known as Autism Spectrum Disorder. Mental examinations should not only be limited to just competency and sanity. Brian has Autism Spectrum Disorder ("Autism"), and that disorder can affect and impair communications. Some days it is more difficult for another person to tell that Brian even has Autism, and other days it is easier to tell.

See Document #206 (U.S. District Court, Middle District of North Carolina, case no. 1:13-cr-435), MOTION entitled "Petitioner's Second Motion for Sanctions and to Vacate Judgment that was in Plaintiff's/Respondent's Favor; Motion and Brief/Memorandum of Law in support of Requesting the Honorable Court in this case Vacate Fraudulent begotten Judgment or Judgments" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Response to Motion due by 11/5/2019. (Attachments: # (1) Exhibit 1, # (2) Exhibit 2, # (3) Supplement 1, # (4) Supplement 2, # (5) Supplement 3, # (6) Supplement 4, # (7) Envelope - Front and Back) (Garland, Leah), filed Oct 15, 2019.



The U.S. Attorney Office had also acquired information from Officer Robert Jones of Martinsville Police Department and had filed evidence on September 12, 2019, at the supervised release violation hearing in Winston-Salem, NC.

Under Exhibit 2 — Document #206, Attachment #2, one of the Government's exhibits, Brian had taken photos between 12:29AM to 1:01AM.

Brian also has Obsessive Compulsive Disorder ("OCD") which can cause him to have excessive compliance when directed to do something. That would explain why Brian had taken the amount of photos that he had done. Excessive behavior was caused by his OCD. Any medical doctor or psychologist that the Court would want to ask that is any expert in Autism would attest that Brian can smile in photos in a bad situation, that when Brian had been taught by his family to smile in front of the camera he can literally just smile in photos even where others aren't smiling, and can exhibit an inappropriate gesture or behavior that can misconstrued. It is important for this Court to take notice that Brian's Autism and OCD would explain both his excessive photo taking and Brian's smiling in some photographs. Autism can cause somebody to smile under circumstances where normal people would not smile, and police can mistake that gesture as a suspicious gesture. The photos were also not taken around the time and location where 911 was called, presumably by cell phone. Nobody called 911 when the photos were taken, but that somebody had called 911 around the road of "Hooker Street" where the Dick and Willie passage hiking trail was. At that area there was no place for Brian to hide himself and would have been seen regardless once a car had decided at night to have driven down the road of Hooker Street. That was also from the U.S. Attorney's reported evidence of where 911 was called on Brian. In fact the 911 caller had never elected to testify against Brian at the General District Court and neither at the Federal Court hearing on September 12, 2019.



Still Brian had not been aroused, Brian had not had a prurient interest in sex. Brian has Autism and OCD. Brian had admitted multiple times under oath in federal court documents in 2018 that he had never masturbated. Even the police admitted that Brian had carried things in his hands during the Supervised Release Violation hearing over this very charge. So Brian wasn't aroused and didn't appear to show any sexual arousal. The photographs were taken around the area of Greene Co. Inc., factory building around nighttime when nobody was working at that building, and 911 was reportedly called around Hooker Street which was miles away from the Greene Co. Inc., factory building and the area where Brian allegedly took the photos. Brian was never seen by a person when the photos were taken, a majority of the photos taken on or around private property and nobody was present in those areas to have witnessed Brian. The only time Brian was reported to the police, was at the Dick and Willie hiking trail around Hooker Street, where the Southern Finishing factory was. The photos were taken until 1:01AM and then around sometime around 3:00AM approximately, 911 was called on Brian. The person who called 911 may have called because they were concerned that a nude male was walking out at night and likely had thought that the person was drunk, an elderly person wandering away from a rest home or care home, or that it was a mentally ill or mentally deficient person, or person with mental retardation.

The evidence is insufficient to establish obscenity as required by the statute and the persuasive case law. That law was not meant to criminalize mere exposure of a naked body because it could then criminalize Alzheimer's patients and elderly patients with dementia because they had wandered around without their clothes on. It would wrongfully criminalize those with mental retardation and mental disorders instead of those with sexual intent aka a prurient interest in sex. The indecent exposure statute was never meant to criminalize those who were subject to carbon



monoxide gas in their homes, and had exhibited psychosis and possibly hallucinations.

There is insufficient evidence to establish any obscenity. There is insufficient evidence to establish that Brian had any intent to violate “§ 18.2-387. Indecent exposure.” Brian has brittle type 1 diabetes. When the police had found Brian, there was no blood glucose monitor, no insulin shots or pens, no glucose tablets, and no cell phones. Brian had no means to contact emergency services, he could have fallen on the Dick and Willie hiking trail had his blood sugar had been low and he would have died on the trail. There is clearly lack of sufficient evidence of intent for indecent exposure. Scott Albrecht (“Scott”) was right last year in the General District Court, Brian was innocent as he wasn’t obscene. Scott just didn’t present his case that good and didn’t show any case law that is shown by Brian. Scott didn’t think to present the case law but did ask Officer Jones if Brian had been aroused and had masturbated and the officer did not think Brian was aroused and did not see masturbation which goes along with Brian’s federal affidavits (Declarations) that he had “never masturbated”.

One more piece of evidence the Circuit Court should review was filed on federal court record, a letter and attached evidence exhibits that was mailed to the Police Chief of Martinsville, G.E. Cassady. That envelope was signed for by the Police Chief on August 7, 2019. See USPS tracking numbers 7017-2680-0000-5750-9122, 9590-9402-3527-7275-7497-41. Brian has proof of the signed return receipt and is ready to show the Court this proof upon request.

See Document #181 (*U.S. District Court, Middle District of North Carolina, case no. 1:13-cr-435*), “DECLARATION entitled "Evidence Declaration of Brian David Hill Regarding Carbon Monoxide and Letgter to Martinsville Police Chief in Opposition to Government's/Respondent's Documents #[156], #[157], #[158],



#[159], and #[160]" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # (1) Exhibit 0, # (2) Exhibit 1, # (3) Exhibit 2, # (4) Exhibit 3, # (5) Exhibit 4, # (6) Exhibit 5, # (7) Exhibit 6, # (8) Exhibit 7, # (9) Exhibit 8, # (10) Exhibit 9, # (11) Exhibit 10, # (12) Envelope - Front and Back) (Garland, Leah)".

THE FACTS OF HIS LEGAL INNOCENCE to Warrant dismissal or summary judgment are as follows:

1. Brian David Hill never masturbated, never was visibly aroused at night on September 21, 2018, when he was out on the Dick and Willie hiking trail. Brian had no interest in the prurient interest in sex. Brian had not engaged in any sexual behavior.
2. Brian David Hill never took the photos in the area where 911 was called. 911 was called around Hooker Street which goes along part of the Dick and Willie passage hiking trail. He took the photos in just one area and nobody had seen him there to complain about it, and that was around the Greene Co. Inc. factory or warehouse building. The photos do not demonstrate evidence of obscenity. There was no obscenity at the time 911 was called on Brian around Hooker Street. The only reason the officers were made aware of the camera was when the backpack was searched and Brian had voluntarily given the officer Robert Jones permission to look at the camera, while Brian was at the hospital.
3. Code § 18.2-387 does not criminalize mere exposure of a naked body, see Price v. Commonwealth, 214 Va. 490, 493, 201 S.E.2d 798, 800 (1974).
4. Brian David Hill had mailed evidence to the Martinsville Police Chief containing (1) evidence of carbon monoxide gas exposure and possible poisoning, and (2) evidence of a threatening greeting card and other anonymous greeting card. One greeting card warning Brian's mother months



prior to the charge, that a “controlled action” would be commenced against Brian’s mother if she didn’t reportedly “cease this fiction”. Another greeting card mentioned “Snow White” and “Jay”. It should also be noted that “Snow White” is called a supercomputer of the U.S. Central Intelligence Agency (“CIA”), just thought it should be noted. Also John Jay was one of the founders of American Intelligence, also referenced by the U.S. CIA. Of course the threatening greeting card and carbon monoxide was ignored by Martinsville Police and ignored by the Commonwealth’s Attorney.

5. Brian’s Autism and OCD were ignored by the Commonwealth Attorney, in regards to his innocence.
6. Brian did not have access to his medicines that he would normally carry with him. That includes his NovoLog insulin pen (short acting) and Basaglar (Lantus, long acting insulin) glargine insulin pen or vial. He didn’t have any glucose tablets with him. It appears that Brian must have not done his nighttime long acting insulin otherwise Brian would have died and had fallen on the trail, have a diabetic seizure, and may have died or his body would have been eaten by animals and maybe never would have been found. That clearly shows that Brian didn’t plan any of it out, and so Brian had no intent to indecently expose. The area where 911 was called around Hooker Street, had nowhere for Brian to hide and conceal himself from a person in a passing vehicle. He was a sitting duck and further shows that Brian had no intent to indecently expose himself.
7. Brian had been exposed to carbon monoxide gas in his home as reported by chimney expert Pete Compton. So Brian being exposed to gas, would affect his mental state and would worsen his Autism, possibly causing controllable behaviors of his Autism to no longer be controllable such as wandering



around and being told by another person to get naked or something bad would happen to his mother.

8. Brian had reportedly met the guy in the hoodie around est. time-frame of 11:00PM to 12:00AM at night. Law enforcement was called around sometime at 3:00AM, still at night. That had given the “guy in the hoodie” enough time to escape after threatening to kill Brian’s mother. Just because the police were unable to find a guy wearing a hoodie, doesn’t mean that there was no guy who had worn a hoodie and had decided to be a bully to Brian by using his Autism to get him to take his clothes off by peer-pressure. Brian again, had been in a home with chimney flues blocked with metal tin last year, and would cause Brian to be subject to carbon monoxide gas which evidently would poison him enough to create a mental impairment or diminished capacity. Also establishes that Brian had no intent to indecently expose. Yes, Brian doesn’t have the levels of carbon monoxide, but Brian couldn’t have been expected to produce such levels when the blood-work obtained from Brian’s arm at Sovah Hospital had been destroyed after the laboratory tests were ordered but then later to be deleted from his chart, and the Martinsville Police failed or refused to retain the blood vials as evidence to test for any drugs/narcotics, and gases in the blood. The burden of proof of the “levels” should not be placed on Brian when Brian was taken directly to Jail with some of the worst medical care and they would not have tested Brian for carboxyhemoglobin. The Jail would not have done any lab tests of Brian.

There is lack of evidence of guilt, and thus Brian David Hill is legally innocent of § 18.2-387. Indecent exposure. It is a waste of time and tax payers money for a jury trial and the jury trial will be prejudiced and Brian will explain herein as to



why the Commonwealth Attorney had pushed for a jury trial (See Seq. #19, "CA OF REQ JURY-SET 8/31@9A") while Brian had signed a waiver of jury trial but instead had wanted a bench trial. The Commonwealth Attorney had intended to deprive Brian of his ability to show or prove legal innocence to his charge by requesting the jury trial. The Commonwealth Attorney intends to deter and prevent Brian from testifying on the stand for this jury trial by asking Brian about his [wrongful] federal conviction in 2014, to purposefully prejudiced the jury and prevent them from being a rational trier of fact for the state charge as the federal conviction/charge has no relevance to the facts of what had happened on September 21, 2018.

So for Brian being legally innocent of indecent exposure, Brian requests dismissal of the indictment and dismissal of this case once and for all. There is no evidence of obscenity, and any inferences that could have established any elements of obscenity with Brian smiling in some photos when that is part of his Autism which is a communication disability/disorder clearly show that his Autism and OCD would negate those facts as any intent. For example: In fact Brian was in a photograph of white water rafting where everyone in the raft was focused on rafting and not smiling but Brian had looked directly at the camera and smiled for the picture in a situation where nobody else was smiling. So Autism causes somebody to give an incorrect body gesture or facial expression and can give the wrong gesture at the wrong time. An expert in Autism can prove this to be true.

Now as to why the jury trial will be prejudiced and biased, that was already brought up in Brian's Seq. #26, "MOTION TO SUPRESS EVIDENCE", filed 07/26/2019.

Brian's federal conviction has no relevance to the facts on September 21, 2018, in regards to Brian's indecent exposure. Brian had consulted with different private



attorneys of course had consulted with his past court appointed lawyers and they exhibited the same concerns that Brian should not continue with jury trial because “...*If you testify, the Commonwealth will bring up your federal conviction*”. That deprives Brian of his right to testify and explain why he was naked on the Dick and Willie passage at night. He won’t be able to bring up about his Autism or the Carbon Monoxide gas he had been exposed to and the medical records cumulative evidence that the Martinsville Police had ignored when Brian had mailed them attempting to show proof to the Martinsville Police as to why he was naked.

The prejudice is that when Brian starts testifying which may create an impact in his case in showing evidence that Brian was already not obscene and had no intent to indecently expose himself, then the Commonwealth Attorney could ask Brian what his federal conviction was and if Brian said “*Possession of child pornography, but I have filed motions to vacate the judgements for fraud upon the court and plan on filing a motion to vacate the conviction based on fraud upon the court, I had already filed a 2255 Motion for federal Writ of Habeas Corpus on the ground of actual innocence that cannot be subject to a strict time bar*”. It would still prejudice the jury when they hear the words “child porn” and they would forget about Brian’s legal innocence in his state case. They would refuse to hear about any facts that Brian wasn’t obscene. They would automatically think that Brian had perverted intent when he was out on the hiking trail at night, even though there are no kids on the trail at night, and there are no playgrounds on that trail. People would jump to conclusions and no jury trial would be fair and impartial under those circumstances as was already brought up in Brian’s pro se motion to suppress evidence.

Because the case is involving legal issues of Brian’s legal innocence to indecent exposure, and legal issues of Brian attempting to overturn his wrongful federal



conviction of “child porn” on the grounds of actual innocence and fraud upon the court. In fact as of this pleading, Brian had filed an amendment to his 2255 Motion (federal Writ of Habeas Corpus) for an additional ground of fraud upon the court by the U.S. Attorney Office. Because the legal issues are uncertain and the issue of frauds upon the court are being raised since last year in the federal filings, Brian’s conviction of “child porn” should not be brought up at all at any trial by jury for this charge otherwise it would taint the jury with automatic prejudice and irrelevant facts, and would cause a jury to more likely than not refuse to be a reasonable and rational trier of fact but instead believe that Brian was a pervert due to his 2013 federal charge and would taint the jury’s viewpoint to not look at the facts of this state case. Brian would not have a fair trial under this alone, and his legal innocence to his state charge would not be recognized by the jury due to hearing any of his [wrongful] federal conviction back in 2014.

VIRGINIA RULES OF EVIDENCE, ARTICLE IV. RELEVANCY, POLICY, AND CHARACTER TRAIT PROOF, Rule 2:403: EXCLUSION OF RELEVANT EVIDENCE ON GROUNDS OF PREJUDICE, CONFUSION, MISLEADING THE JURY, OR NEEDLESS PRESENTATION OF CUMULATIVE EVIDENCE

“Relevant evidence may be excluded if: (a) the probative value of the evidence is substantially outweighed by (i) the danger of unfair prejudice, or (ii) its likelihood of confusing or misleading the trier of fact; or (b) the evidence is needlessly cumulative.”

The facts should stay with whether Brian David Hill had clearly been obscene (*had an interest in the prurient interest in sex*) and had any intent to indecently expose himself by being naked at night. His federal conviction is under collateral attack for his actual innocence and fraud upon the court, but regardless of those facts the jury would still immediately jump to conclusions and immediately want to find



defendant guilty without accepting any evidence or testimony. The risk of prejudice is too high for the federal conviction to ever be admitted at the jury trial. It would make it more likely than not that the jury trial will not be fair and impartial and would use facts or fraudulent conclusions from another case in another state with no relevance to the pending state charge to contaminate this state case and cause the guilt to be found by a trier of fact on the basis of contamination from another criminal case in federal court. Any information of Brian's "conviction" of "child porn" when it is already being attacked for fraud upon the court and actual innocence, is prejudicial and will not aid in establishing the facts as to what had happened on September 21, 2018. No children was involved at all in what had happened. It was clearly an adult who had called 911 and was driving the vehicle that had spotted Brian on "Hooker Street". The federal conviction should not be brought up and the Commonwealth should be barred from compelling Brian to tell the jury his federal conviction as Brian will clearly plead his Fifth Amendment right to remain silent to that question if asked so that the jury is not unconstitutionally prejudiced off the bat with irrelevant evidence as his federal conviction has no relevance to whether Brian was being obscene when naked, as to Brian's intent on indecent exposure, any facts of Carbon Monoxide, and as the facts that can be established clearly of what had happened on September 21, 2018. The U.S. Constitution guarantees an "impartial" and "fair" trial for criminal defendants.

Brian clearly will not receive a fair and impartial trial by jury with his federal conviction and the fact that it is being challenged on the merits of actual innocence and fraud upon the court. Brian has already established his legal innocence, and that there is no evidence of obscenity, and no intent to engage in indecent exposure as required by the statute.



Brian clearly requests dismissal of the indictment/charge or summary judgment and dismissal of this case on the grounds of (1) insufficient evidence to establish guilt, (2) case law authorities in his favor, (3) legal innocence, and (4) the Commonwealth Attorney plans on causing prejudice on the jury in regards to the defense if Defendant testifies which creates a hole in him being able to have a defense because the Commonwealth may force him to tell the jury what his wrongful federal conviction was back in 2014.

Brian respectfully requests dismissal of this case.

WHEREFORE, the undersigned defendant prays that he has shown good cause and prays as follows:

1. That the Court find good cause has been shown to dismiss the criminal indictment and case for lack of evidence;
2. That the Court find good cause that Brian David Hill has shown legal innocence to his charge of indecent exposure;
3. That in the event that the Court cannot find any good cause to dismiss the case, in alternative that the Court enter an order of prohibition, to prevent the Commonwealth Attorney from bringing up any questions or comments at the jury trial about Brian's past federal conviction which such conviction would prevent Brian from testifying fairly and impartially and would automatically prejudice the jury;
4. That the Court enter an order for any other relief deemed as necessary and proper.

Also I would like to bring up a potential question of what could be a fraud upon the court.



Martinsville Police Officer Sgt. Robert Jones who had charged Brian with indecent exposure, had told Brian's mental evaluator last year that Brian was taking photos of himself throughout the town (or throughout the city). That isn't the truth according to the exhibit by the U.S. Attorney Office concerning the state indecent exposure charge.

Under Exhibit 2 — Document #206, Attachment #2, one of the Government's exhibits, Brian had taken photos between 12:29AM to 1:01AM. (U.S. District Court, Middle District of North Carolina, case no. 1:13-cr-435)

Another exhibit shows where 911 was called and that was on the road of Hooker Street. The photographs were taken around one area, and was not taken all over town or all throughout the town. That may be a fraud upon the court that may have been brought up in General District Court, and that was also injected into the mental examination that was ordered in General District Court.

Brian asks that the Court conduct a hearing on any fraud upon the court by the Martinsville Police Department and the Commonwealth Attorney. That is an inherit power/authority of the court to investigate any frauds upon the court.

See "*Chambers v. Nasco, INC, 501 US 32, 115 L. ED 2d 27, 111 S Ct 2123 (1991), Courts §18 "inherent or implied powers", as well as Courts §225.1; Equity §47 "power to vacate fraudulent judgment", "this Court has an inherent power to investigate a fraud upon the Court and to vacate an earlier judgment upon proof of such fraud."*

Hill respectfully files this Motion with this honorable Court, this the 2nd day of November, 2019.



Respectfully submitted,

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 1

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

This pleading had been transmitted by facsimile to the Office of the Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Clerk's office at the Martinsville Circuit Court on November 2, 2019, at the address of 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112 and at Fax: (276) 403-5232.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this the 2nd day of November, 2019, a true copy of the foregoing Motion/Pleading was transmitted by facsimile to the office of the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112, counsel for Plaintiff of the Commonwealth of Virginia, Fax: 276-403-5478.



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 1

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 11/04/2019 @09:02:25

TESTE: *R. Gammie*
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 11/11/2019

Time: 7:58:49 PM

Number of pages: 11

Session duration: 8:53

Attn.: Commonwealth Attorney

To: Commonwealth Attorney

Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478

Message type: Fax

Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\Fax & Voice 6\Out\Brian's motion to withdraw

Error Correction: Yes

File description: Brian's motion to withdraw appeal(4)Signed.pdf

Resolution: 200*200 dpi

Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478

Record number: 7994

Rate: 14400 bps

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 11/12/2019 009:12:29

TESTE: [Signature]
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,
Plaintiff,
v.
Brian David Hill,
Defendant,
Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
Motion to Withdraw Appeal

MOTION TO WITHDRAW APPEAL

COMES NOW criminal Defendant Brian David Hill ("Brian", "Hill") respectfully requests to withdraw the Appeal of the December 21, 2018, General District Court finding of guilty. However Brian does NOT waive his right to collaterally attack/challenge his conviction in General District Court and also does NOT waive his right to file a Writ of Actual Innocence.

The reason for withdrawing his appeal is because he is facing a fixed jury trial where the cards are stacked against him. It will not be a fair trial and his legal innocence will not matter as various private lawyers had explained to Brian when Brian's family asked for free consultation with multiple private lawyers, to see if any had opinions differing from the court appointed lawyers.

One lawyer told Brian that his federal conviction will be brought up if Brian testifies on the stand, when testifying is necessary to show a defense that Brian has Autism Spectrum Disorder which would explain why Brian smiles in photos, because his Autism affects his behaviors and can cause incorrect or abnormal behaviors such as smiling or giving the inappropriate facial expressions or gestures

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
)	
v.)	
)	
Brian David Hill,)	Motion to Withdraw Appeal
)	
Defendant,)	

MOTION TO WITHDRAW APPEAL

COMES NOW criminal Defendant Brian David Hill (“Brian”, “Hill”) respectfully requests to withdraw the Appeal of the December 21, 2018, General District Court finding of guilty. However Brian does NOT waive his right to collaterally attack/challenge his conviction in General District Court and also does NOT waive his right to file a Writ of Actual Innocence.

The reason for withdrawing his appeal is because he is facing a fixed jury trial where the cards are stacked against him. It will not be a fair trial and his legal innocence will not matter as various private lawyers had explained to Brian when Brian’s family asked for free consultation with multiple private lawyers, to see if any had opinions differing from the court appointed lawyers.

One lawyer told Brian that his federal conviction will be brought up if Brian testifies on the stand, when testifying is necessary to show a defense that Brian has Autism Spectrum Disorder which would explain why Brian smiles in photos, because his Autism affects his behaviors and can cause incorrect or abnormal behaviors such as smiling or giving the inappropriate facial expressions or gestures



during a situation of duress or in any other situation. Autism should not be legally treated as the same as normal behaviors because Autism behaviors differ from the normal set of expected human behaviors in a society. Such as after being threatened Brian didn't walk for miles to get to the Police Department but instead did exactly what the man in the hoodie had directed Brian to do or his mother will be killed, he presumed that if he didn't do what the man in the hoodie had said. Another lawyer said that his affidavits filed in federal court about his state case cannot be used. Another one said that Brian's allegation of fraud upon the court against the Commonwealth Attorney cannot be sustained because the evidence that may prove fraud by the Police Department cannot be used because of dual sovereignty where federal evidence concerning the same state charge of indecent exposure and is relevant to it cannot be brought up at the jury trial because of a claim of dual sovereignty, then hinting that Brian may face contempt of court for bringing the truthful allegations because of a technicality of dual sovereignty barring Brian from bringing up a map in the federal case showing where Brian allegedly took the pictures on September 21, 2018, which disproves Martinsville Police Department's fraudulent claim that Brian took photos all around the town, or all around the city when the evidence presented by the U.S. Attorney and witness Sergeant Robert Jones differs from his earlier claims to the psychologist which means fraudulent claims was told to both the psychologist of the mental evaluation at the General District Court level. Different lawyers gave slightly different opinions but all of them recommended that Brian withdraw his appeal because he would not win the jury trial even if he is legally innocent because the jurors would be biased and picked from the bible belt area and would automatically make their own personal determinations of Brian's guilt rather than the law's determination in the Appellate courts of record. They thought if Brian had faced a bench trial that he'd have a better chance at being found legally innocent of his



charge. Since there is no way possible for Brian to get a bench trial, the lawyers thinks Brian has a poor chance, which doesn't make any sense.

Brian was told he can't have this as evidence, he cannot have that as evidence. Brian doesn't seem to be getting anywhere and each lawyer just gives the same bad news, that because Brian was wrongfully convicted of possession of child pornography in a corrupt Federal Court in the Middle District of North Carolina that ignored evidence and wouldn't show Brian all of his discovery material before compelling him to falsely plead guilty, that his Writ of Habeas Corpus on the ground of actual innocence does not matter, and that his fraud upon the court allegations in his federal case that were unopposed also does not matter for his state case. All the jurors would hear is about Brian's "sex charge" when Brian testifies on the stand and he was told by one private lawyer that he cannot bring up to the jury that he is fighting his federal criminal conviction on the ground of actual innocence and fraud upon the court and both of them are not subject to statute of limitations, and that it can be used to discredit him as a witness because all felons are liars as one lawyer told Brian. It takes time for Brian to overturn his wrongful federal conviction but the state jury trial will not delay indefinitely for Brian to overturn his wrongful conviction in Federal Court so that then he can testify at the jury trial without facing that prejudice. Brian will not get a fair trial in state court until his federal conviction is overturned, or that President Donald John Trump grants Brian a pardon of innocence for his federal conviction. His federal conviction cannot establish any facts or relevance as to why Brian was naked (but not obscene) on September 21, 2018. However when the jurors hear the words "possession of child pornography", any Christian will instantly turn on Brian and want him dead or to suffer, and want his house burned down. Because of the stigmatization of his "federal sex charge" of "possession", his actual innocence



will not matter, even if Brian is later found actually innocent of his wrongful federal conviction, if they hear those words, their ears turn off, their brains turn off, and their eyes turn off and they will refuse to hear or see any evidence except that Brian will be guilty because they will all believe that he is guilty when they hear the words of his federal conviction if Brian were to testify on the stand. He doesn't stand a chance, even if he is legally innocent. His pro se motions do not work because they force all motions through his attorney who isn't fighting for him. Brian submitted interrogatories to the Commonwealth's Attorney to ask for answers but his own lawyer will not submit interrogatories asking the same questions that were asked in Federal Court by Attorney Renorda Pryor, which would allow answers under oath before the trial and would give Brian a good chance at trying for a motion to dismiss based on the fact that Brian wasn't being obscene and obscenity is required in order for Brian to be found guilty of V.A. Code § 18.2-387. "Indecent exposure." Brian is legally innocent but the Jury will not recognize it if they are mainly Christians from the Bible belt, which highly likely will be Christians from the Bible belt. It doesn't matter what motions his friend Eric Clark (a legal expert from Kansas) tells Brian to file because any pro se motions he files or any interrogatories that he faxes to the Commonwealth Attorney will also be ignored because they were done pro se. He has been deprived of effective counsel every step of the way in his case, he doesn't stand a chance at jury trial. His former lawyer Scott Albrecht had never asked for the police body-camera footage while it was retained by Martinsville Police Department last year (*Brian also filed a motion for discovery for that body-camera footage but that was also ignored because it was filed pro se*), and Matthew Clark tells Brian that his letters to the Police Department asking for the body camera footage to be turned over to his lawyer doesn't matter, even though the body-camera footage would also help to show evidence of duress to the jury and show his autistic behaviors which



would be evident. His court appointed lawyers and private lawyers told him and his family that none of that matters, his legal innocence doesn't matter, none of the evidence matters. It is all one sided, a one sided jury trial that Brian would be facing. The prosecutor can use his autistic behaviors against him to make him look guilty but he isn't allowed to have a medical expert to testify to Brian's autism in his defense because the only defenses that will be accepted is if Brian were criminally insane (ruled mentally insane) and is declared cocoo, and that he would not be allowed to bring up anything from his Autism in his defense. They all practically said that if it was a bench trial, he would have a better chance at being found legally innocent for not being obscene, but Brian has no chance at a jury because it will all be fixed from what all lawyers have told Brian. Brian could debate with them all day long about how they are wrong about this and how they are about that, but Brian can't chance the minds of lawyers that are fixed to a certain belief or a certain repetitious ways of doing things a certain way all of the time, and that nothing else matters from this narrow way of doing things. Brian could file a motion to proceed pro se and try to set a new precedent on the way the rules work and that lawyers could have done this for Brian and could have done that for Brian, but then his Autism will work against him and make it difficult for Brian to present a case on his own during the jury trial as Brian is not a lawyer and has no Bar license, he doesn't have memorized the trial rules and rules of the court which puts him at risk of not knowing what he is doing, and if he is at risk of having an autistic meltdown, that would be used against him and put him in a worse situation. It is all fixed and Brian has no chance under a fixed jury trial. Since Brian is legally innocent, he can always file a Writ of Actual Innocence at a later time or ask a higher court to overturn his state conviction in General District Court on the basis of legal innocence since there is no time limit on actual innocence claims according to the U.S. Supreme Court on rulings for Writ of



Habeas Corpus petitions that are time barred but not time barred for actual innocence.

For the foregoing explanations above, Brian does not stand a chance, and because of his Autism his ability to present the case on his own (pro se) without any legal trial experience is a very good chance of losing and that his legal innocence would not matter to Christian Bible belt jurors. It would not matter to Christian Bible belt jurors even though Adam and Eve were naked but not sexual, and Noah was naked in the tent and his sons tried to cover him up and Noah got angry but was not sexual, and another bible story where Peter was fishing naked but wasn't sexual. However regular Christians would portray Brian's non-sexual nudity and confusing autistic behavior as sexual from their own religious perspectives. They wouldn't know of Brian's carbon monoxide exposure, they wouldn't know of Brian having a documented medical history of Mild Autism and would only know that at sentencing like that would matter, and they wouldn't know of Brian proving his innocence of possession of child pornography and be forced to tell the jurors under oath what his federal conviction was for but then would not be allowed to explain to the jurors that he had filed a Writ of Habeas Corpus petition in November 2017 for his actual innocence and was also attacking his conviction for fraud upon the court committed by the federal prosecution in violation of N.C. State Bar Rule 3.8.

For the foregoing explanations above, Brian would stand a better chance at a bench trial for his legal innocence or would stand a good chance of getting his case dismissed on not being obscene but his pro se motions are ignored and his lawyer refuses or fails to even try filing a motion to dismiss based on Brian's legal innocence on the obscenity requirement under Virginia persuasive case laws. There is no way Brian can legally push for a bench trial because the Commonwealth



Attorney pushed for jury trial, knowing that Brian will not get a fair trial and that his federal conviction can be used against him and prejudice the jurors one way or another. Legal innocence does not matter in a jury trial when the jurors can be prejudiced by a wrongful federal conviction that was and is currently being challenged on actual innocence and frauds upon the court under case law of *Chambers v. Nasco, Inc.* and other case laws. Brian would have won had it been a bench trial. Because of that reason, Brian has no choice but to withdraw his appeal from the General District Court, and consider finding other means to challenge his state case by other legal means he could use. He may even have to consider filing a Writ of Habeas Corpus in federal court for his state conviction in General District Court. Brian is having to weigh his options to ensure that his legal innocence is recognized and won't be barred by discrimination and prejudice on its face.

Then another lawyer who said that Brian did have a chance at being found innocent of indecent exposure, turned his back on Brian and refused to take the case at all because he spoke with Lauren McGarry who made a big deal out of somebody else's investigative work and blog post that doesn't even have the information on that blog for months after Brian's family requested that it be removed from Laurie's blog post on JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com. So that one lawyer refused to take the case because Lauren McGarry complained to him (this private lawyer) about somebody else's blog post from June or July of 2019. So Brian cannot even get a good lawyer to fight for him. Brian is screwed over, every way ever since the jury trial was started by the Commonwealth Attorney. Brian has had to beg for a pardon in his federal case for his actual innocence but Trump is being attacked so much by lies and deceit, Donald John Trump doesn't even have the time to consider pardoning Brian David Hill of his wrongful federal conviction on the basis of recognizing his actual innocence. Brian isn't going to



find a good lawyer that his family can pay for cheaply just so Brian can be found legally innocent and defeat his Supervised Release Violation in federal court over his legal innocence in this state case. One turned his back on Brian because of what one lawyer or two lawyers from the Martinsville Public Defender office had told him. Brian is screwed over in many ways and will never get a fair trial in this state case, EVER. Brian is having to consider asking for a non-local Virginia attorney away from the Bible belt and away from the Public Defender office, but then the cost will be unaffordable for a third party aka Brian's family to even pay to aid in Brian's winning this state case.

Brian's only chance to preserve his legal innocence is to withdraw his appeal in the Circuit Court, and just find another way to get a fair bench hearing to be found legally innocent of his state charge.

Brian has given a good series of explanations in this motion as to why he is withdrawing his appeal. He has other routes to prove his legal innocence and overturn his conviction in the General District Court. Brian doesn't to have to deal with any drama coming from the Martinsville Public Defender office over what one of Brian's friends had posted at JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com back in June or July 2019, but then removed those from the blog posting out of concerns from Brian's family that it would put a target on all of our backs. At this point, there is just no way any fair trial can happen in the City of Martinsville. Brian is requesting appeal be withdrawn and accepts the conviction in the General District Court, and will find other legal ways to overturn his wrongful conviction on December 21, 2018, in the Martinsville General District Court.

Also an argument suggested by Brian's family:

According to our US Constitution, Sixth Amendment - Rights of Accused in Criminal Prosecutions a defendant has a right to a speedy and public trial,



by an **impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed**, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; **to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor**, and to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defense.

It is now 21 or 22 days until Brian's jury trial. His attorney has not asked Brian who he would want to have as witnesses to represent him, has not tried to get a professional witness who understands and can explain to the jury how his autism caused him to leave the house and caused other things that night, and it is getting too close to time to prepare or arrange for these witnesses to appear. All cards are stacked against Brian and work for the prosecution which would explain why he wanted a jury trial, and Brian's court appointed attorney wanted a bench trial.

Brian and his family have contacted several local attorneys and found out from all that we live in a Bible belt so most jurors in this area will be offended by these photos. Taking photos of oneself in the nude is not against the law. These were taken in the dark when no one else was around, and the camera was in Brian's backpack at the time of arrest. Now these personal photos will be shown to many people without explaining that Brian has autism which is brain damage and similar to dementia and was under the influence of undetected carbon monoxide gas in his home for almost a year which caused Brian's health to worsen and caused a lot of physical damage to the ceiling above & walls around his fireplace from the gas hot water heater and gas boiler/furnace. The vent was blocked in the chimney. If Brian testifies, the prosecution then can bring up about a former charge, but Brian can't bring up that this charge is based on fraud upon the court and is being contested in court. With Brian's autism, he will most likely have a melt-down. This court appointed attorney wants Brian to testify and did not tell us what other attorneys have told us about this. This attorney has not discussed if he has obtained permission for Brian to have autism and type I insulin brittle diabetes supports in the court which is a federal law according to the Americans with Disabilities Act as well as instructions on how to question someone with autism in the court. He has not told Brian or his family what type of measure will be taken when they



question someone with autism. Brian has now accepted the fact that he will lose and so it is time to withdraw his appeal.

Hill respectfully files this Motion with this honorable Court, this the 11th day of November, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
310 Forest Street, Apartment 1
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter
I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp
I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)
Make America Great Again
JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com
Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

This pleading had been transmitted by facsimile to the Office of the Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Clerk's office at the Martinsville Circuit Court on November 11, 2019, at the address of 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112 and at Fax: (276) 403-5232. It has also been transmitted by facsimile to the General District Court at the Fax: Fax: (276) 403-5114 since granting of this motion to withdraw appeal sends the case record back to the General District Court.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this the 11th day of November, 2019, a true copy of the foregoing Motion/Pleading was transmitted by facsimile to the office of the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville,



Virginia 24112, counsel for Plaintiff of the Commonwealth of Virginia, Fax: 276-403-5478. Transmission ticket attached.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 1

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

ORDER IN MISDEMEANOR OR TRAFFIC INFRACTION PROCEEDING

Case No. CR19000009-00

COMPLETE DATA BELOW IF KNOWN

MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT Circuit Court
MARTINSVILLE

Table with columns: RACE, SEX, BORN (MO, DAY, YR), HT. (FT, IN), WGT., EYES, HAIR. Values: W, M, 05, 26, 1990, 6, 00, 150, BL, BR.

v. BRIAN DAVID HILL
310 FOREST ST, APT 1

SEPTEMBER 21, 2018

NOVEMBER 15, 2019

OFFENSE DATE

TRIAL DATE

The Defendant was this day [] tried in absence [x] present

Attorney: CLARK, MATT [x] Appointed [] Retained

Original Charge: INDECENT EXPOSURE

Code Section: 18.2-387 [] State Code [x] Local Ordinance

Virginia Crime Code: OBS-3713-01 Offense Tracking Number: 690GM1800003560

Plea:

- [x] Not Guilty [] Consent by Defendant to Waiver of Jury
[] Guilty as Charged [] Concurrence of Court and Commonwealth's Attorney
[] Guilty to Amended Charge [] Plea voluntarily and intelligently entered after defendant was apprised of his right against compulsory self-incrimination, right to confront the witnesses against him, and right to a jury trial.
[] Nolo Contendere

Charge: INDECENT EXPOSURE

Code Cite: 18.2-387 Virginia Crime Code: OBS-3713-01

Finding:

- [] Not Guilty [] Guilty of
[] Guilty as Charged [] Plea Agreement Accepted [x] Appeal/Withdraw/Affirm [] Appeal not timely filed
[] Facts sufficient to find guilt but defer adjudication/disposition to

DATE AND TIME

Charge: INDECENT EXPOSURE

Code Cite: 18.2-387 Virginia Crime Code: OBS-3713-01

Order:

- [] Nolle Prosequi [] Dismissed [] Dismissed with Prejudice [] Continued to [x] COSTS imposed
[] FINE [] CIVIL PENALTY of \$ with \$ suspended
[x] JAIL SENTENCE of 30 DAYS imposed, [] of which days mandatory minimum, with suspended for a period of, conditioned upon being of good behavior, keeping the peace, obeying this order and for paying fines and costs.
Credit is allowed pursuant to § 53.1-187 for time spent in confinement.
[] Serve jail sentence beginning [] on weekends only
[] Work release [] authorized if eligible [] required [] Public work force [] authorized [] not authorized [] on PROBATION for [] VASAP [] local community-based probation agency
[] DRIVER'S LICENSE suspended for
[] Restricted Driver's License per attached order [] Ignition Interlock for
[] Attached ORDER FOR RESTITUTION incorporated.
[] COMMUNITY SERVICE hours to be completed by and supervised by [] to be credited against fines and costs
[] Contact prohibited between defendant and victim/victim's family or household members
[] Reimburse Commonwealth for investigatory medical fees [] Pay \$50 fee to the Court for Trauma Center Fund
[] Registration pursuant to Code § 9.1-903 for offenses defined in § 9.1-902 is required.
[] Remanded for [] CCRE Report []
[] Bail on Appeal \$
[x] Other: DEF CHANGED HIS PLEA TO GUILTY AND AFFIRMED JUDGE GDC, PAY COURT COSTS.

11/18/19 DATE

Judge signature and name

JUDGE

DISPOSITION NOTICE
Commonwealth of Virginia

DISPOSITION TO THE SHERIFF, JAIL OFFICER OR CORRECTIONAL OFFICER:
Confine the person named in this notice in your facility in accordance with the order(s) below.
HOWEVER, if the defendant appeals ANY of the charges below, **DO NOT RELEASE** him or her until ALL conditions of bail are met.

MARTINSVILLE

CITY OR COUNTY

General District Court Traffic Criminal Civil Division
 Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court Circuit Court

05/26/1990

DATE OF BIRTH

HILL, BRIAN DAVID

NAME OF DEFENDANT (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE) AND ALIAS, IF ANY

Male Female Adult Juvenile

DISPOSITION ADDENDUM listing additional cases is attached and incorporated.

1. Case No. CR19000009-00 Offense Date 09/21/2018 Original Charge INDECENT EXPOSURE

Not guilty Nolle prosequi Dismissed

Convicted of INDECENT EXPOSURE Felony Misdemeanor

State Code § Local Ordinance 18.2-387

VCC OBS-3713-O1 OTN 690GM1800003560

Appeal noted Certified to Grand Jury FINE/COST OTHER

Jail sentence of 30 DAYS imposed with suspended.

mos. 30 days hours to be served in jail of which days mandatory minimum confinement.

Committed to the Department of Corrections for

Committed to the Department of Juvenile Justice for

Extradition waived, and Form DC-375 WAIVER OF EXTRADITION attached. If not delivered to Demanding State, return to court on , at []

Credit is allowed pursuant to § 53.1-187 for time spent in confinement.

2. Case No. Offense Date Original Charge

Not guilty Nolle prosequi Dismissed

Convicted of Felony Misdemeanor

State Code § Local Ordinance

VCC OTN

Appeal noted Certified to Grand Jury FINE/COST OTHER

Jail sentence of imposed with suspended.

mos. days hours to be served in jail of which days mandatory minimum confinement.

Committed to the Department of Corrections for

Committed to the Department of Juvenile Justice for

Extradition waived, and Form DC-375 WAIVER OF EXTRADITION attached. If not delivered to Demanding State, return to court on , at []

Credit is allowed pursuant to § 53.1-187 for time spent in confinement.

Civil Contempt To be served in jail Released upon payment of \$ support arrearages

to: PAYEE

Restitution ordered: \$

Other

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: Weekend Delayed confinement to begin on DATE at TIME

Work release Work release (if eligible) Home-electronic incarceration Drug testing ordered

Public Workforce Authorized

BAIL AMOUNT: \$ If transferred or certified to Circuit Court If appealed

Secured Unsecured Recognizance Held without bail No change in existing bail amount

No change in existing bail conditions **Circuit Court date and time:**

ADDITIONAL BAIL CONDITIONS: Accused may may not depart the Commonwealth of Virginia.
 Future Support Bond \$ Support Arrearage Bond \$ ordered and must be posted with the Clerk within thirty (30) days of civil contempt finding/criminal contempt conviction. (Form DC-460)

10/15/2019

DATE

[] CLERK

[] JUDGE



VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
)	
v.)	
)	
Brian David Hill,)	Motion to Vacate Fraudulent
)	Begotten Judgment
Defendant,)	

MOTION TO VACATE FRAUDULENT BEGOTTEN JUDGMENT

COMES NOW criminal Defendant Brian David Hill (“Brian”, “Hill”) respectfully requests that then Honorable Court move to vacate the judgment of conviction entered on November 15, 2019 and December 21, 2018, for fraud upon the court, for this Court lacking jurisdiction to convict Brian. Jurisdiction must be proven by the Court for the state conviction to remain valid.

Not just fraud upon the court, but the fact that this Court lacked jurisdiction to convict Brian of indecent exposure. This Court lacked jurisdiction to put Brian in a position to withdrawing appeal after Brian had filed the pro se motion to dismiss based upon his legal innocence as a matter of law. Brian never signed any papers agreeing to automatically enter in a plea of guilty and was not advised by his lawyers that withdrawing the appeal would automatically enter in a plea of guilty. Brian’s lawyer did nothing to defend Brian, and that explains why Brian had filed one pro se motion after another in the Circuit Court record in this case. Deprived of equal access to the Court (equal protection under the laws), deprived of due process, deprived of effective counsel. This Court lacked jurisdiction to even accept withdrawing Brian’s appeal.



This is pursuant to the Court's inherent powers to vacate a void judgment or voidable judgment based upon the ground of fraud and due process deprivation.

See "*Chambers v. Nasco, INC*, 501 US 32, 115 L. ED 2d 27, 111 S Ct 2123 (1991), Courts §18 "inherent or implied powers", as well as Courts §225.1; Equity §47 "power to vacate fraudulent judgment", "this Court has an inherent power to investigate a fraud upon the Court and to vacate an earlier judgment upon proof of such fraud."

The fraud perpetuated by the Commonwealth of Virginia are as follows:

1. The Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew Hall, was served a copy of "FAX MOT TO DISMISS" Seq. # 39, filed: 11/04/2019, with six relevant "persuasive case law authorities" demonstrating that Brian David Hill cannot be guilty of indecent exposure unless there was any evidence of obscenity. There was no evidence of any masturbatory behavior. Brian filed evidence earlier on in the case with copies of Federal Declarations (See "MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE", Seq. # 4, filed 01/23/2019, and "MOTION TO FILE EVIDENCE BEFORE TRIAL", Seq. # 7, Filed 04/08/2019) stating that Brian David Hill admitted that he never masturbated when he was naked at night.
2. The Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew Hall, was served a copy of "PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS" and brief/exhibits, case no. CL19000331-00, filed November 18, 2019. Brian has evidence/proof of service by getting a signature of the clerk or secretary at the Commonwealth Attorney's office acknowledging receipt of the petition, brief / memorandum of law, and exhibits. Despite all of the evidence in favor of Brian's legal innocence to the charge of indecent exposure, the attorney did not comply



with V.A. State Bar Rule 3.8 by this attorney not allowing Brian the relief of being acquitted of his wrongful state conviction on November 15, 2019.

3. The Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew Hall, was served a copy of "FAX TO WITHDRAW APPEAL ", Seq. # 40, filed 11/12/2019, explaining why Brian was withdrawing his appeal due to unfair and prejudicial circumstances which would prevent him from being found legally innocent such as (1) his wrongful federal conviction which only bares prejudice and unfair bias towards any trier of fact in his state case and would contaminate any trier of fact to not try Brian David Hill based on the facts of the state case but based upon an old wrongful federal conviction in 2014; (2) Brian's attorney was ineffective to the extent where he didn't file a motion to dismiss, didn't file any motion to request that expert witnesses testify in his favor, or didn't file any pleadings in Brian's favor in regards to protecting his legal innocence but instead Matthew Clark and Lauren McGarry were pressuring Brian and his family to withdraw Brian's appeal. The Commonwealth Attorney knew that Brian was being deprived of effective assistance of counsel which is his Sixth Amendment right under the U.S. Constitution.
4. Brian was not notified by his attorney nor was he advised by his attorney that withdrawing his appeal would automatically enter a plea of guilty. He assumed that it would only reverse the appeal back to the General District Court finding of guilty on December 21, 2018. The guilty plea should not be valid and should be easy to attack on the ground of his legal innocence. Yet the Commonwealth Attorney cares so much about winning every one of his cases and not caring whether his opponents were actually innocent of their charges, he pushed for a jury trial to scare his court appointed lawyers into begging Brian to withdraw his appeal. Brian is not guilty and the



Commonwealth Attorney was made aware of it for months. Either by his pro se pleadings or by various faxes he had faxed the Commonwealth Attorney.

The Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew Hall is an officer of the court, and is subject to sanctions for fraud upon the court and being the cause of Brian David Hill being deprived of due process protections by having ineffective counsels. The fraud that he had perpetuated was forcing an legally innocent man to face a prejudicial jury trial and using his 2014 federal conviction against him to prevent Brian from testifying out of fear that the jury would automatically convict him when hearing the words "child pornography" but nothing about Brian's actual innocence in his 2255 motion (federal Writ of Habeas Corpus) and nothing about the frauds upon the court by Anand Prakash Ramaswamy of the United States Attorney Office for the Middle District of North Carolina. So first Brian already faces prejudice from his federal wrongful conviction back in 2014, and then on top of that he faced revocation of Supervised Release without any chance of overturning it completely if Brian is not found legally innocent of his state charge of indecent exposure. The Commonwealth Attorney knew that Brian has Autism, and that Brian's behavior of being naked at night was just simply that of being naked. No masturbatory behavior, no prurient interest in sex, and that Brian didn't even have his medicines with him in his backpack. The lie by Officer Sergeant Robert Jones (Martinsville Police) that Brian was running all over town or all around town taking pictures of himself. A map with the concurrence of witness Sergeant Robert Jones and the Assistant U.S. Attorney Anand Prakash Ramaswamy showed the locations of where the photographs were taken. It was not all around town, but only around one small area around both the Dick and Willie hiking trail and Greene Co. Inc. building. The time the photos were taken was a few hours before a passing vehicle had saw a naked man running and called 911



around Hooker Street, where the Southern Finishing factory and Dick and Willie passage hiking trail was, just like in Brian's federal affidavits. There was no mention of any obscenity, no mention of any masturbatory behavior. A man is running naked, and whoever had called 911 thought that he was likely in danger or was running from something. Robert Jones admitted in Federal Court transcript of the hearing dated September 12, 2019, that he saw two flashlights in both of Brian's hands. So there was no evidence of masturbatory behavior from the witness standpoint of Officer Jones. That's because Brian never masturbated at all.

The fraud upon the court is the fact that despite the case law and evidence being filed pro se by Brian, despite the evidence and arguments in his state Writ of Habeas Corpus case (CL19000331-00), despite Brian being misled and did not know that withdrawing the appeal would enter an automatic guilty plea when Brian never signed any plea agreements but just simply thought he was withdrawing the case out of Circuit Court and would revert back to the original decision in General District Court, The Commonwealth Attorney wanted to convict a legally innocent man with Autism and Obsessive Compulsive Disorder.

Brian plans on filing a grievance with the VA State Bar that Glen Andrew Hall violated Rule 3.8, and that he was unsympathetic towards Brian's legal innocence which is actual innocence, and done everything he could to push for Brian's conviction despite there being evidence filed pro se in favor of his legal innocence for there being no obscenity.

He is a licensed attorney and an officer of the court and his address is listed below:

Martinsville Commonwealth's Attorney Office
55 W Church St
PO Box 1311
Martinsville, VA 24114 (24112 for the Office, 24114 for the PO Box)



Again, Brian will serve this motion with both the Circuit Court Clerk and Commonwealth Attorney via fax and the case law is again cited for reference.

The persuasive case law authorities are cited herein:

1. Kenneth Wayne Romick v. Commonwealth of Virginia, Record No. 1580-12-4, Argued at Alexandria, Virginia
2. A. M. Commonwealth of Virginia, Record No. 1150-12-4, Argued at Alexandria, Virginia
3. Kenneth Samuel MOSES v. COMMONWEALTH of Virginia, Record No. 0985-03-3, Court of Appeals of Virginia, Richmond.
4. Kimberly F. Neice v. Commonwealth of Virginia, 1477093 (Va. Ct. App. 2010), Record No. 1477-09-3, CIRCUIT COURT OF GILES COUNTY.
5. Price v. Commonwealth, 214 Va. 490, 493, 201 S.E.2d 798, 800 (1974)
6. Hart v. Commonwealth, 18 Va. App. 77, 79, 441 S.E.2d 706, 707 (1994)

Analysis:

From A. M. Commonwealth of Virginia:

“Code § 18.2-387, the statute under which appellant was convicted, states:”

“Every person who intentionally makes an obscene display or exposure of his person, or the private parts thereof, in any public place, or in any place where others are present, or procures another to so expose himself, shall be guilty of a Class 1 misdemeanor. No person shall be deemed to be in violation of this section for breastfeeding a child in any public place or any place where others are present.”

“(Emphasis added).”



“While “private parts” can include the buttocks, Hart v. Commonwealth, 18 Va. App. 77, 79, 441 S.E.2d 706, 707 (1994), Code § 18.2-387 does not criminalize mere exposure of a naked body, see Price v. Commonwealth, 214 Va. 490, 493, 201 S.E.2d 798, 800 (1974) (“A portrayal of nudity is not, as a matter of law, a sufficient basis for finding that [it] is obscene.”). Instead, a conviction under Code § 18.2-387 requires proof beyond a reasonable doubt of obscenity.”

“Code § 18.2-372 defines the word “obscene” accordingly:”

“The word “obscene” where it appears in this article shall mean that which, considered as a whole, has as its dominant theme or purpose an appeal to the prurient interest in sex, that is, a shameful or morbid interest in nudity, sexual conduct, sexual excitement, excretory functions or products thereof or sadomasochistic abuse, and which goes substantially beyond customary limits of candor in description or representation of such matters and which, taken as a whole, does not have serious literary, artistic, political or scientific value.”

“(Emphasis added).”

“The “obscenity” element of Code § 18.2-387 may be satisfied when: (1) the accused admits to possessing such intent, Moses v. Commonwealth, 45 Va. App. 357, 359-60, 611 S.E.2d 607, 608 (2005) (en banc); (2) the defendant is visibly aroused, Morales v. Commonwealth, 31 Va. App. 541, 543, 525 S.E.2d 23, 24 (2000); (3) the defendant engages in masturbatory behavior, Copeland v. Commonwealth, 31 Va. App. 512, 515, 525 S.E.2d 9, 10-11 (2000); or (4) in other circumstances when the totality of the circumstances supports an inference that the accused had as his dominant purpose a prurient interest in sex.”

From Kenneth Wayne Romick v. Commonwealth of Virginia:



“Kenneth Wayne Romick was convicted of indecent exposure, third offense, in violation of Code §§ 18.2-387 and 18.2-67.5:1, and he argues the evidence was insufficient to prove that he intentionally made a display of his private parts and that such display was obscene. We agree that such display was not obscene and reverse and dismiss the indictment.”

“The mere exposure of a naked body is not obscene. See Price v. Commonwealth, 214 Va. 490, 493, 201 S.E.2d 798, 800 (1974) (finding that “[a] portrayal of nudity is not, as a matter of law, a sufficient basis for finding that [it] is obscene”).”

The case law is citing other older case law, which makes it well-founded and very persuasive. Brian David Hill was only naked but wasn't being obscene. Brian had no intent to indecently expose and was not obscene as was brought out under oath in his Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (case no. CL19000331-00) and attached brief and exhibits.

The Commonwealth Attorney knew that Brian not being found legally innocent will subject Brian to wrongful federal imprisonment by revocation of his Federal Supervised Release. His federal imprisonment could be vacated if Brian is found legally innocent of his state charge. The Commonwealth Attorney knew that Brian stood a better chance at winning in a bench trial instead of a jury trial because of there being absolutely no fact of obscenity, and Brian would win as a matter of law. Brian was naked at night when there is high likelihood that no children or adults were present (except for the man wearing the hoodie), and was only seen by a passing vehicle at Hooker Street in Martinsville, Virginia. If that vehicle had not saw him running naked, Brian likely never would have even been charged, and the pictures never would have been used against him and would have remained private or could have been destroyed instead of leaving the camera or SD card at the bench



after Southern Finishing Factory to defy the man in the hoodie. That is since the taking of nude photographs of adults is not illegal. The nude photographs were taken at night and 911 was not even called when the photos were taken so they may not even be relevant or the jury may not have needed to see them. Whatever the case may be, Brian was not obscene, and taking photographs of himself is not illegal. Brian didn't take any photos of himself around the time that 911 was called.

Brian's court appointed lawyers failed him all in favor of the Commonwealth Attorney. Brian filed a motion to dismiss by FAX rather than his court appointed lawyer, it was ignored by the court because Brian had ineffective counsel in violation of his Sixth Amendment right under the U.S. Constitution, his due process is already deprived, and the Commonwealth Attorney doesn't do anything in compliance with V.A. State Bar Rule 3.8, and doesn't move to protect Brian's legal innocence. The Court lacked jurisdiction to wrongfully convict Brian and then to dismiss his Writ of Habeas Corpus and not leave Brian with a vehicle to challenge his wrongful conviction on the ground of actual innocence which is miscarriage of justice by the Martinsville Circuit Court.

The lack of due process and the fraud upon the court of wrongfully convicting an innocent man and forcing him into a position with his ineffective lawyers to withdraw his appeal not knowing that it would automatically enter a plea of guilty, it makes the entire judgment null and void. It is subject to vacatur on the basis of fraud and due process deprivation. The Court has an inherit power to correct the frauds and entitle Brian to due process protections as a matter of Constitutional law.

A judgment rendered by a **court without personal jurisdiction** over the **defendant** is void. It is a nullity. [A judgment shown to be void for lack of



personal service on the defendant is a nullity.] *Sramek v. Sramek*, 17 Kan. App. 2d 573, 576-77, 840 P.2d 553 (1992), rev. denied 252 Kan. 1093 (1993).

“Court must **prove on the record**, all jurisdiction facts related to the jurisdiction asserted.” *Latana v. Hopper*, 102 F. 2d 188; *Chicago v. New York*, 37 F Supp. 150.

“The law provides that once State and Federal **Jurisdiction** has been challenged, it **must be proven**.” *Main v. Thiboutot*, 100 S. Ct. 2502 (1980).

“Jurisdiction can be challenged at any time.” and “**Jurisdiction**, once challenged, cannot be assumed and **must be decided**.” *Basso v. Utah Power & Light Co.*, 495 F 2d 906, 910.

“**Defense of lack of jurisdiction** over the subject matter **may be raised at any time**, even on appeal.” *Hill Top Developers v. Holiday Pines Service Corp.*, 478 So. 2d. 368 (Fla 2nd DCA 1985)

“Once challenged, **jurisdiction** cannot be assumed, it **must be proved** to exist.” *Stuck v. Medical Examiners*, 94 Ca 2d 751. 211 P2d 389.

“There is no discretion to ignore that lack of jurisdiction.” *Joyce v. US*, 474 F2d 215.

“The burden shifts to the **court to prove jurisdiction**.” *Rosemond v. Lambert*, 469 F2d 416.

“A universal principle as old as the law is that a proceedings of a **court without jurisdiction** are a **nullity** and its judgment therein without effect either on person or property.” *Norwood v. Renfield*, 34 C 329; *Ex parte Giambonini*, 49 P. 732.

“Jurisdiction is fundamental and a judgment rendered **by a court that does not have jurisdiction** to hear is **void ab initio**.” *In Re Application of Wyatt*, 300 P. 132; *Re Cavitt*, 118 P2d 846.



“Thus, where a judicial tribunal has **no jurisdiction** of the subject matter on which it assumes to act, its **proceedings** are absolutely **void** in the fullest sense of the term.” Dillon v. Dillon, 187 P 27.

“A **court has no jurisdiction to determine its own jurisdiction**, for a basic issue in any case before a tribunal is its power to act, and a court must have the authority to decide that question in the first instance.” Rescue Army v. Municipal Court of Los Angeles, 171 P2d 8; 331 US 549, 91 L. ed. 1666, 67 S.Ct. 1409.

“A departure by a court from those recognized and established requirements of law, however close apparent adherence to mere form in method of procedure, which has the effect of **depriving one of a constitutional right**, is an **excess of jurisdiction**.” Wuest v. Wuest, 127 P2d 934, 937.

“Where a court failed to observe safeguards, it amounts to **denial of due process of law**, court is deprived of juris.” Merritt v. Hunter, C.A. Kansas 170 F2d 739.

“The fact that the petitioner was released on a promise to appear before a magistrate for an arraignment, that fact is circumstance to be considered in determining whether in first instance there was a probable cause for the arrest.” Monroe v. Papa, DC, Ill. 1963, 221 F Supp 685.

Read US v. Lopez and Hagans v. Levine both void because of lack of jurisdiction. In Lopez the circuit court called it right, and in Hagans it had to go to the Supreme court before it was called right, in both cases, void.

Challenge jurisdiction and motion to dismiss, right off the bat. If you read the Supreme Court cases you will find that **jurisdiction can be challenged at any time** and in the case of Lopez it was a jury trial which was declared void for want of jurisdiction. If it [jurisdiction] doesn't exist, it can not justify conviction or judgment. ...without which power (jurisdiction) the state **CANNOT** be said to be



“sovereign.” At best, to proceed would be in “excess” of jurisdiction which is as well fatal to the State’s/ USA ‘s cause. *Broom v. Douglas*, 75 Ala 268, 57 So 860 the same being jurisdictional facts FATAL to the government’s cause (e.g. see *In re FNB*, 152 F 64).

A claim for relief from judgment on basis of “any other reason justifying relief from operation of the judgment” is cognizable where there is evidence of extraordinary circumstances or where there is evidence of extreme hardship or injustice, and, once extraordinary circumstances or hardship is found, this rule is to be liberally applied to accomplish justice. *U. S. v. McDonald*, N.D.Ill.1980, 86 F.R.D. 204.

If Brian David Hill is not found legally innocent of indecent exposure, it creates extreme hardship on Brian and forces him into Federal prison over the very state charge that he is legally innocent of but was deprived of effective counsel to show that proof de novo. It is an injustice, a miscarriage of justice. Brian is entitled to his legal innocence and withdrawal of his automatic guilty plea. Brian only agreed to withdraw his appeal in Circuit Court, not to enter a guilty plea. Brian was not advised that withdrawing appeal would enter a permanent guilty plea that cannot be challenged no matter what. That is in total violation of the Federal Supremacy Clause and in total violation of the United States Constitution.

Attorney’s motion for reconsideration on ground that court lacked jurisdiction to order him to pay court reporter could be entertained under rule governing relief from judgment and was not subject to time constraints of rule governing motion to amend judgment. *U.S. v. 789 Cases of Latex Surgeon Gloves*, C.A.1 (Puerto Rico) 1993, 13 F.3d 12



Void judgments are those rendered by a court which lacked jurisdiction, either of the subject matter or the parties, *Wahl v. Round Valley Bank* 38 Ariz. 411, 300 P. 955 (1931); *Tube City Mining & Milling Co. v. Otterson*, 16 Ariz. 305, 146 P. 203 (1914); and *Milliken v. Meyer*, 311 U.S. 457, 61 S.Ct. 339, 85 L.Ed. 2d 278 (1940).

A void judgment which includes judgment entered by a court which lacks jurisdiction over the parties or the subject matter, or lacks inherent power to enter the particular judgment, or an order procured by fraud, can be attacked at any time, in any court, either directly or collaterally, provided that the party is properly before the court, *Long v. Shorebank Development Corp.*, 182 F.3d 548 (C.A. 7 Ill. 1999).

A void judgment is one which from the beginning was complete nullity and without any legal effect, *Hobbs v. U.S. Office of Personnel Management*, 485 F.Supp. 456 (M.D. Fla. 1980). Void judgment is one that, from its inception, is complete nullity and without legal effect, *Holstein v. City of Chicago*, 803 F.Supp. 205, reconsideration denied 149 F.R.D. 147, affirmed 29 F.3d 1145 (N.D. Ill 1992).

Void judgment is one where court lacked personal or subject matter jurisdiction or entry of order violated due process, U.S.C.A. Const. Amend. 5 – *Triad Energy Corp. v. McNell* 110 F.R.D. 382 (S.D.N.Y. 1986).

Judgment is a void judgment if court that rendered judgment lacked jurisdiction of the subject matter, or of the parties, or acted in a manner inconsistent with due process, Fed. Rules Civ. Proc., *Rule 60(b)(4)*, 28 U.S.C.A.; U.S.C.A. Const. Amend. 5 – *Klugh v. U.S.*, 620 F.Supp. 892 (D.S.C. 1985).



A void judgment is one which, from its inception, was, was a complete nullity and without legal effect, *Rubin v. Johns*, 109 F.R.D. 174 (D. Virgin Islands 1985).

A void judgment is one which, from its inception, was a complete nullity and without legal effect, *Lubben v. Selevtive Service System Local Bd. No. 27*, 453 F.2d 645, 14 A.L.R. Fed. 298 (C.A. 1 Mass. 1972).

A void judgment is one which, from its inception, is and forever continues to be absolutely null, without legal efficacy, ineffectual to bind the parties or to support a right, of no legal force and effect whatever, and incapable of enforcement in any manner or to any degree – *Loyd v. Director, Dept. of Public Safety*, 480 So. 2d 577 (Ala. Civ. App. 1985).

A judgment shown by evidence to be invalid for want of jurisdiction is a void judgment or at all events has all attributes of a void judgment, *City of Los Angeles v. Morgan*, 234 P.2d 319 (Cal.App. 2 Dist. 1951). Void judgment which is subject to collateral attack, is simulated judgment devoid of any potency because of jurisdictional defects, *Ward v. Terriere*, 386 P.2d 352 (Colo. 1963).

A void judgment is a simulated judgment devoid of any potency because of jurisdictional defects only, in the court rendering it and defect of jurisdiction may relate to a party or parties, the subject matter, the cause of action, the question to be determined, or relief to be granted, *Davidson Chevrolet, Inc. v. City and County of Denver*, 330 P.2d 1116, certiorari denied 79 S.Ct. 609, 359 U.S. 926, 3 L.Ed. 2d 629 (Colo. 1958).

Void judgment is one entered by court without jurisdiction of parties or subject matter or that lacks inherent power to make or enter particular order involved and such a judgment may be attacked at any time, either directly or collaterally,



People v. Wade, 506 N.W.2d 954 (Ill. 1987). Void judgment may be defined as one in which rendering court lacked subject matter jurisdiction, lacked personal jurisdiction or acted in manner inconsistent with due process of law *Eckel v. MacNeal*, 628 N.E. 2d 741 (Ill. App. Dist. 1993).

Void judgment is one entered by court without jurisdiction of parties or subject matter or that lacks inherent power to make or enter particular order involved; such judgment may be attacked at any time, either directly or collaterally

People v. Sales, 551 N.E.2d 1359 (Ill.App. 2 Dist. 1990). Res judicata consequences will not be applied to a void judgment which is one which, from its inception, is a complete nullity and without legal effect, *Allcock v. Allcock* 437 N.E. 2d 392 (Ill. App. 3 Dist. 1982).

Void judgment is one which, from its inception is complete nullity and without legal effect *In re Marriage of Parks*, 630 N.E. 2d 509 (Ill.App. 5 Dist. 1994). Void judgment is one entered by court that lacks the inherent power to make or enter the particular order involved, and it may be attacked at any time, either directly or collaterally; such a judgment would be a nullity *People v. Rolland* 581 N.E.2d 907, (Ill.App. 4 Dist. 1991).

Void judgment under federal law is one in which rendering court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over dispute or jurisdiction over parties, or acted in manner inconsistent with due process of law or otherwise acted unconstitutionally in entering judgment, U.S.C.A. Const. Amed. 5, *Hays v. Louisiana Dock Co.*, 452 n.e.2D 1383 (Ill. App. 5 Dist. 1983).



A void judgment has no effect whatsoever and is incapable of confirmation or ratification, *Lucas v. Estate of Stavos*, 609 N. E. 2d 1114, rehearing denied, and transfer denied (Ind. App. 1 dist. 1993).

Void judgment is one that from its inception is a complete nullity and without legal effect *Stidham V. Whelchel*, 698 N.E.2d 1152 (Ind. 1998).

Relief from void judgment is available when trial court lacked either personal or subject matter jurisdiction, *Dusenberry v. Dusenberry*, 625 N.E. 2d 458 (Ind.App. 1 Dist. 1993).

Void judgment is one rendered by court which lacked personal or subject matter jurisdiction or acted in manner inconsistent with due process, U.S.C.A. Const. Amends. 5, 14 *Matter of Marriage of Hampshire*, 869 P.2d 58 (Kan. 1997).

Judgment is void if court that rendered it lacked personal or subject matter jurisdiction; void judgment is nullity and may be vacated at any time, *Matter of Marriage of Welliver*, 869 P.2d 653 (Kan. 1994).

A void judgment is one rendered by a court which lacked personal or subject matter jurisdiction or acted in a manner inconsistent with due process *In re Estate of Wells*, 983 P.2d 279, (Kan. App. 1999).

Void judgment is one rendered in absence of jurisdiction over subject matter or parties 310 N.W. 2d 502, (Minn. 1981). A void judgment is one rendered in absence of jurisdiction over subject matter or parties, *Lange v. Johnson*, 204 N.W.2d 205 (Minn. 1973).

A void judgment is one which has merely semblance, without some essential element, as when court purporting to render is has no jurisdiction, *Mills v. Richardson*, 81 S.E. 2d 409, (N.C. 1954).



A void judgment is one which has a mere semblance, but is lacking in some of the essential elements which would authorize the court to proceed to judgment, *Henderson v. Henderson*, 59 S.E. 2d 227, (N.C. 1950).

Void judgment is one entered by court without jurisdiction to enter such judgment, *State v. Blankenship* 675 N.E. 2d 1303, (Ohio App. 9 Dist. 1996).

Void judgment, such as may be vacated at any time is one whose invalidity appears on face of judgment roll, *Graff v. Kelly*, 814 P.2d 489 (Okl. 1991). A void judgment is one that is void on face of judgment roll, *Capital Federal Savings Bank v. Bewley*, 795 P.2d 1051 (Okl. 1990).

Where condition of bail bond was that defendant would appear at present term of court, judgment forfeiting bond for defendant's bail to appear at subsequent term was a void judgment within rule that *laches does not run against a void judgment* *Com. V. Miller*, 150 A.2d 585 (Pa. Super. 1959).

A void judgment is one which shows upon face of record a want of jurisdiction in court assuming to render the judgment, *Underwood v. Brown*, 244 S.W. 2d 168 (Tenn. 1951).

A Void judgment is one which shows upon face of record want of jurisdiction in court assuming to render judgment, and want of jurisdiction may be either of person, subject matter generally, particular question to be decided or relief assumed to be given, *State ex rel. Dawson v. Bomar*, 354 S.W. 2d 763, certiorari denied, (Tenn. 1962).

A void judgment is one in which the judgment is facially invalid because the court lacked jurisdiction or authority to render the judgment, *State v. Richie*, 20 S.W.3d 624 (Tenn. 2000).



A void judgment is one which shows on face of record the want of jurisdiction in court assuming to render judgment, which want of jurisdiction may be either of the person, or of the subject matter generally, or of the particular question attempted to decided or relief assumed to be given, *Richardson v. Mitchell*, 237 S.W. 2d 577, (Tenn.Ct. App. 1950).

Void judgment is one which has no legal force or effect whatever, it is an absolute nullity, its invalidity may be asserted by any person whose rights are affected at any time and at any place and it need not be attacked directly but may be attacked collaterally whenever and wherever it is interposed, *City of Lufkin v. McVicker*, 510 S.W. 2d 141 (Tex. Civ. App. – Beaumont 1973).

A void judgment, insofar as it purports to be pronouncement of court, is an absolute nullity, *Thompson v. Thompson*, 238 S.W.2d 218 (Tex.Civ.App. – Waco 1951).

A void judgment is one that has been procured by extrinsic or collateral fraud or entered by a court that did not have jurisdiction over the subject matter or the parties.” *Rook v. Rook*, 233 Va. 92, 95, 353 S.E.2d 756, 758 (1987)

A void judgment is a judgment, decree, or order entered by a court which lacks jurisdiction of the parties or of the subject matter, or which lacks the inherent power to make or enter the particular order involved, *State ex rel. Turner v. Briggs*, 971 P.2d 581 (Wash. App. Div. 1999).

A void judgment or order is one that is entered by a court lacking jurisdiction over the parties or the subject matter, or lacking the inherent power to enter the particular order or judgment, or where the order was procured by fraud, *In re Adoption of E.L.*, 733 N.E.2d 846, (Ill.App. 1 Dist. 2000). Void judgments are



those rendered by court which lacked jurisdiction, either of subject matter or parties, *Cockerham v. Zikratch*, 619 P.2d 739 (Ariz. 1980).

Void judgments generally fall into two classifications, that is, judgments where there is want of jurisdiction of person or subject matter, and judgments procured through fraud, and such judgments may be attacked directly or collaterally, *Irving v. Rodriguez*, 169 N.E.2d 145, (Ill.app. 2 Dist. 1960). Invalidity need to appear on face of judgment alone that judgment or order may be said to be intrinsically void or void on its face, if lack of jurisdiction appears from the record, *Crockett Oil Co. v. Effie*, 374 S.W.2d 154 (Mo.App. 1964).

Decision is void on the face of the judgment roll when from four corners of that roll, it may be determined that at least one of three elements of jurisdiction was absent: (1) jurisdiction over parties, (2) jurisdiction over subject matter, or (3) jurisdictional power to pronounce particular judgment hat was rendered, *B & C Investments, Inc. v. F & M Nat. Bank & Trust*, 903 P.2d 339 (Okla. App. Div. 3, 1995). Void order may be attacked, either directly or collaterally, at any time, *In re Estate of Steinfeld*, 630 N.E.2d 801, certiorari denied, See also *Steinfeld v. Hoddick*, 513 U.S. 809, (Ill. 1994).

Void order which is one entered by court which lacks jurisdiction over parties or subject matter, or lacks inherent power to enter judgment, or order procured by fraud, can be attacked at any time, in any court, either directly or collaterally, provided that party is properly before court, *People ex rel. Brzica v. Village of Lake Barrington*, 644 N.E.2d 66 (Ill.App. 2 Dist. 1994).

While voidable orders are readily appealable and must be attacked directly, void order may be circumvented by collateral attack or remedied by mandamus, *Sanchez v. Hester*, 911 S.W.2d 173, (Tex.App. – Corpus Christi



1995). Arizona courts give great weight to federal courts' interpretations of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure governing motion for relief from judgment in interpreting identical text of Arizona Rule of Civil Procedure, *Estate of Page v. Litzenburg*, 852 P.2d 128, review denied (Ariz.App. Div. 1, 1998).

When rule providing for relief from void judgments is applicable, relief is not discretionary matter, but is mandatory, Orner v. Shalala, 30 F.3d 1307, (Colo. 1994).

Judgments entered where court lacked either subject matter or personal jurisdiction, or that were otherwise entered in violation of due process of law, must be set aside, Jaffe and Asher v. Van Brunt, S.D.N.Y.1994. 158 F.R.D. 278.

A "void" judgment as we all know, grounds no rights, forms no defense to actions taken thereunder, and is vulnerable to any manner of collateral attack (thus here, by).

No statute of limitations or repose runs on its holdings, the matters thought to be settled thereby are not res judicata, and years later, when the memories may have grown dim and rights long been regarded as vested, any disgruntled litigant may reopen the old wound and once more probe its depths. And it is then as though trial and adjudication had never been. 10/13/58 FRITTS v. KRUGH. SUPREME COURT OF MICHIGAN, 92 N.W.2d 604, 354 Mich. 97.

On certiorari this Court may not review questions of fact. Brown v. Blanchard, 39 Mich 790. It is not at liberty to determine disputed facts (Hyde v. Nelson, 11 Mich 353), nor to review the weight of the evidence. Linn v. Roberts, 15 Mich 443; Lynch v. People, 16 Mich 472. Certiorari is an appropriate remedy to get rid of [a void judgment one which there is no evidence to



sustain.})] Lake Shore & Michigan Southern Railway Co. v. Hunt,
39 Mich 469.

In *Stoesel v. American Home*, 362 Sel. 350, and 199 N.E. 798 (1935), the court ruled and determined that, "Under Illinois Law and Federal Law, when any officer of the Court has committed "fraud on the Court", the order and judgment of that court are void and of no legal force and effect." In *Sparks v. Duval County Ranch*, 604 F.2d 976 (1979), the court ruled and determined that, "No immunity exists for co-conspirators of judge. There is no derivative immunity for extra-judicial actions of fraud, deceit and collusion." In *Edwards v. Wiley*, 374 P.2d 284, the court ruled and determined that, "Judicial officers are not liable for erroneous exercise of judicial powers vested in them, but they are not immune from liability when they act wholly in excess of jurisdiction." See also, *Vickery v. Dunnivan*, 279 P.2d 853, (1955). In *Beall v. Reidy*, 457 P.2d 376, the court ruled and determined, "Except by consent of all parties a judge is disqualified to sit in trial of a case if he comes within any of the grounds of disqualification named in the Constitution. In *Taylor v. O'Grady*, 888 F.2d 1189, 7th Cir. (1989), the circuit ruled, "Further, the judge has a legal duty to disqualify, even if there is no motion asking for his disqualification." Also, when a lower court has no jurisdiction to enter judgment, the question of jurisdiction may be raised for the first time on appeal. See *DeBaca v. Wilcox*, 68 P. 922. The right to a tribunal free from bias and prejudice is based on the Due Process Clause. Should a judge issue any order after he has been disqualified by law, and if the party has been denied of any of his/her property, then the judge has engaged in the crime of interference with interstate commerce; the judge has acted in his/her personal capacity and not in the judge's judicial capacity. See *U.S. v. Scinto*, 521 F.2d 842 at page 845, 7th circuit, 1996. Party can attack subject matter jurisdiction at anytime in the proceeding,



even raising jurisdiction for the first time on appeal, *State v. Begay*, 734 P.2d 278. “A prejudiced, biased judge who tries a case deprives a party adversely affected of due process.” See *Nelson v. Cox*, 66 N.M. 397.

There is no time limit when a judgment is void:

Precision Eng. V. LPG, C.A. 1st (1992) 953 F.2d 21 at page 22, *Meadows v. Dominican Republic* CA 9th (1987) 817 F.2d at page 521, *In re: Center Wholesale, Inc.* C.A. 10th (1985) 759 F.2d 1440 at page 1448, *Misco Leasing v. Vaughn* CA 10th (1971) 450 F.2d 257, *Taft v. Donellen* C.A. 7th (1969) 407 F.2d 807, and *Bookout v. Beck* CA 9th (1965) 354 F.2d 823. See also, *Hawkeye Security Ins. V. Porter*, D.C. Ind. 1982, 95 F.R.D. 417, at page 419, *Saggers v. Yellow Freight* D.C. Ga. (1975) 68 F.R.D. 686 at page 690, *J.S. v. Melichar* D.C. Wis. (1972) 56 F.R.D. 49, *Ruddies v. Auburn Spark Plug*. 261 F. Supp. 648, *Garcia v. Garcia*, Utah 1986 712 P.2d 288 at page 290, and *Calasa v. Greenwell*, (1981) 633 P.2d 555 at page 585, 2 Hawaii395. “Judgment was vacated as void after 30 years in entry,” *Crosby. V. Bradstreet*, CA 2nd (1963) 312 F.2d 483 cert. denied 83 S.Ct. 1300, 373 US 911, 10 L. Ed. 2.d 412. “Delay of 22 years did not bar relief,” *U.S. v. Williams*, D.C. Ark. (1952) 109 F.Supp. 456.

>A judgment is **void**, and therefore subject to relief under Rule 60(b)(4), only if the court that rendered judgment lacked jurisdiction or in circumstances in which the court’s action amounts to a plain usurpation of power constituting a violation of due process. *United States v. Boch Oldsmobile, Inc.*, 909 F.2d 657, 661 (1st Cir. 1990)

>Where Rule 60(b)(4) is properly invoked on the basis that the underlying judgment is **void**, “relief is not a discretionary matter; it is mandatory.” *Orner v.*



Shalala, 30 F.3d 1307, 1310 (10th Cir. 1994) (quoting V.T.A., Inc. v. Airco, Inc., 597 F.2d 220, 224 n.8 (10th Cir. 1979)).

>In order for a judgment to be **void**, there must be some jurisdictional defect in the court's authority to enter the judgment, either because the court lacks personal jurisdiction or because it lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the suit. Pupal v. Pupal, 105 Idaho 302, 306, 669 P.2d 191, 195 (1983); Dragotoiu, 133 Idaho at 647, 991 P.2d at 379.

>A court may not render a judgment which **transcends the limits of its authority**, and a judgment is **void** if it is beyond the powers granted to the court by the law of its organization, even where the court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter. Thus, if a court is authorized by statute to entertain jurisdiction in a particular case only, and undertakes to exercise the jurisdiction conferred in a case to which the statute has no application, the judgment rendered is **void**. The lack of statutory authority to make particular order or a judgment is akin to lack of subject matter jurisdiction and is subject to collateral attack. 46 Am. Jur. 2d, Judgments § 25, pp. 388-89.

>"A court cannot confer jurisdiction where none existed and cannot make a **void** proceeding valid. It is clear and well established law that a **void** order can be challenged in any court", OLD WAYNE MUT. L. ASSOC. v. McDONOUGH, 204 U. S. 8, 27 S. Ct. 236 (1907).

>"**Jurisdiction**, once challenged, cannot be assumed and **must be decided**." Maine v Thiboutot 100 S. Ct. 250.

>"The law requires **proof of jurisdiction** to appear **on the record** of the **administrative** agency and all administrative proceedings." Hagans v Lavine 415 U. S. 533.



Though not specifically alleged, defendant's challenge to subject matter jurisdiction implicitly raised claim that default judgment against him was **void** and relief should be granted under Rule 60(b)(4). *Honneus v. Donovan*, 93 F.R.D. 433, 436-37 (1982), *aff'd*, 691 F.2d 1 (1st Cir. 1982).

>"A judgment is **void** if the court acted in a manner inconsistent with due process. A **void** judgment is a nullity and may be vacated at any time." 261 Kan. at 862.

>A judgment obtained without jurisdiction over the defendant is **void**. *Overby v. Overby*, 457 S.W.2d 851 (Tenn. 1970).

>Although Rule 60(b)(4) is ostensibly subject to the "reasonable" time limit of Rule 60(b), at least one court has held that **no time limit** applies to a motion under the Rule 60(b)(4) because a **void** judgment can never acquire validity through laches. See *Crosby v. Bradstreet Co.*, 312 F.2d 483 (2nd Cir.) cert. denied, 373 U.S. 911, 83 S.Ct. 1300, 10 L.Ed.2d 412 (1963) where the court vacated a judgment as **void** 30 years after entry. See also *Marquette Corp. v. Priester*, 234 F.Supp. 799 (E.D.S.C.1964) where the court expressly held that clause Rule 60(b)(4) carries no real time limit.

Unless the Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew Hall had proved obscenity, he should have accepted Brian's motion to dismiss and not wait for Brian's ineffective lawyer to enter any motion while Brian's pro se motions were being ignored while Brian's counsel did nothing to defend his client under the adversarial system. This attorney along with Brian's ineffective counsel wrongfully convicted an innocent man, dismissing his Writ of Habeas Corpus, and leaving Brian with no recourse to relief which again deprives Brian David Hill of his Fourteenth Amendment right to due process, according all states to follow the U.S.



Constitution and the U.S. Supreme Court involving all Federal Constitutional matters. This state Court should not violate the Federal Supremacy clause.

Brian clearly is entitled to vacatur of the final judgment entered on November 15, 2019, as the Court's inherit power can vacate a judgment when due process was not afforded to the criminal defendant Brian David Hill, and that Brian David Hill is a victim of a fraudulent conviction upon the court when Brian cannot be legally guilty of his charge of § 18.2-387. Indecent exposure, without obscenity.

Brian requests vacatur of the entire conviction and dismissal of the indictment on the ground of Brian's legal innocence and due process deprivations/violations.

There is no evidence of obscenity which is (1) insufficient evidence to establish guilt, (2) case law authorities in his favor that obscenity is required to convict, (3) legal innocence, and (4) the Commonwealth Attorney had planned on causing prejudice on the jury in regards to the defense if Defendant testifies which creates a hole in him being able to have a defense because the Commonwealth may force him to tell the jury what his wrongful federal conviction was for back in 2014.

Brian respectfully requests vacatur of conviction and dismissal of this case.

WHEREFORE, the undersigned defendant prays that he has shown good cause and prays as follows:

1. That the Court finds that good cause has been shown to vacate the criminal conviction entered on November 15, 2019, and dismiss the criminal indictment and dismiss the case for lack of evidence of obscenity or no evidence of obscenity;
2. That the Court find good cause that Brian David Hill has shown legal innocence to his charge of indecent exposure as was also outlined in his Writ of Habeas Corpus petition in the state;



- 3. That the Court needs to prove that they had jurisdiction to enter the judgment on November 15, 2019, and which includes that Brian David Hill would not have been deprived of due process at all otherwise the judgment is null and void;
- 4. That the Court enter an order for any other relief deemed as necessary and proper.

Hill respectfully files this Motion with this honorable Court, this the 24th day of November, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian D. Hill
signed Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
310 Forest Street, Apartment 1
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter
I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp
I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)
Make America Great Again
JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com
Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

This pleading had been transmitted by facsimile to the Office of the Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Clerk's office at the Martinsville Circuit Court on November 24, 2019, at



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

the address of 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112 and at Fax: (276) 403-5232.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this the 24th day of November, 2019, a true copy of the foregoing Motion/Pleading was transmitted by facsimile to the office of the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112, counsel for Plaintiff of the Commonwealth of Virginia, Fax: 276-403-5478.

Brian D. Hill

Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 1

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 11/25/2019 @09:13:02

27

TESTE: *Jennifer C. Coplin*
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

v.


ORDER

Case No. CR1900009-00

BRIAN DAVID HILL

UPON CONSIDERATION of the defendant's Motion to Vacate Fraudulent Begotten Judgment, it is ORDERED that said motion is hereby DENIED.

ENTER: This 25th day of November, 2019.



Judge

Endorsement of Counsel is dispensed with – Rule 1:13



VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
)	
v.)	
)	
Brian David Hill,)	Notice of Appeal
)	
Defendant,)	

NOTICE OF APPEAL

NOW COMES the Petitioner, by and through Brian David Hill ("Brian D. Hill"), "Petitioner", or "Hill"), that is acting pro se in this action before this Honorable Court in the Circuit Court of Martinsville, Virginia, and hereby respectfully moves to file this notice of appeal.

Notice is hereby given that Defendant/Petitioner Brian David Hill in the above named case hereby appeal to the Court of Appeals in Richmond, Virginia from an order entered in this action on November 15, 2019. That order is involving the wrongful conviction and withdrawing of appeal due to ineffective assistance of counsel in violation of Brian's Sixth Amendment right to effective counsel under the U.S. Constitution.

This NOTICE OF APPEAL concerns the abuse of discretion, ignoring the evidence, and allowing the frauds upon the court against party: Brian David Hill by the corrupt Commonwealth Attorney Office for the City of Martinsville.

Respectfully filed with the Court, this the 26th day of November, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 1

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

This pleading had been transmitted by facsimile to the Office of the Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Clerk's office at the Martinsville Circuit Court on November 26, 2019, at the address of 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112 and at Fax: (276) 403-5232.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this the 26th day of November, 2019, a true copy of the foregoing Motion/Pleading was transmitted by facsimile to the office of the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112, counsel for Plaintiff of the Commonwealth of Virginia, Fax: 276-403-5478.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

310 Forest Street, Apartment 1
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
Phone #: (276) 790-3505

U.S.W.G.O.

Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

Give Me Liberty, or Give Me Death!
- Patrick Henry
Don't Tread On Me

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 11/27/2019 @09:51:46

TESTE: Jennifer C. Coplin
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK



VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
)	
v.)	
)	
Brian David Hill,)	Notice of Appeal
)	
Defendant,)	

NOTICE OF APPEAL

NOW COMES the Petitioner, by and through Brian David Hill ("Brian D. Hill"), "Petitioner", or "Hill"), that is acting pro se in this action before this Honorable Court in the Circuit Court of Martinsville, Virginia, and hereby respectfully moves to file this notice of appeal.

Notice is hereby given that Defendant/Petitioner Brian David Hill in the above named case hereby appeal to the Court of Appeals in Richmond, Virginia from an order entered in this action on November 25, 2019. That order is involving the denial of a motion of the Court's inherit power to vacate a fraudulent begotten judgment that also had due process violations, thus the wrongful conviction was a void judgment and withdrawing of appeal due to ineffective assistance of counsel in violation of Brian's Sixth Amendment right to effective counsel under the U.S. Constitution.

This NOTICE OF APPEAL concerns the abuse of discretion, ignoring the evidence, denial of due process, and allowing the frauds upon the court against



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

party: Brian David Hill by the corrupt Commonwealth Attorney Office for the City of Martinsville.

Respectfully filed with the Court, this the 26th day of November, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 1

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

This pleading had been transmitted by facsimile to the Office of the Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Clerk's office at the Martinsville Circuit Court on November 26, 2019, at the address of 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112 and at Fax: (276) 403-5232.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this the 26th day of November, 2019, a true copy of the foregoing Motion/Pleading was transmitted by facsimile to the office of the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112, counsel for Plaintiff of the Commonwealth of Virginia, Fax: 276-403-5478.



Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 1

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505

U.S.W.G.O.

Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

Give Me Liberty, or Give Me Death!
— Patrick Henry
Don't Tread On Me

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 11/27/2019 @10:33:44

TESTE: *Jennifer C. Coplin*
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Letter to Clerk of the Martinsville Circuit Court concerning possibly missing filing from the record for Appeal RE: Case #: CR19000009-00, Appeal CAV #0129-20-3

Wednesday, February 26, 2020

Clerk of the Court – Honorable Ashby R. Pritchett
Martinsville Circuit Court
P. O. Box 1206
55 W. Church Street
Martinsville, VA 24114-1206
Phone: (276) 403-5106
Fax: (276) 403-5232

URGENT

RECEIPT CONFIRMATION
REQUESTED

Dear Pritchett,
CC: Clerk of the Court of Appeals of Virginia,

I was the one to have filed the Notice of Appeal over the Judge's denial of my Motion to Vacate Fraudulent Begotten Judgment on November 25, 2019, which had started appeal under case no. #0129-20-3.

My responsibility of filing this appeal also has me to ensure that everything that was filed in the case was docketed and filed on the record for case no. CR19000009-00 and C18-3138 of the General District Court records.

I have reviewed over the "TABLE OF CONTENTS" of the index that was digitally filed with the Court of Appeals of Virginia from the mailing you have sent me a copy of dated January 29, 2020. However when I looked over the index, there may have been a missing item in there that may need to be corrected and the Court of Appeals notified before the Petition for Appeal or Appeal Brief is to be filed.

Even at the Federal Correctional Institution 1 at Butner, North Carolina, I kept track of all pages I mailed out, kept mailing logs, made photocopies of all materials that I had mailed to your office to file in my case. I shall attach the evidence of a photocopy of my 3-page "Motion to Admit Evidence Priot to Trial" which was mailed under certified mail tracking number 7018-1130-0000-8936-63 13 to your office. 50 pages of pleading material mailed to the "Hon Ashby Pritchett, Clerk of the Court, P.O. Box 1206, Martinsville Circuit CRT, Martinsville, VA 24114-2106 US". Record kept by me after dropping the legal mailing at the prison mail-room during my federal mental



evaluation.

It was delivered on March 20, 2019 at 2:45 pm, so that means it was signed for by one of your deputy Clerks.

According to Page 2 of the mailed pleading, it says 36 pages of true and correct copies of federal court record and then 10 pages material regarding evidence of carbon monoxide poisoning. 46 Pages, probably a certificate of service or some extra page, and the 3 page motion. That would equal 50 pages just as in the Mailing Log.

So 50 pages were filed according to my record. I do not see that record in the Table of Contents. Can you please specify where it is, what page number range it could be at?

I am working on my appeal over the denial of my motion regarding the Commonwealth Attorney's possible fraud upon the court. I need to know whether all of my pleadings that I have mailed to your office have been indexed for the appeal. So I know which page I should reference. It is more complicated than the digital Federal Court system where Documents can be checked by my family and the docket lists every document with the Document number and page numbers. State is more complex.

If your office did receive my pleading dated March 15, 2019, 5 days later after it was mailed out from FCI Butner, and it may not be indexed, please correct the record and notify the Clerk of the Court of Appeals of Virginia, to correct the record.

Copy of letter faxed to Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, Virginia. Fax: 276-403-5478.

Thank You,
Brian D. Hill

Former news reporter of USWGO Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2 Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505



Brian D. Hill
Ally Signed of Qanon



In The Circuit Court of Martinsville For The Commonwealth of Virginia

Commonwealth of Virginia
Plaintiff,

Case no. C18-3138

v.

Brian David Hill,
Defendant,

Motion to Admit Evidence
Prior to Trial

Motion To Admit Evidence Prior To Trial

Criminal Defendant Brian David Hill ("Brian," "Hill") files evidence with this honorable Court requesting that it be admitted in this case prior to the trial. Virginia Courts can admit evidence from Courts of the United States as long as the copies of such evidence is certified by the Clerk of the Court. Hill wishes to submit specific Federal Court records in this case as evidence in favor of Hill's innocence. Hill also mentions three (3) case laws from Courts of Appeals in favor of Hill's innocence to the charge of indecent exposure: (1) A.M. v. Commonwealth of Virginia in Alexandria; (2) Samuel Kenneth Moses v. Commonwealth of Virginia in Salem; and (3) Kimberly F. Neice v. Commonwealth of Virginia in Teleconference. The Federal Court records are the written testimony of Hill in support of the defense that Hill was threatened and coerced to get naked on the Dick and Willie hiking trail at night by a man wearing a dark hoodie. Hill never masturbated in public, Hill wasn't aroused.



Hill submits the following Federal Court records as evidence in this case prior to the bench trial, requesting that they be admitted as evidence in this case (records can be authenticated on PACER.GOV and by the Clerk of the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina):

1. Document 152, 2 pages, Filed 10/03/2018
2. Document 153, 11 pages, Filed 10/17/2018
3. Document 154, 2 pages, Filed 10/24/2018
4. Document 161, 5 pages, Filed 11/29/2018
5. Document 162, 4 pages, Filed 11/30/2018
6. Document 163, 6 pages, Filed 12/12/2018
7. Document 164, 6 pages, Filed 12/13/2018

All documents from case no. 1:13-cr-00435-TDS
Total of 36 pages.

Hill submits the following testimony and research by witnesses Roberta Hill, Stella Forinash, and Kenneth Forinash as evidence which can be authenticated by compelling them to testify under Oath at trial. They were made aware by a professional(s) that Hill was exposed to carbon monoxide poisoning up until the day that Hill had left the home and was arrested the next day. Carbon monoxide would help explain Hill's erratic behavior.

1. Compiled testimony and research, Total of 10 pages.



Hill has demonstrated to this honorable Court that:

- (1) Hill has Autism Disorder, Obsessive Compulsive Disorder.
- (2) Hill had been a victim of criminal coercion which compelled Hill against his will to get naked in order to protect his mother from being murdered.
- (3) Hill had been a victim of prolonged exposure to carbon monoxide in his Apartment in 2018, which can cause problems with Hill's brain leading to any irrational and erratic behavior.
- (4) Hill is technically innocent under case law as it is a fact that Hill didn't do anything obscene, Hill never masturbated, and Hill was never aroused.

Hill submits this new evidence and case law to this honorable Court in favor of Hill's Actual Innocence to the September 21, 2018 charge of indecent exposure. Hill had also filed a formal series of letters and a written request with the Virginia Attorney General asking for a Writ of Actual Innocence in this case.

Respectfully filed with this honorable Court, this the 15th day of March 2019.

Brian D. Hill
signed

Brian David Hill
#29947-057

JusticeForUSNGO.wordpress.com
U.S.W.G.O.

Federal Correctional Institution ¹
P.O. Box 1000, Butner, NC 27509

3



USWGO Mailing Log 2019 FCI¹ Butner, NC Federal Correctional Institution², Old N.C. Hwy 75-P.O. Box 1000 Butner, NC 27509

- #1 2pg U.S. Marshals Service (USMS), United States Marshals, Western Dist. of Virginia, 210 Franklin Road SW, Roanoke, VA 24011 US 03/05/2019
- #2 2pg Clerk of the Court, 210 Franklin RD SW, U.S. District Court, Roanoke, VA 24011 US, Please file: 7:18-MJ-00149 03/05/2019
- #3 Lots Stella Forinash, 201 Greyson ST, Martinsville, VA 24112 US 03/05/2019
- #4 16pg Office Of The Clerk, Middle Dist. N. Carolina, 324 W Market ST, U.S. District Court, Ste. 1, Greensboro, NC 27401-2513 US 03/08/2019
- #5 Lots Stella Forinash, 201 Greyson ST, Martinsville, VA 24112 US 03/11/2019
- #6 2pg Jason McMurray, Western Dist. of Virginia, 210 Franklin RD SW, U.S. Probation Office, Roanoke, VA 24011 US 03/11/2019
- #7 4pg National Security Council ("NSC"), The White House, Exe. Office of President, 1600 Pennsylvania AVE NW, National Security Council, Washington, DC 20005 US 03/12/2019
- #8 3pg Virginia Attorney General, Office Of Va Attorney General, 202 N 9TH ST, Virginia Attorney General, Richmond, VA 23219 US 03/13/2019
- #9 3pg Attorney Scott Albrecht, Scott Albrecht, Public Defender Office, 31 P.O. Drawer, Martinsville, VA 24114 US, P.O. Drawer 31 03/13/2019
- #10 Lots Stella Forinash, 201 Greyson ST, Martinsville, VA 24112 03/13/2019
- #11 50pg Hon Ashby Pritchett, Clerk of the Court, P.O. Box 1206, Martinsville Circuit CRT, Martinsville, VA 24114-1206 US 03/15/2019
+50pg
→ 100pg 03/15/2019 March 15
- #12 3pg Donald John Trump, The White House, 1600 Pennsylvania AVE NW, Important, Washington, DC 20005 US 03/21/2019
- #13 1 lots Stella Forinash, 201 Greyson ST, Martinsville, VA 24112 03/21/2019



1. 2-page letter to U.S. Marshals Service dated March 4, 2019
Certified Mail tracking no. 7018 1130 0000 8938 9954

• Photocopy of 2-page letter to U.S. Marshals Service (#1.) dated March 4, 2019. Requesting the Clerk file in case no. 7:18-MJ-00149.

• Mailing next/remaining portion of Medical Records and Legal papers to family/home for storage. Certified mail tracking no. 7018 1130 0000 8938 9961

• 4 page Brief/Memorandum of law on Rule 11 Plea in support of 2255 Motion (Doc. #125) and 2255 Brief (Doc. #128); 2-page Supplement 1
7-page Supplement 2, 3-page Supplement 3, 3-page Supplement 4

Certified Mail tracking no. 7018-1130-0000-8938-9978
• Mailing legal papers and envelopes to family/home for storage.
Certified Mail tracking no. 7018 1130 0000 8938 9985

• 2-page Letter to U.S. Probation Officer Jason McMurray dated March 10, 2019. Certified Mail tracking no. 7018 1130 0000 8938 9992

4-page Letter to the National Security Council dated March 12, 2019.
Certified Mail tracking no. 7018 1130 0000 8939 0004

3-page Letter to VA Attorney General dated March 13, 2019.
Certified Mail tracking no. 7018 1130 0000 8939 2510

• Photocopy of 3-page letter to VA Attorney General dated March 13, 2019 (8).

• Mailing 2019 Medical Records from January 9, 2019 to February 28, 2019, and some legal papers on back to family/home for storage.

1. 4-page "Motion To Admit Evidence Prior To Trial", 36-pages of Federal Court documents, 10-pages of Carbon Monoxide Poisoning documentation. Photocopy of all contents (50pg) for Commonwealth Attorney.
Certified Mail tracking no. 7018 1130 0000 8936 6313 100pg total

2. 3-page Letter to Donald John Trump, dated March 19, 2019.
Certified Mail tracking no. 7018 1130 0000 8938 9930 ← 13.

3. Mailing legal papers to family. 7018 1130 0000 8938 9947 ← 12.

CLERK OF COURT
County of Martinville Circuit Court
Clerk's Office.

Received and Filed this 26th
Day of February 2020
9:10 AM
D. R. P. K.



3/6/2020

3:47:48 AM

From: Brian David Hill

Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Page 1/28

Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Fax Cover Page

Date: 3/6/2020

Time: 3:47:48 AM

Pages: 28

To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk

From: Brian David Hill

Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Please file in case nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00 as Circuit Court Clerk also named as CC correspondent.

Response Letter to Clerk of the Supreme Court of Virginia concerning

"Re: Brian David Hill v. Commonwealth of Virginia, Attorney General,

Record No. 200267" Appellee letter dated March 3, 2020

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 03/06/2020 @08:58:33

TESTE: *Brian David Hill*
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK



**Response Letter to Clerk of the Supreme Court of Virginia concerning
"Re: Brian David Hill v. Commonwealth of Virginia, Attorney General,
Record No. 200267" Appellee letter dated March 3, 2020**

Started: Thursday, March 5, 2020

Finished: Friday, March 6, 2020

ATTN: The Honorable Douglas B. Robelen, Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of Virginia
100 North 9th Street, 5th Floor, Richmond, Virginia 23219
Phone: (804) 786-2251 V / TDD
FAX : (804) 786-6249

Dear Hon. Robelen Hon. McCoy,

I am grateful for the clarification on the matter by the Assistant Attorney General of Virginia. I am also grateful that the Assistant Attorney General is keeping it professional as a lawyer and not lying about me in response unlike Glen Andrew Hall who does have a bad reputation with private lawyers I had free consultation with. I will keep with the legal chivalry here and provide a professional legal response as a non-lawyer. I will cite some of my personal and emotional opinions as well as it is my First Amendment right.

However Petitioner, Brian David Hill has a constitutional right to challenge the Commonwealth of Virginia when the Commonwealth has taken the liberty and financial interests of Petitioner. Petitioner will be happy to produce six copies of this letter if requested by the Clerk of this Court.

Peverell v. Eskew, Record No. 0060-01-4, at *1 (Va. Ct. App. Apr. 23, 2002) ("The fourteenth amendment, in declaring that no State 'shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law, nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws,' undoubtedly intended, not only that there should be no arbitrary deprivation of life or liberty or arbitrary spoliation of property, but that equal protection and security should be given to all under like circumstances in the enjoyment of their personal and civil rights; that all persons should be equally entitled to pursue their happiness and acquire and enjoy property; that they should have like access to the courts of the country for the protection of their persons and property, the prevention and redress of wrongs, and the enforcement of contracts; and that no



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

impediment should be interposed to the pursuits of anyone except as applied to the same pursuits by others under like circumstances. . . .")

There are civil rights and liberty interests that the Commonwealth has taken away from Petitioner as a result of this wrongful state conviction of innocent man Brian David Hill who was a victim of carbon monoxide poisoning. Yes Petitioner does admit that he cannot obtain the levels of carbon monoxide to establish fact since he was immediately thrown in jail after the Martinsville Hospital medically neglected him and didn't check his blood sugar when they knew he was a diabetic found at night butt naked with cuts and abrasions but never completed the laboratory tests after drawing blood and never even checked his blood sugar on the medical record in the Habeas Corpus petition's evidence on the record, but there was evidence of carbon monoxide damage and witnesses to such damage and evidence to such white residue and other issues that are all normally caused by carbon monoxide gas and poisoning on record. **Hasn't Brian suffered enough from the carbon monoxide already???? Brian doesn't need to be convicted of indecent exposure on top of that when the carbon monoxide is the cause of his weird behavior.** That was why I had to debrief the Attorney General by sending letters in regards to the carbon monoxide and the evidence about the guy wearing the hoodie who threatened me to take my clothes off or my mother would die. Even if the carbon monoxide could have caused an hallucination and the guy in the hoodie could have not been real, I perceived the guy in the hoodie at the time to have been real and wasn't thinking straight. My behavior in the alleged photographs we of behavior that one would exhibit when being ordered by a jail officer to be strip searched, which that ordeal can amount to PTSD, and carbon monoxide can trigger all of these different abnormal behaviors, especially when somebody has Autism. Even though I did not have the levels because Sovah Hospital in-fact covered up for Martinsville Police Department by drawing my blood and was going to do drug tests and alcohol tests but then **deleted all lab test orders from the chart and throw away my blood which would have been the only exculpatory evidence where the levels could have proven of carbon monoxide poisoning.** The CORRUPT Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew Hall knew that evidence was covered up and instead pushed for a jury trial knowing there would be jury bias of "christian bible belt jurors". He Knew that Brian had cumulative evidence of carbon monoxide gas but ignored it all. I may have to sue Martionsville Police Department again and sue Glen Andrew Hall for defamation of character and unprofessional misconduct, as well as **suing Sovah Hospital for being the sole cause of my wrongful conviction of indecent exposure since they tossed away blood evidence which could have been tested by the State Police and Crime Scene Investigation lab scientists and I could have been exonerated instead of wrongfully convicted and facing Federal Supervised Release Revocation for violation of Virginia law on September 21, 2018.** However if I am acquitted and found



innocent of my state charge, then I will not face the direct consequences of Revocation. I don't wish to sue if I don't have to. I just want my life back from the Commonwealth. I want my life back, I am not after money, I just want my darn life back. I want my liberty back, my reputation back, I want my freedom back. I want to keep my SSI disability as I cannot live without it. Depriving me of SSI disability for any legal fees charged in my state case deprives me of life as I cannot make money and cannot work a job. So Virginia wishes to deprive me of life but not give me any legal means to challenge a wrongful conviction that is going to deprive me of my fixed income from the Federal Government, from my disability. Does that mean Virginia will garnish my Medicaid too? Will Glen Andrew Hall be asking Social Services next to deprive of Medicaid next to pay my legal fees?

The consequences I face for dismissing my Writ of Habeas Corpus and sustaining my wrongful state criminal conviction are as follows:

1. If the U.S. Court of Appeals in Richmond, VA overturns revocation on a technicality and may order me to face a new trial which will be a jury trial over the Violation of Supervised Release condition due to the indecent exposure charge. If this happens, my state conviction will be used as absolute evidence of guilt against me while not allowing me to use Virginia case law that I cannot be guilty of indecent exposure unless I was being obscene and had an intent of being obscene. Carbon Monoxide would be a good reason as to the indecent exposure as this is an unique criminal case. Acquitting me would have no effect on other indecent exposure charges as none of them had been in a house for months with carbon monoxide gas and damage to the walls and ceiling. So the Commonwealth would not have to worry about the public implications of acquitting me. It is in the best interest of justice that I am found actually innocent.
2. If I am acquitted of my state charge, then the violation will be dismissed without me having to face a federal jury which will have enough evidence to convict me if my state conviction remains intact. This makes me an automatic violator and will subject me up to 9 months of imprisonment as well as possible home detention and electronic monitoring directly caused as a result of my state charge.
3. If the revocation is not completely vacated and I face new trial, then I am placed under strict federal bond conditions directly caused by the state criminal charge which I filed Writ of Habeas Corpus to push the Court to undo such conviction over my Actual Innocence claim. The bond conditions



include curfew and other strict conditions which deprives me of right to liberty, life, and the pursuit of happiness.

When a state deprives an American citizen of liberty, then that person has the right to challenge being deprived of any liberty as a result of what the state has done.

So I am at loss of my liberty as a result of the state charge and conviction. The state has directly caused loss of my liberty as a result of ineffective assistance of counsel concerning the court appointed lawyers, all of them were ineffective because they are assigned too many cases and don't have the time to come up with a decent defense and are also afraid of Glen Andrew Hall who is one bad lawyer according to the private attorneys I had free consultation with. Many fear Glen Andrew Hall which is one of the main reasons why nobody wanted to fight for me, fear of the lying defamatory lawyer Glen Andrew Hall who lied about me, made fun of my Autism which is discrimination, and caused my wrongful state conviction. I cannot sit here and let Glen Andrew Hall take away my constitutional rights, lie about me, take away my liberty, and cause me to suffer cascading repercussions as a result of my wrongful conviction.

The carbon monoxide has already caused my landlord to have paid thousands of dollars to fix the wall damage and ceiling falling apart as a result of the carbon monoxide poisoning. The photos showing such damage in the home were submitted in the Writ of Habeas Corpus petition on the record prior to the Notice of Appeal. **I shouldn't suffer a criminal conviction on top of it** because of Glen Andrew Hall being such an egotistical jerk here, wanting to maintain his perfect criminal conviction rate. **A lawyer shouldn't always be about winning criminal cases all of the time. When a criminal conviction is wrongful, it is the duty of the lawyer under State Bar Rule 3.8 and American Bar Association Rule 3.8 to undo such conviction.** There is no restitution, no fines, and I have no victims in my state criminal case. **If the witnesses (police officer, 911 caller) to my nudity at night were to ever find out about the carbon monoxide, they never would have called 911 and I never would have been arrested, instead I would have been committed to the Hospital until the source of the sinus tachycardia was documented and I never would have faced an indecent exposure charge because it is wrong in this instance, in the circumstances that led up to it.** If the witness who called 911 ever found out that I had been exposed to carbon monoxide prior to my arrest and stating on Federal Court record and/or told my family in 2018 that I thought I was drugged and had memories blacked out. In 2019 I was already convicted in General District Court before I discovered that I was a victim of carbon monoxide gas.

I am not a perpetrator of crime in regards to indecent exposure, but I AM A



VICTIM, I repeat I was a victim of carbon monoxide and cannot stand to be wrongfully convicted when raising carbon monoxide isn't a fact since I don't have the levels according to Lauren McGarry who formerly worked for Martinsville Commonwealth Attorney Office as an intern or extern, but can be raised as a "reasonable doubt" which would have led to my acquittal but Matthew Clark didn't tell me about any reasonable doubt but told me that I would lose because of christian bible belt jurors would feel that I am some kind of pervert, of course he never mentioned the word pervert but I felt that is what he was implying.

None of those so called "christian bible belt jurors" would have ever experienced carbon monoxide while suffering under Autism Spectrum Disorder and OCD, how would any of them know my pain and suffering here?

It is this kind of garbage that almost turns me against Christians and makes me almost hate them, but I know in my heart that Jesus Christ would never be for convicting me, and even Judge King Solomon would never be for convicting me with the evidence I have in the state case, and Judge Samson of the bible would never have convicted me after seeing the carbon monoxide evidence. Jesus Christ said judge not lest ye be judged. If I am to be held criminally accountable be revoked of my probation over me being a victim of months of carbon monoxide poisoning then under the laws of God, karma is created in equal and opposite reaction. Maybe God will cause them to suffer under carbon monoxide and then they would know what it was like to suffer under carbon monoxide, or maybe even all of the sudden have Autism as a karma repercussionj and then have to suffer under Autism. These Courts are supposed to have empathy here. Where We Go One, We Go All, as Oanon says. If we wrongfully convict one person who was a victim of carbon monoxide poisoning, then we are all going to be imprisoned for being a victim of carbon monoxide poisoning. Then all Americans deserve and even Judges and Lawyers should deserve to go to prison for crimes that they are innocent of under the laws of karma, the laws of the Universe set by God.

How would any of them ever understand since they have likely never experienced any of the months and months of carbon monoxide gas poisoning that I personally felt and experienced. It also made my vision not as good. No eye damage luckily but they did prescribe me glasses as my vision has slightly deteriorated and my mother's vision has also deteriorated and she has to wear glasses when she didn't have to before the carbon monoxide had started. Me and my mother both experienced memory problems. Matthew Clark was a bad lawyer and never should have been appointed to my case. It should been a lawyer counties away that had no fear of facing this horrible Glen Andrew Hall, a bully, a bully who kept attacking Scott Albrecht and made fun of



him over being disabled which my family had witnessed in General District Court before my case. This Glen Andrew Hall is such a bully that he would bully the defense attorney into leaving Martinsville and moving to Roanoke, check the state bar record to see that Scott Albrecht worked at Martinsville as a Public Defender but then at some point moved to Roanoke without explanation. I know why, because of the way Glen Andrew Hall bullies the disabled in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act but he can get away with this bullying because he is a "constitutionally elected officer" or whatnot. How typical of political corruption when it operates like this. If Glen Andrew Hall continues bullying me then eventually I will move out of Virginia and continue my federal probation in another state and my family will no longer buy from any stores in Virginia and will not participate in the Virginia economy, we will boycott Virginia and not vacation in Virginia either then more stores can go out of business for all I care like Earth Fare, antique stores, Save-A-Lot. So many stores are shutting down in Virginia, since Glen Andrew Hall is such a bully I will boycott Virginia and my whole family will boycott Virginia and my friends will boycott Virginia for the harsh treatment of me and my family, sue Virginia for defamation, and will no longer wish to be citizen of Virginia and no longer wish to participate in Virginia's economy.

Anyways, there are many liberty interests that I lose here.

I lose good standing with my Federal Probation, and will be considered as a higher risk offender even though the circumstances and intent do not support such. I face being labeled as a criminal when no law was broken according to attorney Scott Albrecht and Federal Appellate Attorney Edward Kennedy of Clarksburg, West Virginia. I did not violate Virginia law over the indecent exposure as I never masturbated and the photos were taken during nighttime when I was not in my right state of mind due to the carbon monoxide. **The Attorney General should understand that, as well as Governor Northam since he was a Doctor. Even Doctors would understand about carbon monoxide poisoning victims. Politics doesn't matter here.**

Now as to the financial interests that are at stake if I am wrongfully convicted of indecent exposure and the Writ of Habeas Corpus is dismissed:

1. I would owe thousands of dollars to the Commonwealth of Virginia if I am not acquitted of my state charge. Not in fines, not any in restitution as I have no victims, but in basic legal fees from both my defense attorney and the Prosecution. I had the temptation of referring to Glen Andrew Hall's lack of sympathy as possible extortion of the poor and disabled but my mother persuaded me not to put those words in my pro se motion that I just mailed out to the



Court of Appeals on March 5, 2020. I personally feel emotionally that I am being extorted here, because my only source of income is SSI Disability disbursement payments. I pay \$500 rent monthly and rest of it goes to living expenses and legal costs I am eating up here as a result of fighting. The mailings cost me as well. Even with my mother letting me use her printer to print the legal filings, she is getting sick and tired of me legally fighting all of the time over and over again without end, I have to explain to the Clerk that I assumed that the monthly SSI income was considered assets that I should report to Virginia, but I may be wrong about that because they are not garnishable under Federal Law. SSI disability income is my only source source of income and me being able to live and not be homeless. I cannot work a job and the state case and federal cases have put such a hefty burden on me, forcing me to suffer carpel tunnel for months having to fight almost all of the time now over this legal garbage by the Governments. Anyways, the amount I owe over lawyers who didn't even defend me at all and weren't professional, even making me pay in increments violates the Federal Prohibition on garnishment of SSI disability. It violates the Federal Supremacy Clause for a state to make me owe thousands of dollars at threat of me being arrested and jailed again. I face forced garnishment of my SSI which means that the state is now going to deprive me of a portion of my SSI disability over lawyers that didn't even defend me when they could have fought to have me found innocent. The State has indebted me over absolute criminal case legal GARBAGE. I am innocent and shouldn't be put through all of this for 2 years now. It is almost two years since I had been originally charged. They are willing to fight me and battle me over and over again over a simple misdemeanor with severe repercussions. I would hate to think of how far the Commonwealth would go over a felony charge if they are going so far over a simple misdemeanor.

2. If the state forces me to owe thousands of dollars with garnishment or imprisonment, I will be forced to declare federal bankruptcy since I have never owned any credit so declaring bankruptcy is what I will do if the bully Glen Andrew Hall has his way with me politically, not literally. I am also considering a social security based lawsuit against Virginia and it's Courts for making me pay money in violation of the Federal Supremacy clause protecting the states from garnishing my SSI to just simply pay some legal fees for ineffective counsel and



a corrupt prosecutor in Martinsville. I may have to sue under Federal Social Security Law and ask for an injunction against the state Courts and against the Circuit Court Clerk for making me pay thousands of dollars which is garnishing my protected SSI disability money. The Federal Courts don't make me pay any legal fees if I technically lose a criminal case, and don't increase my legal fees over me fighting for my Constitutional rights which is technically extorting somebody over fighting for their legal rights, so people are being punished over fighting for their Constitutional rights. Why is the state allowed to do this? Why did the General Assembly do this when the Federal Courts don't do this?

I have established that if I am deprived of Writ of Habeas Corpus or any ability to challenge a wrongful conviction, then I am deprived of my SSI disability money, I am deprived of liberty, and so the liberty interest justify the need for me to fight for my acquittal. I also am considering that I may file a Writ of Coram Vobis as my last resort if my Writ of Habeas Corpus is dismissed on a mere procedural technicality.

Even the United States Supreme Court had made various rulings in regards to the Constitutional matter of wrongful suspension of Habeas Corpus relief over procedural defaults and actual innocence. Since Petitioner is asserting his "Actual Innocence" to the charge of indecent exposure, his Writ of Habeas Corpus should not be procedurally barred in any way when the claim of "Actual Innocence" is asserted.

Bousley v. United States, 523 U.S. 614 (1998) (“(a) Only a voluntary and intelligent guilty plea is constitutionally valid. *Brady v. United States*, 397 U.S. 742, 748. A plea is not intelligent unless a defendant first receives real notice of the nature of the charge against him. *Smith v. O’Grady*, 312 U.S. 329, 334. Petitioner’s plea would be, contrary to the Eighth Circuit’s view, constitutionally invalid if he proved that the District Court misinformed him as to the elements of a § 924(c)(1) offense. *Brady v. United States*, *supra*, *McMann v. Richardson*, 397 U.S. 759, and *Parker v. North Carolina*, 397 U.S. 790, distinguished, Pp. 618-619.”). **Even the withdrawal of appeal and acceptance of the General District Court decision isn't valid as it was caused by ineffective counsel and private lawyers personally fearing the elected prosecutor of Martinsville.**

Bousley v. United States, 523 U.S. 614, 634-35 (1998) (“Under today’s holding, a defendant who is the “wheel-man” in a bank robbery in which a person is shot and killed, and who pleads guilty in state court to the offense of voluntary manslaughter in



order to avoid trial on felony-murder charges, is entitled to federal habeas review of his contention that his guilty plea was "involuntary" because he was not advised that intent to kill was an element of the manslaughter offense, and that he was "actually innocent" of manslaughter because he had no intent to kill. In such a case, it is excusing the petitioner from his procedural default, not holding him to it, that would be the miscarriage of justice.") Matthew Clark on the record did not advise me that I have to have intent to be guilty of indecent exposure. Federal Appellate Attorney Ryan Edward Kennedy and also Mayor of Clarksburg, WV, had also argued that I did not violate Virginia law with no intent of obscenity and that I didn't violate my Supervised Release because I didn't violate Virginia Law. U.S. Attorney Anand Prakash Ramaswamy didn't even object to the fact that I wasn't being obscene, if I read his reply correctly in the Federal Circuit. You can read his appellate arguments.

The procedural default is that the Attorney General had asserted that I am not technically under state custody from their argument. However I am entitled to Habeas Corpus review when I am actually innocent and that wrongfully convicting me on a technicality procedural default is a miscarriage of justice as a matter of law. It permits the FEDS to punish me for the misdemeanor, so I am double punished over the same state charge, over a charge that I should have been found innocent of.

Bousley v. United States, 523 U.S. 614, 635 (1998) ("The Court evidently seeks to avoid this absurd consequence by prescribing that the defendant's "showing of actual innocence must also extend" to any charge the Government has "forgone," ante, at 624.")

McQuiggin v. Perkins, 569 U.S. 383 (2013) ("Held: 1. Actual innocence, if proved, serves as a gateway through which a petitioner may pass whether the impediment is a procedural bar, as it was in *Schlup v. Delo*, 513 U.S. 298, 115 S. Ct. 851, 130 L. Ed. 2d 808, and *House v. Bell*, 547 U.S. 518, 126 S. Ct. 2064, 165 L. Ed. 2d 1, or expiration of the AEDPA statute of limitations, as in this case. Pp. 391-398, 185 L. Ed. 2d, at 1030-1034. (a) Perkins, who waited nearly six years from the date of the 2002 affidavit to file his petition, maintains that an actual-innocence plea can overcome AEDPA's one-year limitations period. This Court's decisions support his view. The Court has not resolved whether a prisoner may be entitled to habeas relief based on a freestanding actual-innocence claim, *Herrera v. Collins*, 506 U.S. 390, 404-405, 113 S. Ct. 853, 122 L. Ed. 2d 203, but it has recognized that a prisoner "otherwise subject to defenses of abusive or successive use of the writ may have his federal constitutional claim considered on the merits if he makes a proper showing of actual innocence," *id.*, at 404, 113 S. Ct. 853, 122 L. Ed. 2d 203.")



I am not trying to be rude here, but I just have to state the law and the facts here. Once I had asserted "Actual Innocence" in my state Writ of Habeas Corpus petition and had demonstrated that the state is depriving me of liberty and financial income by garnishing my SSI disability under threat of me being thrown in jail, that barring me from challenging my criminal conviction on a technicality is itself a procedural bar and "Actual Innocence claims" are not to be procedurally barred according to the United States Supreme Court.

The highest Court that can even overrule the State Supreme Courts, the U.S. Supreme Court has original jurisdiction and interpretation over all matters concerning the United States Constitution and the Fourteenth Amendment of the Bill of Rights which enforces the Bill of Rights to all 50 states including Commonwealth states.

The Commonwealth of Virginia had lost the civil war in 1865 historically. Virginia does not have the power and authority to override the supremacy of the United States. The Federal Supremacy Clause applies to Virginia. Federal SSI disability income is protected from garnishment according to federal law. Also Virginia cannot overrule the precedent already set by the United States Supreme Court.

Actual Innocence can be brought up in a Habeas Corpus petition and can overcome any procedural default and even any statute of limitations. Jurisdictional bars don't even matter once actual innocence is asserted and established in a Writ petition.

Petitioner is entitled to relief and has every right to sue the Commonwealth of Virginia for wrongfully convicting him and depriving him of all of his basic Constitutional rights including but not limited to the right to effective assistance of counsel. Once actual innocence is established, all of his constitutional rights that were deprived which caused an innocent man to be wrongfully convicted can also be asserted in a Habeas Corpus petition since those violations of Petitioner's constitutional rights had caused the conviction of somebody who is innocent of his charge.

Respectfully the Petitioner asks that the Commonwealth of Virginia and Attorney General not be allowed to withdraw from the case to the extent where the Petition may be dismissed.

If the Attorney General simply doesn't want to respond, they don't have to and can tell the Court that they do not wish to respond to the Petition and can waive that right.

If the Commonwealth of Virginia simply doesn't want to respond, they don't have



to and can tell the Court that they do not wish to respond to the Petition and can waive that right.

Petitioner is actually innocent and will do whatever it takes to be acquitted. Petitioner's next move if dismissal happens is a Writ of Coram Vobis. This Supreme Court could even consider his original petition as a Writ of Coram Vobis under the liberal construction of pro se filings.

I will mail six copies of this letter if requested by the Clerk as an official reply to the Attorney General of Virginia's letter.

Thank You,
Brian D. Hill

Former news reporter of USWGO Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2 Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505



CC: Rachel L. Yates
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General of Virginia
202 North Ninth Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219
(804)786-2071
Fax # 804-786-1991 transmission
Counsel for Appellee,

Brian D. Hill
Signed
Ally of Qanon
Drain The Swamp
Qanon-S.O.S.

CC: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.
Appellee
Martinsville Commonwealth Attorney Office
Fax: 276-403-5478 transmission,

CC: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett, Clerk
Martinsville Circuit Court Clerk
Please file in case nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00
Phone/Fax
Phone: (276) 403-5106
Fax: (276) 403-5232, transmission.



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 3/6/2020	Time: 2:15:21 AM
Number of pages: 25	Session duration: 35:14
Attn.: Rachel L. Yates, Esq.	To: Attorney General of Virginia
Recipient's number: T804-786-1991	Message type: Fax
Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\Letter to Supreme Court Error Correction: Yes\Attorney General(6) (2020-03-06).tif	Resolution: 200*200 dpi
File description: Letter to Supreme Court Clerk responding to Attorney General(6)	Record number: 8099
Recipient's Fax ID: VA OAG	
Rate: 12000 bps	

**Response Letter to Clerk of the Supreme Court of Virginia concerning
"Re: Brian David Hill v. Commonwealth of Virginia, Attorney General,
Record No. 200267" Appellee letter dated March 3, 2020**

Started: Thursday, March 5, 2020

Finished: Friday, March 6, 2020

ATTN: The Honorable Douglas B. Robelen, Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of Virginia
100 North 9th Street, 5th Floor, Richmond, Virginia 23219
Phone: (804) 786-2251 V / TDD
FAX : (804) 786-6249

Dear Hon. Robelen Hon. McCoy,

I am grateful for the clarification on the matter by the Assistant Attorney General of Virginia. I am also grateful that the Assistant Attorney General is keeping it professional as a lawyer and not lying about me in response unlike Glen Andrew Hall who does have a bad reputation with private lawyers I had free consultation with. I will keep with the legal chivalry here and provide a professional legal response as a non-lawyer. I will cite some of my personal and emotional opinions as well as it is my First Amendment right.

However Petitioner, Brian David Hill has a constitutional right to challenge the Commonwealth of Virginia when the Commonwealth has taken the liberty and financial interests of Petitioner. Petitioner will be happy to produce six copies of this letter if requested by the Clerk of this Court.

Peeverell v. Eskew, Record No. 0060-01-4, at *1 (Va. Ct. App. Apr. 23, 2002) (“The fourteenth amendment, in declaring that no State ‘shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law, nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws,’ undoubtedly intended, not only that there should be no arbitrary deprivation of life or liberty or arbitrary spoliation of property, but that equal protection and security should be given to all under like circumstances in the enjoyment of their personal and civil rights; that all persons should be equally entitled to pursue their happiness and acquire and enjoy property; that they should have like access to the courts of the country for the protection of their persons and property, the prevention and redress of wrongs, and the enforcement of contracts; and that no



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 3/6/2020	Time: 2:50:16 AM
Number of pages: 25	Session duration: 26:53
Attn.: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.	To: Commonwealth Attorney
Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478	Message type: Fax
Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\Letter to Supreme Court Error Correction: Yes\Attorney General(6) {2020-03-06}.tif	
File description: Letter to Supreme Court Clerk responding to Attorney General(6)	Resolution: 200*200 dpi
Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478	Record number: 8100
Rate: 14400 bps	

**Response Letter to Clerk of the Supreme Court of Virginia concerning
"Re: Brian David Hill v. Commonwealth of Virginia, Attorney General,
Record No. 200267" Appellee letter dated March 3, 2020**

Started: Thursday, March 5, 2020

Finished: Friday, March 6, 2020

ATTN: The Honorable Douglas B. Robelen, Clerk of the Court
 Supreme Court of Virginia
 100 North 9th Street, 5th Floor, Richmond, Virginia 23219
 Phone: (804) 786-2251 V / TDD
 FAX : (804) 786-6249

Dear Hon. Robelen Hon. McCoy,

I am grateful for the clarification on the matter by the Assistant Attorney General of Virginia. I am also grateful that the Assistant Attorney General is keeping it professional as a lawyer and not lying about me in response unlike Glen Andrew Hall who does have a bad reputation with private lawyers I had free consultation with. I will keep with the legal chivalry here and provide a professional legal response as a non-lawyer. I will cite some of my personal and emotional opinions as well as it is my First Amendment right.

However Petitioner, Brian David Hill has a constitutional right to challenge the Commonwealth of Virginia when the Commonwealth has taken the liberty and financial interests of Petitioner. Petitioner will be happy to produce six copies of this letter if requested by the Clerk of this Court.

Peeverell v. Eskew, Record No. 0060-01-4, at *1 (Va. Ct. App. Apr. 23, 2002) ("The fourteenth amendment, in declaring that no State 'shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law, nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws,' undoubtedly intended, not only that there should be no arbitrary deprivation of life or liberty or arbitrary spoliation of property, but that equal protection and security should be given to all under like circumstances in the enjoyment of their personal and civil rights; that all persons should be equally entitled to pursue their happiness and acquire and enjoy property; that they should have like access to the courts of the country for the protection of their persons and property, the prevention and redress of wrongs, and the enforcement of contracts; and that no



Exhibit 1

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP




PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
MARTINSVILLE GENERAL DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. C18-3138
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00

Exhibit in attachment to "BRIEF AND EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS"



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
v.) 1:13CR435-1
BRIAN DAVID HILL)

JUDGMENT AND COMMITMENT Supervised Release Violation Hearing

On September 12, 2019, a hearing was held on a charge that the Defendant had violated the terms and conditions of supervised release as set forth in the Court's Order filed July 24, 2015 and the Judgment filed November 12, 2014 in the above-entitled case, copies of which are attached hereto and incorporated by reference into this Judgment and Commitment.

The Defendant was represented by Renorda E. Pryor, Attorney.

The Defendant was found to have violated the terms and conditions of his supervised release. The violation(s) as follow were willful and without lawful excuse.

Violation 1. On September 21, 2018, the Defendant was arrested for the commission of a crime.

IT IS ORDERED that the Defendant's supervised release be revoked. The Court has considered the U.S. Sentencing Guidelines and the policy statements, which are advisory, and the Court has considered the applicable factors of 18 U.S.C. §§ 3553(a) and 3583(e).

IT IS ORDERED that the Defendant be committed to the custody



Exhibit 2

USWGO

QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP



PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

MARTINSVILLE GENERAL DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. C18-3138

MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00

Exhibit in attachment to "BRIEF AND EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS"



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

VA. CODE §§ 19.2-354; 19.2-358

Case No(s). CR1900009-00

In the Circuit Court for the [X] City [] County of MARTINSVILLE

[] COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

[X] CITY [] COUNTY OF MARTINSVILLE

v. BRIAN DAVID HILL

DEFENDANT

-0319
SSN

UNKNOWN

DRIVER'S LICENSE NUMBER

DRIVER'S LICENSE STATE

310 FOREST ST, APT 1, MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112

RESIDENCE ADDRESS

MAILING ADDRESS IF DIFFERENT FROM ABOVE

TELEPHONE NUMBER

I. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SUSPENSION OR REVOCATION OF DRIVER'S LICENSE

I acknowledge that I have been notified that my driver's license/driving privilege:

[] is suspended or revoked for a period of effective as a result of

[] my conviction by this Court.

[] action taken by the Virginia Department of Motor Vehicles pursuant to Va. Code § 46.2-390.1 for the Court's conviction or finding of facts sufficient to convict me of violating the drug laws (Va. Code §§ 18.2-247 through 18.2-264) of this Commonwealth.

[] Declaration by the Virginia Department of Motor Vehicles [] Adjudication by Court that I am a habitual offender.

I acknowledge that I owe fines, costs, forfeiture, restitution and/or penalty of \$ 1,222.45 plus any additional court-appointed attorney fee, if applicable.

I further certify that on this date this notice was read, understood by me, and I received a copy of the same, and that my driver's license

[] WAS [] WAS NOT surrendered to this Court. Reason not surrendered:

November 15, 2019

(x) Brian D. Hill

DEFENDANT

DATE

State/Commonwealth of [] Virginia []

County/City of Martinsville

Acknowledged before me this day by Brian David Hill

PRINT NAME OF SIGNATORY

November 15, 2019

DATE

Jennifer C. Gadin
Notary Registered for Va. My commission expires:

READ PART I ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM FOR MORE STIPULATIONS, WHICH ARE INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE AND ARE MADE A PART OF THIS ACKNOWLEDGMENT.

II. ORDER AND NOTICE OF DEFERRED PAYMENT OR INSTALLMENT PAYMENTS

SEE PART II ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM FOR FURTHER STIPULATIONS, WARNINGS AND INFORMATION CONCERNING THIS NOTICE AND ORDER, WHICH ARE HEREBY INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE.

Upon due consideration, the Defendant's Petition for deferred or installment payments is accordingly ACCEPTED, and the Defendant is ORDERED to pay costs, fines, forfeiture, and penalty totaling \$ 1,222.45, plus restitution totaling \$ plus any additional court-appointed attorney fee, court reporter fee, and interest, if applicable, by:

[X] making 4 installment payments of \$ 300.00 per 6 MONTH beginning 05/15/2020 until paid in full; or

[] making a deferred payment in full on or before 12/02/2019

[] Restitution payments are to be paid in accordance with the court's ORDER FOR RESTITUTION previously entered.

If Deferred payment is not received by the above due date, or if the final installment payment is not received by the defendant is hereby given NOTICE to return to this Court on at

The total listed above does not include transcript costs and any costs/damages that may be charged if you appeal from this court.

NOTICE: READ PART II ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM FOR MORE STIPULATIONS WHICH ARE INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE AND ARE MADE A PART OF THIS ORDER AND NOTICE.

Entered this 15TH day of NOVEMBER, 2019

I have asked for and received a copy of this Order and Notice.

(x) Brian D. Hill
DEFENDANT

Ashby R. Pritchett
CLERK

FORM CC-1379 FRONT 07/19



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

PART I

I understand that if I provide for payment of a fine or other monies due by a method other than cash and my payment fails, the Clerk will send me a written notice of my failure of payment. A penalty of \$50.00 or 10 percent of the amount of the payment, whichever is greater, may be charged if the method of payment fails.

I further understand that, if I am convicted of driving while my driver's license is suspended or revoked, I may be fined, sentenced to jail, or both.

I understand that upon suspension or revocation of my license, I may not operate a motor vehicle in the Commonwealth of Virginia until:

- (1) All periods of suspension imposed by any Court or the Department of Motor Vehicles have expired, AND
- (2) The Department of Motor Vehicles reinstates my license (if suspended) or issues a new license (if revoked) after:
 - (a) I have paid the reinstatement fee (if any) to the Department of Motor Vehicles, AND
 - (b) I have met all other administrative requirements of the Department of Motor Vehicles.

PART II

I understand that if the Court has ordered deferred or installment payments, or community service to pay all or part of the fines and costs, I must make all required payments or perform all community service on time.

I understand that:

- (1) as a condition of this agreement, I must promptly inform the Court of any change of my mailing address during the term of the agreement;
- (2) if the fines, costs, forfeiture, restitution, and/or penalty are not paid in full by the date ordered, that the Court shall proceed according to the provisions of Va. Code § 19.2-358, which state that a show cause summons or capias for my arrest may be issued;
- (3) the amount(s) listed in this agreement may be administratively amended by the Clerk of this Court in the event additional costs should be assessed and if additional costs are assessed, that the Clerk will forthwith issue a notice to me of the total amount due by first class mail to my address of record;
- (4) the Court or Clerk thereof may adjust the final payment date administratively, without further notice, for installment payment agreements, if I fail to make a scheduled payment or for deferred payments, if I fail to pay in full by the date ordered, for the purposes of referring the account for action pursuant to Va. Code § 19.2-358.

I further understand that if the Court does not receive payments as ordered, my case will be referred for collection enforcement action under §§ 19.2-349, 19.2-353.5, 19.2-358, or 58.1-520 through 58.1-534 of the Code of Virginia. If my case is referred for collection enforcement action under § 19.2-349, the amount that I owe and that can be collected will be increased to reflect the additional costs associated with collection action. If any part of the amount due remains unpaid, pursuant to § 19.2-358, I may be subject to a jail sentence of up to 60 days or an additional fine of up to \$500.00.

Pursuant to Va. Code § 19.2-353.5, if interest on outstanding fines and costs owed to this court accrued during a period when I was incarcerated, I may request that the interest that accrued when I was incarcerated be waived by this Court.

This Order and Notice is provided to the Defendant pursuant to Va. Code § 19.2-354. This Order shall not be spread on the Order Book of this Court.



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

of the Bureau of Prisons for imprisonment for a period of nine (9) months.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that supervised release of nine (9) years is re-imposed under the same terms and conditions as previously imposed.

The Defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for the Middle District of North Carolina or to the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons by 12:00 p.m. on December 6, 2019.

United States District Judge

October 4, 2019.



Exhibit 15

USWGO

QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP



PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

MARTINSVILLE GENERAL DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. C18-3138

MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00

Exhibit in attachment to "BRIEF AND EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS"



Exhibit 1

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN



MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

To Whom This May Concern:

On January 30, 2019 I went to the house at 310 Forest St., Martinsville, Va 24112 to measure and give a price for a Chimney cover. Roberta Hill and her parents: Ken & Stella Forinash escorted me to Apt 1 to show me the fireplace which had a small amount of white residue inside, no damage to the ceiling and wall around the fireplace. They then escorted me downstairs to Apt 2 where parts of the ceiling above the fireplace had fallen and there was a lot of damage in the remaining ceiling below the hearth of the fireplace in apt 1 located above apt 2 and a lot of damage along the wall in apt 2 above and on both sides of the fireplace as well as a lot of white residue inside of the fireplace. After this, we went down another flight of stairs to the basement where the gas boiler heater and the gas hot water heater were located to show me that there would be 3 holes in the chimney.

I then went outside and got my ladder to measure the chimney. This was when I found out that all 3 holes were covered with tin. Knowing that the gas boiler heater & gas hot water heater needed to be vented at all times, I immediately removed the tin covering the hole so carbon monoxide would no longer go through the house. Ms Hill had informed me that she had called a chimney sweep in Rocky Mount, VA in October, 2017 to clean the chimney and to put screen on all holes after the family spotted birds going into their fireplace the year before. In my 25 years of doing this type of work, this was the first time I have ever seen tin covering holes where it is important to vent gas heaters. I showed the family the tin I had just removed and had them to climb my ladder to look at the chimney. We then went back in the house, and I informed them that the white residue inside both fireplaces was from the gas that had no other place to escape and informed them that they had been exposed to carbon monoxide poisoning, but now that the tin had been removed, there should no longer be any problems. I returned to the house on February 4, 2019 and installed a stainless steel multifaceted chimney cap vented with screen on all 4 sides.

Signed as a witness on this date: Kenneth R. Forinash 6-13-19

Pete Compton # 6-13-19

Pete Compton ACE Chimney & Wildlife; Bassett, VA
Phone 276-629-4453





Exhibit 1

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN



MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

VIRGINIA
In City of Martinsville Circuit Court
Clerk's Office.
Received and Filed this the
22 Day of July, 2019
at 9:30 AM
Testa: Ashby R. Pritchett Clerk



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

To Whom This May Concern:

On January 30, 2019 I went to the house at 310 Forest St., Martinsville, Va 24112 to measure and give a price for a Chimney cover. Roberta Hill and her parents: Ken & Stella Forinash escorted me to Apt 1 to show me the fireplace which had a small amount of white residue inside, no damage to the ceiling and wall around the fireplace. They then escorted me downstairs to Apt 2 where parts of the ceiling above the fireplace had fallen and there was a lot of damage in the remaining ceiling below the hearth of the fireplace in apt 1 located above apt 2 and a lot of damage along the wall in apt 2 above and on both sides of the fireplace as well as a lot of white residue inside of the fireplace. After this, we went down another flight of stairs to the basement where the gas boiler heater and the gas hot water heater were located to show me that there would be 3 holes in the chimney.

I then went outside and got my ladder to measure the chimney. This was when I found out that all 3 holes were covered with tin. Knowing that the gas boiler heater & gas hot water heater needed to be vented at all times, I immediately removed the tin covering the hole so carbon monoxide would no longer go through the house. Ms Hill had informed me that she had called a chimney sweep in Rocky Mount, VA in October, 2017 to clean the chimney and to put screen on all holes after the family spotted birds going into their fireplace the year before. In my 25 years of doing this type of work, this was the first time I have ever seen tin covering holes where it is important to vent gas heaters. I showed the family the tin I had just removed and had them to climb my ladder to look at the chimney. We then went back in the house, and I informed them that the white residue inside both fireplaces was from the gas that had no other place to escape and informed them that they had been exposed to carbon monoxide poisoning, but now that the tin had been removed, there should no longer be any problems. I returned to the house on February 4, 2019 and installed a stainless steel multifaceted chimney cap vented with screen on all 4 sides.

Signed as a witness on this date: Kenneth P. Townsend 6-13-19

Pete Compton 6-13-19

Pete Compton ACE Chimney & Wildlife; Bassett, VA

Phone 276-629-4453





Exhibit 10

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP
MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN



MARTINSVILLE VIRGINIA CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. CR19000009-00

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

2

Ramey, Nicole			nmr
Bouldin, Lauren, RN		RN	lbl
Reynolds, Daniel R		RN	dr

Corrections: (The following items were deleted from the chart)

09/21
04:48 09/21 04:16 COMPREHENSIVE METABOLIC PANEL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:48 09/21 04:16 COMPLETE BLD COUNT W/AUTO DIFF+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:49 09/21 04:16 CPK, TOTAL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:50 09/21 04:16 ALCOHOL, ETHYL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:50 09/21 04:16 STAT OVERDOSE PANEL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:52 09/21 04:52 09/21/2019 04:52 Discharged to Jail/Police. Impression: bdh
Abrasion, right knee; Abrasion of unspecified front wall of thorax.
Condition is Stable. Discharge Instructions: Medication
Reconciliation. Follow up: Private Physician; When: Tomorrow; Reason:
Further diagnostic work-up, Recheck today's complaints, Continuance
of care. Follow up: Emergency Department; When: As needed; Reason:
Fever > 102 F, Trouble breathing, Worsening of condition. Problem is
new. Symptoms have improved. bdh
09/21
04:54 09/21 04:16 URINALYSIS W/REFLEX TO CULTURE+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS



Ramey, Nicole
 Bouldin, Lauren, RN
 Reynolds, Daniel R

RMK
 lbi
 dr

Corrections: (The following items were deleted from the chart)

09/21
 04:48 09/21 04:16 COMPREHENSIVE METABOLIC PANEL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
 09/21
 04:48 09/21 04:16 COMPLETE BLD COUNT W/AUTO DIFF+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
 09/21
 04:49 09/21 04:16 CPK, TOTAL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
 09/21
 04:50 09/21 04:16 ALCOHOL, ETHYL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
 09/21
 04:50 09/21 04:16 STAT OVERDOSE PANEL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
 09/21
 04:52 09/21 04:52 09/21/2018 04:52 Discharged to Jail/Police. Impression: bdh
 Abrasion, right knee; Abrasion of unspecified front wall of thorax.
 Condition is Stable. Discharge Instructions: Medication
 Reconciliation. Follow up: Private Physician; When: Tomorrow; Reason:
 Further diagnostic work-up, Recheck today's complaints, Continuance
 of care. Follow up: Emergency Department; When: As needed; Reason:
 Fever > 102 F, Trouble breathing, Worsening of condition. Problem is
 new. Symptoms have improved. bdh
 09/21
 04:54 09/21 04:16 URINALYSIS W/REFLEX TO CULTURE+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS

Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Fax Cover Page

Date: 3/7/2020

Time: 12:28:08 AM

Pages: 8

To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk

From: Brian David Hill

Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Please file under case no. CR19000009-00

Inquiry Letter re: **PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION**; orig. case no. GC18-3138; Circuit Court Case Nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 03/07/2020 @12:28:08 AM

TESTE: _____
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 03/09/2020 @09:16:14

TESTE: *EP Stanits*
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK



Inquiry Letter re: PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION; orig. case no. GC18-3138; Circuit Court Case Nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00

Friday, March 6, 2020

ATTN: Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD
Licensed Clinical Psychologist
22 Montgomery Street
Clarksburg, VA 24141
Phone: 540-230-8554
Fax: 540-639-3683

CC: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., Martinsville Commonwealth Attorney Office by Fax: 276-403-5478 transmission,

CC: Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Martinsville Circuit Court Clerk, **case nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00**, Fax: (276) 403-5232, transmission. After filing in both cases, please forward to Judge Greer for review.

Dear Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD,

I need to conduct an inquiry and send you this inquiry letter as well as a copy to the prosecuting attorney as well as the Clerk of the Court so that it isn't an ex-parte communication, and is on the record with both parties and the Judge as to the case.

The inquiry regards case no. GC18-3138, the psychological evaluation that was conducted on November 19, 2018, and report dated November 26, 2018.

In any possible materials given to you by either myself or by Scott Albrecht or any any other sources of materials used in the evaluation, were the following materials used or cited in your evaluation?

- 1. Medical record from Dr. Conrad Daum of Piedmont Community Services, Forensic Psychiatrist, diagnosed Patient with "psychosis" on 10/24/2018.

My ex-lawyer Lauren McGarry had told me and my family in consultation in 2019 at the Public Defender Office that the psychosis diagnosis was reviewed by you, that was taken into consideration, and was used in the evaluation report. I read the report and see no mention of the dissemination of any medical record from Dr. Conrad Daum and nothing from Piedmont Community Services in your report.



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Was the medical record report ever reviewed by you when you had conducted the evaluation or was the report not ever reviewed by you when the evaluation was conducted?

Please send a response in writing to both me, the Commonwealth Attorney, and the Circuit Court Clerk under case nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00 to document your response as to this inquiry. It can even be by fax, if the Court permits as per the rules. As it is quicker than mail.

Also I have another inquiry in regards to if you reviewed over the Sovah Hospital medical records dated September 21, 2018. I am attaching two pages in attachment to this letter. One page containing from the Circuit Court record, which is the last page of the acquired medical record from Sovah and the next page is a closeup of the lab tests being ordered which include alcohol, blood count, and likely drug testing as well. The lab tests were ordered, that would mean on the record that blood would have had to have been drawn from Brian David Hill---the patient---and the lab tests were canceled and Brian was discharged to police/jail without ever testing for any substances, narcotics, alcohol. No tests were ever completed despite sinus tachycardia found on the records.

Were you aware that lab tests were ordered but then it was to be deleted from the chart therefore covering up the blood vials and throwing away the blood samples which may have been exculpatory evidence of levels of carbon monoxide gas poisoning?

Were you ever made aware during the evaluation that Brian David Hill had lived in a home with blocked chimney flues with the ceiling and wall starting to deteriorate in 2018 causing parts of the ceiling to come down near the fireplace with white residue and unexpected moisture before Brian David Hill had been arrested?

Were you ever made aware during the evaluation that Brian's and his mother's home had carbon monoxide gas exposure for months and months according to chimney expert Pete Compton of ACE Chimney in Bassett, VA, as well as The Chimney Sweeper?

Thank You,
Brian D. Hill

310 Forest Street, Apt. 2 Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Ally of Qanon – Where We Go One, We Go All (WWG1WGA)



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

2

Ramey, Nicole			
Bouldin, Lauren, RN	RN	ibj	
Reynolds, Daniel R	RN	dr	

Corrections: (The following items were deleted from the chart)

09/21
04:48 09/21 04:16 COMPREHENSIVE METABOLIC PANEL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:48 09/21 04:16 COMPLETE BLD COUNT W/AUTO DIFF+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:49 09/21 04:16 CPK, TOTAL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:50 09/21 04:16 ALCOHOL, ETHYL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:50 09/21 04:16 STAT OVERDOSE PANEL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:52 09/21 04:52 09/21/2019 04:52 Discharged to Jail/Police. Impression: bdh
Abrasion, right knee; Abrasion of unspecified front wall of thorax.
Condition is Stable. Discharge Instructions: Medication
Reconciliation. Follow up: Private Physician; When: Tomorrow; Reason:
Further diagnostic work-up, Recheck today's complaints, Continuance
of care. Follow up: Emergency Department; When: As needed; Reason:
Fever > 102 F, Trouble breathing, Worsening of condition. Problem is
new. Symptoms have improved. bdh
09/21
04:54 09/21 04:16 URINALYSIS W/REFLEX TO CULTURE+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS



Ramey, Nicole
Bouldin, Lauren, RN
Reynolds, Daniel R

nmr
lbl
dr

Corrections: (The following items were deleted from the chart)

09/21
04:48 09/21 04:16 COMPREHENSIVE METABOLIC PANEL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:48 09/21 04:16 COMPLETE BLD COUNT W/AUTO DIFF+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:49 09/21 04:16 CPK, TOTAL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:50 09/21 04:16 ALCOHOL, ETHYL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:50 09/21 04:16 STAT OVERDOSE PANEL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:52 09/21 04:52 09/21/2018 04:52 Discharged to Jail/Police. Impression: bdh
Abrasion, right knee; Abrasion of unspecified front wall of thorax.
Condition is Stable. Discharge Instructions: Medication
Reconciliation. Follow up: Private Physician; When: Tomorrow; Reason:
Further diagnostic work-up, Recheck today's complaints, Continuance
of care. Follow up: Emergency Department; When: As needed; Reason:
Fever > 102 F, Trouble breathing, Worsening of condition. Problem is
new. Symptoms have improved. bdh
09/21
04:54 09/21 04:16 URINALYSIS W/REFLEX TO CULTURE+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 3/7/2020

Number of pages: 4

Attn.: Rachel L. Yates, Esq.

Recipient's number: T804-786-1991

Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\Fax & Voice 6\Out\Inquiry Letter to Virginia

File description: Inquiry Letter to Virginia District Court mental evaluator(5).p

Recipient's Fax ID: VA OAG

Rate: 14400 bps

Time: 12:15:49 AM

Session duration: 4:44

To: Attorney General of Virginia

Message type: Fax

Error Correction: Yes;valuator(5) (2020-03-07).tif

Resolution: 200*200 dpi

Record number: 8104

Inquiry Letter re: PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION; orig. case no. GC18-3138; Circuit Court Case Nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00

Friday, March 6, 2020

ATTN: Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD
Licensed Clinical Psychologist
22 Montgomery Street
Clarksburg, VA 24141
Phone: 540-230-8554
Fax: 540-639-3683

CC: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., Martinsville Commonwealth Attorney Office by Fax: 276-403-5478 transmission,

CC: Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Martinsville Circuit Court Clerk, case nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00, Fax: (276) 403-5232, transmission. After filing in both cases, please forward to Judge Greer for review.

Dear Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD,

I need to conduct an inquiry and send you this inquiry letter as well as a copy to the prosecuting attorney as well as the Clerk of the Court so that it isn't an ex-parte communication, and is on the record with both parties and the Judge as to the case.

The inquiry regards case no. GC18-3138, the psychological evaluation that was conducted on November 19, 2018, and report dated November 26, 2018.

In any possible materials given to you by either myself or by Scott Albrecht or any other sources of materials used in the evaluation, were the following materials used or cited in your evaluation?

- 1. Medical record from Dr. Conrad Daum of Piedmont Community Services, Forensic Psychiatrist, diagnosed Patient with "psychosis" on 10/24/2018.

My ex-lawyer Lauren McGarry had told me and my family in consultation in 2019 at the Public Defender Office that the psychosis diagnosis was reviewed by you, that was taken into consideration, and was used in the evaluation report. I read the report and see no mention of the dissemination of any medical record from Dr. Conrad Daum and nothing from Piedmont Community Services in your report.



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 3/7/2020

Time: 12:20:32 AM

Number of pages: 4

Session duration: 4:27

Attn.: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.

To: Commonwealth Attorney

Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478

Message type: Fax

Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\Inquiry Letter to Virginia Error Correction: Noevaluator(5) {2020-03-07}.tif

File description: Inquiry Letter to Virginia District Court mental evaluator(5).p

Resolution: 200*200 dpi

Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478

Record number: 8105

Rate: 14400 bps

Inquiry Letter re: PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION; orig. case no. GC18-3138; Circuit Court Case Nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00

Friday, March 6, 2020

ATTN: Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD
Licensed Clinical Psychologist
22 Montgomery Street
Clarksburg, VA 24141
Phone: 540-230-8554
Fax: 540-639-3683

CC: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., Martinsville Commonwealth Attorney Office by Fax: 276-403-5478 transmission,

CC: Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Martinsville Circuit Court Clerk, case nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00, Fax: (276) 403-5232, transmission. After filing in both cases, please forward to Judge Greer for review.

Dear Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD,

I need to conduct an inquiry and send you this inquiry letter as well as a copy to the prosecuting attorney as well as the Clerk of the Court so that it isn't an ex-parte communication, and is on the record with both parties and the Judge as to the case.

The inquiry regards case no. GC18-3138, the psychological evaluation that was conducted on November 19, 2018, and report dated November 26, 2018.

In any possible materials given to you by either myself or by Scott Albrecht or any any other sources of materials used in the evaluation, were the following materials used or cited in your evaluation?

- 1. Medical record from Dr. Conrad Daum of Piedmont Community Services, Forensic Psychiatrist, diagnosed Patient with "psychosis" on 10/24/2018.

My ex-lawyer Lauren McGarry had told me and my family in consultation in 2019 at the Public Defender Office that the psychosis diagnosis was reviewed by you, that was taken into consideration, and was used in the evaluation report. I read the report and see no mention of the dissemination of any medical record from Dr. Conrad Daum and nothing from Piedmont Community Services in your report.



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 3/7/2020

Number of pages: 4

Attn.: Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD

Recipient's number: T1-540-639-3683

Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\Inquiry Letter to Virginia

File description: Inquiry Letter to Virginia District Court mental evaluator(5).p

Recipient's Fax ID: 5406393683

Rate: 14400 bps

Time: 12:11:46 AM

Session duration: 4:03

To: Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD

Message type: Fax

Error Correction: Yesvaluator(5) {2020-03-07}.tif

Resolution: 200*200 dpi

Record number: 8103

Inquiry Letter re: PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION; orig. case no. GC18-3138; Circuit Court Case Nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00

Friday, March 6, 2020

ATTN: Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD
Licensed Clinical Psychologist
22 Montgomery Street
Clarksburg, VA 24141
Phone: 540-230-8554
Fax: 540-639-3683

CC: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., Martinsville Commonwealth Attorney Office by Fax: 276-403-5478 transmission,

CC: Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Martinsville Circuit Court Clerk, case nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00, Fax: (276) 403-5232, transmission. After filing in both cases, please forward to Judge Greer for review.

Dear Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD,

I need to conduct an inquiry and send you this inquiry letter as well as a copy to the prosecuting attorney as well as the Clerk of the Court so that it isn't an ex-parte communication, and is on the record with both parties and the Judge as to the case.

The inquiry regards case no. GC18-3138, the psychological evaluation that was conducted on November 19, 2018, and report dated November 26, 2018.

In any possible materials given to you by either myself or by Scott Albrecht or any other sources of materials used in the evaluation, were the following materials used or cited in your evaluation?

- 1. Medical record from Dr. Conrad Daum of Piedmont Community Services, Forensic Psychiatrist, diagnosed Patient with "psychosis" on 10/24/2018.

My ex-lawyer Lauren McGarry had told me and my family in consultation in 2019 at the Public Defender Office that the psychosis diagnosis was reviewed by you, that was taken into consideration, and was used in the evaluation report. I read the report and see no mention of the dissemination of any medical record from Dr. Conrad Daum and nothing from Piedmont Community Services in your report.

3/7/2020

12:35:11 AM

From: Brian David Hill

Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Page 1/ 8

Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Fax Cover Page

Date: 3/7/2020

Time: 12:35:11 AM

Pages: 8

To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk

From: Brian David Hill

Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Please file under case no. CL19000331-00

Inquiry Letter re: PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION; orig. case no. GC18-3138; Circuit Court Case Nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00



Inquiry Letter re: PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION; orig. case no. GC18-3138; Circuit Court Case Nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00

Friday, March 6, 2020

ATTN: Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD
Licensed Clinical Psychologist
22 Montgomery Street
Clarksburg, VA 24141
Phone: 540-230-8554
Fax: 540-639-3683

CC: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., Martinsville Commonwealth Attorney Office by Fax: 276-403-5478 transmission,

CC: Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Martinsville Circuit Court Clerk, case nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00, Fax: (276) 403-5232, transmission. After filing in both cases, please forward to Judge Greer for review.

Dear Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD,

I need to conduct an inquiry and send you this inquiry letter as well as a copy to the prosecuting attorney as well as the Clerk of the Court so that it isn't an ex-parte communication, and is on the record with both parties and the Judge as to the case.

The inquiry regards case no. GC18-3138, the psychological evaluation that was conducted on November 19, 2018, and report dated November 26, 2018.

In any possible materials given to you by either myself or by Scott Albrecht or any other sources of materials used in the evaluation, were the following materials used or cited in your evaluation?

1. Medical record from Dr. Conrad Daum of Piedmont Community Services, Forensic Psychiatrist, diagnosed Patient with "psychosis" on 10/24/2018.

My ex-lawyer Lauren McGarry had told me and my family in consultation in 2019 at the Public Defender Office that the psychosis diagnosis was reviewed by you, that was taken into consideration, and was used in the evaluation report. I read the report and see no mention of the dissemination of any medical record from Dr. Conrad Daum and nothing from Piedmont Community Services in your report.

Page 1 of 2 - Friday, March 6, 2020 - Inquiry Letter psy. Eval.



Was the medical record report ever reviewed by you when you had conducted the evaluation or was the report not ever reviewed by you when the evaluation was conducted?

Please send a response in writing to both me, the Commonwealth Attorney, and the Circuit Court Clerk under case nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00 to document your response as to this inquiry. It can even be by fax, if the Court permits as per the rules. As it is quicker than mail.

Also I have another inquiry in regards to if you reviewed over the Sovah Hospital medical records dated September 21, 2018. I am attaching two pages in attachment to this letter. One page containing from the Circuit Court record, which is the last page of the acquired medical record from Sovah and the next page is a closeup of the lab tests being ordered which include alcohol, blood count, and likely drug testing as well. The lab tests were ordered, that would mean on the record that blood would have had to have been drawn from Brian David Hill---the patient---and the lab tests were canceled and Brian was discharged to police/jail without ever testing for any substances, narcotics, alcohol. No tests were ever completed despite sinus tachycardia found on the records.

Were you aware that lab tests were ordered but then it was to be deleted from the chart therefore covering up the blood vials and throwing away the blood samples which may have been exculpatory evidence of levels of carbon monoxide gas poisoning?

Were you ever made aware during the evaluation that Brian David Hill had lived in a home with blocked chimney flues with the ceiling and wall starting to deteriorate in 2018 causing parts of the ceiling to come down near the fireplace with white residue and unexpected moisture before Brian David Hill had been arrested?

Were you ever made aware during the evaluation that Brian's and his mother's home had carbon monoxide gas exposure for months and months according to chimney expert Pete Compton of ACE Chimney in Bassett, VA, as well as The Chimney Sweeper?

Thank You,
Brian D. Hill

310 Forest Street, Apt. 2 Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Ally of Qanon – Where We Go One, We Go All (WWG1WGA)



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

2

Ramey, Nicole			nmr
Bouldin, Lauren, RN		RN	lbi
Reynolds, Daniel R		RN	dr

Corrections: (The following items were deleted from the chart)

09/21
04:48 09/21 04:16 COMPREHENSIVE METABOLIC PANEL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:48 09/21 04:16 COMPLETE BLD COUNT W/AUTO DIFF+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:49 09/21 04:16 CPK, TOTAL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:50 09/21 04:16 ALCOHOL, ETHYL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:50 09/21 04:16 STAT OVERDOSE PANEL+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS
09/21
04:52 09/21 04:52 09/21/2018 04:52 Discharged to Jail/Police. Impression: bdh
Abrasion, right knee; Abrasion of unspecified front wall of thorax.
Condition is Stable. Discharge Instructions: Medication
Reconciliation. Follow up: Private Physician; When: Tomorrow; Reason:
Further diagnostic work-up, Recheck today's complaints, Continuance
of care. Follow up: Emergency Department; When: As needed; Reason:
Fever > 102 F, Trouble breathing, Worsening of condition. Problem is
new. Symptoms have improved. bdh
09/21
04:54 09/21 04:16 URINALYSIS W/REFLEX TO CULTURE+LAB ordered. EDMS EDMS



Ramey, Nicole		
Bouldin, Lauren, RN	RN	lbi
Reynolds, Daniel R	RN	dr

Corrections: (The following items were deleted from the chart)

09/21				
04:48	09/21	04:16	COMPREHENSIVE METABOLIC PANEL+LAB ordered.	EDMS EDMS
09/21				
04:48	09/21	04:16	COMPLETE BLD COUNT W/AUTO DIFF+LAB ordered.	EDMS EDMS
09/21				
04:49	09/21	04:16	CPK, TOTAL+LAB ordered.	EDMS EDMS
09/21				
04:50	09/21	04:16	ALCOHOL, ETHYL+LAB ordered.	EDMS EDMS
09/21				
04:50	09/21	04:16	STAT OVERDOSE PANEL+LAB ordered.	EDMS EDMS
09/21				
04:52	09/21	04:52	09/21/2018 04:52 Discharged to Jail/Police. Impression:	bdh
Abrasion, right knee; Abrasion of unspecified front wall of thorax.				
Condition is Stable. Discharge Instructions: Medication				
Reconciliation. Follow up: Private Physician; When: Tomorrow; Reason:				
Further diagnostic work-up, Recheck today's complaints, Continuance				
of care. Follow up: Emergency Department; When: As needed; Reason:				
Fever > 102 F, Trouble breathing, Worsening of condition. Problem is				
new. Symptoms have improved. bdh				
09/21				
04:54	09/21	04:16	URINALYSIS W/REFLEX TO CULTURE+LAB ordered.	EDMS EDMS



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 3/7/2020

Time: 12:15:49 AM

Number of pages: 4

Session duration: 4:44

Attn.: Rachel L. Yates, Esq.

To: Attorney General of Virginia

Recipient's number: T804-786-1991

Message type: Fax

Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice\Out\Inquiry Letter to Virginia Error Correction: Yes\evaluator(5) (2020-03-07).tif

File description: Inquiry Letter to Virginia District Court mental evaluator(5).p

Resolution: 200*200 dpi

Recipient's Fax ID: VA OAG

Record number: 8104

Rate: 14400 bps

Inquiry Letter re: PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION; orig. case no. GC18-3138; Circuit Court Case Nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00

Friday, March 6, 2020

ATTN: Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD
Licensed Clinical Psychologist
22 Montgomery Street
Clarksburg, VA 24141
Phone: 540-230-8554
Fax: 540-639-3683

CC: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., Martinsville Commonwealth Attorney Office by Fax: 276-403-5478 transmission,

CC: Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Martinsville Circuit Court Clerk, case nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00, Fax: (276) 403-5232, transmission. After filing in both cases, please forward to Judge Greer for review.

Dear Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD,

I need to conduct an inquiry and send you this inquiry letter as well as a copy to the prosecuting attorney as well as the Clerk of the Court so that it isn't an ex-parte communication, and is on the record with both parties and the Judge as to the case.

The inquiry regards case no. GC18-3138, the psychological evaluation that was conducted on November 19, 2018, and report dated November 26, 2018.

In any possible materials given to you by either myself or by Scott Albrecht or any other sources of materials used in the evaluation, were the following materials used or cited in your evaluation?

1. Medical record from Dr. Conrad Daum of Piedmont Community Services, Forensic Psychiatrist, diagnosed Patient with "psychosis" on 10/24/2018.

My ex-lawyer Lauren McGarry had told me and my family in consultation in 2019 at the Public Defender Office that the psychosis diagnosis was reviewed by you, that was taken into consideration, and was used in the evaluation report. I read the report and see no mention of the dissemination of any medical record from Dr. Conrad Daum and nothing from Piedmont Community Services in your report.



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 3/7/2020

Time: 12:20:32 AM

Number of pages: 4

Session duration: 4:27

Attn.: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.

To: Commonwealth Attorney

Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478

Message type: Fax

Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\Inquiry Letter to Virginia Error Correction: Noevaluator(5) (2020-03-07).tif

File description: Inquiry Letter to Virginia District Court mental evaluator(5).p

Resolution: 200*200 dpi

Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478

Record number: 8105

Rate: 14400 bps

Inquiry Letter re: PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION; orig. case no. GC18-3138; Circuit Court Case Nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00

Friday, March 6, 2020

ATTN: Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD
Licensed Clinical Psychologist
22 Montgomery Street
Clarksburg, VA 24141
Phone: 540-230-8554
Fax: 540-639-3683

CC: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., Martinsville Commonwealth Attorney Office by Fax: 276-403-5478 transmission,

CC: Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Martinsville Circuit Court Clerk, case nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00, Fax: (276) 403-5232, transmission. After filing in both cases, please forward to Judge Greer for review.

Dear Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD,

I need to conduct an inquiry and send you this inquiry letter as well as a copy to the prosecuting attorney as well as the Clerk of the Court so that it isn't an ex-parte communication, and is on the record with both parties and the Judge as to the case.

The inquiry regards case no. GC18-3138, the psychological evaluation that was conducted on November 19, 2018, and report dated November 26, 2018.

In any possible materials given to you by either myself or by Scott Albrecht or any other sources of materials used in the evaluation, were the following materials used or cited in your evaluation?

- 1. Medical record from Dr. Conrad Daum of Piedmont Community Services, Forensic Psychiatrist, diagnosed Patient with "psychosis" on 10/24/2018.

My ex-lawyer Lauren McGarry had told me and my family in consultation in 2019 at the Public Defender Office that the psychosis diagnosis was reviewed by you, that was taken into consideration, and was used in the evaluation report. I read the report and see no mention of the dissemination of any medical record from Dr. Conrad Daum and nothing from Piedmont Community Services in your report.



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 3/7/2020	Time: 12:11:46 AM
Number of pages: 4	Session duration: 4:03
Attn.: Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD	To: Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD
Recipient's number: T1-540-639-3683	Message type: Fax
Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\Inquiry Letter to Virginia	Error Correction: Yes;valuator(5) (2020-03-07).tif
File description: Inquiry Letter to Virginia District Court mental evaluator(5).p	Resolution: 200*200 dpi
Recipient's Fax ID: 5406393683	Record number: 8103
Rate: 14400 bps	

Inquiry Letter re: PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION; orig. case no. GC18-3138; Circuit Court Case Nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00

Friday, March 6, 2020

ATTN: Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD
 Licensed Clinical Psychologist
 22 Montgomery Street
 Clarksburg, VA 24141
 Phone: 540-230-8554
 Fax: 540-639-3683

CC: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., Martinsville Commonwealth Attorney Office by Fax: 276-403-5478 transmission,
 CC: Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Martinsville Circuit Court Clerk, case nos. CR19000009-00 and CL19000331-00, Fax: (276) 403-5232, transmission. After filing in both cases, please forward to Judge Greer for review.

Dear Rebecca K. Loehrer, PhD,

I need to conduct an inquiry and send you this inquiry letter as well as a copy to the prosecuting attorney as well as the Clerk of the Court so that it isn't an ex-parte communication, and is on the record with both parties and the Judge as to the case.

The inquiry regards case no. GC18-3138, the psychological evaluation that was conducted on November 19, 2018, and report dated November 26, 2018.

In any possible materials given to you by either myself or by Scott Albrecht or any other sources of materials used in the evaluation, were the following materials used or cited in your evaluation?

1. Medical record from Dr. Conrad Daum of Piedmont Community Services, Forensic Psychiatrist, diagnosed Patient with "psychosis" on 10/24/2018.

My ex-lawyer Lauren McGarry had told me and my family in consultation in 2019 at the Public Defender Office that the psychosis diagnosis was reviewed by you, that was taken into consideration, and was used in the evaluation report. I read the report and see no mention of the dissemination of any medical record from Dr. Conrad Daum and nothing from Piedmont Community Services in your report.

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com) Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 3/15/2020 Time: 11:50:17 PM
Number of pages: 10 Session duration: 3:57
Attn.: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq. To: Commonwealth Attorney
Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478 Message type: Fax
Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\Motion to Proceed Pro Se on Appeals(3)_Signed.pdf Error Correction: Nojoined (2020-03-15).tif
File description: Motion to Proceed Pro Se on Appeals(3)_Signed.pdf Resolution: 200*200 dpi
Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478 Record number: 8110
Rate: 14400 bps

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,
Plaintiff,

v.

BRIAN DAVID HILL,
Defendant,

Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00

Motion to Proceed Pro Se on Appeals

Motion to Proceed Pro Se on Appeals

Pursuit to the Sixth Amendment of the United States Constitution, if this Court cannot appoint/afford effective counsel to Brian David Hill ("Petitioner") the criminal defendant in this case, then Petitioner prefers to represent himself in both criminal appeals, and files this motion with the Circuit Court asking that Petitioner represent himself in both appeals.

Petitioner rather not be ruined by Matthew Scott Thomas Clark who is doing the Deep State Swamp a benefit by misrepresenting Petitioner and not getting in contact with Petitioner at all during both appeal case nos. CAV #0128-20-3, and CAV #0129-20-3.

Attorney Matthew Clark never told Petitioner and his family that Petitioner would held liable to paying over \$1,000 of legal fees that is illegal under federal statute regarding garnishment and levying of SSI disability benefits. The whole "withdrawal of appeal" and acceptance of the General District Court decision is unconstitutional and

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 03/16/2020 @09:20:56

TESTE: 

CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

_____)	
)	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)	
Plaintiff,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
)	
v.)	
)	
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)	
Defendant,)	
)	
)	Motion for Waiving Legal Fees or Not
_____)	Enforcing Them
)	

Motion for Waiving Legal Fees or Not Enforcing Them

Pursuit to § 8.01-512.4 and 42 U.S. Code § 407, Brian David Hill (“Petitioner”) the criminal defendant in this case files this motion for requesting the Waiving of Legal Fees or Not Enforcing Them in this Circuit Court, for lack of funds and that Petitioner has no garnishable income and no liquid assets. Petitioner does not work a job and therefore does not actually make any money that can be subject to garnishment as it is a protected Federal Benefits program by Federal Law and is Petitioner’s only source of income to live off of.

This motion is to file evidence that establishes Petitioner has no garnishable income, owns no car, and owns no home. Petitioner owes no restitution to the Commonwealth of Virginia as there are no reported victims. The only fees charged

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 03/16/2020 @09:21:25

TESTE: 
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK



to Petitioner is legal fees such as attorney fees, and that is forbidden under Federal Law from any kind of garnishment, execution and levying.

Quote from 42 U.S. Code § 407. Assignment of benefits:

(a) In general: The right of any person to any future payment under this subchapter shall not be transferable or assignable, at law or in equity, and none of the moneys paid or payable or rights existing under this subchapter shall be subject to execution, levy, attachment, garnishment, or other legal process, or to the operation of any bankruptcy or insolvency law.

Citing to *Washington State Dep't of Social & Health Servs v Guardianship Estate of Keffeler*, 537 US 371; 123 S Ct 1017; 154 L Ed 2d 972 (2003), the Court stated that an "other legal process (1) requires utilization of some judicial or quasi-judicial mechanism; (2) by which control over property passes from one person to another; (3) in order to discharge or secure discharge of an existing or anticipated liability." Here, a judicial mechanism was used (*i.e.*, a restitution order) to secure a discharge of Alexandroni's existing liability. Further, if the trial court used its contempt power to cause her to satisfy the restitution it would be "use of a judicial mechanism to pass control over those benefits from one person to another." Thus, although the trial court properly determined the SSDI benefits were "income," its use of its contempt powers would constitute an "other legal process" in violation of 42 USC 407(a). That is so, the court reasoned, even though a contempt order does not "touch a contemtor's money directly," but instead coerces the compentor to comply.

The Court concluded by stating that an actual contempt order would violate 42 USC 407(a), but the "mere specter of a contempt hearing" would not necessarily be an "other legal process." Additionally, the Court found, the restitution order itself remained valid, and the trial court could make further determinations as to Alexandroni's ability to pay from other sources of income.

That case law applies to restitution but Petitioner owes no restitution, but the legal argument and logic are exactly the same. Using any "legal process" to force the payment of legal fees to pay both the prosecution and defense lawyers violates the federal Social Security Act law.

Petitioner also files evidence in Exhibit in attachment to this "Motion" an Exhibit 1: Two receipt stubs of the \$500 a month of rent out of his \$783 dollars a



month, utilities included. The monthly rent only leaves Petitioner with \$283 a month to live off of. See Exhibit 1.

Petitioner, again is not subject to restitution. Petitioner has no victims. Petitioner's only legal fees are of attorney fees and any other misc. legal fees which would be exempted from any garnishment under federal law. Petitioner pays part of the remainder of that for fighting his state case and his federal criminal case for actual innocence acquittal. The cost of the legal mailings for both the state and federal cases (*Petitioner has six federal appeals pending before the Fourth Circuit, five are pro se and one is represented by a federal appellate attorney from West Virginia*), as well as the paper, ink, and pens. Diabetic shoes are no longer covered by Virginia Medicaid due to changes in Medicaid law or Medicaid benefits coverage, so now Petitioner has to pay for shoes once again as well as any special inserts to protect his feet as a Type 1 Brittle Diabetic. Petitioner's food stamps under EBT is also being lowered by the Commonwealth of Virginia over the years, and therefore some of his limited and only income of SSI disability money may also have to go towards food and snacks as well since he is a diabetic and may need to purchase juice or any glucose beverage. It is required by his diabetes educator from Carilion Clinic that he must have snacks with protein to prevent low blood sugars which can lead to a seizure or death.



Therefore Petitioner is asking this Court to not enforce its demand for legal fees out of this criminal case or that the legal fees be waived or only enforced to ever any future income that is not protected from garnishment. Petitioner is also thinking about declaring bankruptcy in the Roanoke federal courthouse against the State for demanding legal fees over an in-debtor that has no actual garnishable assets.

Already Petitioner is having to fight against his criminal case right and left out of belief that Petitioner was wrongfully convicted of indecent exposure and that Petitioner shouldn't be punished for fighting for his constitutional rights as that seems to deprive Petitioner of his constitutional rights to increase the legal fees anytime Petitioner files anything in trying to fight for his guaranteed constitutional rights for criminal defendants in all cases. Petitioner has until May, 2020, to start paying off his state debt to the Commonwealth of Virginia, as well as any additional legal fees to court appointed lawyer Matthew Scott Thomas Clark.

For one, the Federal Courts do not garnish Petitioner's SSI for attorney fees and do not garnish over him not succeeding in his criminal case. Only states seem to do this out of a desperate bid to maintain their state budgets, by making the indigent criminal defendants pay the legal fees instead of the tax dollars. However it may violate the Federal Supremacy Clause where Federal Laws are the supreme law of the land as long as it doesn't violate the United States Constitution.



The mental evaluation that was conducted in the General District Court stated that Petitioner has Autism and/or Obsessive Compulsive Disorder. Petitioner buys soap and other sanitary items that also cost a good amount of money to maintain hygiene, especially during the COVID-19 CoronaVirus pandemic.

It is absurd that the Commonwealth and it's Courts will mandate that Petitioner pay over \$1,000 for counsel that wasn't even effective and deprived him of due process and deprived him of having any defense at all, deprived him of having any evidence that would be exculpatory in proving his innocence, and yet Petitioner was never told by Matthew Scott Thomas Clark that Petitioner would be responsible for over \$1,000 worth of legal fees if he withdraws his appeal and accepts the decision in the lower court. That also very well makes his guilty plea illegal, invalid, null and void in the eyes of the United States Supreme Court.

If this Court has to continue this demand for legal fees from Petitioner who's only source of income is his SSI disability that is protected under 42 USC 407(a), Petitioner will have to declare bankruptcy in Federal Court or sue this Court under the Social Security Act to order a federal preliminary injunction and have emergency injunctive relief against the State Court for exercising an attempted garnishment through other legal process with threat of Petitioner facing jail-time if he doesn't pay the mandatory legal costs. Even the restitution fee exemption from



the protection from garnishment has its limits. Petitioner has no restitution in this state case. See "11/15/2019" "PAYMENT AGREEMENT PLAN".

His bank account is only used to deposit social security SSI payments through Direct Deposit. No other sources of money are deposited in this bank account. Exhibit 2 proves this as it will show his monthly amount. See. Exhibit 2. Petitioner may also have to keep a \$100 minimum to prevent bank fees. So even this bank account cannot be garnished as it is the Social Security Administration's mechanism for Direct Deposit for Petitioner's monthly SSI income.

Petitioner owns no land, owns no home, only rents, and his only sources of income are his SSI disability benefits. Public assistance payments (§ 63.2-506, Code of Virginia) which may include EBT can only be used for food and cannot be garnished. Petitioner is also renting the used furniture and doesn't even own them either. See the Affidavit attached thereto as supporting evidence. See Exhibit 3. Petitioner is on Federal Supervised Release and his Probation Officer never makes him pay any money for the court ordered Supervised Release Conditions.

If this Court cannot consider these circumstances of Petitioner's finances, Petitioner will have no choice but to legally declare federal bankruptcy which Petitioner will do because he has never been subject to these kind of legal fees before in his life. Even when he was sued by Righthaven, LLC, for federal



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

copyright infringement over what he had posted on USWGO Alternative News in 2011 at uswgo.com, the Federal Judge recognized that Righthaven, LLC was suing somebody who has a caretaker and only has SSI disability. Also USWGO Alternative News is the opposite of Infowars by Alex Jones as Infowars makes a profit off of his alternative media platform while Petitioner ran his website on a voluntarily basis from 2009-2012 as a hobby blog and never used that platform to make any profit. So USWGO Alternative News was like Infowars but USWGO isn't in it to profit off of truth and fear. He was only in it to get the truth out there to the American People, like a real journalist instead of the corporate Bilderberg Group controlled media. Here's the Federal Judge's opinion in his order:

Citing ORDER. Mr. Hill's [12] Motion for Attorney Fees is denied. By Judge John L. Kane on 9/9/11. Docket Number: 1:11-cv-00211, U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado:

“Apparently unbeknownst to Righthaven, however, Mr. Hill is a mentally and physically disabled young man who requires around-the-clock attention, which is provided by his mother. Because of his disabilities, neither Mr. Hill nor his mother can work; their only form of income is Mr. Hill’s monthly Social Security disbursement. Initially, Righthaven showed little sympathy for Mr. Hill’s poor health and limited financial resources. It opposed Mr. Hill’s motion for an extension of time to file an answer, 2 and attempted to impose numerous conditions in its settlement negotiations with Mr. Hill. Mr. Hill refused to accept Righthaven’s proposed settlement, instead filing an Omnibus Motion to Dismiss, Transfer Venue, and for Attorney Fees (doc. 12).”

Social Security Administration does not consider even household furniture as liquid assets such as “Household goods, if needed for maintenance, use and



occupancy of the home”, “Personal effects”, and “Property essential to self-support”. That is because a basic minimum is needed for survival and be able to communicate with members of the Government and Government agencies such as for example communications with the Courts of the United States and social service type programs. Without a telephone, Petitioner cannot call anybody or ensure that his legal filings by fax are even received. Faxes are cheaper than certified mailings. However, some courts may allow filings by fax and others do not. So then the filings are done by legal mailings which can get costly for somebody under a limited source of income per month. Depending on how complex the federal and/or state cases and pending appeals will get.

Even in increments, this places Petitioner in debt to the Commonwealth of Virginia, indebted to the state. How the costly debt was accrued due to Petitioner fighting right and left to be acquitted of indecent exposure, prevent a Supervised Release Revocation, and protect his constitutional rights which is punishment. Petitioner is punished with higher legal fees for exercising any of his due process and constitutional rights which puts the poor at a disadvantage. Forcing poor people to give up as they cannot afford to fight to prove their innocence. Our constitutional rights of American citizens should not be restricted to those who can afford it, constitutional rights are guaranteed by the Bill of Rights to all Americans, whether they are super rich like the Bilderberg Globalist Elite, rich, middle-class,



or even the poor. Even the state laws requiring that criminal defendants pay legal fees and increase those legal fees to those that fight their charges and appeal to the higher courts violates the Equal Protection clause of the U.S. Constitution. Federal Courts by example don't charge the legal fees of both the prosecution and defense attorney in federal criminal cases. Why are State Courts allowed to punish and take money from those who simply fight for their constitutional rights due to the matter of a state statute to save money for the state when Federal Courts also have scarce judicial resources and do not financially penalize those who simply fight as a criminal defendant for their constitutional rights and be able to defend themselves under effective counsel. Petitioner believes this deprives poor people and disabled people of due process in the State Courts, and violates both due process guarantee and the equal protection under the laws clause of the U.S. Constitution. A rich person can easily pay any thousands of dollars in a state case whether they win or lose, losing a criminal case is no big deal to those with a lot of money. However for a person under SSI disability disbursement payments, homeless people, and poor people, they are told to pay the State a lot of money or be jailed again and thus be charged with another crime and thus have even more debts and legal fees. It is extortion at best or at worst, extortion of the poor. Even Jesus Christ was for the poor and did not want the Roman Empire taxing the heck out of the poor and then they not receive any representation but instead being robbed of their



daughters, their land, and their property by the Romans that conquered them. The United States of America is not the Roman Empire though and has the Supreme Law of the Land which is the United States Constitution and the Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS) that is the final Court of last resort for all State and Federal judicial decisions.

The Circuit Court and all State Courts cannot override the Federal Supremacy Clause. Virginia lost the civil war in 1865 at Appomattox Courthouse, when they surrendered and was no longer part of the confederacy. It is illegal for any Court to garnish somebodies Social Security Disability (referring to "SSI") disbursement payments when that money is simply to pay these already-overpaid and dishonest lawyers and is not for restitution. With no restitution ordered by the Court of the Commonwealth of Virginia, this Court has no legal right to garnish the federal SSI income of Brian David Hill to pay lawyers' fees. Even at increments, it is still garnishing or levying and indebting Brian David Hill to pay the fees of lawyers. Even Jesus Christ denounced lawyers in the bible for their dishonesty stating bible verse of Luke 11:46 "*Woe to you also, lawyers! For you load men with burdens hard to bear, and you yourselves do not touch the burdens with one of your fingers.*" That bible verse is correct. Matthew Clark refused to fight for Petitioner and kept making excuses to persuade Petitioner and his family to withdraw the appeal but not telling his family that Petitioner would be liable for

over \$1,000 worth of legal fee debt. Matthew Clark will never touch with his fingers the very same burdens he placed on his client---Brian David Hill. Even Jesus Christ would not agree with what has happened to Petitioner. It is wrong. Malpractice by Matthew Clark and the frauds perpetuated by Glen Andrew Hall should not place such a hefty financial burden on Petitioner. The Government should never be going after the poor and putting the poor at such a disadvantage.

Petitioner has cited a bible verse and legal case law as to why the legal fees being levied against Petitioner over not prevailing in his criminal case is wrong.

Unless Petitioner has anything to liquidate, he virtually has no assets unless any used furniture he uses/needs or the printer he uses of his mother's to type up the legal filings would even remotely be considered an asset. Even at \$50 a month, this is still garnishment and places Petitioner in debt to the State over simply fighting for his constitutional rights. It is to retaliate financially against anybody doing anything or filing any pleading to fight for any constitutional or legal right.

Petitioner is filing this motion hoping that this Court will make amends to its wrongful judgment, that he will not have to take to the extremes of suing this Court under the federal Social Security Act over the anti-garnishment clause of Title 42 U.S. Code § 407, and even go a step further and declare bankruptcy which of course the Petitioner has nothing that could even exceed up to \$5,000 which that



amount of personal effects is protected under federal bankruptcy. Petitioner cannot even exceed that his used personal effects would even go up to \$5,000 since the used furniture is actually what his mother is letting him use. Used furniture, especially since used furniture have defects would be hardly worth anything, even if the furniture was claimed by Petitioner as his own for “maintenance, use and occupancy of the home” or even “Property essential to self-support”, it may not be considered liquid assets.

The Commonwealth of Virginia has no legitimate grounds to enforce its legal fees on Petitioner.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner asks this Court to suspend or set aside its order on 11/15/2019 enforcing the payment of legal fees accrued in this state criminal case unless Petitioner has any liquid assets in the future that can be garnished (even though that is unlikely), or waive the legal fees entirely.

Petitioner asks this Honorable Court for such order by granting of this motion. Whether or not the Honorable Judge may personally agree or disagree with the opinions and bible verse quoted by Petitioner, Petitioner’s motion is well-grounded in law, well-grounded in evidence, and is not frivolous. Petitioner kindly asks that any emotional reaction to his opinions not be used against him in the



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

decision of this motion since it is protected under the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution. Thank You!

EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO THIS MOTION:

Exhibit No.	Description	Page range
EXHIBIT 1.	Two rent pay stubs showing that Petitioner is having to pay \$500 rent a month to the landlord.	1-2
EXHIBIT 2.	SSI letter with the monthly income statement, from the Social Security Administration. Direct Deposit proof from SunTrust bank.	3-5
EXHIBIT 3.	Affidavit of Indigence by Brian David Hill in support of Motion.	6-9


9 pages total.

Respectfully filed with this Court, this the 14th Day of March, 2020.

BRIAN DAVID HILL

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt.
2 Martinsville,
Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505
Pro Se



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 15th day of March, 2020, I caused this "Motion for Waiving Legal Fees or Not Enforcing Them" to be transmitted by facsimile (fax machine) to the Commonwealth of Virginia through the Commonwealth Attorney's Office of Martinsville (Fax # 276-403-5478) and will attach proof of service (*Transmission ticket receipt for proof of transmission*) which shall satisfy proof of service:

Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.
Martinsville Commonwealth's Attorney's Office
55 West Church Street
Martinsville, Virginia
24112
(276) 403-5470

Counsel for Appellee

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt.
2 Martinsville,
Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Exhibit 1

USWGO

ALLY OF QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP

U.S.W.G.O.



CIRCUIT COURT OF MARTINSVILLE, VIRGINIA, CASE NO.
CR19000009-00

Exhibit in attachment to "Motion for Waiving Legal Fees or Not Enforcing Them"

RECEIPT No. 977502

DATE 3-3-2020

FROM Brian Hill \$500.00

Five hundred DOLLARS

FOR RENT
 FOR _____

ACCT.	<input checked="" type="radio"/> CASH	FROM _____ TO _____
PAID	<input type="radio"/> CHECK	
DUE	<input type="radio"/> MONEY ORDER	
	<input type="radio"/> CREDIT CARD	BY _____

A-2501
T-45820

RECEIPT No. 977501

DATE 2-2-2020

FROM Brian Hill \$500.00

Five hundred DOLLARS

FOR RENT
 FOR _____

ACCT.	<input checked="" type="radio"/> CASH	FROM _____ TO _____
PAID	<input type="radio"/> CHECK	
DUE	<input type="radio"/> MONEY ORDER	
	<input type="radio"/> CREDIT CARD	BY _____

A-2501
T-45820

Exhibit 2

USWGO
ALLY OF QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP

U.S.W.G.O.



CIRCUIT COURT OF MARTINSVILLE, VIRGINIA, CASE NO.
CR19000009-00

Exhibit in attachment to "Motion for Waiving Legal Fees or Not Enforcing Them"



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

SOCIAL SECURITY
320 W COMMONWEALTH BLV
MARTINSVILLE VA 24112

Social Security Administration Supplemental Security Income Notice of Change in Payment

Date: December 1, 2019
BNC#: 19S1870H56730 DI



0149027 00149027 1 AB 0.412 CN6LNA T457 P9
COLA M04 11/24 A40 19S1870H56730
BRIAN DAVID HILL
310 FOREST ST
APT 2
MARTINSVILLE VA 24112-4210

We plan to increase your monthly Supplemental Security Income (SSI) payment from \$771.00 to \$783.00 beginning January 2020. The amount will change because the cost of living increased during the past year. You will continue to get the new amount each month unless there is a change in the information we use to figure your payment.

The rest of this letter explains more about your SSI payments. It also tells you how to find affordable health care.

We explain how we figured the monthly payment amount on the worksheet at the end of this letter. The explanation shows how your income, other than any SSI payments, affects your SSI payment. We include explanations only for months where payment amounts change.

When You Will Receive Your Payments

Your bank or other financial institution will receive your monthly payment of \$783.00 around January 1, 2020, and on the first of each month after that.

If You Work Or Want To Return To Work

Ticket to Work is a voluntary program that helps people getting disability benefits go to work. Employment networks or your State vocational rehabilitation agency can help you find, prepare for, and keep a job. If you join this program, there is no cost to you. We may also set aside review of your medical condition. You can find a list of service providers, benefits and work incentives counselors, and get your questions answered by contacting the Ticket to Work Help Line at 1-866-968-7842 (TTY 1-866-833-2967). You also can visit <https://choosework.ssa.gov/findhelp/> online.

See Next Page



3/14/2020

SunTrust Online Banking



Checking *7970

\$1111.01

Available

Account Balance History

Date	Description	Amount	Available Balance	Current Balance
	Ending Balances for 02/03/2020		\$391.00	\$391.00
02/03/2020	VILL MARTIN DRIVEUP ATM MART INSVILLE VA 7581	-\$500.00	\$391.00	\$391.00
	Ending Balances for 01/31/2020		\$891.00	\$891.00
01/31/2020	SSI TREAS 310 XXSUPP SEC [REDACTED] 0319 SSI 9101736121	\$783.00	\$891.00	\$891.00
	Ending Balances for 01/14/2020		\$108.00	\$108.00
01/14/2020	VILL MARTIN DRIVEUP ATM MART INSVILLE VA 7581	-\$280.00	\$108.00	\$108.00
	Ending Balances for 01/03/2020		\$388.00	\$388.00
01/03/2020	VILL MARTIN DRIVEUP ATM MART INSVILLE VA 7581	-\$500.00	\$388.00	\$388.00
	Ending Balances for 01/02/2020		\$388.00	\$888.00
PENDING 01/02/2020	SUNTRUST 01/02/20 16:32	-\$500.00	\$388.00	\$888.00



Exhibit 3

USWGO
ALLY OF QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP

U.S.W.G.O.



CIRCUIT COURT OF MARTINSVILLE, VIRGINIA, CASE NO.
CR19000009-00

Exhibit in attachment to "Motion for Waiving Legal Fees or Not Enforcing Them"



Affidavit of Indigence of Petitioner Brian David Hill in support of "Motion for Waiving Legal Fees or Not Enforcing Them"

I, Brian David Hill, file this affidavit, pursuant to Virginia Code § 8.01-4.3. "Unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury; penalty", subject to the penalties of perjury thereof therefore state the following facts:

1. My only monthly source of income I receive is my SSI Disability disbursement. This is the only source of actual income from the Federal Government through the Social Security Administration that supports me in my ability to survive, and to live. I cannot work a job, I am legally declared disabled. I have a handicap placard registered at the Virginia Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) over my "Autism Spectrum Disorder". I am not just legally disabled under federal law which allows me to have income of SSI disability monthly disbursement which do not require me to work a job, but I am legally disabled under Commonwealth of Virginia. I am on a Medicaid waiver and require caretaker services due to my brittle Type I Diabetes that works against me with my Autism Spectrum Disorder and Obsessive Compulsive Disorder.
2. I pay \$500 monthly rent and do not own a home, I have no home in my name and have no land in my name.
3. I have no car in my name and own no car.
4. I receive \$783 a month of SSI disability. I am on Medicaid insurance, and I am on EBT Food Stamps. With the \$500 rent, I only have left \$283 a month left which goes towards hygiene products and hand sanitizer over my OCD, clothing which includes shoes since Medicaid no longer pays for diabetic shoes. I have to spend anywhere between \$0-100 a month on buying paper, mailing out legal cases materials in envelopes with certified mail to prove service of process since I am a criminal defendant in both federal and state court. Federal is over a possession charge and over a wrongful conviction and the state is over the indecent exposure charge in 2013 which I am fighting for acquittal in that case as well. I am using my mom's used furniture and need furniture to be able to go to sleep and to be able to at least sit down and have a life. I am having to use the leftover money on legal filings and mailings and then have to use the rest on being able to buy things that I need. Hygiene products, snacks for low blood sugar, fast food when I am forced to have appointments especially ones mandated by U.S. Probation such as counseling. Things add up and money runs out quick.
5. I understand that SSI disability money cannot be garnished according to Federal Law. I will defend my ability to not have the Commonwealth of Virginia garnish my disability income over attorney fees and the Federal Courts do not even do this compared to the



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

State Courts. I plan on going to legal aid to file a Social Security lawsuit against the Circuit Court of Martinsville, against Matthew Clark, against Glen Andrew Hall, and others I will plan on naming in the Social Security lawsuit over forcing me against my will to pay legal fees to the Circuit Court of Martinsville or I may face jail time if what I had read was correct in the document given to me by the deputy Clerk of the Circuit Court of Martinsville. I also understand that if I am now indebted to Martinsville, to the Commonwealth of Virginia, over the ineffective counsel and a corrupt prosecutor Glen Andrew Hall, that I will have no choice but to declare federal bankruptcy and have a Federal Judge review over my state debt to block Virginia from being forcing me against my will to pay simple legal fees to the Commonwealth of Virginia as I have no victims so no restitution is ordered and I had not been fined either. I was only sentenced to jail-time. The legal fees are the same as with a lawsuit and sanctions in a lawsuit where a corporate party or private party demands that I pay a legal fee or suffer legal retribution, for example when I was sued by Righthaven, LLC in 2011 for copyright infringement over my political blog of USWGO Alternative News at uswgo.com from 2009-2012. That is garnishment and is illegal under Federal Law from what I understand. I don't know if the Commonwealth is considered the same as a private party in litigation but government lawyers can sue and litigate as well. So bankruptcy should also apply to state debts that have nothing to do with restitution, I will weigh my legal options. Since there is no restitution ordered by the State Court and there are no reported victims of the state crime charged to which require restitution under law, it is nothing more and nothing less to me than garnishment which may be illegal under Federal Law. I will sue under the Social Security Act as my first federal lawsuit I plan on filing against the Circuit Court of Martinsville, as a public case against them. My second plan is to declare federal bankruptcy since I have no credit cards and I have no loans so declaring bankruptcy will not affect my SSI disability disbursement income payments. I have never owned any stocks or bonds. I have never invested in a company. I have no 401K (whatever that is) and never even had any of such. I will try to see if legal aid or any other social justice legal advocacy organization will agree to help me in the lawsuit(s) I plan to file over the issue of mandatory legal fees by Martinsville Circuit Court. As a matter of law, it is my right and duty as a citizen to file suit to protect my only source of livable income for me being disabled and cannot work a job. I have a caretaker paid for by Medicaid for God's sake. I cannot work a job, and need a caretaker. The legal fees against a disabled person with medical health problems is not right and is not legally feasible.

6. Even though I am on Federal Supervised Release conditions by the United States Probation Office, they had never charged me for wearing any ankle monitor, they had never charged me for attorney fees. They know my SSI benefits are protected. Unless I work or make any kind of money outside of SSI disbursement, the Federal Court doesn't demand any money from me. I hope the State Court will make the same decision and not enforce legal fees against somebody with SSI disability as the only source of income.



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

7. Again, the remainder of that money goes to the costs of legal mailings which get expensive in complex cases and complex appeals, paper, ink, pens, and any other material necessary for fighting my federal and state criminal cases which includes my six pending federal appeals and 2 appeals with the Court of Appeals of Virginia and 1 appeal with the Supreme Court of Virginia. One federal appeal is represented by Attorney Ryan Edward Kennedy (also Mayor of Clarksburg) of Clarksburg, West Virginia and the other five federal appeals are pro se. The costs of maintaining multiple federal and state appeals can be costly. I also use my remaining money on things that I need such as soap, tooth paste, mouth wash, hygiene products, diabetic snacks or even drinks with sugar for low blood sugar, clothes, and products to deal with my carpal tunnel pain, and any other necessities.


I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 15, 2020.

BRIAN DAVID HILL

Brian D. Hill
 signed

Brian David Hill –
 Ally of Qanon
 Founder of USWGO
 Alternative News
 310 Forest Street, Apt.
 2 Martinsville,
 Virginia 24112
 (276) 790-3505
 Pro Se





Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 3/15/2020 Time: 11:54:13 PM
Number of pages: 23 Session duration: 5:14
Attn.: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq. To: Commonwealth Attorney
Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478 Message type: Fax
Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\Motion for Waiving LegaError Correction: Norce Them(6)_w_Exhibits_Signed (2020-03-15).tif
File description: Motion for Waiving Legal Fees or not to Enforce Them(6)_w_ExhibResolution: 200*200 dpi
Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478 Record number: 8111
Rate: 14400 bps

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,
Plaintiff,
v.
BRIAN DAVID HILL,
Defendant,
Criminal Action No. CR1900009-00
Motion for Waiving Legal Fees or Not
Enforcing Them

Motion for Waiving Legal Fees or Not Enforcing Them

Pursuit to § 8.01-512.4 and 42 U.S. Code § 407, Brian David Hill
("Petitioner") the criminal defendant in this case files this motion for requesting
the Waiving of Legal Fees or Not Enforcing Them in this Circuit Court, for lack of
funds and that Petitioner has no garnishable income and no liquid assets. Petitioner
does not work a job and therefore does not actually make any money that can be
subject to garnishment as it is a protected Federal Benefits program by Federal
Law and is Petitioner's only source of income to live off of.

This motion is to file evidence that establishes Petitioner has no garnishable
income, owns no car, and owns no home. Petitioner owes no restitution to the
Commonwealth of Virginia as there are no reported victims. The only fees charged



VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

_____)	
)	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)	
Plaintiff,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
)	
v.)	
)	
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)	
Defendant,)	
)	
)	Motion to Proceed Pro Se on Appeals
_____)	
)	

Motion to Proceed Pro Se on Appeals

Pursuit to the Sixth Amendment of the United States Constitution, if this Court cannot appoint/afford effective counsel to Brian David Hill (“Petitioner”) the criminal defendant in this case, then Petitioner prefers to represent himself in both criminal appeals, and files this motion with the Circuit Court asking that Petitioner represent himself in both appeals.

Petitioner rather not be ruined by Matthew Scott Thomas Clark who is doing the Deep State Swamp a benefit by misrepresenting Petitioner and not getting in contact with Petitioner at all during both appeal case nos. CAV #0128-20-3, and CAV #0129-20-3.

Attorney Matthew Clark never told Petitioner and his family that Petitioner would held liable to paying over \$1,000 of legal fees that is illegal under federal statute regarding garnishment and levying of SSI disability benefits. The whole “withdrawal of appeal” and acceptance of the General District Court decision is unconstitutional and



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

invalid. Petitioner cannot stand for this. Neither will Qanon stand for this either and the ones responsible for Petitioner and his mother suffering under the carbon monoxide gas will be arrested for any evidence found of high treason or whatever high crimes and misdemeanors was caused by such misconduct. Whoever tried to poison Brian David Hill with carbon monoxide poisoning by metal tin being placed on the chimney will also be arrested for high crimes and misdemeanors. Petitioner will cooperate with Donald Trump, John Durham, the Qanon intelligence people, and will work with whoever to make sure that they are all arrested for the crimes committed against Brian David Hill and his mother that extend as far as the carbon monoxide which caused the indecent exposure incident on September 21, 2018. Petitioner will not accept his fate of self-destruction by coercion.

Brian David Hill, (the "Appellant" or "Petitioner") respectfully files this emergency motion with this Honorable Circuit Court of Martinsville, Virginia, to proceed pro se in both direct appeals of this criminal case, that Brian David Hill be permitted to represent himself in both appeals and that Matthew Scott Thomas Clark be discharged from his obligation to represent Brian David Hill in both appeals as his attorney of record for being defective counsel and ineffective counsel. Matthew Scott Thomas Clark shall be referred to as "Mr. Clark". Matthew Clark as well as Lauren McGarry have lied to Petitioner and his family. Then Glen Andrew Hall lies about Petitioner in his response filing in the Court of Appeals of Virginia which is defamation and depriving Petitioner of any opportunity to respond. Matthew Clark has ruined Petitioner and deprived him of all of his constitutional rights including the Sixth Amendment and the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution. Matthew Clark deserves no legal fees and



deserves nothing but criticism and complaint. Matthew Clark and the Public Defender office gets paid to sell Petitioner down the river like a house slave of a plantation during the 1800's when slavery was allowed. Petitioner plans to sue them all in Federal Court for malpractice.

I. STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

Statement of the facts as to why Petitioner is proceeding pro se in both appeal cases referenced thereto.

1. Mr. Clark had not contacted Petitioner, not even once over the matter of both appeals. He had not filed anything, he had not investigated anything in regards to the appeal cases. Petitioner has done a better job at his pro se filings than his court appointed counsel(s) ever have.
2. Petitioner has sent a fax to Mr. Clark's office, his assistant confirmed receipt of such fax and FAX transmission ticket also proves receipt. Mr. Clark never responded to that letter requesting that he withdraw himself from the appeal cases as "ineffective assistance of counsel" was planned to be brought up as a ground in Petitioner's planned Petition for Appeal.
3. Glen Andrew Hall has lied about Petitioner and had defamed Petitioner on the record in case no. 0128-20-3, without giving him any opportunity to respond. Mr. Clark allowed all of this to happen and allowed deprivation of Petitioner's entire constitutional rights for criminal defendants under the adversarial system. From the record in the Table of Contents, there is no indication that



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Mr. Clark ever did anything to actually defend his client with exception to filing a Motion for a Continuance. This attorney failed on the record to adopt any of Petitioner's pro se motions which all had a good basis for them. For example, the Motion to Dismiss due to lack of evidence of obscenity or lack of intent should have been acted upon to fight for Petitioner's legal innocence in a court system with scarce judicial resources. The Defense counsel did nothing to ask for any expert witnesses, there was an omission from the mental evaluation in the General District Court on record with the omitted psychiatric diagnosis of psychosis by Dr. Conrad Daum of Piedmont Community Services which that diagnosis was done before the court ordered mental evaluation. Information like that should not have been suppressed or omitted by Scott Albrecht. Lauren McGarry and Mr. Clark both failed to request a new mental evaluation to bring up the omitted medical record from Piedmont Community Services. Also evidence of carbon monoxide gas was never brought up with the mental evaluator in the General District Court. However defense counsel ignored that as well and did not request a new evaluation to bring up the omitted evidence and the newly discovered cumulative evidence of carbon monoxide gas that was in Petitioner's home before and after his arrest. Carbon monoxide would have either been a good reason or excuse in front of a jury as to Petitioner's abnormal behavior of running naked down the Dick and Willie hiking trail at night. Laboratory tests were ordered but then were canceled by the Hospital without explanation which is medical neglect and cover up for Martinsville Police in favor of the prosecution. They find somebody who was running down a hiking trail at night butt naked and isn't making any sense to the police who



- question him, and the police decide not to test him for any narcotics, substances, or gases. See Exhibit 10 of the CORRSPONDENCE evidence (pg. 135-302) which such pages were marked as page 353 through 360 (presumably by the Circuit Court Clerk). The laboratory tests would have shown a substance or drug/narcotic or gas that would have caused the abnormal behavior of Petitioner on September 21, 2018. The Commonwealth Attorney and Mr. Clark ignored it all and allowed these omissions and cover ups to happen.
4. It is clear that Petitioner has many different good reasons as to why counsel was ineffective and defective in refusing to represent Petitioner in both appeals and yet refuses to request that he withdraw himself as counsel from Petitioner's appeals which is unprofessional misconduct and misrepresentation of a client and would violate the State Bar professional guidelines and ethics. Mr. Clark is not representing Petitioner to the best of his abilities, in fact he is doing absolutely nothing for both appeal cases.
 5. Matthew Clark, Scott Albrecht, and Lauren McGarry have all failed or refused to send any "litigation hold" letter to the Commonwealth Attorney, the Martinsville Police Department, and the Court demanding that the prosecutor of the criminal case and the ones that pressed the charge not be legally allowed to dispose of any evidence that may be favorable to the defense which includes but not limited to the body-cam footage. Sovah Hospital was never sent a "litigation hold" letter either by former Martinsville Public Defender office Attorney Scott Albrecht. Because of this, the fact of the levels of carbon monoxide poisoning of Brian David Hill will never be established. This is



unacceptable. If Petitioner is not given an absolute pardon of innocence from Virginia Governor Ralph Northam, Petitioner will plan on suing all of his court appointed lawyers for malpractice including Scott Albrecht, sue Glen Andrew Hall, sue Sovah Hospital, and sue Officer Robert Jones within the Federal Courts. **Petitioner was illegally convicted by this court by counsel that did absolutely nothing to defend his client.** Null and void, this court had lack of jurisdiction to deprive Petitioner of effective assistance of counsel. No jury trial in this criminal case may ever be valid as this point because evidence was permanently destroyed by the work of both Glen Andrew Hall and the ineffectiveness of defense attorneys colluding to deprive Petitioner of his constitutional rights, that would have caused a jury to actually find that Petitioner had extreme levels of carbon monoxide poisoning which caused the indecent exposure and no conviction would have ever prevailed. The body-cam footage too could have shown that Petitioner wasn't acting right and the officer went too far. It could have demonstrated that Petitioner was not in his right mind at the time which is temporary insanity, and would have warranted that laboratory tests should have been completed. Laboratory tests were already ordered by the Hospital but were canceled because Brian was going to jail so the evidence must be covered up for Martinsville Police Department and its corrupt Commonwealth Attorney ensuring that Petitioner goes to Federal Prison on a probation violation which was unfounded from its inception. All Scott Albrecht did on December 21, 2018, was argue that Petitioner wasn't being obscene but didn't provide any case law and didn't file any litigation hold letters preventing any spoliation of evidence. Petitioner will



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

never get a fair trial in this Court due to the spoliation of evidence, yes spoliation of the very biological evidence that was favorable to the defense. This Circuit Court has failed him, justice was NOT SERVED. The Hon. Judge Greer failed him, and the entire Virginia Court system failed him. WHY????????????? What has Petitioner done to deserve all of this? They have failed to provide real justice to Brian David Hill---formerly of USWGO Alternative News in Martinsville, to Virginia. Such structural defect by an extreme case of ineffective counsel so severe that it has allowed evidence to be destroyed in favor of Glen Andrew Hall, a man of no justice, a man of no sympathy, a man of no honor that persecutes people. Scott Albrecht let Sovah Hospital destroy the blood vials and thus to forever prevent Petitioner from ever establishing the levels to prove carbon monoxide poisoning. Petitioner will not stand for this and Qanon will not stand for this either. Qanon knows that Petitioner was carbon monoxide poisoned, the U.S. Military knows what happened to Brian. The threatening greeting card makes it obvious. That was why Petitioner was able to only spend 10 hours in a Federal Prison with an expedited audit then order for release because Qanon and the military knows that Petitioner was set up for an indecent exposure charge and knows that Petitioner is innocent of all of this. This Circuit Court should be following all U.S. Supreme Court case law precedent and guaranteeing effective assistance of counsel to Petitioner instead of letting him be screwed over and being charged legal fees in violation of Federal Law regarding garnishment. It is wrong that Petitioner has to pay over \$1,000 in legal fees over to the corrupt prosecution and his corrupt defense lawyers who did absolutely nothing to



defend their clients in an effective manner. This is not constitutional under Article III of the U.S. Constitution. This is unconstitutional under the Sixth Amendment guarantee of effective assistance of counsel. Petitioner was unlawfully convicted, that much is clear in the eyes of justice. State Courts also have to operate under due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment and cannot sidestep the United States Supreme Court. State courts are not above the law. Virginia Courts can't act only locally like a confederacy of the civil war but must act in accordance with the well-established principles of law. Circuit Courts cannot act independent from the United States as long as Virginia has not legally seceded from the union. All courts are subject to the United States Constitution and the Federal Supremacy Clause. Petitioner is guaranteed the right to effective assistance of counsel or Petitioner has the right to proceed pro se if the Court has repeatedly failed to appoint Petitioner a lawyer who is effective. Under the Sixth Amendment, if Petitioner is barred by the State from getting effective counsel that isn't fixed to work with the prosecution, then Petitioner has the right to represent himself before this Court and the Court of Appeals of Virginia.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, the Petitioner respectfully urges this Court to remove Petitioner's counsel of record "Matthew Scott Thomas Clark" from both appeal cases and permit Petitioner to proceed pro se any further in both of the appeal record nos. CAV #0128-20-3, and CAV #0129-20-3 referenced in this motion. Thank You!



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Respectfully Submitted on this the
13th day of March, 2020,

BRIAN DAVID HILL

Pro Se

Brian D. Hill
signed

Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street,
Apt. 2
Martinsville, Virginia
24112
(276) 790-3505
Pro Se

U.S.W.G.O.





Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 13th day of March, 2020, a true copy of the foregoing Motion/Pleading was faxed (Fax # 276-403-5478) to the Office of the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112, counsel for Plaintiff of the Commonwealth of Virginia.
Transmission Ticket as proof of service.

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street,
Apt. 2
Martinsville, Virginia
24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

_____)	
)	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)	<u>Civil Action No. CL20000089-00</u>
Plaintiff,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-
)	00
v.)	
)	
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)	
Defendant,)	
)	
_____)	Affidavit/Declaration by Brian
)	Hill in support of Brian David
)	Hill's "Motion for writ of error
)	coram vobis"

**Affidavit/Declaration by Brian Hill in support of
Brian David Hill's "Motion for writ of error coram vobis"**

I, Brian D. Hill, file this affidavit, pursuant to Virginia Code § 8.01-4.3. "Unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury; penalty", subject to the penalties of perjury thereof therefore state the following facts:

I did tell Martinsville Police Officer Robert Jones the truth on September 21, 2018, about what I believed had happened at the time was a guy wearing a hoodie who had said that my mother Roberta Hill would be killed if I didn't get naked and take photos of myself. At a later time I had discovered new information that had changed my suspicions and now it is clear, that carbon monoxide is what I believe had caused me to do what had happened on September 21, 2018. It would explain why I thought I was drugged. It would explain why I behaved in an unexpected and an abnormal way.

I had mailed evidence on July 20, 2019, to the Martinsville Police Department in the envelope with certified mail tracking number 7017-2680-0000-5750-9122 and



return receipt tracking number: 9590-9402-3527-7275-7497-41. It was signed for by Police Chief G. E. Cassady on August 7, 2019 2:52 pm, the date and time sourced from the U.S. Postal Service database which can be found out by having somebody check that information at the USPS website or even by me phone calling the USPS customer care number to track a package. I have the return receipt and have verified that it was G. E. Cassady due to the signature and what was written on the return receipt, and the envelope was restricted delivery.

After November 15, 2019, when the Circuit Court withdrawn my appeal in the Circuit Court, I asked Matthew Scott Thomas Clark—my Attorney to give me a copy of the case files of my state case as I was going to use those case files to fight my own case in a Writ of Habeas Corpus petition and any other means to try to overturn my wrongful state conviction since I knew that counsel was ineffective.

Then I received a lot of papers as well as the original envelope of what was mailed to Martinsville Police Chief G. E. Cassady, yes that same envelope under certified mail tracking number: 7017-2680-0000-5750-9122 and return receipt tracking number 9590-9402-3527-7275-7497-41, it was never opened up and never investigated by anybody. I was shocked that Attorney Matthew Clark had my envelope that was supposed to be disseminated to the Martinsville Police Department full of evidence including the threatening greeting card that my mother received, and cumulative evidence of carbon monoxide. I was shocked that the Police Department did not ever open the envelope to investigate any of the evidence. I was angry at Martinsville Police Department, felt like filing a complaint against them but all I can do is expose to the Martinsville Circuit Court, as well as to the Federal Court if necessary that Martinsville Police Department refused to investigate any evidence including the threatening greeting card that my mother received from Nashville, Tennessee in 2018.

I took photos of that envelope to submit to the Court as evidence for the Writ of Error Coram Vobis (Nobis). I never opened the envelope as I already had a photocopy of the entire contents of the envelope. I am keeping it sealed and in a box as evidence for use in the Writ of Error Coram Vobis (Nobis), that evidence was never investigated by Officer Robert Jones, that Martinsville Police Department was incompetent and did not do their job to protect me from criminals including those sending my mother a threatening greeting card. I was



even willing to be questioned by Martinsville Police without a lawyer to let them know about the threatening greeting card and the carbon monoxide but they didn't care.

When I was at the Federal Correctional Institution 1 at Butner, North Carolina inside of the Federal Correctional Complex during the court ordered mental evaluation from January to I believe around April of 2019, during one of the visitation times when my family visited me, my family told me around I believe in February or March, I am not sure as I am not sure if I noted the exact date of when my family told me. They told me about the carbon monoxide and I told my family that I think it had something to do with what had happened and asked them to look into it. Then they mailed me as well as stuff to forensic psychologist Dr. Dawn Graney, about the carbon monoxide damage in Apartment 2 which was my Apartment around the time that I went out to the Dick and Willie hiking trail on December 21, 2018. It talked about hallucinations as one of the symptoms of carbon monoxide exposure. The reason my family was agreeing to the bond conditions for my Federal Supervised Release Violation on May, 2019, was because they realized that it was the carbon monoxide that had caused me to do that weird stuff on September 21, 2018, from what my mother, grandma, and grandpa had told me verbally. The reason my family didn't agree with Scott Albrecht with wanting to have me out on bond in 2018 and released to my residence was because my family didn't know about the carbon monoxide and didn't understand why I was out there naked and wandering off by myself. My family was angry at me, they thought I would repeat that wandering outside behavior and didn't want me wandering out at night again. After they found out about the carbon monoxide in January 2019 as I was told, and I never repeated that behavior again, and never wandered outside at night again. I feel nothing compelling me to walk outside at night even though on September 20, 2018, late at night, something did compel me to walk outside at night wandering around and then the guy in the hoodie and that weird stuff. I felt that I absolutely had to, that strongly I felt the need to walk around outside at night, and didn't understand why I felt such a huge need to do so. I thought I was directed to do so, I thought it had something to do with the guy wearing the hoodie, I was telling the truth because at the time that was all I had to go by. That was all I could think of as reason why I was out on September 21, 2018.



Now that I am aware of the carbon monoxide evidence, the white residue in my fireplace and what was in my mother's fireplace, now I understand why I did what I did on September 21, 2018. I am not expecting the Commonwealth Attorney and the Police to ever believe me, but the more proof I can get it gives me clarity to understand that it was not my fault why I ended up naked on the Dick and Willie hiking trail on September 21, 2018. I apologize for it, I will never do it again, but I did not break the law and I believe what Scott Albrecht had originally told me was correct, that I was technically legally innocent of indecent exposure because of not being obscene and not having the intent to do such. Anytime I tell an average person about my story about the carbon monoxide and what happened on the Dick and Willie trail, they understand and knowing that at night nobody of the public in their right mind is going to be out walking the trail and no children walks that trail at night, at least the night that I was on that trail to my knowledge nobody in their right mind will walk that trail all alone, they feel that I didn't try to do something with criminal intent when they hear of my story. The average person understands that people who get drunk on alcohol, drugs, or is on a substance or gas, might do something crazy and unexpected. That person might run around naked like somebody being addicted to Bath Salts. Yeah I have read articles in the past of people that taken bath salts running around naked and eating the flesh of somebody when on Bath Salts. However I was never on any of that, I was never taking Bath Salts and never tried that. Just saying an example here. There are examples of those who were caught naked in public while on a drug, alcohol, substance, or even a gas that can mentally drive somebody crazy. I told my family in 2018 that I thought I was drugged from that night on September 21, 2018, I had black outs, when I was first arrested in Martinsville City Jail I kept just wanting to sleep and had pain. It was painful even getting up. I wasn't thinking straight and at times I had acted crazy like during one time screaming in the cell and at other times cussing out the jail guards and cussing out Martinsville Police. I had never acted like that in my life. When I had operated USWGO Alternative News I was polite to the police and was doing my job as an investigative journalist for alternative media but that was such a long time ago, from 2009 to 2012 that I had operated USWGO Alternative News. It was like I threw caution to the wind and did not care about consequences. Carbon monoxide, who knows what kind of damage it was doing to me. It can cause brain damage too. My mother had told me verbally that she worries that she may have



brain damage from the carbon monoxide. She didn't use to need reading glasses until after being exposed to carbon monoxide, her eyes have gotten worse and mine has as well. The carbon monoxide does something to the brain and possibly the nerves.

I did also try to fax information about my situation to the Fire Marshal's Office and they did not ever respond to my fax. I feel like nobody wants to investigate this and that nobody cares to even do so.

So if Glen Andrew Hall, the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, Virginia, argues that the police never found a guy wearing a hoodie, they wouldn't even question my mother about the threatening greeting card and never asked for my mother to turn it over to them. I am aware of this because my mother still has the original anonymous threatening greeting card and the other anonymous greeting cards from Nashville, Tennessee. Anybody sending threats anonymously through the Postal Service is likely committing a federal or state crime. For the Martinsville Police refusing to ever question me and to ever question my family, and just have the court appoint me lawyers that were all ineffective, I felt abandoned by our justice system, **I felt abandoned by our Police Department.** As a citizen of Martinsville, it is my right and duty to report crimes and mail evidence to the Police, but they have failed me and my family. What a tragedy!

I will NEVER trust Martinsville Police Department ever again, I will no longer call them again for anything important as that they are incompetent, ineffective, and don't investigate any crimes I may report to them. They won't listen to me so why should I waste my time calling them during an emergency. Instead I will call the FBI as they might do something more about me reporting the crime than Martinsville Police Department. **I don't trust them, they betrayed me and my family, and they ignored evidence, and allowed me and my mother to be a victim of a threatening greeting card and never asking to see the cards.**

I was shocked that the envelope to the Police Chief was in the possession of Attorney Matthew Clark who kept begging me and my family to withdraw my appeal without telling me of the consequences I faced and the rights I would lose by doing such. Matthew Clark is unconstitutionally ineffective. Matthew Clark betrayed me and family too. The deputy Clerk at the Martinsville Circuit Court told me in regards to the attorney fees on November 15, 2019, that it doesn't



include the fees that Matthew Clark may charge me for withdrawing my appeal and accepting the decision of the General District Court. That is cold and cruel, and nasty for Matthew Clark to beg me to withdraw my appeal knowing that my Social Security Disability SSI disbursement would be garnished to not just pay the Commonwealth but also to the very Attorneys that told me to give up and withdraw my appeal. That was a traitorous and scummy thing to do. Matthew Clark made me so angry that I am going to have to pay his attorney fees for doing absolutely nothing to put himself on the line to actually defend me, I felt like cussing him out over the phone but refrained from doing so. I have also thought about suing him and filing a BAR complaint as well as asking my mother to write bad reviews on him for other people to see. I was so angry that Matthew Clark would sell me out and then can extort money off of that from me. It is extortion when somebody illegally demands money from you. It is unlawful to garnish SSI disability disbursements. It seems like extortion to me. I feel it is extortion and Matthew Clark didn't do anything to fight and attempt to get the case dismissed, he didn't even try to submit any evidence, didn't find any expert witness to testify. He just totally sold me out. He didn't even ask the Police Chief to accept my envelope. He didn't even try to ask the Police or Commonwealth Attorney to simply review over the evidence of threatening greeting card and carbon monoxide proof inside of the envelope. That is warped and a miscarriage of justice.

Again, I was shocked that he had the envelope and didn't even try to give it back to Martinsville Police, never told me anything about him taking possession of the envelope after it was transferred to the Commonwealth Attorney. He didn't do anything to show that I was innocent of indecent exposure, he didn't even try to establish any reasonable doubts. Reasonable doubts can be raised at a jury trial or bench trial. Carbon monoxide was a reasonable doubt. The Hospital of Sovah in Martinsville, also known as Martinsville Memorial Hospital deleted the entries from the chart and didn't do anything with the blood vials of what was drawn from my arm at the Hospital on September 21, 2018, that was not professional. That would be a reasonable doubt that laboratory tests were canceled/deleted from chart without my knowledge.



If the General District Court or any other Court was told that I was medically cleared when I was charged with indecent exposure, which is a lie and is not a fact. That is a lie, that is not a fact, that is a lie, that is lie. I cannot stand for this.

How could I be medically cleared when I had two abnormally high blood pulse readings which is Sinus Tachycardia when it is over 100 for resting blood pulse? My blood sugar appeared to have never been tested when I reviewed over my medical record for September 21, 2018. So the Hospital screwed up big time and I can prove this to the Court. They knew I had sinus tachycardia and cuts/abrasions all on my body and yet released me to jail shortly afterwards which caused me to have possibly scars and having open abrasions/cuts in Jail which of course is the worst place to have cuts and wounds. Jails have a lot of diseases and the Hospital knew I was going to jail. Then they put in the medical report for me to see my doctor the next day. They knew discharging me to Jail would prevent that from happening. How careless of Sovah Hospital!!!!!! They lied, this was medical neglect at best, who knows at worst.

It says from the medical report "Private Physician; When: Tomorrow; Reason: Further diagnostic work-up, Recheck today's complaints, Continuance of care" However how could I see my private physician the next day when I was in jail? So this proves that I was not medically cleared because Sovah Hospital was incompetent and I believe had medically neglected me. The Hospital released me with Sinus Tachycardia readings, refused to complete the Laboratory tests that were ordered after drawing my blood, and they didn't even check my diabetic blood sugar even though they said I was diabetic on the medical record of the Hospital on September 21, 2018. It says from the medical record and I quote that "04:48 28-year-old male with diabetes and autism presents for evaluation..." The Hospital had medically neglected me and should not have cleared me for release. I plan on suing Sovah Hospital and Martinsville City Jail for medical neglect, malpractice, and medical indifference before September 21, 2018, if that is what it takes. I will sue Sovah Hospital for damages of medical neglect and such neglect causing my wrongful conviction to pay for the legal fees that Martinsville Circuit Court demands that I pay over the criminal case. They allowed my bloodwork for the Laboratory testing to be destroyed which could have exonerated me and would have been more provable than me talking about some guy wearing a hoodie. The General District Court Judge would have believed carbon monoxide



had the exculpatory evidence been preserved. The Police failed me, the Attorneys failed me. I will never trust Martinsville Police again, I will never trust a court appointed lawyer ever again, I will never trust a lawyer paid for by the Government ever again, EVER.

If Martinsville General District Court knew any of this, would they have convicted me????????????? There were Courts that were not told by the Commonwealth Attorney and not be Scott Albrecht, not told by Lauren McGarry, and not even by Matthew Scott Thomas Clark. If the truth can come out, it will be embarrassing to Martinsville Police Department, they rather the truth be buried and convict me like everyone else, like all the other poor slaves working for change each hour. It is systematic slavery by the State.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 25, 2020.


Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt.
2 Martinsville,
Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant





Filed with the Honorable Circuit Court of Martinsville, this the 25th day of March, 2020.

Signed,


Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt.
2 Martinsville,
Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505



Pro Se Appellant



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 25th day of March, 2020, I caused this “Affidavit/Declaration of Brian Hill in support of Brian David Hill’s “Motion for writ of error coram vobis” to be transmitted by facsimile (fax machine) to the Commonwealth of Virginia through the Commonwealth Attorney’s



Office of Martinsville (Fax #276-403-5478) and will attach proof of service
(Transmission ticket receipt for proof of transmission) which shall satisfy proof of
service:

Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.
Martinsville Commonwealth's Attorney's Office
55 West Church Street
Martinsville, Virginia
24112
(276) 403-5470
Counsel for Plaintiff

Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt.
2 Martinsville,
Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant





Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 3/25/2020 Time: 1:04:28 AM
Number of pages: 10 Session duration: 13:57
Attn.: Glen Andrew Hill, Esq. To: Commonwealth Attorney
Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478 Message type: Fax
Filename: C:\ProgramData\VentaVentaFax & Voice 6\Out\Declaration of Brian Hill Error Correction: NoInla Court (3)Signed {2020-03-25}.tif
File description: Declaration of Brian Hill for Coram Vobis Virginia Court (3)Sig Resolution: 200*200 dpi
Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478 Record number: 8119
Rate: 14400 bps

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA, Plaintiff, v. BRIAN DAVID HILL, Defendant. Civil Action No. CL20000089-00 Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00 Affidavit/Declaration by Brian Hill in support of Brian David Hill's "Motion for writ of error coram vobis"

Vertical stamp: VIRGINIA In-City of Martinsville Circuit Court Clerk's Office. Received and Filed this the 25th Day of March 2020 at 9:50 AM by fax. Testa: Ashby R. Pritchett Clerk

Affidavit/Declaration by Brian Hill in support of Brian David Hill's "Motion for writ of error coram vobis"

I, Brian D. Hill, file this affidavit, pursuant to Virginia Code § 8.01-4.3. "Unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury; penalty", subject to the penalties of perjury thereof therefore state the following facts:

I did tell Martinsville Police Officer Robert Jones the truth on September 21, 2018, about what I believed had happened at the time was a guy wearing a hoodie who had said that my mother Roberta Hill would be killed if I didn't get naked and take photos of myself. At a later time I had discovered new information that had changed my suspicions and now it is clear, that carbon monoxide is what I believe had caused me to do what had happened on September 21, 2018. It would explain why I thought I was drugged. It would explain why I behaved in an unexpected and an abnormal way.

I had mailed evidence on July 20, 2019, to the Martinsville Police Department in the envelope with certified mail tracking number 7017-2680-0000-5750-9122 and

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

_____)	
)	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)	<u>Civil Action No. CL20000089-00</u>
Plaintiff,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-
)	00
v.)	
)	
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)	
Defendant,)	
)	
_____)	Affidavit/Declaration by Roberta
)	Hill in support of Brian David
)	Hill's "Motion for writ of error
)	coram vobis"

Affidavit/Declaration by Roberta Hill in support of Brian David Hill's "Motion for writ of error coram vobis"

I, Roberta Hill, file this affidavit, pursuant to Virginia Code § 8.01-4.3. "Unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury; penalty", subject to the penalties of perjury thereof therefore state the following facts:

My son, Brian Hill, and I were exposed to carbon monoxide for about a year in 2018-2019. A fireplace company came out to our home in January 2019 and found that the chimney was completely covered up with tin. He said that carbon monoxide was flowing into both of our apartments. My son started to talk about how he had trouble thinking. I began to feel tired all the time and I was having trouble thinking, as well. After the tin was taken off of our chimney, we began to start feeling better and after about a year we recovered from the symptoms of carbon monoxide exposure.

Brian told me that he doesn't remember all that happen on the early morning of September 21, and he told me that he blacked out. At the time, I was wondering



if he had an insulin reaction, but now I believe that he was exposed to a lot of carbon monoxide that night.

In December 2017, I started receiving cards in the mail by an anonymous person or people from Nashville, TN. This person sent a card with a threat in May 2018. No police officer ever asked about these cards, despite the fact that Brian told the police officers that we had received a threat in the mail.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 24, 2020.

Signed,

Roberta Hill

Roberta Hill
310 Forest Street, Apt. 1
Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Filed with the Honorable Circuit Court of Martinsville, this the 24th day of March, 2020.

Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
Martinsville, Virginia 24112

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 24th day of March, 2020, I caused this "Affidavit/Declaration of Roberta Hill in support of Brian David Hill's



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

“Motion for writ of error coram vobis” to be transmitted by facsimile (fax machine) to the Commonwealth of Virginia through the Commonwealth Attorney’s Office of Martinsville (Fax #276-403-5478) and will attach proof of service (*Transmission ticket receipt for proof of transmission*) which shall satisfy proof of service:

Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.
Martinsville Commonwealth's Attorney's Office
55 West Church Street
Martinsville, Virginia
24112
(276) 403-5470
Counsel for Plaintiff

Signed,


Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt.
2 Martinsville,
Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505



Pro Se Appellant





Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 3/24/2020
Number of pages: 3
Attn.: Glen Andrew Hill, Esq.
Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478
Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\Declaration of Roberta Hill for Coram Vobis Virginia Court (2)Si
File description: Declaration of Roberta Hill for Coram Vobis Virginia Court (2)Si
Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478
Rate: 14400 bps

Time: 6:53:54 PM
Session duration: 3:35
To: Commonwealth Attorney
Message type: Fax
Error Correction: Noirginia Court (2)Signed (2020-03-24).tif
Resolution: 200*200 dpi
Record number: 8116

VIRGINIA
In City of Martinsville Circuit Court
Clerk's Office.

Received and Filed this the
25th Day of March 2020
at 9:50 AM by fax
Testa: Ash R Pritchett - Clerk

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,
Plaintiff,
v.
BRIAN DAVID HILL,
Defendant,
Civil Action No. CL20000089-00
Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
Affidavit/Declaration by Roberta Hill in support of Brian David Hill's "Motion for writ of error coram vobis"

Affidavit/Declaration by Roberta Hill in support of Brian David Hill's "Motion for writ of error coram vobis"

I, Roberta Hill, file this affidavit, pursuant to Virginia Code § 8.01-4.3. "Unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury; penalty", subject to the penalties of perjury thereof therefore state the following facts:

My son, Brian Hill, and I were exposed to carbon monoxide for about a year in 2018-2019. A fireplace company came out to our home in January 2019 and found that the chimney was completely covered up with tin. He said that carbon monoxide was flowing into both of our apartments. My son started to talk about how he had trouble thinking. I began to feel tired all the time and I was having trouble thinking, as well. After the tin was taken off of our chimney, we began to start feeling better and after about a year we recovered from the symptoms of carbon monoxide exposure.

Brian told me that he doesn't remember all that happen on the early morning of September 21, and he told me that he blacked out. At the time, I was wondering

Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

_____)	
)	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)	
Defendant,)	
)	Letter to Clerk
)	
_____)	

Letter to Clerk

Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett, Clerk
Phone: 276-403-5106
Fax: 276-403-5232
55 West Church Street, Room 205
P.O. Box 1206
Martinsville, VA 24114

I, Brian D. Hill, file this short letter notifying the Clerk and the Court of Appeals of Virginia that the criminal case of "Commonwealth of Virginia v. Brian David Hill" is being challenged on two different civil cases with evidence attached to both petitions in those civil cases. Since it is under direct appeal, the Court of Appeals should be informed that there are two civil cases that are challenging the final judgment on November 15, 2019 in the Circuit Court and/or the final judgment on December 21, 2018, in the Martinsville General District Court.

Writ of Habeas Corpus – Filed: 11/18/19, Case no. CL19000331-00, Appealed on 11/20/19 to Court of Appeals of Virginia but was transferred to Supreme Court of Virginia, Appeal still pending

Writ of Error Coram Vobis/Nobis – Filed: 03/16/20, Case no. CL20000089-00, Case active and pending in Circuit Court



I want to make sure that the Court of Appeals and the record of the criminal case is made aware that there are two pending civil cases asking for relief against the final judgment in this criminal case. With the coronavirus COVID-19, it may be more difficult to notify the Clerk’s office in the Court of Appeals since it is in Richmond, Virginia, and uncertainty that the letter would even be delivered during these times of lockdown. However since any new pleadings cause an addendum to the Court of Appeals, this short letter should be notice to both Courts that two civil cases connected to this criminal case are pending either by timely direct appeal or still pending in the Circuit Court.

Filed with the Honorable Circuit Court of Martinsville, this the 25^h day of March, 2020.


Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt.
2 Martinsville,
Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 25th day of March, 2020, I caused this “Letter to Clerk” to be transmitted by facsimile (fax machine) to the Commonwealth of Virginia through the Commonwealth Attorney’s Office of Martinsville (Fax #276-



403-5478) and will attach proof of service (*Transmission ticket receipt for proof of transmission*) which shall satisfy proof of service:

Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.
Martinsville Commonwealth's Attorney's Office
55 West Church Street
Martinsville, Virginia
24112
(276) 403-5470
Counsel for Plaintiff

Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt.
2 Martinsville,
Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant





Martinsville Circuit - Civil Division
Pleadings/Orders Detail

Case Number: CL19000331-00

Filed	Type	Party	Judge	Book	Page	Remarks
11/18/19	Writ Of Habeas	PLT				WHC:
11/20/19	Final Order	ERH	GCG			ORDER TO DISMISS
11/20/19	Appeal Notice	ERH				NOTICE OF APPEAL
11/25/19	Motion					MOT TO RECONSIDER
11/25/19	Order	ERH	GCG			DENYING MOT TO RECONSIDER
01/14/20	Appeal Submitted/Received Confirmation	ERH				
01/14/20	Other	ERH				APPEAL TABLE OF CONTENTS
01/14/20	Appeal Submitted/Received Confirmation	ERH				EMAIL CONFIRMATION
02/18/20	Transfer Jurisdiction/Venue	ARP				TRANSFER TO SUPREME COURT
03/06/20	Response	ERH				B. HILL TO LET. FROM SCV
03/09/20	Letter	ERH				PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION

Build #: 3.8.1.1



Name List	Pleadings/Orders	Services	Main Menu	Logoff
-----------	------------------	----------	-----------	--------

Martinsville Circuit - Civil Division
Case Details

Case Number: CL20000089-00	Filed: 03/16/20
Filing Type: Petition	
Number of Plaintiffs: 0001	Number of Defendants: 0001
Commenced By: Initial Filing	
Bond:	Complex Case:

If there are more than three plaintiffs or defendants as indicated under "Number of Plaintiffs" or "Number of Defendants" in the table above, please contact the court for the additional party information.

Plaintiffs

Plaintiff: **COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA**
 Trading as:
 Attorney:

Defendants

Defendant: **HILL, BRIAN DAVID**
 Trading as:
 Attorney:

Hearings

#	Date	Time	Type	Room	Duration	Jury	Result
---	------	------	------	------	----------	------	--------

Date Ordered To Mediation:

Final Disposition



- **Judgment:**
- **Final Order Date:**
- **Appealed Date:**
- **Concluded By:**

Name List	Pleadings/Orders	Services	Main Menu	Logoff
---------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------------------	---------------------------	------------------------

Build #: 3.8.1.1



[Return to Case](#) [Main Menu](#) [Logoff](#)

Martinsville Circuit - Civil Division
 Pleadings/Orders Detail

Case Number: CL20000089-00

Filed	Type	Party	Judge	Book	Page	Remarks
03/16/20	Initial Filing	PLT				WCN:
03/19/20	Other	ERH				ENDORSEMENT OF FILING
03/19/20	Affidavit	ERH				DECLARATION IN SUPPORT

[Return to Case](#) [Main Menu](#) [Logoff](#)

Build #: 3.8.1.1



[Return to Case](#) [Main Menu](#) [Logoff](#)

Martinsville Circuit - Civil Division
Service Details

Case Number: CL20000089-00

Name	Number	Type	Hear Date	Date Served	How Served
HALL, GLEN ANDREW; ESQ	1	Petition		03/19/20	In Person/Notified In Court

[Return to Case](#) [Main Menu](#) [Logoff](#)

Build #: 3.8.1.1



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 3/25/2020 Time: 12:57:26 AM
Number of pages: 8 Session duration: 7:04
Attn.: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq. To: Commonwealth Attorney
Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478 Message type: Fax
Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\Letter to Clerk by Brian Error Correction: No pending civil cases (2) Signed (2020-03-25).tif
File description: Letter to Clerk by Brian D. Hill documenting pending civil case Resolution: 200*200 dpi
Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478 Record number: 8118
Rate: 14400 bps

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,
Plaintiff,
v.
BRIAN DAVID HILL,
Defendant,
Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
Letter to Clerk

Letter to Clerk

Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett, Clerk
Phone: 276-403-5106
Fax: 276-403-5232
55 West Church Street, Room 205
P.O. Box 1206
Martinsville, VA 24114

VIRGINIA
In City of Martinsville Circuit Court
Clerk's Office.
Received and Filed this the
25th Day of March, 2020
at 9:50 AM by fax
Testa: [Signature] Clerk

I, Brian D. Hill, file this short letter notifying the Clerk and the Court of Appeals of Virginia that the criminal case of "Commonwealth of Virginia v. Brian David Hill" is being challenged on two different civil cases with evidence attached to both petitions in those civil cases. Since it is under direct appeal, the Court of Appeals should be informed that there are two civil cases that are challenging the final judgment on November 15, 2019 in the Circuit Court and/or the final judgment on December 21, 2018, in the Martinsville General District Court.

Writ of Habeas Corpus - Filed: 11/18/19, Case no. CL19000331-00, Appealed on 11/20/19 to Court of Appeals of Virginia but was transferred to Supreme Court of Virginia, Appeal still pending

Writ of Error Coram Vobis/Nobis - Filed: 03/16/20, Case no. CL20000089-00, Case active and pending in Circuit Court



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

_____)	
)	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)	
Defendant,)	
)	Letter to Clerk
)	
_____)	
)	

Letter to Clerk

Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett, Clerk
Phone: 276-403-5106
Fax: 276-403-5232
55 West Church Street, Room 205
P.O. Box 1206
Martinsville, VA 24114

I, Brian D. Hill, file this short letter notifying the Clerk and the Court of Appeals of Virginia that the criminal case of “Commonwealth of Virginia v. Brian David Hill” is being challenged on two different civil cases with evidence attached to both petitions in those civil cases. Since it is under direct appeal, the Court of Appeals should be informed that there are two civil cases that are challenging the final judgment on November 15, 2019 in the Circuit Court and/or the final judgment on December 21, 2018, in the Martinsville General District Court.

Writ of Habeas Corpus – Filed: 11/18/19, Case no. CL19000331-00, Appealed on 11/20/19 to Court of Appeals of Virginia but was transferred to Supreme Court of Virginia, Appeal still pending

Writ of Error Coram Vobis/Nobis – Filed: 03/16/20, Case no. CL20000089-00, Case active and pending in Circuit Court



I want to make sure that the Court of Appeals and the record of the criminal case is made aware that there are two pending civil cases asking for relief against the final judgment in this criminal case. With the coronavirus COVID-19, it may be more difficult to notify the Clerk’s office in the Court of Appeals since it is in Richmond, Virginia, and uncertainty that the letter would even be delivered during these times of lockdown. However since any new pleadings cause an addendum to the Court of Appeals, this short letter should be notice to both Courts that two civil cases connected to this criminal case are pending either by timely direct appeal or still pending in the Circuit Court.

Filed with the Honorable Circuit Court of Martinsville, this the 25^h day of March, 2020.

Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt.
2 Martinsville,
Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 25th day of March, 2020, I caused this “Letter to Clerk” to be transmitted by facsimile (fax machine) to the Commonwealth of Virginia through the Commonwealth Attorney’s Office of Martinsville (Fax #276-



403-5478) and will attach proof of service (*Transmission ticket receipt for proof of transmission*) which shall satisfy proof of service:

Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.
Martinsville Commonwealth's Attorney's Office
55 West Church Street
Martinsville, Virginia
24112
(276) 403-5470
Counsel for Plaintiff


Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt.
2 Martinsville,
Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant





Martinsville Circuit - Civil Division
 Pleadings/Orders Detail

Case Number: CL19000331-00

Filed	Type	Party	Judge	Book	Page	Remarks
11/18/19	Writ Of Habeas	PLT				WHC:
11/20/19	Final Order	ERH	GCG			ORDER TO DISMISS
11/20/19	Appeal Notice	ERH				NOTICE OF APPEAL
11/25/19	Motion					MOT TO RECONSIDER
11/25/19	Order	ERH	GCG			DENYING MOT TO RECONSIDER
01/14/20	Appeal Submitted/Received Confirmation	ERH				
01/14/20	Other	ERH				APPEAL TABLE OF CONTENTS
01/14/20	Appeal Submitted/Received Confirmation	ERH				EMAIL CONFIRMATION
02/18/20	Transfer Jurisdiction/Venue	ARP				TRANSFER TO SUPREME COURT
03/06/20	Response	ERH				B. HILL TO LET. FROM SCV
03/09/20	Letter	ERH				PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION

Build #: 3.8.1.1



Name List	Pleadings/Orders	Services	Main Menu	Logoff
---------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------------------	---------------------------	------------------------

Martinsville Circuit - Civil Division
Case Details

Case Number: CL20000089-00	Filed: 03/16/20
Filing Type: Petition	
Number of Plaintiffs: 0001	Number of Defendants: 0001
Commenced By: Initial Filing	
Bond:	Complex Case:

If there are more than three plaintiffs or defendants as indicated under "Number of Plaintiffs" or "Number of Defendants" in the table above, please contact the court for the additional party information.

Plaintiffs

Plaintiff: **COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA**
Trading as:
Attorney:

Defendants

Defendant: **HILL, BRIAN DAVID**
Trading as:
Attorney:

Hearings

#	Date	Time	Type	Room	Duration	Jury	Result
---	------	------	------	------	----------	------	--------

Date Ordered To Mediation:

Final Disposition



- **Judgment:**
- **Final Order Date:**
- **Appealed Date:**
- **Concluded By:**

Name List	Pleadings/Orders	Services	Main Menu	Logoff
-----------	------------------	----------	-----------	--------

Build #: 3.8.1.1



Martinsville Circuit - Civil Division
Pleadings/Orders Detail

Case Number: CL20000089-00

Filed	Type	Party	Judge	Book	Page	Remarks
03/16/20	Initial Filing	PLT				WCN:
03/19/20	Other	ERH				ENDORSEMENT OF FILING
03/19/20	Affidavit	ERH				DECLARATION IN SUPPORT

Build #: 3.8.1.1



[Return to Case](#) [Main Menu](#) [Logoff](#)

Martinsville Circuit - Civil Division
Service Details

Case Number: CL20000089-00

Name	Number	Type	Hear Date	Date Served	How Served
HALL, GLEN ANDREW; ESQ	1	Petition		03/19/20	In Person/Notified In Court

[Return to Case](#) [Main Menu](#) [Logoff](#)

Build #: 3.8.1.1



Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com) Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 3/25/2020 Time: 12:57:26 AM
Number of pages: 8 Session duration: 7:04
Attn.: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq. To: Commonwealth Attorney
Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478 Message type: Fax
Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\Letter to Clerk by Brian Error Correction: No ending civil cases (2)Signed (2020-03-25).tif
File description: Letter to Clerk by Brian D. Hill documenting pending civil case Resolution: 200*200 dpi
Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478 Record number: 8118
Rate: 14400 bps

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA, Plaintiff, v. BRIAN DAVID HILL, Defendant. Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00 Letter to Clerk

Letter to Clerk

Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett, Clerk
Phone: 276-403-5106
Fax: 276-403-5232
55 West Church Street, Room 205
P.O. Box 1206
Martinsville, VA 24114

VIRGINIA
In City of Martinsville Circuit Court
Clerk's Office.
Received and Filed this the
25th Day of March 2020
at 9:50 AM
Teste: [Signature] Clerk

I, Brian D. Hill, file this short letter notifying the Clerk and the Court of Appeals of Virginia that the criminal case of "Commonwealth of Virginia v. Brian David Hill" is being challenged on two different civil cases with evidence attached to both petitions in those civil cases. Since it is under direct appeal, the Court of Appeals should be informed that there are two civil cases that are challenging the final judgment on November 15, 2019 in the Circuit Court and/or the final judgment on December 21, 2018, in the Martinsville General District Court.

Writ of Habeas Corpus - Filed: 11/18/19, Case no. CL19000331-00, Appealed on 11/20/19 to Court of Appeals of Virginia but was transferred to Supreme Court of Virginia, Appeal still pending

Writ of Error Coram Vobis/Nobis - Filed: 03/16/20, Case no. CL20000089-00, Case active and pending in Circuit Court



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

_____)	
)	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)	Civil Action No. CL20000089-00
Plaintiff,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-
)	00
v.)	
)	
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)	
Defendant,)	
)	
_____)	Affidavit/Declaration by Roberta
)	Hill in support of Brian David
)	Hill's "Motion for writ of error
)	coram vobis"

Affidavit/Declaration by Roberta Hill in support of Brian David Hill's "Motion for writ of error coram vobis"

I, Roberta Hill, file this affidavit, pursuant to Virginia Code § 8.01-4.3. "Unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury; penalty", subject to the penalties of perjury thereof therefore state the following facts:

My son, Brian Hill, and I were exposed to carbon monoxide for about a year in 2018-2019. A fireplace company came out to our home in January 2019 and found that the chimney was completely covered up with tin. He said that carbon monoxide was flowing into both of our apartments. My son started to talk about how he had trouble thinking. I began to feel tired all the time and I was having trouble thinking, as well. After the tin was taken off of our chimney, we began to start feeling better and after about a year we recovered from the symptoms of carbon monoxide exposure.

Brian told me that he doesn't remember all that happen on the early morning of September 21, and he told me that he blacked out. At the time, I was wondering



if he had an insulin reaction, but now I believe that he was exposed to a lot of carbon monoxide that night.

In December 2017, I started receiving cards in the mail by an anonymous person or people from Nashville, TN. This person sent a card with a threat in May 2018. No police officer ever asked about these cards, despite the fact that Brian told the police officers that we had received a threat in the mail.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 24, 2020.

Signed,

Roberta Hill

Roberta Hill
310 Forest Street, Apt. 1
Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Filed with the Honorable Circuit Court of Martinsville, this the 24th day of March, 2020.

Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
Martinsville, Virginia 24112

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 24th day of March, 2020, I caused this "Affidavit/Declaration of Roberta Hill in support of Brian David Hill's



“Motion for writ of error coram vobis” to be transmitted by facsimile (fax machine) to the Commonwealth of Virginia through the Commonwealth Attorney’s Office of Martinsville (Fax #276-403-5478) and will attach proof of service (*Transmission ticket receipt for proof of transmission*) which shall satisfy proof of service:

Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.
Martinsville Commonwealth's Attorney's Office
55 West Church Street
Martinsville, Virginia
24112
(276) 403-5470
Counsel for Plaintiff


Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt.
2 Martinsville,
Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant





Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 3/24/2020
Number of pages: 3
Attn.: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.

Time: 6:53:54 PM
Session duration: 3:35
To: Commonwealth Attorney
Message type: Fax

Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478

Error Correction: Noirginia Court (2)Signed (2020-03-24).tiff

Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\Declaration of Roberta Hill for Coram Vobis Virginia Court (2)Si
File description: Declaration of Roberta Hill for Coram Vobis Virginia Court (2)Si

Resolution: 200*200 dpi
Record number: 8116

Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478
Rate: 14400 bps

VIRGINIA
In City of Martinsville Circuit Court
Clerk's Office.

Received and Filed this the
25th Day of March, 2020
at 9:50 AM
Testa: Ashby R. Pritchett Clerk

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,
Plaintiff,
v.
BRIAN DAVID HILL,
Defendant,

Civil Action No. CL20000089-00
Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00

Affidavit/Declaration by Roberta Hill in support of Brian David Hill's "Motion for writ of error coram vobis"

Affidavit/Declaration by Roberta Hill in support of Brian David Hill's "Motion for writ of error coram vobis"

I, Roberta Hill, file this affidavit, pursuant to Virginia Code § 8.01-4.3. "Unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury; penalty", subject to the penalties of perjury thereof therefore state the following facts:

My son, Brian Hill, and I were exposed to carbon monoxide for about a year in 2018-2019. A fireplace company came out to our home in January 2019 and found that the chimney was completely covered up with tin. He said that carbon monoxide was flowing into both of our apartments. My son started to talk about how he had trouble thinking. I began to feel tired all the time and I was having trouble thinking, as well. After the tin was taken off of our chimney, we began to start feeling better and after about a year we recovered from the symptoms of carbon monoxide exposure.

Brian told me that he doesn't remember all that happen on the early morning of September 21, and he told me that he blacked out. At the time, I was wondering



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

_____)	
)	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)	<u>Civil Action No. CL20000089-00</u>
Plaintiff,)	<u>Criminal Action No. CR19000009-</u>
)	<u>00</u>
v.)	
)	
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)	
Defendant,)	
)	
_____)	Affidavit/Declaration by Brian
)	Hill in support of Brian David
)	Hill's "Motion for writ of error
)	coram vobis"

Affidavit/Declaration by Brian Hill in support of Brian David Hill's "Motion for writ of error coram vobis"

I, Brian D. Hill, file this affidavit, pursuant to Virginia Code § 8.01-4.3. "Unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury; penalty", subject to the penalties of perjury thereof therefore state the following facts:

I did tell Martinsville Police Officer Robert Jones the truth on September 21, 2018, about what I believed had happened at the time was a guy wearing a hoodie who had said that my mother Roberta Hill would be killed if I didn't get naked and take photos of myself. At a later time I had discovered new information that had changed my suspicions and now it is clear, that carbon monoxide is what I believe had caused me to do what had happened on September 21, 2018. It would explain why I thought I was drugged. It would explain why I behaved in an unexpected and an abnormal way.

I had mailed evidence on July 20, 2019, to the Martinsville Police Department in the envelope with certified mail tracking number 7017-2680-0000-5750-9122 and



return receipt tracking number: 9590-9402-3527-7275-7497-41. It was signed for by Police Chief G. E. Cassady on August 7, 2019 2:52 pm, the date and time sourced from the U.S. Postal Service database which can be found out by having somebody check that information at the USPS website or even by me phone calling the USPS customer care number to track a package. I have the return receipt and have verified that it was G. E. Cassady due to the signature and what was written on the return receipt, and the envelope was restricted delivery.

After November 15, 2019, when the Circuit Court withdrawn my appeal in the Circuit Court, I asked Matthew Scott Thomas Clark—my Attorney to give me a copy of the case files of my state case as I was going to use those case files to fight my own case in a Writ of Habeas Corpus petition and any other means to try to overturn my wrongful state conviction since I knew that counsel was ineffective.

Then I received a lot of papers as well as the original envelope of what was mailed to Martinsville Police Chief G. E. Cassady, yes that same envelope under certified mail tracking number: 7017-2680-0000-5750-9122 and return receipt tracking number 9590-9402-3527-7275-7497-41, it was never opened up and never investigated by anybody. I was shocked that Attorney Matthew Clark had my envelope that was supposed to be disseminated to the Martinsville Police Department full of evidence including the threatening greeting card that my mother received, and cumulative evidence of carbon monoxide. I was shocked that the Police Department did not ever open the envelope to investigate any of the evidence. I was angry at Martinsville Police Department, felt like filing a complaint against them but all I can do is expose to the Martinsville Circuit Court, as well as to the Federal Court if necessary that Martinsville Police Department refused to investigate any evidence including the threatening greeting card that my mother received from Nashville, Tennessee in 2018.

I took photos of that envelope to submit to the Court as evidence for the Writ of Error Coram Vobis (Nobis). I never opened the envelope as I already had a photocopy of the entire contents of the envelope. I am keeping it sealed and in a box as evidence for use in the Writ of Error Coram Vobis (Nobis), that evidence was never investigated by Officer Robert Jones, that Martinsville Police Department was incompetent and did not do their job to protect me from criminals including those sending my mother a threatening greeting card. I was



even willing to be questioned by Martinsville Police without a lawyer to let them know about the threatening greeting card and the carbon monoxide but they didn't care.

When I was at the Federal Correctional Institution 1 at Butner, North Carolina inside of the Federal Correctional Complex during the court ordered mental evaluation from January to I believe around April of 2019, during one of the visitation times when my family visited me, my family told me around I believe in February or March, I am not sure as I am not sure if I noted the exact date of when my family told me. They told me about the carbon monoxide and I told my family that I think it had something to do with what had happened and asked them to look into it. Then they mailed me as well as stuff to forensic psychologist Dr. Dawn Graney, about the carbon monoxide damage in Apartment 2 which was my Apartment around the time that I went out to the Dick and Willie hiking trail on December 21, 2018. It talked about hallucinations as one of the symptoms of carbon monoxide exposure. The reason my family was agreeing to the bond conditions for my Federal Supervised Release Violation on May, 2019, was because they realized that it was the carbon monoxide that had caused me to do that weird stuff on September 21, 2018, from what my mother, grandma, and grandpa had told me verbally. The reason my family didn't agree with Scott Albrecht with wanting to have me out on bond in 2018 and released to my residence was because my family didn't know about the carbon monoxide and didn't understand why I was out there naked and wandering off by myself. My family was angry at me, they thought I would repeat that wandering outside behavior and didn't want me wandering out at night again. After they found out about the carbon monoxide in January 2019 as I was told, and I never repeated that behavior again, and never wandered outside at night again. I feel nothing compelling me to walk outside at night even though on September 20, 2018, late at night, something did compel me to walk outside at night wandering around and then the guy in the hoodie and that weird stuff. I felt that I absolutely had to, that strongly I felt the need to walk around outside at night, and didn't understand why I felt such a huge need to do so. I thought I was directed to do so, I thought it had something to do with the guy wearing the hoodie, I was telling the truth because at the time that was all I had to go by. That was all I could think of as reason why I was out on September 21, 2018.



Now that I am aware of the carbon monoxide evidence, the white residue in my fireplace and what was in my mother's fireplace, now I understand why I did what I did on September 21, 2018. I am not expecting the Commonwealth Attorney and the Police to ever believe me, but the more proof I can get it gives me clarity to understand that it was not my fault why I ended up naked on the Dick and Willie hiking trail on September 21, 2018. I apologize for it, I will never do it again, but I did not break the law and I believe what Scott Albrecht had originally told me was correct, that I was technically legally innocent of indecent exposure because of not being obscene and not having the intent to do such. Anytime I tell an average person about my story about the carbon monoxide and what happened on the Dick and Willie trail, they understand and knowing that at night nobody of the public in their right mind is going to be out walking the trail and no children walks that trail at night, at least the night that I was on that trail to my knowledge nobody in their right mind will walk that trail all alone, they feel that I didn't try to do something with criminal intent when they hear of my story. The average person understands that people who get drunk on alcohol, drugs, or is on a substance or gas, might do something crazy and unexpected. That person might run around naked like somebody being addicted to Bath Salts. Yeah I have read articles in the past of people that taken bath salts running around naked and eating the flesh of somebody when on Bath Salts. However I was never on any of that, I was never taking Bath Salts and never tried that. Just saying an example here. There are examples of those who were caught naked in public while on a drug, alcohol, substance, or even a gas that can mentally drive somebody crazy. I told my family in 2018 that I thought I was drugged from that night on September 21, 2018, I had black outs, when I was first arrested in Martinsville City Jail I kept just wanting to sleep and had pain. It was painful even getting up. I wasn't thinking straight and at times I had acted crazy like during one time screaming in the cell and at other times cussing out the jail guards and cussing out Martinsville Police. I had never acted like that in my life. When I had operated USWGO Alternative News I was polite to the police and was doing my job as an investigative journalist for alternative media but that was such a long time ago, from 2009 to 2012 that I had operated USWGO Alternative News. It was like I threw caution to the wind and did not care about consequences. Carbon monoxide, who knows what kind of damage it was doing to me. It can cause brain damage too. My mother had told me verbally that she worries that she may have



brain damage from the carbon monoxide. She didn't use to need reading glasses until after being exposed to carbon monoxide, her eyes have gotten worse and mine has as well. The carbon monoxide does something to the brain and possibly the nerves.

I did also try to fax information about my situation to the Fire Marshal's Office and they did not ever respond to my fax. I feel like nobody wants to investigate this and that nobody cares to even do so.

So if Glen Andrew Hall, the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, Virginia, argues that the police never found a guy wearing a hoodie, they wouldn't even question my mother about the threatening greeting card and never asked for my mother to turn it over to them. I am aware of this because my mother still has the original anonymous threatening greeting card and the other anonymous greeting cards from Nashville, Tennessee. Anybody sending threats anonymously through the Postal Service is likely committing a federal or state crime. For the Martinsville Police refusing to ever question me and to ever question my family, and just have the court appoint me lawyers that were all ineffective, I felt abandoned by our justice system, **I felt abandoned by our Police Department.** As a citizen of Martinsville, it is my right and duty to report crimes and mail evidence to the Police, but they have failed me and my family. What a tragedy!

I will NEVER trust Martinsville Police Department ever again, I will no longer call them again for anything important as that they are incompetent, ineffective, and don't investigate any crimes I may report to them. They won't listen to me so why should I waste my time calling them during an emergency. Instead I will call the FBI as they might do something more about me reporting the crime than Martinsville Police Department. **I don't trust them, they betrayed me and my family, and they ignored evidence, and allowed me and my mother to be a victim of a threatening greeting card and never asking to see the cards.**

I was shocked that the envelope to the Police Chief was in the possession of Attorney Matthew Clark who kept begging me and my family to withdraw my appeal without telling me of the consequences I faced and the rights I would lose by doing such. Matthew Clark is unconstitutionally ineffective. Matthew Clark betrayed me and family too. The deputy Clerk at the Martinsville Circuit Court told me in regards to the attorney fees on November 15, 2019, that it doesn't



include the fees that Matthew Clark may charge me for withdrawing my appeal and accepting the decision of the General District Court. That is cold and cruel, and nasty for Matthew Clark to beg me to withdraw my appeal knowing that my Social Security Disability SSI disbursement would be garnished to not just pay the Commonwealth but also to the very Attorneys that told me to give up and withdraw my appeal. That was a traitorous and scummy thing to do. Matthew Clark made me so angry that I am going to have to pay his attorney fees for doing absolutely nothing to put himself on the line to actually defend me, I felt like cussing him out over the phone but refrained from doing so. I have also thought about suing him and filing a BAR complaint as well as asking my mother to write bad reviews on him for other people to see. I was so angry that Matthew Clark would sell me out and then can extort money off of that from me. It is extortion when somebody illegally demands money from you. It is unlawful to garnish SSI disability disbursements. It seems like extortion to me. I feel it is extortion and Matthew Clark didn't do anything to fight and attempt to get the case dismissed, he didn't even try to submit any evidence, didn't find any expert witness to testify. He just totally sold me out. He didn't even ask the Police Chief to accept my envelope. He didn't even try to ask the Police or Commonwealth Attorney to simply review over the evidence of threatening greeting card and carbon monoxide proof inside of the envelope. That is warped and a miscarriage of justice.

Again, I was shocked that he had the envelope and didn't even try to give it back to Martinsville Police, never told me anything about him taking possession of the envelope after it was transferred to the Commonwealth Attorney. He didn't do anything to show that I was innocent of indecent exposure, he didn't even try to establish any reasonable doubts. Reasonable doubts can be raised at a jury trial or bench trial. Carbon monoxide was a reasonable doubt. The Hospital of Sovah in Martinsville, also known as Martinsville Memorial Hospital deleted the entries from the chart and didn't do anything with the blood vials of what was drawn from my arm at the Hospital on September 21, 2018, that was not professional. That would be a reasonable doubt that laboratory tests were canceled/deleted from chart without my knowledge.



If the General District Court or any other Court was told that I was medically cleared when I was charged with indecent exposure, which is a lie and is not a fact. That is a lie, that is not a fact, that is a lie, that is lie. I cannot stand for this.

How could I be medically cleared when I had two abnormally high blood pulse readings which is Sinus Tachycardia when it is over 100 for resting blood pulse? My blood sugar appeared to have never been tested when I reviewed over my medical record for September 21, 2018. So the Hospital screwed up big time and I can prove this to the Court. They knew I had sinus tachycardia and cuts/abrasions all on my body and yet released me to jail shortly afterwards which caused me to have possibly scars and having open abrasions/cuts in Jail which of course is the worst place to have cuts and wounds. Jails have a lot of diseases and the Hospital knew I was going to jail. Then they put in the medical report for me to see my doctor the next day. They knew discharging me to Jail would prevent that from happening. How careless of Sovah Hospital!!!!!! They lied, this was medical neglect at best, who knows at worst.

It says from the medical report "Private Physician; When: Tomorrow; Reason: Further diagnostic work-up, Recheck today's complaints, Continuance of care" However how could I see my private physician the next day when I was in jail? So this proves that I was not medically cleared because Sovah Hospital was incompetent and I believe had medically neglected me. The Hospital released me with Sinus Tachycardia readings, refused to complete the Laboratory tests that were ordered after drawing my blood, and they didn't even check my diabetic blood sugar even though they said I was diabetic on the medical record of the Hospital on September 21, 2018. It says from the medical record and I quote that "04:48 28-year-old male with diabetes and autism presents for evaluation..." The Hospital had medically neglected me and should not have cleared me for release. I plan on suing Sovah Hospital and Martinsville City Jail for medical neglect, malpractice, and medical indifference before September 21, 2018, if that is what it takes. I will sue Sovah Hospital for damages of medical neglect and such neglect causing my wrongful conviction to pay for the legal fees that Martinsville Circuit Court demands that I pay over the criminal case. They allowed my bloodwork for the Laboratory testing to be destroyed which could have exonerated me and would have been more provable than me talking about some guy wearing a hoodie. The General District Court Judge would have believed carbon monoxide



had the exculpatory evidence been preserved. The Police failed me, the Attorneys failed me. I will never trust Martinsville Police again, I will never trust a court appointed lawyer ever again, I will never trust a lawyer paid for by the Government ever again, EVER.

If Martinsville General District Court knew any of this, would they have convicted me????????????? There were Courts that were not told by the Commonwealth Attorney and not be Scott Albrecht, not told by Lauren McGarry, and not even by Matthew Scott Thomas Clark. If the truth can come out, it will be embarrassing to Martinsville Police Department, they rather the truth be buried and convict me like everyone else, like all the other poor slaves working for change each hour. It is systematic slavery by the State.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 25, 2020.


Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt.
2 Martinsville,
Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant





Filed with the Honorable Circuit Court of Martinsville, this the 25th day of March, 2020.


Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt.
2 Martinsville,
Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 25th day of March, 2020, I caused this “Affidavit/Declaration of Brian Hill in support of Brian David Hill’s “Motion for writ of error coram vobis” to be transmitted by facsimile (fax machine) to the Commonwealth of Virginia through the Commonwealth Attorney’s



Office of Martinsville (Fax #276-403-5478) and will attach proof of service
(*Transmission ticket receipt for proof of transmission*) which shall satisfy proof of
service:

Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.
Martinsville Commonwealth's Attorney's Office
55 West Church Street
Martinsville, Virginia
24112
(276) 403-5470
Counsel for Plaintiff


Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt.
2 Martinsville,
Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant





Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 3/25/2020 Time: 1:04:28 AM
Number of pages: 10 Session duration: 13:57
Attn.: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq. To: Commonwealth Attorney
Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478 Message type: Fax
Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\Declaration of Brian Hill Error Correction: Nojinja Court (3)Signed (2020-03-25).tif
File description: Declaration of Brian Hill for Coram Vobis Virginia Court (3)Sig Resolution: 200*200 dpi
Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478 Record number: 8119
Rate: 14400 bps

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

_____))	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,))	<u>Civil Action No. CL20000089-00</u>
Plaintiff,))	<u>Criminal Action No. CR19000009-</u>
v.))	00
BRIAN DAVID HILL,))	
Defendant,))	
_____))	<u>Affidavit/Declaration by Brian</u>
)	<u>Hill in support of Brian David</u>
)	<u>Hill's "Motion for writ of error</u>
)	<u>coram vobis"</u>

VIRGINIA
 In-City of Martinsville Circuit Court
 Clerk's Office.

Received and Filed this the
 25th day of March 2020
 at 9:50 A.M.
 Teste: Ashby R. Pritchett Clerk

Affidavit/Declaration by Brian Hill in support of
Brian David Hill's "Motion for writ of error coram vobis"

I, Brian D. Hill, file this affidavit, pursuant to Virginia Code § 8.01-4.3. "Unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury; penalty", subject to the penalties of perjury thereof therefore state the following facts:

I did tell Martinsville Police Officer Robert Jones the truth on September 21, 2018, about what I believed had happened at the time was a guy wearing a hoodie who had said that my mother Roberta Hill would be killed if I didn't get naked and take photos of myself. At a later time I had discovered new information that had changed my suspicions and now it is clear, that carbon monoxide is what I believe had caused me to do what had happened on September 21, 2018. It would explain why I thought I was drugged. It would explain why I behaved in an unexpected and an abnormal way.

I had mailed evidence on July 20, 2019, to the Martinsville Police Department in the envelope with certified mail tracking number 7017-2680-0000-5750-9122 and



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

_____)	
)	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)	Criminal Action No.
Plaintiff,)	CR19000009-00
)	
v.)	
)	
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)	
Defendant,)	
)	
_____)	NOTICE OF LAWSUIT
)	

NOTICE OF LAWSUIT

Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett, Clerk
Phone: 276-403-5106
Fax: 276-403-5232
55 West Church Street, Room 205
P.O. Box 1206
Martinsville, VA 24114

I, Brian D. Hill, file this NOTICE OF LAWSUIT that is to be docketed in case no. CR19000009-00, and the lawsuit is being filed against the Hon. Judge Giles Carter Greer as well as Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., Matthew Scott Thomas Clark, and Lauren McGarry. The lawsuit is being filed under 42 U.S. Code § 407 of the Social Security Act. An injunction is the intent of this lawsuit. To block this Court from demanding legal fees on November 15, 2019, to Brian David Hill. The lawsuit has been mailed out by the time you even read this letter. Brian may also file an emergency temporary injunction motion to block the legal fees demands during case pendency.

I have kindly asked the Judge to waive Legal fees or Not enforce Them under my filing MOTION TO "WAIVING LEGAL FEES", dated March 16, 2020. The Judge seems to be ignoring it as well as the motion to proceed pro se. I will not



tolerate legal-extortion against myself by Matthew Clark, Glen Andrew Hall, Lauren McGarry of the Public Defender Office, and by the order of this Court. A lawsuit is being filed and soon the Federal Court will start ordering all parties for answers to the summons including Judge Greer.

I wish I didn't have to take the time and energy to file this lawsuit against Judge Greer of this Circuit Court, but this Court has given me no other choice.

I pay \$500 rent, my SSI cannot be garnished. I am having to pay legal fees over maintaining over 5-6 federal appeals over what corrupt Judge Thomas David Schroeder is doing in North Carolina under his judicial coup d'etat in the Middle District of North Carolina. Now I have three state cases I am a party to. I am having to get other people involved to assist me in suing everybody who has done me wrong in Virginia.

Now I guess I will add federal lawsuits to my list of cases I am forced to file to protect my SSI disability. If I manage to find an attorney pro bono, then my attorney will be conducting contact with your court and other defendants' in the lawsuit your Judge will be a party to.

I am not playing around. When I make legal threats of filing lawsuits in my letters, I stand by them and show that they are well grounded in law. I am sick and tired of being a victim of judicial corruption, police corruption, prosecutorial corruption, and political corruption. I am sick of this corrupt legal system that keeps increasing my legal fees when I cannot afford to pay them. How ironic that Qanon will have to get involved with the Virginia corruption as well with the sealed indictments against all corrupt politicians. People protect their own in the corrupt system. Not a surprise to me. I have dealt with this type of corruption in the Town of Mayodan, North Carolina in 2012 when I had operated USWGO Alternative News from 2009 to 2012, now I am a victim of political/judicial corruption in Martinsville, Virginia not limited to Eric Monday and other corrupt lawyers. I am tired of this garbage. Lawsuits will commence until justice comes True Justice, not false justice.

I just want justice, I just want my life back but the prosecution will fight tooth and nail to make sure that it won't happen.

I won't let COVID-19 CoronaVirus be used as an excuse to buy the State Courts time to take away my constitutional rights then twist around to incarcerate me if I don't pay the stupid legal fees.

I am not a number, I am not an inmate either, and I am an American citizen.




Filed with the Honorable Circuit Court of Martinsville, this the 26th day of March, 2020.

Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt.
2 Martinsville,
Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505
Pro Se Appellant



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 26th day of March, 2020, I caused this “Letter to Clerk” to be transmitted by facsimile (fax machine) to the Commonwealth of Virginia through the Commonwealth Attorney’s Office of Martinsville (Fax #276-403-5478) and will attach proof of service (*Transmission ticket receipt for proof of transmission*) which shall satisfy proof of service:

Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.
Martinsville Commonwealth's Attorney's Office
55 West Church Street
Martinsville, Virginia
24112
(276) 403-5470
Counsel for Plaintiff



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Signed,


Brian D. Hill
Signed

U.S.W.G.O.



Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt.
2 Martinsville,
Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant



LAWSUIT ATTACHED



Pro Se 2 (Rev. 12/16) Complaint and Request for Injunction

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Virginia

Roanoke Division

Case No. _____

(to be filled in by the Clerk's Office)

Brian David Hill

Plaintiff(s)

(Write the full name of each plaintiff who is filing this complaint. If the names of all the plaintiffs cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.)

-v-

Glen Andrew Hall, et al.

Defendant(s)

(Write the full name of each defendant who is being sued. If the names of all the defendants cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.)

COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR INJUNCTION

I. The Parties to This Complaint

A. The Plaintiff(s)

Provide the information below for each plaintiff named in the complaint. Attach additional pages if needed.

Name	<u>Brian David Hill (nicknamed as "USWGO")</u>
Street Address	<u>310 Forest Street, Apartment 2</u>
City and County	<u>Martinsville/Henry-County</u>
State and Zip Code	<u>Virginia 24112</u>
Telephone Number	<u>276-790-3505</u>
E-mail Address	<u>No Email Address</u>



Brian D. Hill - Ally of QANON
WWG1WGA - Q-Intel - Drain the Swamp MAGA
JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com - INVESTIGATE!



Pro Se 2 (Rev. 12/16) Complaint and Request for Injunction

B. The Defendant(s)

Provide the information below for each defendant named in the complaint, whether the defendant is an individual, a government agency, an organization, or a corporation. For an individual defendant, include the person's job or title (*if known*). Attach additional pages if needed.

Defendant No. 1

Name	Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., in his official capacity
Job or Title (<i>if known</i>)	Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, Virginia
Street Address	55 West Church Street
City and County	Martinsville
State and Zip Code	Virginia
Telephone Number	Telephone: 276-403-5470
E-mail Address (<i>if known</i>)	ahall@ci.martinsville.va.us

Defendant No. 2

Name	Giles Carter Greer, Esq.
Job or Title (<i>if known</i>)	Judge of Martinsville Circuit Court, in his official capacity
Street Address	55 West Church Street
City and County	Martinsville
State and Zip Code	Virginia 24112
Telephone Number	Phone: 276-403-5106 (Not direct phone number but no. of Clerk)
E-mail Address (<i>if known</i>)	cgreer@ci.martinsville.va.us

Defendant No. 3

Name	Matthew Scott Thomas Clark, Esq.
Job or Title (<i>if known</i>)	Attorney, in his official capacity
Street Address	711B Starling Ave
City and County	Martinsville
State and Zip Code	Virginia 24112
Telephone Number	(276) 634-4000
E-mail Address (<i>if known</i>)	matthewstclarklaw@gmail.com

Defendant No. 4

Name	Lauren McGarry, Esq.
Job or Title (<i>if known</i>)	Martinsville Public Defender Office, in her official capacity
Street Address	10 E Main St,
City and County	Martinsville
State and Zip Code	Virginia 24112
Telephone Number	Phone: (276) 666-2206



Pro Se 2 (Rev. 12/16) Complaint and Request for Injunction

E-mail Address (if known) lmcgarry@mar.idc.virginia.gov

II. Basis for Jurisdiction

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction (limited power). Generally, only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: cases involving a federal question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, a case arising under the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties is a federal question case. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one State sues a citizen of another State or nation and the amount at stake is more than \$75,000 is a diversity of citizenship case. In a diversity of citizenship case, no defendant may be a citizen of the same State as any plaintiff.

What is the basis for federal court jurisdiction? (check all that apply)

- Federal question
- Diversity of citizenship

Fill out the paragraphs in this section that apply to this case.

A. If the Basis for Jurisdiction Is a Federal Question

List the specific federal statutes, federal treaties, and/or provisions of the United States Constitution that are at issue in this case.

42 U.S. Code § 407. Assignment of benefits, Eighth Amendment under the United States Constitution

B. If the Basis for Jurisdiction Is Diversity of Citizenship

1. The Plaintiff(s)

a. If the plaintiff is an individual

The plaintiff, (name) Brian David Hill, is a citizen of the State of (name) Virginia.

b. If the plaintiff is a corporation

The plaintiff, (name) N/A, is incorporated under the laws of the State of (name) N/A, and has its principal place of business in the State of (name) N/A.

(If more than one plaintiff is named in the complaint, attach an additional page providing the same information for each additional plaintiff.)

2. The Defendant(s)

a. If the defendant is an individual – Names: Giles Carter Greer, Lauren McGarry

The defendant, (name) Glen Andrew Hall, Matthew S.T. Clark, is a citizen of



Pro Se 2 (Rev. 12/16) Complaint and Request for Injunction

the State of (name) Virginia . Or is a citizen of (foreign nation)

b. If the defendant is a corporation

The defendant, (name) N/A , is incorporated under the laws of the State of (name) N/A , and has its principal place of business in the State of (name) N/A . Or is incorporated under the laws of (foreign nation) N/A , and has its principal place of business in (name) N/A .

(If more than one defendant is named in the complaint, attach an additional page providing the same information for each additional defendant.)

3. The Amount in Controversy

The amount in controversy—the amount the plaintiff claims the defendant owes or the amount at stake—is more than \$75,000, not counting interest and costs of court, because (explain):

The defendants' don't owe money but are attempting to unlawfully garnish the Social Security SSI benefits of Plaintiff, therefore it is a controversy of law and equity. It is a controversy involving unlawful garnishment of SSI by the defendants'.

III. Statement of Claim

Write a short and plain statement of the claim. Do not make legal arguments. State as briefly as possible the facts showing that each plaintiff is entitled to the injunction or other relief sought. State how each defendant was involved and what each defendant did that caused the plaintiff harm or violated the plaintiff's rights, including the dates and places of that involvement or conduct. If more than one claim is asserted, number each claim and write a short and plain statement of each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional pages if needed.

A. Where did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?

On or about November 15, 2019, the Circuit Court of Martinsville, Virginia, under the order of the Hon. Judge Giles Carter Greer (Def. #2) has unlawfully ordered garnishment of Brian David Hill's social security disability disbursement income under the Supplemental Security Income, the amount totalling \$1,124.00 and possibly more as Brian David Hill continues fighting his state case under Case #: CR19000009-00, Civil Case Nos. CL20000089-00 (Writ of Coram Vobis/Nobis) and CL19000331-00 (Writ of Habeas Corpus). Direct Appeal had also been timely filed in the state case but may fail under a legal technacaility. So legal fees are going to be enforced which is garnishment.

B. What date and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?



Pro Se 2 (Rev. 12/16) Complaint and Request for Injunction

November 15, 2019. See Exhibit 1.

See details in "BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR INJUNCTION".

C. What are the facts underlying your claim(s)? *(For example: What happened to you? Who did what? Was anyone else involved? Who else saw what happened?)*

All Defendants are involved in the use of the legal process of the Circuit Court to conduct the garnishment of Brian David Hill's SSI disability income disbursement.

See the facts described in "BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR INJUNCTION".

IV. Irreparable Injury

Explain why monetary damages at a later time would not adequately compensate you for the injuries you sustained, are sustaining, or will sustain as a result of the events described above, or why such compensation could not be measured.

No monetary damages are being sought. The purpose of this complaint is to prevent the unlawful garnishment of Brian David Hill's SSI money. However if an attorney from Legal Aid or any lawyer wishes to assist and represent Brian David Hill in this case on a pro bono basis, then the lawyer may request attorney fees as a sanction for any wrongdoing.

Injury described in "BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR INJUNCTION".

V. Relief

State briefly and precisely what damages or other relief the plaintiff asks the court to order. Do not make legal arguments. Include any basis for claiming that the wrongs alleged are continuing at the present time. Include the amounts of any actual damages claimed for the acts alleged and the basis for these amounts. Include any punitive or exemplary damages claimed, the amounts, and the reasons you claim you are entitled to actual or punitive money damages. The relief specified is also in "BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR INJUNCTION".



Pro Se 2 (Rev. 12/16) Complaint and Request for Injunction

Temporary injunction on the Commonwealth's garnishment of Brian David Hill's SSI money during the pendency of this case. Permanent injunction on the Commonwealth of Virginia's defendants Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., the Hon. Judge Giles Carter Greer, Matthew Scott Thomas Clark, Esq., and Lauren McGarry, Esq., barring them from attempting any garnishment or use of any legal process to garnish the SSI disability money from Brian David Hill that has any connection to the cases CR19000009-00 (criminal case), Civil Case Nos. CL20000089-00 (Writ of Coram Vobis/Nobis) and CL19000331-00 (Writ of Habeas Corpus).

see Brief, Pg. 7

VI. Certification and Closing

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, by signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that this complaint: (1) is not being presented for an improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation; (2) is supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Rule 11.

A. For Parties Without an Attorney

I agree to provide the Clerk's Office with any changes to my address where case-related papers may be served. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Date of signing: 03/26/2020

Signature of Plaintiff *Brian D. Hill*
Signed

Printed Name of Plaintiff Brian David Hill (USWGO / Ally of Qanon)

B. For Attorneys

Date of signing: N/A

Signature of Attorney N/A

Printed Name of Attorney N/A

Bar Number N/A

Name of Law Firm N/A

Street Address N/A

State and Zip Code N/A

Telephone Number N/A



Pro Se 2 (Rev. 12/16) Complaint and Request for Injunction

E-mail Address

N/A

BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR INJUNCTION

Brian David Hill formerly of USWGO Alternative News (“USWGO”) complains as follows against Defendant #1: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., (“Mr. Hall”); Defendant #2: Giles Carter Greer, Esq., (“Hon. Greer”); Defendant #3: Matthew Scott Thomas Clark, Esq. (“Mr. Clark”); Defendant #4: Lauren McGarry, Esq. (“L. McGarry”).

NATURE OF ACTION

1. This is an action for preliminary/permanent injunction and request for temporary injunction during the pendency of this case to prevent the attempt to unlawfully garnish Brian David Hill’s (“USWGO’s”) SSI monthly income pursuant to 42 U.S. Code § 407. “Assignment of benefits”.

PARTIES

2. USWGO is, and has been at all times relevant to this lawsuit, an individual who is mentally/physically disabled and lives off of Social Security Disability money from the Federal Government under the Social Security Act, with the Plaintiff’s principal place of residence in Martinsville, Virginia.

3. USWGO is, and has been at all times relevant to this lawsuit, in good standing.

4. Mr. Hall, Hon. Greer, Mr. Clark, and L. McGarry is, and has been at all times relevant



to this lawsuit, identified as the Defendants' responsible for and/or is involved with the garnishment or attempt garnishment of the Supplemental Security Income ("SSI") of Brian David Hill.

5. Mr. Hall, in his official capacity as the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, Virginia, is one of the parties who will receive the legal fees money out of garnishment of Brian David Hill's monthly SSI income if there is no injunctive relief. He has been at all times relevant to this lawsuit.

6. Mr. Clark, in his official capacity as the court appointed Attorney of Martinsville, Virginia, is one of the parties who will receive the legal fees money out of garnishment of Brian David Hill's monthly SSI income if there is no injunctive relief. He has been at all times relevant to this lawsuit.

7. L. McGarry, in her official capacity as the court appointed Attorney of Martinsville, Virginia, and works for the Martinsville Public Defender Office is one of the parties who will receive the legal fees money out of garnishment of Brian David Hill's monthly SSI income if there is no injunctive relief. She has been at all times relevant to this lawsuit.

8. Prosecution fees and Defense attorney fees are billed to Plaintiff USWGO in the course of a non-favorable ruling/result from state criminal case no. CR19000009-00, Commonwealth of Virginia v. Brian David Hill on November 15, 2019. That includes Lauren McGarry (Former Defense counsel), Mr. Hall (Prosecutor), and Mr. Clark (appointed Defense counsel).

9. Hon. Greer, in his official capacity as the judicial officer of the Circuit Court in



Martinsville, Virginia, which is a state court of record, is the party enforcing the garnishment of Brian David Hill’s monthly SSI income if there is no injunctive relief. See the photocopy of legal filing attached hereto as Exhibit 1, his Order dated November 15, 2019. He has been at all times relevant to this lawsuit. Exhibit 1 is a true and correct photocopy of that order that was already a photocopy of the original copy that was filed in Middle District of North Carolina federal Case no. 1:13-cr-00435-TDS, under Document 221-3.

10. Hon. Greer put in an order (Exhibit 1) on November 15, 2019, demanding payment of legal fees (attorney fees) of “\$1,222.45” and possibly more not including the legal fees that could be charged by Mr. Clark, there is no restitution and no fines that were imposed with the exception of only charging the legal fees and attorney fees out of a non-favorable ruling in the state criminal case of “Commonwealth of Virginia v. Brian David Hill,” case no. CR19000009-00, in the Circuit Court of Martinsville. Efforts have been made by USWGO to attempt to fight the wrongful conviction in the state case. One such measure was the Writ of Habeas Corpus petition that USWGO had filed on November 18, 2019. See DECLARATION of BRIAN DAVID HILL in Opposition of Documents # 157 and # 200 . (Attachments: # 1 Supplement 1, # 2 Exhibit 1, # 3 Exhibit 2, # 4 Exhibit 3, # 5 Exhibit 4, # 6 Exhibit 5, # 7 Exhibit 6, # 8 Exhibit 7, # 9 Exhibit 8, # 10 Exhibit 9, # 11 Exhibit 10, # 12 Exhibit 11, # 13 Exhibit 12, # 14 Exhibit 13, # 15 Exhibit 14, # 16 Exhibit 15, # 17 Exhibit 16, # 18 Exhibit 17, # 19 Envelope - Front and Back)(Daniel, J) (Entered: 11/20/2019) in Middle District of North Carolina federal Case no. 1:13-cr-00435-TDS. That contains a photocopy of the entire state Writ of Habeas Corpus. That matter was dismissed on November 20, 2019 by Hon. Greer and was timely



appealed that same day to the Virginia Court of Appeals who transferred the appeal to the Supreme Court of Virginia under record no. 200267, case name entitled: "Brian David Hill v. Commonwealth of Virginia". As to the other petition for requesting relief in the state criminal case. A Writ of Error Coram Nobis was filed. It was entitled Writ of Error Coram Vobis as Virginia is one of the only Commonwealth states that still uses the term "Vobis" instead of "Nobis". See the photocopy of legal filing attached hereto as Exhibit 2, a true and correct photocopy of the Writ that was filed, and the copy was verified as a true and correct copy by the deputy Clerk. See the last page for verification. Brian David Hill ("USWGO") had been trying to fight his wrongful conviction and if succeeding then he will not be compelled to pay the attorney/legal fees of the state criminal case referenced thereto. However the motions/petitions requesting relief is not delaying nor suspending the Exhibit 1 order for USWGO to pay the legal fees. If Brian doesn't pay the legal fees starting on the date of May 15, 2020, the Hon. Greer may order the collection enforcement of paying such legal fees through an enforcement action aka an "other legal process" through collection enforcement by and through the State/Commonwealth of Virginia. Such legal process is unlawful under Title 42 U.S. Code § 407.

11. Well-established case law says that the state cannot use "execution, levy, attachment, garnishment, or other legal process, or to the operation of any bankruptcy or insolvency law" to garnish SSI disability money. Quote from 42 U.S. Code § 407. Assignment of benefits: "(a) In general: The right of any person to any future payment under this subchapter shall not be transferable or assignable, at law or in equity, and none of the moneys paid or payable or rights



existing under this subchapter shall be subject to execution, levy, attachment, garnishment, or other legal process, or to the operation of any bankruptcy or insolvency law.” Citing Washington State Dep’t of Social & Health Servs v Guardianship Estate of Keffeler, 537 US 371; 123 S Ct 1017; 154 L Ed 2d 972 (2003), the Court stated that an “other legal process (1) requires utilization of some judicial or quasi-judicial mechanism; (2) by which control over property passes from one person to another; (3) in order to discharge or secure discharge of an existing or anticipated liability.” Here, a judicial mechanism was used (i.e., a restitution order) to secure a discharge of Alexandroni’s existing liability. Further, if the trial court used its contempt power to cause her to satisfy the restitution it would be “use of a judicial mechanism to pass control over those benefits from one person to another.” Thus, although the trial court properly determined the SSDI benefits were “income,” its use of its contempt powers would constitute an “other legal process” in violation of 42 USC 407(a). That is so, the court reasoned, even though a contempt order does not “touch a contemtor’s money directly,” but instead coerces the compemtor to comply. The Court concluded by stating that an actual contempt order would violate 42 USC 407(a), but the “mere specter of a contempt hearing” would not necessarily be an “other legal process.” Additionally, the Court found, the restitution order itself remained valid, and the trial court could make further determinations as to Alexandroni’s ability to pay from other sources of income. That case law applies to restitution but USWGO owes no restitution, but the legal argument and logic is exactly the same. Using any “legal process” to force the payment of legal fees to pay both the prosecution and defense lawyers violates the law. Unless USWGO has any income that is liquid assets, any income that is not protected by



federal statute, the Circuit Court through Hon. Greer and others has no right to order garnishment of Brian David Hill's SSI money through the execution of legal process.

12. USWGO filed a motion compelling the Hon. Greer of the Circuit Court to not enforce or waive the legal fees involved in the criminal case. See Exhibit 3, attached thereto is a true and correct copy of USWGO's "Motion for Waiving Legal Fees or Not Enforcing Them". It was filed in the Circuit Court on March 16, 2020. As far as March 25, 2020, the motion has not been acted upon by the Judge. It just sits there in the court filings while no action is being taken. All possible remedies attempted have been exhausted by inaction by the State Court and is not stopping the Hon. Greer and other defendants' from collectively demanding the legal fees from Brian David Hill through the Hon. Greer and through garnishment of his only source of income of SSI which is garnishment. That is unlawful under statute.

JURISDICTION

13. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties under the Social Security laws of the United States, 42 U.S. Code § 401 et seq., as well as jurisdictional provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Since the garnishment of USWGO's SSI disability money is garnishing his only source of income as a disabled American citizen, it may also constitute cruel and unusual punishment in violation of the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution barring cruel and unusual punishment.

14. Brian David Hill is a lawful citizen of the United States and his Social Security disability money is the subject of garnishment by the Hon. Greer and other defendants'.

15. On or about November 15, 2019, Hon. Greer willfully put in an order demanding



payments from Brian David Hill or he will be jailed by collection enforcement even after knowing that Brian David Hill's only source of income is his SSI disability which is protected under federal law, under the federal supremacy clause.

16. Despite USWGO filing his "Motion for Waiving Legal Fees or Not Enforcing Them" on March 16, 2020 (Exhibit 3), on or about March 25, 2020, Hon. Greer has taken no action, and continues to permit the enforcement of the garnishment of USWGO's disability income under SSI.

17. USWGO owns no car, has no business license, and has never worked a job a day in his life, and his only source of limited income money is his SSI disbursement.

18. The focal point of the garnishment and/or attempt to garnish is in Martinsville, Virginia.

19. The only geographic location that is associated with, and related to, the garnishment is Martinsville, Virginia.

20. The order to garnish USWGO's only source of income was originally ordered by Hon. Greer where such garnishment will pay the legal fees of Mr. Hall, L. McGarry as her official position for the Martinsville Public Defender Office, and Mr. Clark.

21. Mr. Clark knew that USWGO's disability money would be garnished if he was compelled or coerced to withdraw his appeal and accept the decision of the General District Court.

22. L. McGarry knew that USWGO's disability money would be garnished if he was compelled or coerced to withdraw his appeal and accept the decision of the General District



Court.

23. Mr. Hall knew that USWGO's disability money would be garnished if USWGO was compelled to withdraw his appeal and accept the decision of the General District Court.

24. At all times relevant to this lawsuit, the order to garnish USWGO's SSI disability money occurred and continues to occur in Martinsville, Virginia.

25. Hon. Greer's attempt to order the garnishment and defendants' benefit of such attempt to garnish Brian David Hill's disability money was and is purposefully directed at Brian David Hill ("USWGO") of Martinsville, Virginia.

26. The harm caused by the order of Hon. Greer which will garnish USWGO's SSI, was experienced, in Martinsville, Virginia.

VENUE

27. The United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia is an appropriate venue, pursuant to 28 U.S. Code § 1391, because Hon. Greer, Mr. Clark, L. McGarry, and Mr. Hall is subject to personal jurisdiction in Martinsville, Virginia, in the Western District of Virginia.

28. The United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia is an appropriate venue, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) and 1391(b)(1), because all actions of such garnishment and a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim for relief are situated in Martinsville, Virginia.

FACTS

29. Brian David Hill is mentally/physically disabled. Proof of such is on federal court



filings in the Western District of Virginia. The proof is noted below:

i. See Exhibit 1 — Document #23, Attachment #1, Brian David Hill v. Executive Office for United States Attorneys, et al. Case no. 4:17-cv-00027, District Court, W.D. Virginia

ii. See Exhibit 2 — Document #23, Attachment #2, Brian David Hill v. Executive Office for United States Attorneys, et al. Case no. 4:17-cv-00027, District Court, W.D. Virginia

iii. See Exhibit 1 — Document #2, Attachment #1, Brian David Hill v. Executive Office for United States Attorneys, et al. Case no. 4:17-cv-00027, District Court, W.D. Virginia

30. For many years Brian David Hill (“USWGO”) has been living off of SSI disability as his only source of income. USWGO has never owned any stocks, his no 401Ks, and has no liquid assets under the law. USWGO has never worked a job and never had an employer. USWGO has voluntarily operated USWGO Alternative News at uswgo.com from 2009 to 2012 as his own expense as a hobby blog exposing political corruption and writing articles to get the truth out. However USWGO Alternative News was never in any way to make any money and was not an employment. It disappeared in 2012 after Brian David Hill was framed and set up. Still nevertheless again argues that USWGO is disabled and never made any money, even off of his hobby blog from 2009-2012.

31. Brian David Hill is permanently disabled due to his Autism Spectrum Disorder,



Obsessive Compulsive Disorder (OCD) and Type 1 brittle Diabetes.

32. The Exhibit 1 order demanding that Brian David Hill pay the legal fees in the state criminal case was originally filed on or about November 15, 2019.

33. As long as Brian David Hill can remember, USWGO has always received SSI disability as his only source of income money from the Federal Government under the Social Security Administration.

34. On or about March 25, 2020, Hon. Greer continues his order to push for garnishing USWGO's disability money with the benefit going to the other defendants' in the case.

35. USWGO is on a tight budget, pays \$500 rent and the monthly SSI is at \$783 a month. That leaves \$283 dollars a month after rent. Also anywhere between \$0-\$100 a month of his SSI goes towards fighting his federal criminal case in the Middle District of North Carolina, the appeals in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, and the state appeals as well as his state civil cases. He is fighting to overturn his federal criminal conviction in the Middle District of North Carolina on the ground of actual innocence, as well as fighting for to be acquitted of his state charge and conviction on November 15, 2019, which caused the demand for legal fees. State case is being fought against over the ground of legal innocence.

36. Hon. Greer does not have legal authorization/authority under federal law for moneys paid or payable or rights existing under this subchapter of Social Security law "shall be subject to execution, levy, attachment, garnishment, or other legal process, or to the operation of any bankruptcy or insolvency law".

37. The Commonwealth of Virginia through its Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew



Hall (“Mr. Hall) does not have legal authorization/authority under federal law for moneys paid or payable or rights existing under this subchapter of Social Security law “shall be subject to execution, levy, attachment, garnishment, or other legal process, or to the operation of any bankruptcy or insolvency law”.”

CLAIM FOR RELIEF: GARNISHMENT OF BRIAN HILL’S SSI DISABILITY

38. USWGO repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 37 above.

39. Brian David Hill (“USWGO”) is under legal protection under federal law from any kind of legal extortion and/or garnishment and/or demand for legal fees, pursuant to 42 U.S. Code § 407.

40. Brian David Hill is legally disabled and is qualified under Social Security Act federal law to receive Supplemental Security Income for USWGO’s proof of disability that is within the custodian of records within the Social Security Administration.

41. Brian David Hill holds the legal right to protect himself from garnishment, levy, execution, and any other legal process that can be used to compel USWGO against his will to pay the legal fees, pursuant to 42 U.S. Code § 407(a).

42. If any or all of USWGO’s SSI disability money is garnished by any or all of the defendants’ against Brian David Hill (“USWGO”), then this subjects him to cruel and unusual punishment of depriving Brian David Hill of life, liberty, pursuit of happiness, and of his protected federal benefits without due process of law. It deprives Brian David Hill of being able to live his life as any garnishment, even in installments, makes it more difficult if not



impossible for USWGO to live without going into debt as USWGO cannot live on his limited monthly income if even a portion of it is taken away by judicial order of Hon. Greer and any of his agents, officers, clerks, deputy clerks, and/or the other defendants' who benefit off of this unlawful garnishment and legal extortion.

43. The order under Hon. Greer (See Exhibit 1) in derogation of Brian David Hill's protected SSI monthly benefits under 42 U.S. Code § 407.

44. Hon. Greer and other defendants' demand over \$1,000 and possibly increasing in legal fees in derogation of Brian David Hill's protected SSI monthly benefits under 42 U.S. Code § 407.

45. Despite the Motion to Waive Legal Fees or Not Enforce Them that USWGO filed under the attached Exhibit 3, Hon. Greer continues to permit the order that will result in garnishment of USWGO's disability SSI disbursement, in derogation of Brian David Hill's protected SSI monthly benefits under 42 U.S. Code § 407.

46. Hon. Greer has willfully engaged in an order (Exhibit 1) which will require the garnishment of USWGO's disability money which is his only source of income from the Federal Government.

47. Mr. Clark knew that begging USWGO to withdraw his appeal would cause a demand that USWGO pay legal fees and has willfully contributed to Hon. Greer's order (Exhibit 1) to pay Mr. Clark's legal fees which will require the garnishment of USWGO's disability money which is his only source of income from the Federal Government.

48. Lauren McGarry ("L. McGarry") knew that begging USWGO to withdraw his appeal



would cause a demand that USWGO pay legal fees and has willfully contributed to Hon. Greer's order (Exhibit 1) to pay Martinsville Public Defenders Office legal fees which will require the garnishment of USWGO's disability money which is his only source of income from the Federal Government.

49. Glen Andrew Hall ("Mr. Hall") knew that USWGO had ineffective counsel in his state criminal case by his various pro se filings in his state criminal case and pro se appeals but continued the case with forcing USWGO to have ineffective counsel to cause a demand that USWGO pay legal fees and has willfully contributed to Hon. Greer's order (Exhibit 1) to pay the prosecution's---Mr. Hall's legal fees which will require the garnishment of USWGO's disability money which is his only source of income from the Federal Government.

50. The Defendants' acts as alleged herein, and the ongoing direct results of those acts, have caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm to Brian David Hill ("USWGO") in an amount USWGO cannot ascertain, leaving USWGO with no adequate remedy at law. The state courts ignore his pro se filings, so USWGO has no remedy at the state-level to stop the demand for legal fees which will garnish USWGO's disability money from the Social Security Administration which is protected from garnishment. Any state civil case he files requires paying a hefty filing fee. So even to fight in the state for any remedy requires garnishment from his SSI if any of his family members do not directly pay the filing fee of the State to open up another state case asking for judicial relief.

51. Unless all Defendants' including the Honorable Judge Giles Carter Greer is preliminarily and permanently enjoined from any further legal course, legal process, or any



execution legal attempt to garnish Brian David Hill's protected SSI disability money which is his only source of income, USWGO will be irreparably harmed, and Brian David Hill ("USWGO") is thus entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against further means to garnish Mr. Brian David Hill's SSI disability money, pursuant to 42 U.S. Code § 407.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

USWGO requests that this Court grant USWGO's claim for relief herein as follows:

1. Preliminarily and permanently enjoin and restrain Defendant Hon. Greer, and Defendant Hon. Greer's officers, agents, clerks, deputy clerks, servants, employees, attorneys, related companies, partners, and all persons acting for, by, with, through, or under Defendant Hon. Greer, from directly or indirectly garnishing or even attempting to garnish the Supplemental Security Income ("SSI") of USWGO, or ordering, directing, participating in, or assisting in any such activity;
2. Direct Hon. Greer to suspend/set-aside his order on November 15, 2019 demanding the legal fees be paid for by Brian David Hill with threat of Brian David Hill going to jail through collection enforcement by the Commonwealth of Virginia which is extortion and through Defendant Mr. Hall, or modify his order to only order that his Court garnish any moneys/assets made outside of his SSI disability that is not protected under federal/state law;
3. That if Brian David Hill is to have made any money in the future not protected under 42 U.S. Code § 407 or any other federal or state statute protecting Government benefits from garnishment, then the Hon. Greer can be allowed to pursue payback of legal fees of that money, upon any evidence found constituting as such. The Court should only be allowed to garnish



liquid assets and money not protected under federal law from garnishment;

4. Award or declare that Brian David Hill’s SSI benefits not be garnished by any or all Defendants’ including Hon. Greer and that USWGO cannot be compelled at threat of going to jail for failure to garnish his own SSI disability monthly limited income; and

5. Grant USWGO such other relief as this Court deems appropriate.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL WAIVED

USWGO waives request for jury trial as the Social Security Act lawsuit is more of an administrative judicial procedure under the law.


Dated this twenty-sixth day of March, 26, 2020.

Respectfully filed with this Court, this the 26th Day of March, 2020.

BRIAN DAVID HILL, Pro Se

Brian D. Hill
signed

Brian David Hill – Ally of Qanon
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505



REQUEST by PLAINTIFF Brian David Hill (“USWGO”) TO THE CLERK TO SERVE PROCESS ON ALL PARTIES DOCUMENTED

Brian David Hill files an accompanying “APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN DISTRICT COURT WITHOUT PREPAYING FEES OR COSTS (Long Form)” and requests to proceed In Forma Pauperis in this case.

After the Motion for In Forma Pauperis status has been granted by the Court, **Brian David Hill requests that the Clerk or any other officer serve process on all parties to this case pursuant to Rule 4(c)(3) of the**



Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and 28 U.S. Code § 1915.

Citing Rule 4(c)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure: "(3) By a Marshal or Someone Specially Appointed. At the plaintiff's request, the court may order that service be made by a United States marshal or deputy marshal or by a person specially appointed by the court. The court must so order if the plaintiff is authorized to proceed in forma pauperis under 28 U.S.C. §1915 or as a seaman under 28 U.S.C. §1916."

And


Citing 28 U.S. Code § 1915: "(d) The officers of the court shall issue and serve all process, and perform all duties in such cases. Witnesses shall attend as in other cases, and the same remedies shall be available as are provided for by law in other cases."

The request by Plaintiff to the Clerk/Court serve all parties shall satisfy CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE and/or SERVING THE SUMMONS as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

BRIAN DAVID HILL, Pro Se

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill – Ally of Qanon
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505



7019 1120 0002 2623 6273



Brian D. Hill - Ally of QANON
WWG1WGA - Q-Intel - Drain the Swamp MAGA
JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com - INVESTIGATE!

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 03/26/2020 @09:33:09 by fax

TESTE: *R. H. H. H.*
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

CIRCUIT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
City of Martinsville
POST OFFICE BOX 1206
MARTINSVILLE, VIRGINIA 24114-1206



ASHBY R. PRITCHETT, CLERK

March 26, 2020

Brian David Hill
310 Forest Street
Apartment 2
Martinsville VA 24112

RE: Receipt of Letter

Mr. Hill:

I received your Letter to Clerk, dated March 25, 2020 by FAX yesterday

Per your request, I am making the Supreme Court of Virginia aware that there are two pending civil cases asking for relief against final judgment in the criminal case.

I have done this by transmitting electronic images of the documents received by FAX to the Court of Appeals for Virginia, where your criminal case appeal is being considered, through the portal provided between trial and appellate court for this purpose.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Ashby R. Pritchett".

Ashby R. Pritchett, Clerk



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

_____)	
)	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)	
Defendant,)	
)	Letter to Clerk
)	
_____)	

Letter to Clerk

*March 28,
2020*

Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett, Clerk
Phone: 276-403-5106
Fax: 276-403-5232
55 West Church Street, Room 205
P.O. Box 1206
Martinsville, VA 24114

I, Brian D. Hill, had my family check OCIS and they saw an entry titled "FROM CLERK TO DEFENDANT", some kind of letter. I haven't yet received this letter but I hope it isn't something bad. So I want to clarify some things.

1. I wasn't trying to serve the summons through fax. I know the U.S. Marshals will serve the lawsuit papers with all parties for my lawsuit. If that is the issue here, I am sorry I kinda sent that because I am a little upset about being expected to pay legal fees when the whole state judicial system is no longer working correctly anymore.

Something has gone terribly wrong with our judicial system and I haven't even got a college degree in sociology. However I am seeing things going in Virginia's



legal system that isn't constitutional and is in direct rebellion with the spirit of our nation's founding fathers.

I filed the direct appeal in the criminal case, then Glen Andrew Hall said some lies and a bunch of garbage in a fraudulent response brief when I haven't even filed any brief in that appeal, defrauding the court, and then I receive a letter from the Appeals Court suspending my right to file my petition for appeal. I file a motion to proceed pro se and to sanction Glen Andrew Hall and those are ignored for weeks and weeks without remorse. I fax a similar motion to the Circuit Court asking to represent myself and that is ignored by the Judge. The lawsuit I had filed initially may be the only means to force this Court to get rid of this horrible deadweight of a lawyer who is now being used as a corrupt mechanism to ruin my appeal and increase my legal fees beyond likely \$2,000. So I am being punished over and over again for trying to prove my innocence here. That isn't right. The Courts are supposed to be innocent until proven guilty but now it is I am considered guilty until I prove myself factually innocent in every aspect which is impossible for the indigents. Those that can hire the best lawyers before your Court can win their cases and get justice, but I didn't get justice and this terrifies me. This state case has been nothing but a nightmare from the very beginning, a nightmare I cannot wake up from every single day I live on this earth.

My attorney ignores any message or phone call from me. He won't talk to me, he won't respond to my letter asking to withdraw himself from the case. The Court won't let me get rid of my lawyer and won't let me represent myself.

I have basically no choice but to sue the Courts and to sue the attorneys and the judges because **I am stuck in a hamster wheel moving forward everyday but yet getting nowhere in this hamster wheel** of judicial corruption that never ends. I feel like a slave to your State, like a Plantation slave like the Africans were before the abolition of slavery. The poor people are slaves in your State courts and jails. It is a warehouse for the poor who cannot afford a good lawyer to fight back. When people fight pro se, all pro se materials are ignored. Evidence is ignored, motions are ignored, and witnesses are ignored. They only listen to the prosecution. It isn't just in this Court, but in the Federal Court system they deny every one of my motions, suspend my Habeas Corpus and refuse to accept my actual innocence like in the state Court.

I have suffered enough damage from the carbon monoxide. I had a gash in my head with blood pouring out of it and blood was all over the floor on November,



2017, the EMT had to be called, 911 had to be called, and my blood cell counts and MPV counts were all abnormal from that laboratory test including Sinus Tachycardia for the resting blood pulse. My lawyer didn't want to bring any of this up for the jury trial. Since November, 2017 to the day I was arrested on September 21, 2018, I have dealt with carbon monoxide poisoning. The hospital destroyed the blood vials which is why I can't determine the levels as Lauren McGarry made me get in order to even want to defend me. She wouldn't defend me otherwise as a lawyer. That was why I worked to get rid of Lauren McGarry. I thought Matthew Clark would be better. Then when I first met him he seemed okay. Then after Judge Thomas David Schroeder revoked my supervised release and refused to wait for the state court appeal trial de novo, then Matthew Clark acted different and said that it wouldn't matter whether I win the state case or not and then started begging my family every time we met for me to withdraw my appeal and accept the decision in the General District Court. So then I was sick of it and started asking my family to get me a private lawyer so we browsed around and got appointments. We found McPheeters but unfortunately his office was right beside of the office of Lauren McGarry of the Public Defender Office. They seemed to be good buddies with each other and that is concerning for somebody trying to get an independent and good decent lawyer. At first he told my family that I had a chance to win because I wasn't being obscene and can win based on the case law I gave him. About a week later after my family gave him a check, he acted nervous like something bad was going on, kept typing on his cell phone and acted jittery. He gave me that impression. Then he said Lauren McGarry spoke to him about my criminal state case, and she told him lies. She told him that I was posting on a blog about her and defaming her and acting as though there was a conspiracy going on. I never said anything about a conspiracy. I don't operate the JusticeforUSWGO.wordpress.com blog. I promote it because my friends and family post about my criminal case on it trying to show the American people what is going on in my case as my friends and family fight for justice in the federal court for me to be acquitted of my conviction in 2014. I am not allowed to use the internet. My family and friends post on there, it is their blog trying to expose the judicial corruption and bring justice to me, and end all of the nightmare in the federal criminal case and hoping I have justice in the state case. However my friend Laurie had posted about Lauren McGarry possibly having a father or family member who is the General District Court Judge (referring to Hon. James R. McGarry) as they are both with the same last name of McGarry in such a locality. My family told me that they will email Laurie asking her to remove that blog post



and it was removed early on. Despite the very short time that post was there and removed from my family concerned about that post and some others, Lauren McGarry was still upset about that old post and that Laurie removed that information from the blog post by Laurie Azgard. She told McPheeters when that violates attorney ethics, she violated confidentiality and she talked about my case in a bid to get him to not want to represent me cheaply at my family's expense to get rid of Matthew Clark. McPheeters accused me of running some kind of political stunt or political game with him. What kind of game was I running? I just wanted a lawyer who would fight for me. McPheeters kept mumbling over and over that he doesn't want to get involved in this and gave my grandparents the check back to them. He cowardly fled from my state case. So we went to other private attorneys and they either said that indecent exposure is a technical guilt just for me being naked, or that even innocent people have to falsely plead guilty. One lawyer from Rocky Mount told me that the Feds were "BS", yes he actually cussed out the Federal Courts, and he said that he had even innocent people falsely plead guilty in Circuit Court, and said that Glen Andrew Hall is a bad lawyer and acted as though he was afraid of Glen Andrew Hall. Another one said that if I even accuse Glen Andrew Hall of misconduct that I would face a contempt charge, that was a private lawyer in downtown Martinsville warned me not to even accuse Glen Andrew Hall of fraud for any lies or wrong information, told me what the law was but then my family did research and the law was the opposite of what that lawyer told me and my family. None of them want to risk challenging Glen Andrew Hall, like they were afraid of him and even admitted he is a bad lawyer, well at least a few of them and the rest just seemed to out of the blue want to walk away. The assistant for Matthew Clark's office also works for McPheeters. The same assistant that worked at Matthew Clark's office saw me at McPheeters office so he knew that I was looking for a better lawyer that my family was willing to pay at least enough for me to be acquitted of indecent exposure. After about 3 or 4 lawyers refusing to fight for me but was still willing to take their money but wasn't willing to fight for me. I gave up, I had withdrawn my appeal and accepted the decision in the lower court last year, that was how corrupt it all was. No lawyer wanted to fight for me. I gave similar testimony in a fax to the Governor's office about the McPheeters situation and letting him know how corrupt the group of Martinsville area lawyers are and that I will never get a fair trial in the City of Martinsville so I filed a petition for an absolute pardon for my actual innocence.



Now you at the Clerk's office know the whole story why I am filing the very things I'm filing. I am angry but I am trying to keep myself as disciplined as possible, trying to keep my cool in my filings with your Court but every motion I file is either ignored or denied. I feel like there is no hope in this Circuit Court, there is no hope in the Virginia Court of Appeals. I feel there is no hope in any of our courts because they all operate the same way. If one doesn't pay for a lawyer at the very beginning, then they are doomed to being convicted. It is about the money, it is about the convictions. Every case every motion I file is either ignored or denied. Attorneys don't seem to want to fight anymore. My mother asked Lauren McGarry about how I am not dangerous, that I am less dangerous than Jeffrey Epstein and he got treated away better than me in the legal system but it fell on deaf ears. I have Autism and am a virgin, yet Jeffrey Epstein raped and molested hundreds of young girls and only got 13 months in prison in the private wing of the jail like essentially a motel, and he got to leave jail for work release to work a regular job and raped another woman, he raped a model, and that was okay that he raped her because it was Jeffrey Epstein, and prosecution didn't even arrest him, he was told by law enforcement kindly to turn himself in, and he did. I wasn't given the same kind of treatment by law enforcement. A serial child rapist with political connections as far as Prince Andrew and Bill Clinton and Bill Gates, and he got better treatment in the legal system than I ever had in my life. It was also okay for Bill Cosby to rape women because he was Bill Cosby. I never raped anybody and was treated worse than both Bill Cosby and Jeffrey Epstein. My mother asked Lauren McGarry about "What about reasonable doubt in a jury trial" and she explained that it doesn't matter the way she said it, reasonable doubt doesn't matter anymore. There is no reasonable doubt in jury trials anymore.

Now for the carbon monoxide,

The ceiling was coming down in my Apartment, the wall was looking like it was about to come down with tears coming down the wall near my fireplace. White residue, and I had to live in my home under those dangerous CO gas conditions every single day until I wandered off towards the middle of the night on September 20, 2018. Then I met this guy in the hoodie who threatened to kill my mother and then I took my clothes off and took photos of myself. It was as simple as that. It was in the middle of the night. Something compelled me to leave my home, I didn't even tell my mother that I was leaving at night to go walking. I went walking in the middle of the night, got naked at night on September 21, 2018. I never had this urge to wander off from home alone before. I felt like I couldn't



think, I couldn't focus on fighting my federal criminal case anymore, I couldn't even want to do any work. I thought it was the stress of my federal case, the anxiety. I was wrong about all that. I kept sleeping on my mother's couch and my mother had to have talks with me about sleeping in my bed instead of the couch but I kept going back up and sleeping on her couch because I couldn't even bother to finish my OCD hand washing and body washing techniques. I didn't know that my mother's fireplace was actually a really bad spot for the carbon monoxide before my fireplace. My cats started acting funny. A stray cat that we adopted, named Angel Hill who was fixed at the SPCA, she was real sweet and loving to me and my mother. Just like her son Houdini Hill, a Russian blue cat. After November, 2017, that winter Angel started hissing at me and maybe even growling at me. She kept running from me and I started getting angry and followed her and she kept hissing at me and I hissed back at her, this went on for months into 2018 and I was wondering why she was acting weird like that, complaining to my mother about her weird change of behavior. I was frustrated that she would be so loving and then started to turn on me. I told my mother I thought she was going senile and was having Alzheimer's. Then months go by and she gets to points she was a little improved but then keeps hissing at me. Then her son Houdini started running away from me and when my mother had me in her computer room with Houdini he started acting crazy while looking at me, like he was in a room with some monster beast from hell. He was literally looking like I was not human but something that was scary to him. He was refusing to eat anytime he saw me, he started running under the bed and even scratched my mother anytime she wanted to get him to calm down. Then his sister Tiger Hill, a tabby cat, started running away from me thinking it was some kind of play game. Then around July or August, Angel ran out to the road and got hit by a car and her guts splattered all on the road with her eyes bugging out. I didn't even recognize her. I thought maybe she was killed by some cat killer as she always had street smarts. However shortly after she had died I was arrested on September 21, 2018 for indecent exposure. On July 31, 2018, Carillion Clinic did lab work and got some abnormal blood readings. Dr. Balakrishnan was wondering why but I was arrested and he never got to find out.

When I came back to my home when the Federal Court released me on bond on May 14 or 15, 2019, my cats Houdini and Tiger acted differently but better. Houdini was loving to me and didn't try to run at all. He let me pet him, and he purred. Tiger was missing me. So months after Pete Compton removed the metal tin as he told my family as my family told me, the cats acted better. Houdini was



not afraid of me at all. We are bros and have been bros since 2019, he acts like my furry brother, my friend. In 2018, the cats kept begging as much as possible to leave the home, but in 2019 to 2020, the cats like being out and in, and in some cases even will stay in even when it is warm outside when they normally would love to go outside. So the cats are also different on that too. Once the carbon monoxide source, the metal tin was removed from my apartment, things were different.

You want to know why I am so angry with the legal system, because I have suffered 9 months of federal imprisonment, 3 months of imprisonment in Martinsville City Jail, a state conviction, this case being dragged out for multiple years over a misdemeanor, thousands of dollars of damage my mother had to repair in my Apartment and other misc. things like roofing repair just to later find out that it was carbon monoxide, and then the legal fees on top of that with a threat of me facing jail-time if I don't pay. My Japanese umbrella antique that I had bought from the Antique Emporium that was once open in downtown Martinsville started coming apart with black stuff in the umbrella because it was near the fireplace, the source of the carbon monoxide. This carbon monoxide led to one of me and my mother's cats, killing herself on Forest Street, right around 310 Forest Street. She always watched out for cars, especially when she was a stray cat. She knew the roads and always stayed clear of cars but then in July or August decided to kill herself on the road to the point where her tongue was sticking out and her eyes were buggy. Just like when I fell, hit my head, then walked to my bed while unconscious, and blood was all over the floor, blood on my pillow, 911 was called, and EMT showed up and took photos of the bloody gash on my head. My OCD and mental state was so bad, I begged the EMT workers to not let me go to the hospital until I did my OCD body washing and hand washing routine which took four hours before I was in the Emergency Room. Blood was pouring out while I was washing myself to satisfy my OCD routine, even my grandparents were there at the house and my mother was begging me to just stop my routine and go to the hospital but I got upset if I didn't finish my routine and kept on with my routine.

The carbon monoxide messed me up real good.

I am ashamed that Matthew Clark didn't try harder. He didn't even do anything. All he did was tell me to withdraw my appeal and didn't bother to tell me that I would have to pay over \$1,000 of legal fees.



Now you know why I had to start this lawsuit, this whole charge of indecent exposure never would have happened had the carbon monoxide never happened. I swear this under penalty of perjury, I swear this upon every grave of my ancestors, I swear upon my honor and dignity. Glen Andrew Hall took things too far, whether he is a bully or just someone getting paid to convict people and meet the quotas. My mother in late 2018 didn't even want to see me at the beginning of my stay in the Martinsville City Jail but again she was also under carbon monoxide. She kept complaining that her parents had more energy than she did and they are elderly. My mother's vision has gotten worse since the carbon monoxide. She cannot read anything with text without glasses. She used to be able to read computer text without glasses. I cannot read restaurant menus that are on the walls anymore without having to go closer towards them right up to the counter. I used to be able to read them further away.

I don't understand why I get bad decisions from both the state Courts and the Federal Courts. I wish I knew about the carbon monoxide, then none of this would have ever happened, I never would have been naked on the Dick and Willie hiking trail on September 21, 2018, I never would have left my home. Something made me go out of my home at night, something made me want to leave my home. The only explanation I can tell the Court was that I believe it was carbon monoxide. My family knows and understands this.

Then Martinsville Police refusing to open up the envelope with the evidence and that was terrible. When Matthew Clark gave me his case files through his assistant at his office, I saw the envelope that I mailed under restricted delivery to Chief G. E. Cassady of Martinsville Police Department. All of that evidence, the medical papers with the carbon monoxide proof, research reports from Government sources that are credible like the CDC and the NIH and all other credible sources. I had good proof of carbon monoxide just not the levels which required the blood vials drawn from me on September 21, 2018, but the Police Department refused to accept it and gave the envelope to Glen Andrew Hall and then Mr. Hall gave it to Matthew Clark. Matthew Clark never told me about my envelope to Martinsville Police being in his custody until I find out myself after I withdrawn my appeal. I felt so embarrassed and ashamed. I felt so upset at the time but I had to turn myself into the Federal Prison Hospital in Kentucky in December, 2019, so I couldn't do anything more about the state case until a later time.



Despite the bond conditions, I have never even went back to the Dick and Willie trail, I am afraid to even want to be there because it gives me bad memories. I don't want my PTSD or any bad feelings to act up and I feel anxiety and fear. So I stay away from that trail and I and my mother hike other hiking trails. I don't want to step one foot on that trail because I get bad memories.

I hope that you understand Ashby Pritchett. I am not trying to be mean or disrespectful but I have to be honest about how I feel about the way my case was handled in your Court's judicial system. It wasn't handled the right away. My defense lawyers weren't brave enough to fight for me and at least raise two to three reasonable doubts and the fact that I wasn't being obscene and that I had no intent, thus the Government never had the proof of the elements necessary to be able to convict me according to Clarksburg, WV Mayor Edward Ryan Kennedy who is also my court appointed federal appellate lawyer over my supervised release violation that stemmed from my state charge beginning in the General District Court, this case. That court appointed lawyer was the only court appointed lawyer that actually fought for me the best to his abilities. He said that Virginia never had the evidence and elements to prove me guilty of indecent exposure and that even under a preponderance of the evidence, that they still did not meet its burden. However Matthew Clark didn't want to talk with Edward Ryan Kennedy the Mayor from Clarksburg, West Virginia. Matthew Clark didn't want to hear Kennedy's perspective on my indecent exposure. Maybe Matthew Clark would have changed his mind but he didn't. Only wanted me to withdraw my appeal.

My state criminal case has been tainted with such constitutional structural defects, at this point I was never legitimately convicted, no matter what the laws of Virginia are or were. To the Constitutional scrutiny, I don't think I was ever legitimately convicted of indecent exposure. Spoliation of evidence, ineffective counsel, Police refused to investigate any evidence, Hospital never did lab-work and never tried to find out why I had sinus tachycardia two times for resting blood pulse while at the Hospital before I was arrested. They never checked my blood sugar. Medical records don't lie, and I have them.

There were a lot of things the Circuit Court did constitutionally wrong. Eric Clark my legal friend warned me that Municipal Courts are not legitimate Article III constitutional courts and I just thought he was referring to places like Las Vegas, Nevada. There were a lot of things constitutionally wrong with my state criminal case. There were no transcripts furnished of any hearings in the Circuit Court that



are on record, my lawyer failed to do anything and allowed the Police and the Hospital to destroy evidence forever, spoliation of evidence, any evidence that would have given me a better chance to win the jury trial. Almost every one of my pro se motions were ignored and one or two was denied and were never adopted or endorsed by Matthew Clark. I filed a motion to dismiss and it could have prevailed but again the Attorney did nothing. Once evidence was destroyed that was exculpatory in my favor, it helped Glen Andrew Hall had a better chance at winning. No matter what evidence I filed, Judge Greer didn't seem to care about any of it. It was if the carbon monoxide never existed even though there is evidence of carbon monoxide, but it is ignored as if there is no such thing at all.

Ashby Pritchett, I don't know what to do at this point. I can sit there and let all my hard work, my Writs and my motions all be denied or ignored in this Circuit Court, or I had to file one federal lawsuit and may have to file more if it will invalidate my wrongful conviction in this state court. I may try a Bivens claim under Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). I am trying to weigh my options here as to what suits may need to be filed to invalidate the state conviction since I feel I have no remedy no matter what I file in the state court. I feel lost and feel like no matter what I do I am in a perpetual hamster wheel getting nowhere, like I am a slave, a second class citizen. I don't know what to do your Honor. I don't know what to do Glen Andrew Hall, it seems justice is never in my favor no matter what evidence I file, no matter what Motions I type up, no matter what case law I have, I feel like nothing I file in the state case will ever mean anything other than just be another filing on record, that is it. Just papers piling up in the hundreds and don't mean anything. That is sad. That is very sad.

I am sorry for the lawsuit, but I don't know what else to do, something has gone entirely wrong with our judicial system. The police aren't the good doers that used to exist, it is all part of a corrupt mechanism now. We are in a fixed system that sociology teaches us in College. The system is designed so that we never get ahead in life unless we cheat, steal, and do wrong. Satanists get ahead in life, but the regular people do not. The FreeMasons get ahead in life, the regular average people do not. Corruption is the only way people get ahead and become powerful in our systems of Government, and that has been all I have ever seen. I am seeing less and less honesty in our Governments. It scares me. I don't know who to trust anymore. This makes me paranoid and for good reason. Nothing makes any sense anymore.



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Now you know why I promote Qanon, why I support Qanon. I want Donald John Trump, to fix the corrupt system and drain the swamp. I support his plan for mass arrests all over America during the CoronaVirus pandemic. I support his plan for martial law and shutting down our corrupt state and federal courts and arrest people across the country for high treason and political corruption. I support the idea of Trump starting martial law soon and fixing our courts in the state and the feds. Qanon is my only hope now. I don't see a need to keep fighting tooth and nail in our courts just to see them ignore, deny, deny, deny, deny, deny, ignore, and deny. I am sorry Ashby Pritchett, this Circuit Court has failed me and cannot bring me justice under Giles Carter Greer. The justice system has failed me. There is no justice, as V for Vendetta says. "There is something terribly wrong with this country," and we the people elected Donald Trump so that we wouldn't have to have a civil war or revolution to end the mass political corruption all over America that we are slaves and indebted too, the corrupt central banking cartels, the elites,, the Bilderbergs, the FreeMasons, and Illuminati, and other corrupt factions like the Clintons and the Bushes and the Obamas. At this point I just sit and wait for Qanon, for John Durham, for William Barr, for Donald Trump and the rest of the patriots to start making their arrests with the sealed indictments, fix the FBI and replace them with good honest people. Then the local and state corruption can finally be arrested and those arenas can be fixed, then the Courts will be about justice again. All I ever wanted was justice, that was all I ever wanted Ashby Pritchett and Glen Andrew Hall and Hon. Giles Carter Greer. There is no justice. I wait for Q to fix this country. I sit and wait, longing for justice. I've no faith in this system. I have no faith in this Court. I have no faith in the Police here.

Filed with the Honorable Circuit Court of Martinsville, this the 28th day of March, 2020.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Executed on March 28, 2020

Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon





Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court



Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt.
2 Martinsville,
Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant



FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 03/30/2020 @11:20:51

TESTE: *Jennifer C. Gelin*
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

By Fax



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

_____)	
)	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)	
Defendant,)	
)	Emergency Motion to discharge
_____)	the Legal fees
)	

Emergency Motion to discharge the Legal fees or Emergency Motion to Reconsider the Legal Fees and discharge them

Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett, Clerk
Phone: 276-403-5106
Fax: 276-403-5232
55 West Church Street, Room 205
P.O. Box 1206
Martinsville, VA 24114

And Hon. Giles Carter Greer
Phone: 276-403-5106
Fax: 276-403-5232
55 West Church Street, Room 205
P. O. Box 1347 (Judges)
Martinsville, VA 24114

I, Brian D. Hill, file this emergency motion asking this Court to discharge all legal fees from my debt to the Commonwealth of Virginia. I owe no restitution, I have



no victims, and my attorneys Matthew Scott Thomas Clark, Lauren McGarry, and Scott Albrecht have all failed me in successfully defending me from my charge.

The claims and attached evidence in my earlier filed MOTION for "WAIVING LEGAL FEES" that was filed on 03/16/2020 is also asserted as evidence in support of this emergency motion.

I am still considering filing a Chapter 7 or Chapter 13 bankruptcy petition asking to discharge the legal fees since they are only attorney fees for both Glen Andrew Hall, Matthew Clark, and Lauren McGarry as well as Scott Albrecht.

My only charge was being outside on a hiking trail at night while having a psychiatric episode. The mental evaluator on November, 2018, who evaluated me in the General District Court was not aware of Dr. Conrad Daum diagnosing me as having a psychosis in October, 2018. Neither was the mental evaluator aware of the carbon monoxide and that the Martinsville Memorial Hospital failed and refused to conduct the laboratory tests after drawing the blood from Brian David Hill on September 21, 2018, which was irresponsible of medical staff and negligence. They also knew I had Sinus Tachycardia and refused to do anything about that before releasing me to jail when jails have the reputation of providing the worst medical care.



I attach a single exhibit of the application to proceed In Forma Pauperis that was filed in the federal lawsuit that I had filed on Friday, March 27, 2020. That IFP motion was granted even though the Judge dismissed the Social Security Anti-Garnishment lawsuit I had filed quickly to protect my SSI disability from being garnished but such fees was not to pay any restitution since none was ordered but only the legal fees of both the prosecution and defense attorneys which does not help any supposed victims of crime as I have no victims. I also was legally innocent according to Mayor of Clarksburg, West Virginia and my federal appellate lawyer Ryan Edward Kennedy. He saw the nude photographs of me, he reviewed over the case files and the transcript, and he still said that I was innocent of indecent exposure. It is wrong to charge me any legal fees at all. That is my second Exhibit attached to this motion, the legal arguments of Attorney Kennedy stating that the Government did not oppose the claim that I was not being obscene and had no intent to being obscene and therefore I did not violate Virginia law to begin with. Attorney Kennedy was actually more effective and fought for me compared to Matthew Clark who betrayed me and will get money from my SSI out of betraying me which will hurt me forever.

That form I filled out was pretty accurate but the costs of mailing out envelopes to manage all of these different federal and state appeal cases that resulted from my



wrongful state conviction on November 15, 2019 and charge on September 21, 2018, all because of me and my mother being a victim of carbon monoxide gas.

I have to report to this court that I have no liquid assets and that forcing me to pay the legal fees because I had bad lawyers like Matthew Clark, Lauren McGarry, and Scott Albrecht wasn't as bad as the others but was still ineffective counsel.

Forcing me to pay such legal fees constitutes cruel and unusual punishment under the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution and takes away my right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. I cannot live

without my monthly income benefits of SSI from the Federal Government. Federal Courts don't make me pay any legal fees for not winning a criminal case because they know poor disabled people don't have the money compared to middle class and rich people. You can't get blood from a turnip.

I had to file a federal lawsuit on March 27, 2020, because I am being coerced at threat of jail time if I don't pay the attorneys' fees causing my SSI to be transferred from me to the Court as well as to Matthew Clark and Lauren McGarry and those ineffective counsel that didn't do anything to actually defend me. Scott Albrecht had argued that I wasn't being obscene and that I didn't make any sexual remarks and never actually approached people to where they say things like for example:



“oh my god”. However he was still ineffective as to why I had to originally file a notice of appeal to the Circuit Court.

I have never repeated such behavior after I was arrested because on or around January, 2019, the metal tin was removed from the chimney flue causing the natural gas fumes to be able to vent out of the chimney. Both the hot water heater and furnace are fueled by Natural Gas. I use nothing but warm water every time I wash my hands and wash my clothes with warm water. I take anywhere between 10 minutes to an hour every time I do my hand washing routine and body washing routines which include multiple showers in a day. I take on average anywhere between 1 to 3 showers a day. In 2018, I had taken an hour or almost an hour on every routine. Roberta Hill is witness to that. All of that causes gas fumes to attempt to vent up through the chimney but the metal tin blocked all of that. My mother does not have Autism Spectrum Disorder and was never actually diagnosed as to having such. I have Autism Spectrum Disorder. The carbon monoxide can affect me differently. Officer Robert Jones did not understand Autism and he may never will. The carbon monoxide affects my brain differently because my brain is already disabled to a certain degree. That was why I had wandered away from my home while my mother was not. The gas can affect each person differently. The attorneys could have made a jury understand all of this but they were too afraid to



want to actually fight for me. Total oppose of Mayor Attorney Ryan Edward Kennedy from Clarksburg, West Virginia. He showed Matthew Clark up.

You got to understand that there was no intent to indecent exposure. There were no plans of such, there was no diabetic insulin and no blood glucose monitor in the backpack that was examined by Officer Robert Jones, there were no things that I would normally walk outside with like my black digital camera that I always use. There is no intent and no intent was ever proven in the General District Court.

I again offer as exhibit attached to this motion, my copy of my filed Affidavit to proceed In Forma Pauperis and then the reply by Attorney Ryan Edward Kennedy the Mayor of Clarksburg, West Virginia. It was granted by the Judge. However the form I filled out was to average out what the monthly spending was. Things are different each month. Like one month I paid over \$10 (It can be anywhere between \$10 to \$20) for shoes, one month I pay for multiple packs of paper for my legal filings. This month I had to buy some shirts because of a hole in one of my shirts. I am having to replace stuff. My legal filings cannot be predictable even in my affidavit of being indigent. Like how out of the blue the Fourth Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals dismisses two of my appeals which I thought wasn't right and asked for Petition for Rehearing. Each legal mailing I can mail out with certified mail can get costly. It can be on average \$10-\$15 per mailing with priority mail, the paper, the ink cartridges, making photocopies of evidence



necessarily for sending proofs to the court. I will admit under oath that about at the end of the month I run out of money of my SSI disability and then wait until the next month when my SSI Direct Deposit to buy more things that I need.

This court has to understand, to even make me pay in installments will force me into Credit Card Debt and then I will have to declare bankruptcy. All over an indecent exposure charge that I am legally innocent of according to attorney Ryan Edward Kennedy a Mayor of Clarksburg, West Virginia, and he did see the nude photos off me and still argued my technical legal innocence. Even the Assistant U.S. Attorney Anand Prakash Ramaswamy, who I had proven had defrauded the Court in my federal criminal case, even he did not dispute Attorney Kennedy's claim that I was not being obscene and/or did not have the intent to be obscene thus I did not violate Virginia law.

“For example, the government does not dispute that there was no evidence of Appellant making any sexual remarks, being aroused, masturbating, or enjoying his conduct, sexually or otherwise.” – That should tell Matthew Clark and Glen Andrew Hall and this Court that I should never have been convicted of indecent exposure in the first place.

WHY is an Attorney and Mayor from West Virginia doing better at defending me than Matthew Scott Thomas Clark who lied to my family and betrayed me and my



family by not telling us that I would be liable for thousands of dollars of legal fees at garnishment of my SSI disability money??

The whole conviction is not constitutionally valid, it is structural defect. This Court did not validly convict me under Constitutional merit. I don't understand how things have gone as far as they have been. I don't understand why all of this is happening to me, why keep getting bad luck. Did I really anger the Deep State Swamp that much that they will coming after me and targeting me and make my life a living hell and torment.

Please just let this go and please discharge my legal fees and not require me to pay them. Thank You!

Filed with the Honorable Circuit Court of Martinsville, this the 30th day of March, 2020.

Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill –
Ally of Qanon





Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court



Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt.
2 Martinsville,
Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant





AO 239 (Rev. 01/15) Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Long Form)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 for the
 Western District of Virginia

_____)	
<i>Plaintiff/Petitioner</i>)	
v.)	Civil Action No.
_____)	
<i>Defendant/Respondent</i>)	

APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN DISTRICT COURT WITHOUT PREPAYING FEES OR COSTS
 (Long Form)

Affidavit in Support of the Application	Instructions
<p>I am a plaintiff or petitioner in this case and declare that I am unable to pay the costs of these proceedings and that I am entitled to the relief requested. I declare under penalty of perjury that the information below is true and understand that a false statement may result in a dismissal of my claims.</p>	<p>Complete all questions in this application and then sign it. Do not leave any blanks: if the answer to a question is "0," "none," or "not applicable (N/A)," write that response. If you need more space to answer a question or to explain your answer, attach a separate sheet of paper identified with your name, your case's docket number, and the question number.</p>
<p>Signed: <u>Brian D. Hill</u> <i>Signed</i></p>	<p>Date: <u>03/26/2020</u></p>

1. For both you and your spouse estimate the average amount of money received from each of the following sources during the past 12 months. Adjust any amount that was received weekly, biweekly, quarterly, semiannually, or annually to show the monthly rate. Use gross amounts, that is, amounts before any deductions for taxes or otherwise.

Income source	Average monthly income amount during the past 12 months		Income amount expected next month	
	You	Spouse	You	Spouse
Employment	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Self-employment	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Income from real property (such as rental income)	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Interest and dividends	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Gifts	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A
Alimony	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Child support	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A



AO 239 (Rev. 01/15) Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Long Form)

Retirement (such as social security, pensions, annuities, insurance)	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A
Disability (such as social security, insurance payments)	\$ 783.00	\$ N/A	\$ 783.00	\$ N/A
Unemployment payments	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A
Public-assistance (such as welfare)	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A
Other (specify):	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A
Total monthly income:	\$ 783.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 783.00	\$ 0.00

2. List your employment history for the past two years, most recent employer first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.)

Employer	Address	Dates of employment	Gross monthly pay
Never employed	Never employed	Never employed	\$ 0.00
Never employed	Never employed	Never employed	\$ 0.00

3. List your spouse's employment history for the past two years, most recent employer first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.)

Employer	Address	Dates of employment	Gross monthly pay
No Spouse	Never married	Never married	\$ 0.00
No Spouse	Never married	Never married	\$ 0.00
No Spouse	Never married	Never married	\$ 0.00

4. How much cash do you and your spouse have? \$ Money in bank account under Direct Deposit minimum requirement for a bank account
Below, state any money you or your spouse have in bank accounts or in any other financial institution.

Financial institution	Type of account	Amount you have	Amount your spouse has
SunTrust	Direct Deposit for SSI	\$ 111.01	\$
		\$	\$
		\$	\$

If you are a prisoner, you must attach a statement certified by the appropriate institutional officer showing all receipts, expenditures, and balances during the last six months in your institutional accounts. If you have multiple accounts, perhaps because you have been in multiple institutions, attach one certified statement of each account.



AO 239 (Rev. 01/15) Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Long Form)

5. List the assets, and their values, which you own or your spouse owns. Do not list clothing and ordinary household furnishings.

Assets owned by you or your spouse	
Home (Value)	\$ 0.00
Other real estate (Value)	\$ 0.00
Motor vehicle #1 (Value)	\$ 0.00
Make and year: No Vehicle, No Home, no real estate owned	
Model:	
Registration #:	
Motor vehicle #2 (Value)	\$
Make and year:	
Model:	
Registration #:	
Other assets (Value)	\$ 0.00
Other assets (Value)	\$ 0.00

6. State every person, business, or organization owing you or your spouse money, and the amount owed.

Person owing you or your spouse money	Amount owed to you	Amount owed to your spouse
0	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
	\$	\$
	\$	\$

7. State the persons who rely on you or your spouse for support.

Name (or, if under 18, initials only)	Relationship	Age
N/A	N/A	



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

AO 239 (Rev. 01/15) Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Long Form)

8. Estimate the average monthly expenses of you and your family. Show separately the amounts paid by your spouse. Adjust any payments that are made weekly, biweekly, quarterly, semiannually, or annually to show the monthly rate.

	You	Your spouse
Rent or home-mortgage payment (including lot rented for mobile home) Are real estate taxes included? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Is property insurance included? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	\$ 500.00	\$ N/A
Utilities (electricity, heating fuel, water, sewer, and telephone) Included in rent	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A
Home maintenance (repairs and upkeep)	\$ 0.00	\$
Food	\$ 15.00	\$
Clothing between \$0-\$100	\$ 100.00	\$
Laundry and dry-cleaning	\$ 5.00	\$
Medical and dental expenses Medicaid	\$ 0.00	\$
Transportation (not including motor vehicle payments) Mother drives me places	\$ 0.00	\$
Recreation, entertainment, newspapers, magazines, etc.	\$ 30.00	\$
Insurance (not deducted from wages or included in mortgage payments)		
Homeowner's or renter's: N/A	\$ 0.00	\$
Life: N/A	\$ 0.00	\$
Health: N/A	\$ 0.00	\$
Motor vehicle: N/A	\$ 0.00	\$
Other: N/A - Medicaid is benefit program	\$ 0.00	\$
Taxes (not deducted from wages or included in mortgage payments) (specify):	\$ 0.00	\$
Installment payments		
Motor vehicle: N/A	\$ 0.00	\$
Credit card (name): N/A - Never owned credit	\$ 0.00	\$
Department store (name): N/A - Do not owe any Department store	\$ 0.00	\$
Other: N/A	\$ 0.00	\$
Alimony, maintenance, and support paid to others	\$ 0.00	\$



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

AO 239 (Rev. 01/15) Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Long Form)

Regular expenses for operation of business, profession, or farm (attach detailed statement)	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A
Other (specify): Misc. Legal Expenses towards federal/state criminal cases	\$ 100.00	\$ N/A
Total monthly expenses:	\$ 750.00	\$ 0.00

9. Do you expect any major changes to your monthly income or expenses or in your assets or liabilities during the next 12 months?

Yes No If yes, describe on an attached sheet.

10. Have you spent — or will you be spending — any money for expenses or attorney fees in conjunction with this lawsuit? Yes No

If yes, how much? \$ 0-100

11. Provide any other information that will help explain why you cannot pay the costs of these proceedings. Only source of income is SSI disability. Limited monthly income, a fixed income. I am disabled however I have to file this lawsuit to protect my SSI benefits from garnishment, execution, or any other legal process.

12. Identify the city and state of your legal residence. Martinsville, Virginia

Your daytime phone number: (276) 790-3505

Your age: 29 Your years of schooling: High School is the highest education



RECORD NO. 19-4758

In The
United States Court of Appeals
For The Fourth Circuit

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff – Appellee,

v.

BRIAN DAVID HILL,

Defendant – Appellant.

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
AT GREENSBORO**

REPLY BRIEF OF APPELLANT

**E. Ryan Kennedy
ROBINSON & McELWEE, PLLC
140 West Main Street, Suite 300
Clarksburg, West Virginia 26301
(304) 326-5318**

Counsel for Appellant



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ii

I. ARGUMENT 1

i. The government’s argument unduly limits the scope of *United States v. Haymond*, as the provided opinion indicates a new direction for the Supreme Court of the United States. Therefore, the district court erred as a matter of law in conducting the revocation hearing without a jury and by making findings of guilt by preponderance of the evidence, rather than beyond a reasonable doubt..... 1

ii. The government’s argument expands Virginia state criminal law regarding obscenity beyond its statutory limits and, therefore, the district court erred in finding that the evidence before it was sufficient to find that Appellant violated his supervised release by violating Virginia Code § 18.2-387 because the evidence fails to show that Appellant acted intentionally to make an obscene display or exposure of his person.....6

iii. The government’s argument misses the point of Appellant’s argument that this situational violation was completely avoidable had the district court granted Appellant’s Motion to Continue. Therefore, this Court should extend and/or modify existing law to hold that the district court abused its discretion when it denied Appellant’s motion to continue the revocation hearing until after the underlying criminal appeal was completed 10

II. CONCLUSION..... 11

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES

Apprendi v. New Jersey,
530 U.S. 466 (2000).....4, 5

Blakely v. Washington,
542 U.S. 296 (2004).....4

Blakely v. United States,
570 U.S. 99 (2013).....4

Copeland v. Commonwealth,
525 S.E.2d 9 (Va. App. 2000)6

Hart v. Commonwealth,
441 S.E.2d 706 (Va. App. 1994)7

Maness v. Commonwealth,
2014 WL 2136469 (Va. App. 2014).....7

Morales v. Commonwealth,
525 S.E.2d 23 (Va. App. 2000)6

Morris v. Slappy,
461 U.S. 1 (1983).....10

Moses v. Commonwealth,
611 S.E.2d 607 (Va. App. 2005)6

Price v. Commonwealth,
201 S.E.2d 798 (Va. 1974)7

Romick v. Commonwealth,
No. 1580-12-4, 2013 WL 6094240 (Va. Ct. App. Nov. 19, 2013)7



United States v. Barton,
26 F.3d 490 (4th Cir. 1994) 1

United States v. Haymond,
139 S. Ct. 2369 (2019).....*passim*

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

U.S. CONST. amend. V3, 4, 5

U.S. CONST. amend. VI4

STATUTES

18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)2, 5

18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(4)..... 11

18 U.S.C. § 3583(k)2, 5

Va. Code § 18.2-3728

Va. Code § 18.2-3876, 9



I. ARGUMENT

- i. **The government's argument unduly limits the scope of *United States v. Haymond*, as the provided opinion indicates a new direction for the Supreme Court of the United States. Therefore, the district court erred as a matter of law in conducting the revocation hearing without a jury and by making findings of guilt by preponderance of the evidence, rather than beyond a reasonable doubt¹.**

The government's argument unduly limits the scope of *United States v. Haymond*, as the provided opinion indicates a new direction for the Supreme Court of the United States. Therefore, the district court erred as a matter of law in conducting the revocation hearing without a jury and by making findings of guilt by preponderance of the evidence, rather than beyond a reasonable doubt.

As stated by the government in its brief, the Supreme Court of the United States, in *United States v. Haymond*, 139 S. Ct. 2369 (2019), was divided into a 4-1-4 decision. While this division of the Court does make the opinion more difficult to interpret, it does not lessen its impact. The similarities between the two (2) defendants in *Haymond* and the instant case are striking.

In *Haymond*, the defendant was initially convicted of possession of child pornography, which is the same initial offense as Appellant. *Id.* at 2373. As in the instant case, Haymond was sentenced to a term of (10) years of supervised release.

¹ As previously stated in Appellant's opening brief, this Court reviews questions of law in supervised release revocation proceedings de novo, including the interpretation of the United States Sentencing Guidelines and the Constitution of the United States. *United States v. Barton*, 26 F.3d 490, 491 (4th Cir. 1994).



I. ARGUMENT

- i. **The government's argument unduly limits the scope of *United States v. Haymond*, as the provided opinion indicates a new direction for the Supreme Court of the United States. Therefore, the district court erred as a matter of law in conducting the revocation hearing without a jury and by making findings of guilt by preponderance of the evidence, rather than beyond a reasonable doubt¹.**

The government's argument unduly limits the scope of *United States v. Haymond*, as the provided opinion indicates a new direction for the Supreme Court of the United States. Therefore, the district court erred as a matter of law in conducting the revocation hearing without a jury and by making findings of guilt by preponderance of the evidence, rather than beyond a reasonable doubt.

As stated by the government in its brief, the Supreme Court of the United States, in *United States v. Haymond*, 139 S. Ct. 2369 (2019), was divided into a 4-1-4 decision. While this division of the Court does make the opinion more difficult to interpret, it does not lessen its impact. The similarities between the two (2) defendants in *Haymond* and the instant case are striking.

In *Haymond*, the defendant was initially convicted of possession of child pornography, which is the same initial offense as Appellant. *Id.* at 2373. As in the instant case, Haymond was sentenced to a term of (10) years of supervised release.

¹ As previously stated in Appellant's opening brief, this Court reviews questions of law in supervised release revocation proceedings de novo, including the interpretation of the United States Sentencing Guidelines and the Constitution of the United States. *United States v. Barton*, 26 F.3d 490, 491 (4th Cir. 1994).



Id. at 2574; (JA 7). Haymond was later caught, while on supervised release, with additional child pornography and a revocation hearing was conducted before a district judge without a jury and under a preponderance of the evidence standard, not the beyond a reasonable doubt standard. *Id.* Similarly, in the instant case, Appellant appeared before a district judge in a revocation hearing based upon his alleged indecent exposure, without a jury and under a preponderance of the evidence standard. (JA 26-27, 35-36, 120-21).

Both Haymond and Appellant were sentenced to an additional term of incarceration based upon the findings of fact of a district judge, without a jury, by a preponderance of the evidence. *Id.*; (JA 120-21).

The government emphasizes that Haymond's violation invoked the mandatory minimum provision of 18 U.S.C. § 3583(k), whereas Appellant's sentence for his alleged violation fell under 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e). Despite the government's assertions to the contrary, however, Appellant maintains that the expanded scope of trial by jury and the burden of proof being beyond a reasonable doubt also applies to Section 3583(e) violations, such as this case, either directly through *Haymond* or through an expansion and/or change in existing law.² Simply

² For the sake of brevity, Appellant will not reproduce the Supreme Court of the United States' eloquent remarks from *Haymond* on the historic and fundamental importance of both the right to trial by jury and that proof of criminal conduct must be beyond a reasonable doubt. Appellant hereby incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, pages 2376 through 2378 of the *Haymond* opinion.



put, *Haymond* is an established beachhead whose objectives are clear: The restoration of a robust right to trial by jury and the expansion of the use of the beyond a reasonable doubt standard.

The government's argument centered not so much on the law but on trying to play on this Court's supposed fears of being the first Court of Appeals to recognize the full scope of the *Haymond* doctrine. As a result, the government leaves unrebutted the detailed textual analysis of *Haymond* in Appellant's opening brief. Many statements and passages in the Court's opinion strongly suggest that the Sixth Amendment right to a jury trial applies to any supervised-release revocation proceeding. For example, the first sentence of the opinion reads: "Only a jury, acting on proof beyond a reasonable doubt, may take a person's liberty." *Haymond*, 139 S. Ct. at 2373.

The Court defined a "crime" as any "ac[t] to which the law affixes ... punishment," and says that a "prosecution" is "the process of exhibiting formal charges against an offender before a legal tribunal." *Haymond*, 139 S. Ct. at 2376. The Court, however, uses this definition for the purpose, of declaring that every supervised-release revocation proceeding is a criminal prosecution. See *Haymond* 139 S. Ct., at 2379 ("[A] 'criminal prosecution' continues and the defendant remains an 'accused' with all the rights provided by the Sixth Amendment, until a final



sentence is imposed.... [A]n accused's final sentence includes any supervised release sentence he may receive".)

Quoting *Blakely v. Washington*, 542 U.S. 296, 304 (2004), the Court states that "a jury must find beyond a reasonable doubt every fact which the law makes essential to a punishment that a judge might later seek to impose." *Haymond*, 139 S. Ct. at 2370. Since a defendant sentenced to incarceration after being found to have violated supervised release is receiving a "punishment," then the Court's statement means that any factual finding upon which that judgment is based must be made by a jury, not by a judge.

While both *Apprendi v. New Jersey*, 530 U.S. 466 (2000) and *Blakely v. United States*, 570 U.S. 99 (2013), apply only to a defendant's sentencing proceeding and not to a supervised-release revocation proceeding, which has been described at times as a "postjudgment sentence-administration proceedin[g]," the Court states that "the demands of the Fifth and Sixth Amendments" cannot be "dodge[d] by the simple expedient of relabeling a criminal prosecution a ... 'sentence modification' imposed at a 'postjudgment sentence administration proceeding.'" *Haymond*, 139 S. Ct. at 2379. The meaning of the Court's above statement is clear. A supervised-release revocation proceeding is a criminal prosecution and is therefore governed by both the Fifth and Sixth Amendments. See *Haymond*, 139 S. Ct. at 2390 ("any accusation triggering a new and additional punishment [must be] proven to the



satisfaction of a jury beyond a reasonable doubt”); *Id.* at 2380 (“a jury must find all of the facts necessary to authorize a judicial punishment”).

The Court, in summary, posits that parole was constitutional, but supervised release is entirely different. *Haymond*, 139 S. Ct. at 2381-82. The implication in the above statements is clear enough: All supervised-release revocation proceedings must be conducted in compliance with the Sixth Amendment. The Court hints at where it is heading when it writes: “[O]ur opinion, [does] not pass judgment one way or the other on § 3583(e)’s consistency with *Apprendi*.” *Haymond*, 139 S. Ct. at 2382-84, n.7. Section 3583(e), the section under which Appellant was sentenced, sets out the procedure to be followed in all supervised-release revocation proceedings. Therefore, the Court left open the door that provision, the one through which Appellant was sentenced, is not consistent with *Apprendi*, which means that Appellant’s proceeding required trial by jury.

For the reasons both stated above and in Appellant’s opening brief, there is no clear ground for limiting the *Haymond* opinion only to Section 3583(k). The Court simply let that issue sleep for another day. Today is that day. Despite the government’s protestations to the contrary, this Court should recognize the larger paradigm shift which has occurred in the Supreme Court’s reasoning, which when applied, protects Appellant from being sentenced to further incarceration without a jury and requires a beyond a reasonable doubt evidence standard.



- ii. **The government’s argument expands Virginia state criminal law regarding obscenity beyond its statutory limits and, therefore, the district court erred in finding that the evidence before it was sufficient to find that Appellant violated his supervised release by violating Virginia Code § 18.2-387 because the evidence fails to show that Appellant acted intentionally to make an obscene display or exposure of his person.**

The government’s argument expands Virginia state criminal law regarding obscenity beyond its statutory limits and, therefore, the district court erred in finding that the evidence before it was sufficient to find that Appellant violated his supervised release by violating Virginia Code § 18.2-387 because the evidence fails to show that Appellant acted intentionally to make an obscene display or exposure of his person. While the government would have this Court believe that it knows obscenity when it sees it, Virginia has chosen to provide significant codification in this area of law. That statute provides, in relevant part, that “[e]very person who **intentionally** makes an **obscene** display or exposure of his person, or the private parts thereof, in any public place, or in any place where others are present, or procures another to so expose himself, shall be guilty of a Class 1 misdemeanor.” Va. Code § 18.2-387 (emphases added).

“The ‘obscenity’ element of Code § 18.2–387 may be satisfied when: (1) the accused admits to possessing such intent, *Moses v. Commonwealth*, 611 S.E.2d 607, 608 (Va. App. 2005) (*en banc*); (2) the defendant is visibly aroused, *Morales v. Commonwealth*, 525 S.E.2d 23, 24 (Va. App. 2000); (3) the defendant engages in masturbatory behavior, *Copeland v. Commonwealth*, 525 S.E.2d 9, 10 (Va. App.



2000); or (4) in other circumstances when the totality of the circumstances supports an inference that the accused had as his dominant purpose a prurient interest in sex, *Hart*, 441 S.E.2d at 707–08.³ The mere exposure of a naked body is not obscene. *See Price v. Commonwealth*, 201 S.E.2d 798, 800 (Va. 1974) (finding that “[a] portrayal of nudity is not, as a matter of law, a sufficient basis for finding that [it] is obscene’).” *Romick v. Commonwealth*, No. 1580-12-4, 2013 WL 6094240, at *2 (Va. Ct. App. Nov. 19, 2013) (unpublished) (internal citations reformatted).

While the evidence may show that Appellant was naked in public, as stated above, nudity, without more, is not obscene under Virginia law. Rather, “[t]he word ‘obscene’ where it appears in this article shall mean that which, **considered as a whole, has as its dominant theme or purpose an appeal to the prurient interest in sex**, that is a shameful or morbid interest in nudity, sexual conduct, sexual excitement, excretory functions or products thereof or sadomasochistic abuse, and

³ Although the government has placed all of its eggs in the basket of a single, unreported, three (3) page decision of a state intermediate appellate court, defendant is citing a summary of multiple reported decisions, some of which came from Virginia’s highest court. Further, as *Maness v. Commonwealth*, 2014 WL 2136469, *3 (Va. App. 2014). (unpublished), the case cited by the government acknowledges, every circumstance of alleged obscenity is fact-specific. *Maness* was riding a bicycle nearly nude through a major thoroughway on a Sunday in broad daylight. *Id.* at *1. Further *Maness* did not appear to be in any distress and said that he simply thought it was a nice day for a bike ride. *Id.* Unlike Appellant, there does not appear to be any other logical reason why *Maness* would have thus conducted himself except to have as his dominant theme or purpose an appeal to the prurient interest in sex. Appellant’s belief that he was being forced to take nude pictures of himself in public under threat of harm to his family constitutes a completely different purpose.



which goes substantially beyond customary limits of candor in description or representation of such matters and which, taken as a whole, does not have serious literary, artistic, political or scientific value.” Va. Code § 18.2-372 (emphasis added). While Virginia does not appear to have established a clean definition of criminal intent, Black’s Law Dictionary defines it as “[a]n intent to commit an actus reus without any justification, excuse, or other defense.”

In summary, in order to show that Appellant violated his supervised release by committing the offense of indecent exposure under Virginia law, the government was required to prove, among other things, that Appellant had the intent to display or expose himself in a way which has, as its dominant theme or purpose, appeal to the prurient interest in sex, as further defined above, without any justification, excuse, or other defense.⁴ The government failed to do so. Rather, the government’s evidence, presented through its own witnesses, showed Appellant as someone who was running around naked between midnight and 2:00 a.m. and taking pictures of himself because he believed that someone was going to hurt his family if he did not do so. (JA 42-43, 53).

⁴ For the reasons stated above, the government’s burden was to prove every element of the offense, including the *mens rea*, beyond a reasonable doubt. However, even if, *arguendo*, this Court were to find that the government’s burden was only a preponderance of the evidence, the government has still failed to carry its burden.



The district court did not hear, however, any evidence of Appellant having his dominant theme, or purpose being an appeal to the prurient interest in sex. For example, the government does not dispute that there was no evidence of Appellant making any sexual remarks, being aroused, masturbating, or enjoying his conduct, sexually or otherwise. If a person was purposing to expose himself in public because he or she found it sexually arousing, it would be logical that he or she would pick a place and time where he or she would expect to encounter lots of members of the public. Appellant did not do that. Rather, he was running around between midnight and 2:00 a.m. and the witnesses to his nudity were few. Hence, the statements Appellant made to police and his conduct both indicate that, in the light most favorable to the government, (1) he was naked in public while having a psychiatric episode⁵, but (2) without the intent necessary to commit indecent exposure under Virginia law. Consequently, for the reasons stated above and in Appellant's opening brief, the district court erred, as a matter of law, when it found that Appellant had violated his supervised release by committing the Virginia state law offense of indecent exposure as per Virginia Code § 18.2-387.

⁵ It is irrelevant whether there actually was someone threatening him to take naked pictures or whether he just believed there was at the time. Either circumstance would be a lack of the appropriate *mens rea*.



- iii. **The government’s argument misses the point of Appellant’s argument that this situational violation was completely avoidable had the district court granted Appellant’s Motion to Continue. Therefore, this Court should extend and/or modify existing law to hold that the district court abused its discretion when it denied Appellant’s motion to continue the revocation hearing until after the underlying criminal appeal was completed.**

The government’s argument misses the point of Appellant’s argument that this situational violation was completely avoidable had the district court granted Appellant’s Motion to Continue. Therefore, this Court should extend and/or modify existing law to hold that the district court abused its discretion when it denied Appellant’s motion to continue the revocation hearing until after the underlying criminal appeal was completed. As stated above and in Appellant’s opening brief, this Court should extend and/or modify existing law to find that Appellant had a constitutional right to a trial by jury and for his guilt to be determined to the beyond a reasonable doubt standard.

An abuse of discretion occurs when the district court demonstrates “an unreasoning and arbitrary insistence upon expeditiousness in the face of a justifiable request for delay.” *Morris v. Slappy*, 461 U.S. 1, 11-12 (1983).

If the district court had not wanted to empanel a jury, it could have still protected Appellant’s constitutional rights by simply granting Appellant’s motion to continue the hearing in order to allow Appellant’s pending state court appeal, which would have been a *de novo* jury trial, to reach a final decision. (JA 30-36). Had the



district court done so, it could have used the final conviction from the Virginia state court, if the appeal were unsuccessful, as a factual basis for a revocation because Appellant would have, at that point, been determined to be guilty of said underlying offense beyond a reasonable doubt by a jury of his peers. Conversely, if said appeal were successful, then the district court could have dismissed the revocation petition. Therefore, the district court demonstrated an unreasoning and arbitrary insistence upon expeditiousness in the face of a justifiable request for delay by insisting that the hearing proceed that day.

As provided in 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(4), and discussed at the revocation hearing, the district court could have ordered Appellant to remain at his place of residence during non-working hours and/or placed him on electronic monitoring. (JA 103-06). Such an order would have alleviated any public safety concern while Appellant's appeal was ongoing in state court. Therefore, the district court abused its discretion when it denied Appellant's motion to continue, as the district court could have alleviated the basis for this appeal by merely granting the continuance.

II. CONCLUSION

For the reasons state above and in Appellant's opening brief, the Appellant urges this Court to vacate the revocation of his supervised release.



Respectfully Submitted,

BRIAN DAVID HILL
By Counsel

/s/ E. Ryan Kennedy

E. Ryan Kennedy

(W.Va. State Bar. #10154)

ROBINSON & McELWEE PLLC

Post Office Box 128

Clarksburg, West Virginia 26302

Phone: 304-622-5022

Counsel for Appellant



CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

- 1. This brief complies with type-volume limits because, excluding the parts of the document exempted by Fed. R. App. P. 32(f) (cover page, disclosure statement, table of contents, table of citations, statement regarding oral argument, signature block, certificates of counsel, addendum, attachments):

[X] this brief contains [2,845] words.

[] this brief uses a monospaced type and contains [*state the number of*] lines of text.

- 2. This brief complies with the typeface and type style requirements because:

[X] this brief has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using [*Microsoft Word 2016*] in [*14pt Times New Roman*]; or

[] this brief has been prepared in a monospaced typeface using [*state name and version of word processing program*] with [*state number of characters per inch and name of type style*].

Dated: January 17, 2020

/s/ E. Ryan Kennedy
Counsel for Appellant



CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 17th day of January, 2020, I caused this Reply Brief of Appellant to be filed electronically with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System, which will send notice of such filing to the following registered CM/ECF users:

Anand P. Ramaswamy
OFFICE OF THE U.S. ATTORNEY
101 South Edgewater Street, 4th Floor
Greensboro, North Carolina 27401
(336) 333-5351

Counsel for Appellee

I further certify that on this 17th day of January, 2020, I caused the required copy of the Reply Brief of Appellant to be hand filed with the Clerk of the Court.

/s/ E. Ryan Kennedy
Counsel for Appellant
FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 03/31/2020 @09:26:08 by fax

TESTE: ER Hamilton
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

RECORD NO. _____

In The
Supreme Court
Of Virginia

In Re: Brian David Hill,

Brian David Hill,

Petitioner,

v.

Commonwealth of Virginia,

Respondent,

**PETITIONING TO REQUEST MANDAMUS/PROHIBITION
ACTION ON THE MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT**

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND PROHIBITION



**Brian David Hill – Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505**



Pro Se Appellant

– JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii to iv
I. STATEMENT OF SUBJECT MATTER AND APPELLATE JURISDICTION	1
II. INTRODUCTION	3
III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE	7
IV. PARTIES	8
V. FACTUAL BACKGROUND	9
VI. ARGUMENT AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW.....	11
CONCLUSION.....	18
AFFIDAVIT IN FORMA PAUPERIS	20
REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT	22
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 5:7(b)(7).....	23
CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE.....	24



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES/CITATIONS

Page(s)

CASES

Charlottesville Newspapers, Inc. v. Berry,
215 Va. 116, 118, 206 S.E.2d 267, 268 (1974).....14

Commonwealth v. Latham,
85 Va. 632, 633-34, 8 S.E. 488, 488-89 (1889).....14

Cowan v. Fulton,
64 Va. (23 Gratt.) 579, 584 (1873)15

Ex Parte Ford Motor Credit Co.,
607 So. 2d 169 (Ala. 1992)18

Dovel v. Bertram,
184 Va. 19, 22, 34 S.E.2d 369, 370 (1945).....13

Flanary v. Morton,
197 Va. 416, 417-18, 90 S.E.2d 470, 471 (1955).....14

French v. Noel,
63 Va. (22 Gratt.) 454, 456 (1872)15

Jackson v. Maxwell,
26 Va. (5 Rand.) 636 (1826)15

James v. Stokes,
77 Va. 225, 227-31 (1883)14

Kent, Paine & Co. v. Dickinson,
66 Va. (25 Gratt.) 817, 823 (1875)16

In re Robby Lampart,
Case No. 315333 (2014) - State of Michigan, Court of Appeals16



In re Commonwealth’s Attorney for the City of Roanoke,
265 Va. 313, 317, 576 S.E.2d 458, 461 (2003)12

In re Commonwealth of Virginia,
278 Va. 1, 22 (Va. 2009)..... 13

In re Commonwealth of Virginia,
278 Va. 1, 45-46 (Va. 2009).....14

In re Harrell,
No. 01-11-00760-CV (Tex. App. – Houston [1st Dist.] 1/26/2013).....17

Richlands Med. Ass’n v. Commonwealth,
230 Va. 384, 386, 337 S.E.2d 737, 739 (1985) 12

Richlands Med. Ass’n,
230 Va. at 386, 337 S.E.2d at 739 (1985)..... 13

*Washington State Dep’t of Social &
Health Servs v. Guardianship Estate of Keffeler,*
537 US 371; 123 S Ct 1017; 154 L Ed 2d 972 (2003)16

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

U.S. CONST. amend. XIV due process clause.....1, 6

Article VI, § 1 of the Constitution of Virginia.....3, 7

STATUTES

Virginia Code Ann. § 8.01-644 1

Virginia Code § 17.1-3093, 7

42 U.S. Code § 407 or 42 U.S.C. § 407(a) 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 12, 16, 17, 19

RULE

Rules of the Supreme Court of Virginia: Rule 5:7(b)(1)..... 1



**I. STATEMENT OF SUBJECT MATTER
AND APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

Brian David Hill, (the “Appellant” or “Petitioner”) the criminal defendant in case no. CR19000009-00, and requests an emergency Petition for a Writ of Mandamus and Prohibition by the Supreme Court of Virginia to mandate and prohibit an action or actions by the Hon. Giles Carter Greer (“Judge”) and/or the Hon. Ashby Pritchett (“Clerk”) and/or Matthew Scott Thomas Clark (“Attorney”, officer of the court) of the Circuit Court of Martinsville, Virginia (“Circuit Court”). Such action is needed to prevent the Judge, Clerk, and Attorney of the Circuit Court from violating federal law under 42 U.S. Code § 407 by unlawful garnishment of Brian David Hill’s SSI disability.

Petition is filed pursuant to Va. Code Ann. § 8.01-644, and all factual claims in this petition shall be verified or declared under oath as pursuant to that statutory authority and pursuant to Rule 5:7(b)(1).

This Petition for the Writ of Mandamus follows an inaction by the Judge of the Circuit Court on pending motions that affects the Constitutional rights which may deprive a criminal defendant of life and liberty and property without due process of law, and may permanently deprive Petitioner of his Supplemental Security Income (“SSI”) in violation of federal law.

This Petition for the Writ of Prohibition follows an order for Petitioner to pay \$1,124.00 to pay the legal fees obligation to the Circuit Court, from the order signed



by the Clerk. Petitioner asks for this Court to issue Prohibition against the Circuit Court Judge and Clerk prohibiting them from taking any judicial action that would unlawfully garnish the SSI disability money of Brian David Hill as such garnishment violates 42 U.S. Code § 407.

Brian David Hill argues that pursuant to 42 U.S. Code § 407(a), which provides an anti-attachment provision for social security benefits, the SSI benefits are exempt from attachment, garnishment, or other court-imposed obligation.

42 U.S.C. § 407(a) provides:

“The right of any person to any future payment under this subchapter shall not be transferable or assignable, at law or in equity, and none of the moneys paid or payable or rights existing under this subchapter shall be subject to execution, levy, attachment, garnishment, or other legal process, or to the operation of any bankruptcy or insolvency law.”

Petitioner also argues that any attempt to enforce the legal fee payment order would constitute “other legal process” under 42 U.S.C. § 407(a), and that such attempt is barred by the statute.

The Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett, the Clerk of the Circuit Court signed the order for Brian David Hill to pay the legal fees, see the order attached to this Writ.

Hon. Giles Carter Greer, the Judge of the Circuit Court either signed or was involved with the order for Brian David Hill to pay the legal fees.

Matthew Scott Thomas Clark, an attorney/officer of the Circuit Court, could also demand legal fees separately and will assess his own legal fees to be billed to



Petitioner Brian David Hill, from his representation of Petitioner during his state criminal case.

See et seq. 45, Date: 11/15/2019, Type: OTHER, Party: BEW, Remarks: COPY DISPOSITION NOTICE; et seq. 44, Date: 11/15/2019, Type: PAYMENT AGREEMENT PLAN, Party: BEW; and et seq. 43, Date: 11/15/2019, Type: ORDER IN MISDEMEANOR OR TRAFFIC INFRACTION PROCEEDING, Party: BEW, Judge: GCG

Since the Circuit Court is an inferior court to the Supreme Court of Virginia, and the only other higher court is the United States Supreme Court, this is the only available Court within the Commonwealth that has the ability to act on this emergency matter.

This Court is legally authorized to issue this Writ under the Court's original jurisdiction pursuant to Article VI, § 1 of the Constitution of Virginia and Code § 17.1-309, the petitioner, Brian David Hill, proceeding pro se in this separate action as a criminal defendant, seeks the issuance of a writ of mandamus and prohibition directed to the Hon. Giles Carter Greer, Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett, and Matthew Scott Thomas Clark, Esq..

II. INTRODUCTION

1. The Petitioner brings this case to ensure that the Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett, Hon. Giles Carter Greer, and Matthew Scott Thomas Clark, Esq. of the Circuit Court does not violate federal law in subjecting Petitioner's SSI to "execution, levy, attachment, garnishment, or other legal process" in order to garnish Brian David⁶⁸⁴



Hill's SSI disability disbursement. The Petitioner brings this case to compel the Hon. Giles Carter Greer and/or the Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett, to act on the pending motions before the Circuit Court asking to waive legal fees or discharge legal fees to not garnish the SSI disability of Petitioner. This is to prevent a miscarriage of justice with a defect or failure of justice or even an unlawful usurpation of power (excess of jurisdiction) from being carried out if no action is taken or an action is enforced that violates federal law.

2. Direct appeal cannot bring the relief requested in Petitioner's criminal case in the Circuit Court to protect his SSI benefits from garnishment by the Circuit Court, since Petitioner had withdrawn his appeal in the Circuit Court on November 15, 2019, but did not sign any agreements or waivers of protection under federal law as to his Supplemental Security Income benefits.

3. The Hon. Giles Carter Greer and/or Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett have failed to act on or refused to act on Petitioner's Motion to Waive Legal Fees since Petitioner's only source of income is his SSI disability. See et seq. 59, Date: 03/16/2020, MOTION to "WAIVING LEGAL FEES".

4. The Hon. Giles Carter Greer and/or Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett have failed to act on or refused to act on Petitioner's Motion to Discharge Legal Fees since Petitioner's only source of income is his SSI disability. See et seq. 70, Date: 03/31/2020, MOTION to "TO DISCHARGE LEGAL FEES".

5. The Petitioner seeks to protect his rights and the fundamental rights of himself from the serious, irreparable harm that would occur if the Petitioner's 685



pending Motions referenced under paragraphs 3-4 are not acted upon by the Hon. Giles Carter Greer and/or Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett, and if Hon. Giles Carter Greer and/or Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett of the Circuit Court illegally garnishes the Supplemental Security Income (“SSI”) of Petitioner.

6. The case also involves the ineffective assistance of counsel—Matthew Scott Thomas Clark—who will also benefit off of the Circuit Court’s order from Ashby R. Pritchett and/or Hon. Giles Carter Greer mandating the garnishment of Petitioner’s SSI disability. Matthew Scott Thomas Clark shall be referred to as “Matthew Clark” or by his title/position of the “Attorney Matthew Clark”.

7. Any amount of SSI being garnished, even in increments still violates 42 U.S.C. § 407(a).

8. The order to coerce Petitioner to transfer or give up his SSI benefits which is garnishment was entered on November 15, 2019.

9. Petitioner had stated in his motions in paragraphs 3-4 alleging that Petitioner has no liquid assets, owes no restitution, has not been fined, but the legal fees demanded from Petitioner are only to pay the attorney fees of every defense counsel appointed in his state criminal case and the legal fees of the prosecuting attorney who had prosecuted the case. Petitioner pays \$500 of rent. He filed a photocopy of his filed federal affidavit of his in forma pauperis long form (*APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN DISTRICT COURT WITHOUT PREPAYING FEES OR COSTS (Long Form)*) in attachment to his second motion asking to discharge legal fees. Once Petitioner had filed his motions to discharge or waive the 686



legal fees in his criminal case with good merits and explanation as to why Petitioner should not be compelled to pay the legal fees to the Circuit Court as in violates 42 U.S.C. § 407(a), those motions should have been acted upon without unnecessary delay.

10. If the Circuit Court refrains from making any decision on Petitioner's motions in regards to the court ordered legal costs and even decides to ignore it, then Matthew Clark and/or Hon. Giles Carter Greer and/or Hon. Ashby Pritchett is the permanent gatekeeper of unreasonable legal fee demands that they know by federal law they cannot legally get, and can deprive Petitioner of his federally protected SSI benefits, and thus can deprive Petitioner right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness without due process of law in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution. Matthew Clark could fix it to where I owe money that cannot be liquated as a matter of law. This is cruel and unusual punishment for a Circuit Court to deprive a criminal defendant of his only source of income and that income being protected under federal law, thus it deprives Petitioner of his federally protected benefits and usurps the federal law authority.

11. Matthew Clark will not direct appeal with his own ineffectiveness in the criminal case, will not demonstrate his own ineffectiveness in argument. Counsel will not call itself ineffective in order to effectively represent the Petitioner. Once a conflict of interest has been merited, once a conflict of interest has been found or proven on the record, counsel must withdraw from the case voluntarily or by order of the court. Because he is controlling the appeal with his own ineffectiveness,⁶⁸⁷



Petitioner cannot use the vehicle of direct appeal for his criminal case to stop the unlawful garnishment of his SSI.

12. Even if it is a state law for the losing party in a criminal case to pay the legal fees, even that law is not exempted from the anti-attachment and anti-garnishment clause of the Social Security Act under 42 U.S. Code § 407. Usually only restitution or alimony or child support or taxes can be subject to garnishment. Petitioner owes no restitution in the criminal case and was not fined. If a criminal defendant writes a pro se pleading to the Court in either a pleading or a letter stating that he/she does not have the money to pay the legal costs and federal law forbids such requirement in the legal system to pay the legal costs even under court order, then the Court should honor making sure to only garnish any assets not protected under federal/state law such as federal benefits and state benefits programs to prevent any serious, irreparable harm and permanent damages to the criminal defendant seeking relief.

13. Direct appeal is impossible to timely file for the order to pay attorney fees as it was entered in November 15, 2019. Since Petitioner had withdrawn his trial de novo appeal in the criminal case of the Circuit Court, direct appeal may not be available as remedy to prevent the violation of federal law by the Hon. Giles Carter Greer and/or Hon. Ashby Pritchett and/or Matthew Clark.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

14. This Court has jurisdiction to grant mandamus and prohibition relief under the Article VI, § 1 of the Constitution of Virginia and Va. Code § 17.1-309, 688



which authorizes the Court to supervise the lower courts and to issue mandamus and prohibition relief under extraordinary circumstances as necessary to effectuate the justice system to ensure fair trial, that there is no unlawful usurpation of power (excess of jurisdiction) or that a lower court does not violate federal law in its judicial actions, and to ensure that an inferior Court does not neglect, fail, or refuse to take an action that it has a constitutional obligation and a duty to take such action. The Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett, Clerk, and the Hon. Giles Carter Greer are both officers of the Circuit Court. Since the Circuit Court is an inferior Court to the Supreme Court of Virginia, and there are no other higher Courts that has the original jurisdiction to enter a Writ of Mandamus and Writ of Prohibition, the Supreme Court of Virginia is the only available judicial body that has the authority and power to correct a miscarriage of justice or excess of jurisdiction from happening by unlawful garnishment of Brian David Hill's SSI disability disbursement, and thus permanently depriving Petitioner of his guaranteed federal legal right to his assignment of benefits under the Social Security Act. This Court has the legal authority and obligation to take action on this emergency situation.

15. Venue is appropriate as this Court is the only Court that has supervisory authority over the Circuit Courts of Virginia, which is the Supreme Court of Virginia itself.

IV. PARTIES

16. Brian David Hill (Petitioner) is the criminal defendant in the case entitled "Commonwealth of Virginia v. Brian David Hill", case no. CR19000009-00, in the 689



Circuit Court of Martinsville. Brian David Hill is also the Petitioner in this case.

17. Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett, Clerk, is the judicial officer in the case entitled “Commonwealth of Virginia v. Brian David Hill”, case no. ~~CR19000009-00~~, in the Circuit Court of Martinsville. Address of his official capacity is: 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, VA 24112, Phone: (276) 403-5106; Fax: (276) 403-5232.

18. Hon. Giles Carter Greer, Judge, is the judicial officer in the case entitled “Commonwealth of Virginia v. Brian David Hill”, case no. CR19000009-00, in the Circuit Court of Martinsville. Address of his official capacity is: 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, VA 24112, Phone: (276) 403-5106; Fax: (276) 403-5232.

19. Matthew Scott Thomas Clark, Esq., Attorney, is the judicial officer in the case entitled “Commonwealth of Virginia v. Brian David Hill”, case no. CR19000009-00, in the Circuit Court of Martinsville. Address of his official capacity is: 711B Starling Ave., Martinsville, Virginia 24112, Phone: (276) 634-4000; Fax: (276) 634-4004.

V. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

20. Petitioner had filed the seq. 59, Date: 03/16/2020, ~~MOTION to~~ “~~WAIVING LEGAL FEES~~” with the Circuit Court by fax and was filed on March 16, 2020. That should be considered the date of initial filing. Two weeks have passed since that Motion was received by the Court and no action has been taken. Petitioner has not received any letters, phone calls, or any indication that the motion has been acted upon by the Judge the Hon. Giles Carter Greer or the Clerk under the Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett and neither of any indication that the motion has been granted or



denied. Petitioner assumes that if the motion is ignored because it is filed pro se, it shouldn't be ignored since that very motion is asking for legal fees to be waived as garnishment of SSI disability that is Petitioner's only source of income violates federal law. Taking no action on his motion deprives Petitioner of his federally protect benefit in violation of federal law.

21. Petitioner had stated in his MOTION to "WAIVING LEGAL FEES" the following:

"Pursuit to § 8.01-512.4 and 42 U.S. Code § 407, Brian David Hill ("Petitioner") the criminal defendant in this case files this motion for requesting the Waiving of Legal Fees or Not Enforcing Them in this Circuit Court, for lack of funds and that Petitioner has no garnishable income and no liquid assets. Petitioner does not work a job and therefore does not actually make any money that can be subject to garnishment as it is a protected Federal Benefits program by Federal Law and is Petitioner's only source of income to live off of. This motion is to file evidence that establishes Petitioner has no garnishable income, owns no car, and owns no home. Petitioner owes no restitution to the Commonwealth of Virginia as there are no reported victims. The only fees charged to Petitioner is legal fees such as attorney fees, and that is forbidden under Federal Law from any kind of garnishment, execution and levying." – Pages 1-2 cited from the filed pleading

22. Petitioner had stated in his MOTION to "TO DISCHARGE LEGAL FEES" the following:

"I, Brian D. Hill, file this emergency motion asking this Court to discharge all legal fees from my debt to the Commonwealth of Virginia. I owe no restitution, I have no victims, and my attorneys Matthew Scott Thomas Clark, Lauren McGarry, and Scott Albrecht have all failed me in successfully defending me from my charge. The claims and attached evidence in my earlier filed MOTION for "WAIVING LEGAL FEES" that was filed on 03/16/2020 is also asserted as evidence in support of this emergency motion"...I attach a single exhibit of the application to proceed In Forma Pauperis that was filed in the federal lawsuit that I had filed on Friday, March 27, 2020. That IFP motion was granted even though the Judge dismissed the Social Security Anti-Garnishment lawsuit I had filed quickly to protect my SSI disability from being garnished but such fees was not to pay any restitution since none was ordered but only the legal fees of both the prosecution and defense attorneys which⁶⁹¹



does not help any supposed victims of crime as I have no victims. I also was legally innocent according to Mayor of Clarksburg, West Virginia and my federal appellate lawyer Ryan Edward Kennedy.” – Pages 1-3 cited from the filed pleading

23. Petitioner had made a reasonable effort to file multiple motions asking the Circuit Court under the Hon. Ashby Pritchett and Hon. Giles Carter Greer to not garnish the SSI money of Brian David Hill. So far the efforts are without any success.

24. The evidence and information in both of those motions is very concerning for any Court. No constitutional Court of Virginia should ever allow a clear violation of federal law just to pay some legal fee.

25. The Judge Hon. Giles Carter Greer and/or the Hon. Ashby Pritchett is not making any efforts to reach out to the Petitioner concerning the evidence and information in those filed motions concerning that the Petitioner may not be able to even pay the legal costs billed to Petitioner by the Circuit Court. Matthew Clark is also not doing anything as Petitioner own defense lawyer to protect him from garnishment. Counsel that is presumed ineffective and in conflict of interest will not argue his own ineffectiveness in appealing the very case that he represented Petitioner for in the Circuit Court of Martinsville.

Without Immediate, Expedited Relief, the Party Brian David Hill Will Suffer Irreparable Harm.

VI. ARGUMENT AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW

Writ of Mandamus and Prohibition is appropriate in the matters of a judicial officer not faithfully discharging his duties as required by law, mandating the 692



payment of attorney fees through legal costs billed against Petitioner even though Petitioner's only source of income is his protected federal benefits under 42 U.S. Code § 407, and the documented federal affidavit to proceed without prepayment of fees demonstrates that Petitioner doesn't even have \$100 and neither of even \$50 to pay the legal costs. The Hon. Ashby Pritchett and the Hon Giles Carter Greer is demanding legal payments from a mentally disabled man with hardly any money every month after monthly expenses and the cost of defending and prosecuting cases including federal appeals and state civil cases and state appeals (mailings, ink, pens, paperclips, binder clips, and paper). A judge is an excess of jurisdiction by taking no action on a motion pending before it. A judge (Hon. Giles Carter Greer) or clerk (Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett) is in excess of jurisdiction to order payment of legal fees out of the Supplemental Security Income ("SSI") of Petitioner which violates 42 U.S. Code § 407. Writ of Mandamus and Prohibition is appropriate in the matters of a judicial officer usurping authority beyond what was prescribed by law, breaking federal law, and acting in excess of jurisdiction.

"Mandamus is an extraordinary remedy employed to compel a public official to perform a purely ministerial duty imposed upon him by law." *Richlands Med. Ass'n v. Commonwealth*, 230 Va. 384, 386, 337 S.E.2d 737, 739 (1985); accord *In re Commonwealth's Attorney for the City of Roanoke*, 265 Va. 313, 317, 576 S.E.2d 458, 461 (2003). "A ministerial act is 'one which a person performs in a given state of facts and prescribed manner in obedience to the mandate of legal authority without regard to, or the exercise of, his own judgment upon the propriety of the act being



done.” Richlands Med. Ass’n, 230 Va. at 386, 337 S.E.2d at 739 (quoting Dovel v. Bertram, 184 Va. 19, 22, 34 S.E.2d 369, 370 (1945)).

This court has already made a precedent ruling on the issue of Mandamus for compelling a Court to act where they refuse to act and ought to act.

In re Commonwealth of Virginia, 278 Va. 1, 22 (Va. 2009) (“Specifically with regard to mandamus directed to an inferior court, we have previously explained that”, “mandamus may be appropriately used and is often used to compel courts to act where they refuse to act and ought to act”).

As this Supreme Court has previously explained:

“[Mandamus] may be appropriately used and is often used to compel courts to act where they refuse to act and ought to act, but not to direct and control the judicial discretion to be exercised in the performance of the act to be done; to compel courts to hear and decide where they have jurisdiction, but not to pre-determine the decision to be made; to require them to proceed to judgment, but not to fix and prescribe the judgment to be rendered.”

That makes sense as fixing or correcting the judgment is normally left to the appeal process. Mandamus relief is necessary when no action is taken on an important motions dealing with evidence that the Petitioner is unable to pay the demanded legal costs that were ordered by the Court, and when in cases where federal law may not allow it. There should be exceptions to the discretion of ignoring pro se motions when dealing with issues such as a criminal defendant’s inability to pay court ordered legal costs, or that the court ordered legal costs may violate federal law in certain circumstances. Why should a Circuit Court force a criminal defendant to be represented by an ineffective counsel, or why even force a criminal defendant to pay out of his SSI disability or face



jail time when that is his only source of income and is protected under federal law?

Mandamus or Prohibition relief is necessary when an action is taken by a judicial officer under his official capacity that is in excess of jurisdiction.

In re Commonwealth of Virginia, 278 Va. 1, 45-46 (Va. 2009) ("In contrast to the decision in In re: Department of Corrections, this Court has, on several occasions, issued a writ of prohibition notwithstanding the fact that it would undo an act already done. See, e.g., Charlottesville Newspapers, Inc. v. Berry, 215 Va. 116, 118, 206 S.E.2d 267, 268 (1974) (issuing writ of prohibition preventing enforcement of order denying public access to pleadings in civil actions and ordering "that the orders of the Circuit Court of Albemarle County which may be in conflict herewith, be, and the same hereby are, declared unenforceable"); Flanary v. Morton, 197 Va. 416, 417-18, 90 S.E.2d 470, 471 (1955) (because the circuit court did not have "the essential equity jurisdiction to entertain" a certain suit and an injunction granted in the suit was without validity and of no effect, a writ of prohibition was issued and the circuit court was "prohibited from having or holding any further proceedings in said equity suit . . . and from enforcing the said injunction"); Burroughs, 90 Va. at 56, 17 S.E. at 746 (affirming issuance of a writ of prohibition to prevent a justice of the peace without jurisdiction from awarding a new trial, after the justice had already granted a new trial); Commonwealth v. Latham, 85 Va. 632, 633-34, 8 S.E. 488, 488-89 (1889) (issuing a writ of prohibition directed to a judge who acted in excess of his jurisdiction when he enjoined the levy for a debt in favor of the Commonwealth, thereby preventing enforcement of an injunction already issued in the trial court); James v. Stokes, 77 Va. 225, 227-31 (1883) (where a justice of



jail time when that is his only source of income and is protected under federal law?

Mandamus or Prohibition relief is necessary when an action is taken by a judicial officer under his official capacity that is in excess of jurisdiction.

In re Commonwealth of Virginia, 278 Va. 1, 45-46 (Va. 2009) ("In contrast to the decision in In re: Department of Corrections, this Court has, on several occasions, issued a writ of prohibition notwithstanding the fact that it would undo an act already done. See, e.g., Charlottesville Newspapers, Inc. v. Berry, 215 Va. 116, 118, 206 S.E.2d 267, 268 (1974) (issuing writ of prohibition preventing enforcement of order denying public access to pleadings in civil actions and ordering "that the orders of the Circuit Court of Albemarle County which may be in conflict herewith, be, and the same hereby are, declared unenforceable"); Flanary v. Morton, 197 Va. 416, 417-18, 90 S.E.2d 470, 471 (1955) (because the circuit court did not have "the essential equity jurisdiction to entertain" a certain suit and an injunction granted in the suit was without validity and of no effect, a writ of prohibition was issued and the circuit court was "prohibited from having or holding any further proceedings in said equity suit . . . and from enforcing the said injunction"); Burroughs, 90 Va. at 56, 17 S.E. at 746 (affirming issuance of a writ of prohibition to prevent a justice of the peace without jurisdiction from awarding a new trial, after the justice had already granted a new trial); Commonwealth v. Latham, 85 Va. 632, 633-34, 8 S.E. 488, 488-89 (1889) (issuing a writ of prohibition directed to a judge who acted in excess of his jurisdiction when he enjoined the levy for a debt in favor of the Commonwealth, thereby preventing enforcement of an injunction already issued in the trial court); James v. Stokes, 77 Va. 225, 227-31 (1883) (where a justice of



the peace assumed jurisdiction of a case involving a debt that exceeded the jurisdictional amount over which the justice had jurisdiction, this Court affirmed the issuance of a writ of prohibition against the justice even though judgment had been entered, writs of fieri facias had issued, and partial collection on the judgment had occurred); French v. Noel, 63 Va. (22 Gratt.) 454, 456 (1872) (holding that a writ of prohibition was "the proper remedy for the plaintiff in such a case as this, to prevent and arrest the said unauthorized proceedings, and to have them declared null and void; and that the said remedy still continues to exist, notwithstanding the said judgment of the said [c]ircuit court was rendered before the rule was awarded in this case" (emphasis added)); Jackson v. Maxwell, 26 Va. (5 Rand.) 636 (1826) (issuing a writ of prohibition to inferior court because that court was without jurisdiction when it granted a writ of prohibition to another court, and prohibiting enforcement of the inferior court's writ).")

This Court further argued that "When the action of a court is "a simple refusal to hear and decide the case; and this [C]ourt having held that no appeal lies from such refusal, it is exactly the case to which the highly remedial writ of mandamus is most frequently applied, in order to prevent a defect or failure of justice.'" Cowan v. Fulton, 64 Va. (23 Gratt.) 579, 584 (1873).

Similarly, in three cases from the late 1800's, the Court found that mandamus was proper because the respective judges were without authority to decide that they could not hear a particular case, which they had jurisdiction to decide. See Page, 71 Va. (30 Gratt.) at 428 ("If a judge . . . refuses to sign a proper bill, or to proceed to settle the matter of a bill objected to, he may, in either case, be compelled by mandamus to act");



Kent, Paine & Co. v. Dickinson, 66 Va. (25 Gratt.) 817, 823 (1875) (“It is well settled that applications for a mandamus to a subordinate court are warranted by the principles and usages of law in cases where the subordinate court having jurisdiction of a case refuses to hear and decide the controversy”); Cowan, 64 Va. (23 Gratt.) at 585 (mandamus proper when a court refused to hear a case it had jurisdiction to decide).

There is already well-established case law within both the U.S. Supreme Court and in the higher state court in another state in regards to the federal law’s prohibition on garnishment of a criminal defendant’s social security disability money when that is their only source of income, and there is no other income.

The Court of Appeals determined, in *In re Robby Lampart*, Case No. 315333 (2014), that a court cannot order a person to satisfy a restitution obligation from his or her social security disability income benefits (“SSDI”). *In Re Robby Lampart* was in the State of Michigan, Court of Appeals.

The Court, agreeing with Alexandroni, stated that 42 USC 407(a) prohibited a state court from enforcing a restitution order against her when her only income was SSDI benefits. First, the Court reasoned, 42 USC 407(a) protected a recipient’s benefits before and after the recipient has received them and deposited them in an account. Next, as Alexandroni’s SSDI benefits were not subject to “execution, levy, attachment, or garnishment,” 42 USC 407(a) would only apply if the state court action was an “other legal process.” Citing to *Washington State Dep’t of Social & Health Servs v Guardianship Estate of Keffeler*, 537 US 371; 123 S Ct 1017; 154 L Ed 2d 972 (2003), the Court stated that an “other legal process (1) requires utilization



of some judicial or quasi-judicial mechanism; (2) by which control over property passes from one person to another; (3) in order to discharge or secure discharge of an existing or anticipated liability.” Here, a judicial mechanism was used (*i.e.*, a restitution order) to secure a discharge of Alexandroni’s existing liability. Further, if the trial court used its contempt power to cause her to satisfy the restitution it would be “use of a judicial mechanism to pass control over those benefits from one person to another.” Thus, although the trial court properly determined the SSDI benefits were “income,” its use of its contempt powers would constitute an “other legal process” in violation of 42 USC 407(a). That is so, the court reasoned, even though a contempt order does not “touch a contemtor’s money directly,” but instead coerces the compemtor to comply.

The Court concluded by stating that an actual contempt order would violate 42 USC 407(a), but the “mere specter of a contempt hearing” would not necessarily be an “other legal process.” Additionally, the Court found, the restitution order itself remained valid, and the trial court could make further determinations as to Alexandroni’s ability to pay from other sources of income.

Brian’s family researched the article and found this for Petitioner to cite in this petition. See article entitled: “Court may not order criminal restitution to be paid from Social Security Disability benefits”, dated: August 04, 2014, source:

<https://www.wnj.com/Blogs/Appellate/August-2014/Court-may-not-order-criminal-restitution-to-be-pai>



1/26/2013) (mem. op.)(orig. proc.). The Court of Appeals stated:

A court of appeals may not prescribe the manner in which a trial court exercises its discretion, but it may, by mandamus, require a trial court to exercise its discretion in some manner. A trial court may not arbitrarily halt proceedings in a pending case, and mandamus will lie to compel a trial court to entertain and rule on motions pending before it. A trial court is required to consider and rule upon a motion within a reasonable time. If a motion is properly filed and pending before a trial court, the act of considering and ruling upon that motion is ministerial, and mandamus may issue to compel the trial court to act. (citations omitted).

Citing (Ms. *6-8) Ex Parte Ford Motor Credit Co., 607 So. 2d 169 (Ala. 1992), the Court examined when a trial judge may be held to have exceeded his or her discretion in failing to rule upon a pending motion, noting "... [W]hile the writ will issue to compel the exercise of discretion by a circuit judge, it will not issue to compel the exercise of discretion in a particular manner. On the other hand, mandamus is an appropriate remedy when there is a clear showing that the trial judge abused his or her discretion by exercising it in an arbitrary and capricious manner." Ms. *7. Concluding (Ms. *14-15), the Court reasoned "the circuit court exceeded its discretion by failing to rule on, and instead 'taking under advisement' the motion to dismiss the third-party complaint based on improper venue while allowing discovery on the merits to proceed and setting deadlines for summary-judgment motions and setting the trial date. Therefore, we issue the writ and direct the circuit court to issue an order addressing the merits of [International Paper's] motion to dismiss based on improper venue."

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, the Petitioner urges this Court to issue a



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Writ of Mandamus directing the Hon. Judge Giles Carter Greer and/or the Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett to enter a decision on Petitioner’s filed seq. 59, Date: 03/16/2020, MOTION to “WAIVING LEGAL FEES” and seq. 70, Date: 03/31/2020, MOTION to “~~TO DISCHARGE LEGAL FEES~~” to protect Petitioner’s Supplemental Security Income from garnishment, execution, levy, or any other legal process which violates 42 U.S. Code § 407.

For the reasons stated above, the Petitioner urges this Court to issue a Writ of Prohibition directing the Hon. Judge Giles Carter Greer and/or the Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett to not enforce by any coerce means nor use of a judicial mechanism to pass control over those benefits from one person to another which would be from Brian Hill to the Hon. Ashby Pritchett; that the Circuit Court be directed to only garnish or compel payment of legal fees by any income not is not protected under 42 U.S. Code § 407.

Petitioner believes that the taking of evidence is necessary for the proper disposition of the petition. Petitioner asks for this expedited relief as soon as possible. Thank You. Respectfully filed, this the 2nd day of April, 2020.

Petition notarized:

Signature of Petitioner

Brian D. Hill

Signed

Brian David Hill – Ally of Qanon
 Founder of USWGO Alternative
 News



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court



Address of Petitioner:
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505
Pro Se Appellant



STATE OF VIRGINIA

CITY/COUNTY OF Martinsville

The petitioner being first duly sworn, says:

- 1. He signed the foregoing petition;
- 2. The facts stated in the petition are true to the best of his information and belief.


Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signature of Petitioner

Subscribed and sworn to before me

this 1 day of April, 2020.

MeYonda Rosha Watkins
Notary Public



My commission expires: May 31, 2022

The petition will not be filed without payment of court costs unless the petitioner is entitled to proceed in forma pauperis and has executed the affidavit in forma pauperis.

The petitioner who proceeds in forma pauperis shall be furnished, without cost, certified copies of the arrest warrants, indictment and order of his conviction at his criminal trial in order to comply with the instructions of this petition.

AFFIDAVIT IN FORMA PAUPERIS

STATE OF VIRGINIA



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

CITY/COUNTY OF Martinsville

The petitioner being duly sworn, says:

1. He is unable to pay the costs of this action or give security therefor;
2. His liquid assets amount to a total of \$0;
3. His assets that can be subject to garnishment total of \$0;
4. His only monthly income is \$783 a month of his federal SSI disability benefits but the money is spent on monthly expenses including but not limited to \$500 rent and other undue personal expenses;
4. The reason \$0 has been put on this form as to Brian's assets is because Brian's only source of income is his SSI disability. A copy of his filed "APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN DISTRICT COURT WITHOUT PREPAYING FEES OR COSTS (Long Form)" (federal IFP application) in federal court shall constitute the affidavit showing Petitioner's expenses and monthly SSI income, as well as the legal costs for each and every mailing and preparation of legal documents (between \$0-\$100) even after the application such as the legal costs spent for mailings. So Brian has \$0 available after the expenses spent on rent, other expenses documented in the federal IFP application, legal filings and document preparation and resources materials which cost between \$0-\$100, and the costs for prosecuting this Petition for Writ of Mandamus and Prohibition and mailing off the documents to the Supreme Court of Virginia take whatever funds are leftover in the federal IFP application. Petitioner has to report \$0. Petitioner gets confused when the state court forms asks for his assets. So this is the best Petitioner can do to demonstrate to the Court that he cannot afford the filing fees. Any filing fees paid for any state civil cases Brian had prosecuted in the past was actually paid for by Roberta Hill his mother.

Black's law definition of "asset." (16c) 1. An item that is owned and has value. 2. (pl.) The entries on a balance sheet showing the items of property owned, including cash, inventory, equipment, real estate, accounts receivable, and goodwill 3. (pl.) All the property of a person (esp. a bankrupt or deceased person) available for paying debts or for distribution.

Brian D. Hill
Signed


Signature of Petitioner

Subscribed and sworn to before me

this 1st day of April, 2020.

Meiyonda Rosha Watkins
Notary Public

My commission expires: May 31, 2022





REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

As this Writ of Mandamus raises important constitutional issues and is asking for a Court that takes no action to act where they refuse to act and ought to act as well as the issues of a Circuit Court violating federal law, the Petitioner requests oral argument. Petitioner also requests that oral argument be made through teleconference call with the issues of COVID-19/CoronaVirus.

Respectfully Submitted on April 2, 2020,

BRIAN DAVID HILL

Pro Se

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill – Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO Alternative
News
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505
Pro Se Appellant





CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 5:7(b)(7)

- 1. This Petition complies with type-volume limits (limit of 50 printed pages or 8,750 words), excluding the parts of the document exempted by Rule 5:7(e) (appendices, exhibits, cover page, table of contents, table of authorities, and certificate.):

[X] this brief contains [7,659] words.

[X] this brief contains [28] pages total.

- 2. This brief complies with the typeface and type style requirements because:

[X] this brief has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using [Microsoft Word 2013] in [14pt Times New Roman]; or

[] this brief has been prepared in a monospaced typeface using [state name and version of word processing program] with [state number of characters per inch and name of type style].

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Dated: April 2, 2020



Brian David Hill – Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant



Brian D. Hill - Ally of QANON
WWG1WGA - Q-Intel - Drain the Swamp MAGA
JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com - INVESTIGATE!

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 1st day of April, 2020, I caused this "PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND PROHIBITION" to be transmitted by fax to the Respondents' with proof of service to each such respondent (Transmission Ticket attached) which shall satisfy proof of service as required by Rule 5A:12(b):

<p>Glen Andrew Hall, Esq. Martinsville City Commonwealth's Attorney's Office 55 West Church Street Martinsville, Virginia 24112 Telephone: 276-403-5470 Fax: 276-403-5478 <i>Respondent</i></p>	<p>Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett Clerk of the Circuit Court of Martinsville 55 West Church Street Martinsville, Virginia 24112 Phone: (276) 403-5106 Fax: (276) 403-5232 <i>Respondent</i></p>
<p>Hon. Giles Carter Greer Judicial Officer of the Circuit Court of Martinsville 55 West Church Street Martinsville, Virginia 24112 Phone: (276) 403-5106 Fax: (276) 403-5232 <i>Respondent</i></p>	<p>Matthew Scott Thomas Clark, Esq. Attorney/officer of the Court in the Circuit Court of Martinsville 711B Starling Ave. Martinsville, Virginia 24112 Phone: (276) 634-4000 Fax: (276) 634-4004 <i>Respondent</i></p>

7019 1120 0002 2623 6280

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill – Ally of Qanon
Founder of USWGO Alternative
News
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Case 4:20-cv-00017-JLK Document 2-1 Filed 03/27/20 Page 3 of 4 Pageid#: 30

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
VA. CODE §§ 19.2-354; 19.2-358

Case No(s). CR19000009-00

In the Circuit Court for the City County of MARTINSVILLE
 COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
 CITY COUNTY OF MARTINSVILLE v. BRIAN DAVID HILL
DEFENDANT

0319
SSN

UNKNOWN
DRIVER'S LICENSE NUMBER

DRIVER'S LICENSE STATE

310 FOREST ST APT 1, MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112

RESIDENCE ADDRESS

MAILING ADDRESS IF DIFFERENT FROM ABOVE

TELEPHONE NUMBER

I. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SUSPENSION OR REVOCATION OF DRIVER'S LICENSE

I acknowledge that I have been notified that my driver's license/driving privileges:

is suspended or revoked for a period of effective as a result of
 my conviction by this Court.

action taken by the Virginia Department of Motor Vehicles pursuant to Va. Code § 46.2-390.1 for the Court's conviction or finding of facts sufficient to convict me of violating the drug laws (Va. Code §§ 18.2-247 through 18.2-264) of this Commonwealth.

Declaration by the Virginia Department of Motor Vehicles Adjudication by Court that I am a habitual offender.

I acknowledge that I owe fines, costs, forfeiture, restitution and/or penalty of \$ 1,222.45 plus any additional court-appointed attorney fee, if applicable.

I further certify that on this date this notice was read, understood by me, and I received a copy of the same, and that my driver's license

WAS WAS NOT surrendered to this Court. Reason not surrendered: (x) Brian D. Hill
November 15, 2019 DATE DEFENDANT

State/Commonwealth of Virginia
County/City of Martinsville

Acknowledged before me this day by Brian David Hill

November 15, 2019

DATE

PRINT NAME OF SIGNATORY

Jennifer C. Cain
CLERK NOTARY PUBLIC
Notary Registration No. My commission expires

READ PART I ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM FOR MORE STIPULATIONS, WHICH ARE INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE AND ARE MADE A PART OF THIS ACKNOWLEDGMENT.

II. ORDER AND NOTICE OF DEFERRED PAYMENT OR INSTALLMENT PAYMENTS
SEE PART II ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM FOR FURTHER STIPULATIONS, WARNINGS AND INFORMATION CONCERNING THIS NOTICE AND ORDER, WHICH ARE HEREBY INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE.

Upon due consideration, the Defendant's Petition for deferred or installment payments is accordingly ACCEPTED, and the Defendant is ORDERED to pay costs, fines, forfeiture, and penalty totaling \$ 1,222.45 plus restitution totaling \$ plus any additional court-appointed attorney fee, court reporter fee, and interest, if applicable, by:

making 4 installment payments of \$ 300.00 per 6MONTH beginning 05/13/2020 until paid in full; or
 making a deferred payment in full on or before 12/02/2019.

Restitution payments are to be paid in accordance with the court's ORDER FOR RESTITUTION previously entered.

If Deferred payment is not received by the above due date, or if the final installment payment is not received by the defendant is hereby given NOTICE to return to this Court on at

The total listed above does not include transcript costs and any costs/damages that may be charged if you appeal from this court.
NOTICE: READ PART II ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM FOR MORE STIPULATIONS WHICH ARE INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE AND ARE MADE A PART OF THIS ORDER AND NOTICE.

Entered this 15TH day of NOVEMBER 2019

I have asked for and received a copy of this Order and Notice.

(x) Brian D. Hill
DEFENDANT

Ashby R. Pritchett
CLERK



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Case 4:20-cv-00017-JLK Document 2-1 Filed 03/27/20 Page 4 of 4 Pageid#: 31

PART I

I understand that if I provide for payment of a fine or other monies due by a method other than cash and my payment fails, the Clerk will send me a written notice of my failure of payment. A penalty of \$50.00 or 10 percent of the amount of the payment, whichever is greater, may be charged if the method of payment fails.

I further understand that, if I am convicted of driving while my driver's license is suspended or revoked, I may be fined, sentenced to jail, or both.

I understand that upon suspension or revocation of my license, I may not operate a motor vehicle in the Commonwealth of Virginia until:

- (1) All periods of suspension imposed by any Court or the Department of Motor Vehicles have expired, AND
- (2) The Department of Motor Vehicles reinstates my license (if suspended) or issues a new license (if revoked) after:
 - (a) I have paid the reinstatement fee (if any) to the Department of Motor Vehicles, AND
 - (b) I have met all other administrative requirements of the Department of Motor Vehicles.

PART II

I understand that if the Court has ordered deferred or installment payments, or community service to pay all or part of the fines and costs, I must make all required payments or perform all community service on time.

I understand that:

- (1) as a condition of this agreement, I must promptly inform the Court of any change of my mailing address during the term of the agreement;
- (2) if the fines, costs, forfeiture, restitution, and/or penalty are not paid in full by the date ordered, that the Court shall proceed according to the provisions of Va. Code § 19.2-358, which state that a show cause summons or capias for my arrest may be issued;
- (3) the amount(s) listed in this agreement may be administratively amended by the Clerk of this Court in the event additional costs should be assessed and if additional costs are assessed, that the Clerk will forthwith issue a notice to me of the total amount due by first class mail to my address of record;
- (4) the Court or Clerk thereof may adjust the final payment date administratively, without further notice, for installment payment agreements, if I fail to make a scheduled payment or for deferred payments, if I fail to pay in full by the date ordered, for the purposes of referring the account for action pursuant to Va. Code § 19.2-358.

I further understand that if the Court does not receive payments as ordered, my case will be referred for collection enforcement action under §§ 19.2-349, 19.2-353.5, 19.2-358, or 58.1-520 through 58.1-534 of the Code of Virginia. If my case is referred for collection enforcement action under § 19.2-349, the amount that I owe and that can be collected will be increased to reflect the additional costs associated with collection action. If any part of the amount due remains unpaid, pursuant to § 19.2-358, I may be subject to a jail sentence of up to 60 days or an additional fine of up to \$500.00.

Pursuant to Va. Code § 19.2-353.5, if interest on outstanding fines and costs owed to this court accrued during a period when I was incarcerated, I may request that the interest that accrued when I was incarcerated be waived by this Court.

This Order and Notice is provided to the Defendant pursuant to Va. Code § 19.2-354. This Order shall not be spread on the Order Book of this Court.

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 04/02/2020 @09:27:59

TESTE: *Jennifer C. Coplin*
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

AO 239 (Rev. 01/15) Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Long Form)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
Western District of Virginia

Brian David Hill

Plaintiff/Petitioner

v.

Glen Andrew Hall, et al.

Defendant/Respondent

)
)
)
)
)

Civil Action No.

APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN DISTRICT COURT WITHOUT PREPAYING FEES OR COSTS (Long Form)

Affidavit in Support of the Application	Instructions
<p>I am a plaintiff or petitioner in this case and declare that I am unable to pay the costs of these proceedings and that I am entitled to the relief requested. I declare under penalty of perjury that the information below is true and understand that a false statement may result in a dismissal of my claims.</p> <p>Signed: <u>Brian D. Hill</u> <i>Signed</i></p>	<p>Complete all questions in this application and then sign it. Do not leave any blanks: if the answer to a question is "0," "none," or "not applicable (N/A)," write that response. If you need more space to answer a question or to explain your answer, attach a separate sheet of paper identified with your name, your case's docket number, and the question number.</p> <p>Date: <u>03/26/2020</u></p>

- For both you and your spouse estimate the average amount of money received from each of the following sources during the past 12 months. Adjust any amount that was received weekly, biweekly, quarterly, semiannually, or annually to show the monthly rate. Use gross amounts, that is, amounts before any deductions for taxes or otherwise.

Income source	Average monthly income amount during the past 12 months		Income amount expected next month	
	You	Spouse	You	Spouse
Employment	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Self-employment	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Income from real property (such as rental income)	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Interest and dividends	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Gifts	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A
Alimony	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Child support	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

AO 239 (Rev. 01/15) Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Long Form)

Retirement (such as social security, pensions, annuities, insurance)	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A
Disability (such as social security, insurance payments)	\$ 783.00	\$ N/A	\$ 783.00	\$ N/A
Unemployment payments	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A
Public-assistance (such as welfare)	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A
Other (specify):	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A
Total monthly income:	\$ 783.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 783.00	\$ 0.00

2. List your employment history for the past two years, most recent employer first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.)

Employer	Address	Dates of employment	Gross monthly pay
Never employed	Never employed	Never employed	\$ 0.00
Never employed	Never employed	Never employed	\$ 0.00

3. List your spouse's employment history for the past two years, most recent employer first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.)

Employer	Address	Dates of employment	Gross monthly pay
No Spouse	Never married	Never married	\$ 0.00
No Spouse	Never married	Never married	\$ 0.00
No Spouse	Never married	Never married	\$ 0.00

4. How much cash do you and your spouse have? \$ Money in bank account under Direct Deposit minimum requirement for a bank account
Below, state any money you or your spouse have in bank accounts or in any other financial institution.

Financial institution	Type of account	Amount you have	Amount your spouse has
SunTrust	Direct Deposit for SSI	\$ 111.01	\$
		\$	\$
		\$	\$

If you are a prisoner, you must attach a statement certified by the appropriate institutional officer showing all receipts, expenditures, and balances during the last six months in your institutional accounts. If you have multiple accounts, perhaps because you have been in multiple institutions, attach one certified statement of each account.



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

AO 239 (Rev. 01/15) Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Long Form)

5. List the assets, and their values, which you own or your spouse owns. Do not list clothing and ordinary household furnishings.

Assets owned by you or your spouse	
Home (Value)	\$ 0.00
Other real estate (Value)	\$ 0.00
Motor vehicle #1 (Value)	\$ 0.00
Make and year: No Vehicle, No Home, no real estate owned	
Model:	
Registration #:	
Motor vehicle #2 (Value)	\$
Make and year:	
Model:	
Registration #:	
Other assets (Value)	\$ 0.00
Other assets (Value)	\$ 0.00

6. State every person, business, or organization owing you or your spouse money, and the amount owed.

Person owing you or your spouse money	Amount owed to you	Amount owed to your spouse
0	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
	\$	\$
	\$	\$

7. State the persons who rely on you or your spouse for support.

Name (or, if under 18, initials only)	Relationship	Age
N/A	N/A	



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

AO 239 (Rev. 01/15) Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Long Form)

8. Estimate the average monthly expenses of you and your family. Show separately the amounts paid by your spouse. Adjust any payments that are made weekly, biweekly, quarterly, semiannually, or annually to show the monthly rate.

	You	Your spouse
Rent or home-mortgage payment (including lot rented for mobile home) Are real estate taxes included? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Is property insurance included? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	\$ 500.00	\$ N/A
Utilities (electricity, heating fuel, water, sewer, and telephone) Included in rent	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A
Home maintenance (repairs and upkeep)	\$ 0.00	\$
Food	\$ 15.00	\$
Clothing between \$0-\$100	\$ 100.00	\$
Laundry and dry-cleaning	\$ 5.00	\$
Medical and dental expenses Medicaid	\$ 0.00	\$
Transportation (not including motor vehicle payments) Mother drives me places	\$ 0.00	\$
Recreation, entertainment, newspapers, magazines, etc.	\$ 30.00	\$
Insurance (not deducted from wages or included in mortgage payments)		
Homeowner's or renter's: N/A	\$ 0.00	\$
Life: N/A	\$ 0.00	\$
Health: N/A	\$ 0.00	\$
Motor vehicle: N/A	\$ 0.00	\$
Other: N/A - Medicaid is benefit program	\$ 0.00	\$
Taxes (not deducted from wages or included in mortgage payments) (specify):	\$ 0.00	\$
Installment payments		
Motor vehicle: N/A	\$ 0.00	\$
Credit card (name): N/A - Never owned credit	\$ 0.00	\$
Department store (name): N/A - Do not owe any Department store	\$ 0.00	\$
Other: N/A	\$ 0.00	\$
Alimony, maintenance, and support paid to others	\$ 0.00	\$



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

AO 239 (Rev. 01/15) Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Long Form)

Regular expenses for operation of business, profession, or farm (attach detailed statement)	\$ 0.00	\$ N/A
Other (specify): Misc. Legal Expenses towards federal/state criminal cases	\$ 100.00	\$ N/A
Total monthly expenses:	\$ 750.00	\$ 0.00

9. Do you expect any major changes to your monthly income or expenses or in your assets or liabilities during the next 12 months?

Yes No If yes, describe on an attached sheet.

10. Have you spent — or will you be spending — any money for expenses or attorney fees in conjunction with this lawsuit? Yes No

If yes, how much? \$ 0-100

11. Provide any other information that will help explain why you cannot pay the costs of these proceedings. Only source of income is SSI disability. Limited monthly income, a fixed income. I am disabled however I have to file this lawsuit to protect my SSI benefits from garnishment, execution, or any other legal process.

12. Identify the city and state of your legal residence. Martinsville, Virginia

Your daytime phone number: (276) 790-3505

Your age: 29 Your years of schooling: High School is the highest education

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 04/02/2020 @12:03:49

TESTE: [Signature] Clerk/Deputy Clerk Buy FAX

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA


v.

ORDER
Case No. CR19000009-00

BRIAN DAVID HILL

UPON CONSIDERATION of the defendant's Emergency Motion to Discharge the Legal Fees, it is ORDERED that said motion is hereby DENIED.

ENTER: This 2nd day of April, 2020.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. Peter Lee", is written over a horizontal line. The signature is cursive and stylized.

Judge

Endorsement of Counsel is dispensed with – Rule 1:13

Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

_____)	
)	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)	
Defendant,)	
)	NOTICE OF APPEAL
)	
_____)	

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given to the Circuit Court of Martinsville that Defendant Brian David Hill (“Brian D. Hill”, “Hill”, “Brian”, “Defendant”) in the above named case,* hereby appeal to the Court of Appeals Virginia from the final judgment (See et seq. #77, Date: 04/02/2020, Type: ORDER, Party: TTM, Judge: GCG, Remarks: DENIED MOT-DISCHARGE F/C) entered in this action on the 2nd day of April, 2020. Brian will also file an Emergency Motion for Stay of Execution of Judgment to prevent this Circuit Court from violating Federal Law under 42 U.S. Code § 407. “Assignment of benefits”.

*Appellant in the case would be the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, Virginia, Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.

It is wrong that the Circuit Court of Martinsville seems to love to extort money out of an SSI disability dependent, a poor person who is on Medicaid. This court seems to believe that it is absolutely okay to violate Federal Law, to enrich the



blood sucking lawyers, you can't get blood from a turnip. The lawsuit against the Hon. Judge Giles Carter Greer will continue through appeal in the Danville Federal Courthouse all the way up to the U.S. Supreme Court, to block this Court from violating Federal Law and violating Supreme Court precedent. Brian will also tell the media how he is being bullied by the InJustice System into giving up his federally protected SSI benefits by a cruel and cold State Court system.

For the wrongdoings being done to him, he will appeal this upward and all the way up the Supreme Court if he has to. He will seek justice. Plaintiff hopes that with Qanon, that it is now time for the cabal/elite to be arrested for their crimes and for Brian David Hill to finally be given justice once and for all, for all of his rights to be restored, the very rights that he was deprived of by the State and Federal Courts over and over again, all of these years. Hail the Hon. Donald Trump and the Qanon/Alliance, Drain the Swamp! Hail the U.S. Military alliance in their bid to arrest the Deep State Swamp.

The Bilderbergs, the Freemasons, the elite globalist establishment, and any other corrupt cabal groups will not continue their plundering of the poor and cheating of the poor and lying about the poor through usage of the judicial system. Jesus Christ had something to say about lawyers. Yes this applies to Matthew Scott Thomas Clark, Lauren McGarry, Eric Monday, et al.

Luke 11:45-52 English Standard Version (ESV)

⁴⁵ One of the lawyers answered him, "Teacher, in saying these things you insult us also." ⁴⁶ And he said, "Woe to you lawyers also! For you load people with burdens hard to bear, and you yourselves do not touch the burdens with one of your fingers. ⁴⁷ Woe to you! For you build the tombs of the prophets whom your fathers killed. ⁴⁸ So you are witnesses and you consent to the deeds of your



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

fathers, for they killed them, and you build their tombs. ⁴⁹ Therefore also the Wisdom of God said, 'I will send them prophets and apostles, some of whom they will kill and persecute,' ⁵⁰ so that the blood of all the prophets, shed from the foundation of the world, may be charged against this generation, ⁵¹ from the blood of Abel to the blood of Zechariah, who perished between the altar and the sanctuary. Yes, I tell you, it will be required of this generation. ⁵² **Woe to you lawyers! For you have taken away the key of knowledge. You did not enter yourselves, and you hindered those who were entering.**"

Proverbs 17:15 ESV / 25 helpful votes

"He who justifies the wicked and he who condemns the righteous are both alike an abomination to the Lord."

Filed this Notice of Appeal, copy may be mailed to the Court of Appeals of Virginia. Then Brian shall file an emergency motion for stay of execution of the unlawful garnishment of Brian David Hill's SSI disability.

Filed with the Honorable Circuit Court of Martinsville, this the 7th day of April, 2020.


Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill – Ally of
Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
Martinsville, Virginia
24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant





CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 7th day of April, 2020, I caused this "NOTICE OF APPEAL" to be transmitted by facsimile (fax machine) to the Commonwealth of Virginia through the Commonwealth Attorney's Office of Martinsville (Fax #276-403-5478) and will attach proof of service (*Transmission ticket receipt for proof of transmission*) which shall satisfy proof of service:

Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.
Martinsville Commonwealth's Attorney's Office
55 West Church Street
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 403-5470
Counsel for Plaintiff

Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed

S.O.S.




Brian David Hill – Ally of
Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
Martinsville, Virginia
24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant



help me

Qanon-enemy attacking/hurting me





Case 4:20-cv-00017-JLK Document 5 Filed 04/06/20 Page 2 of 10 Pageid#: 138

will instead enrich the finances of the corrupt Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., as well as enrich Matthew Scott Thomas Clark the court appointed lawyer of Martinsville who did nothing to defend his client in violation of the Sixth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, and enrich Lauren McGarry or the Public Defender Office off of the backs of slaves. Forcing disabled people to lose their SSI money when no restitution is even ordered but simply fees to pay lawyers is to be slaves to the Commonwealth of Virginia is cruel and unusual punishment. It is slavery when federally protected benefits is mandated to be taken away from a mentally/physically disabled/handicapped person out of the cause of ineffective assistance of counsel who could have won the case and ended all of this.

The Hon. Giles Carter Greer, Matthew Scott Thomas Clark, Lauren McGarry, and Glen Andrew Hall didn't respect the case law precedent of the United States Supreme Court (See *Washington State Dep't of Social & Health Servs v Guardianship Estate of Keffeler*, 537 US 371; 123 S Ct 1017; 154 L Ed 2d 972 (2003)) guaranteeing that even state criminal case proceedings cannot be used as an excuse to garnish SSI disability when it is the only source of income to live off of and pay rent, even though that case was the issue of garnishment when that criminal defendant owed restitution. In this case, Plaintiff owes no restitution, and was not fined. It was simply the legal fees of both the prosecution attorney and defense attorneys, and the legal fees can and will be over \$1,000, maybe could even extend past \$5,000. Plaintiff shouldn't be financially punished by the State Courts with no victims as the Federal Courts did not ever attempt to make him pay the attorney fees of the United States Attorney and Federal Public Defender Office in federal criminal allegations. As long as Brian's only source of income is his



Case 4:20-cv-00017-JLK Document 5 Filed 04/06/20 Page 3 of 10 Pageid#: 139

protected federal benefits, he is entitled under the federal law to protection of his federal protected benefits which includes Supplemental Security Income ("SSI").

The Hon. Jackson Kiser of this court, the Hon. Giles Carteer Gree of the Martinsville Circuit Court, attorney Glen Andrew Hall, attorney Lauren McGarry, and attorney Matthew Scott Thomas Clark does not have the authority to override the United States Supreme Court. They are not above the law. That was also outlined by Christopher Julian who also argued that Hon. Jackson Kiser is not above the law.

Plaintiff will make the smart decision and appeal it to the highest court of the land and explain to the Supreme Court that the decision by Jackson Kiser contradicts precedent of the Supreme Court. See Renee G. Julian and Christopher B. Julian v. James Rigney, et al. (4:13-cv-00054), Date Filed: Sept. 16, 2013. Usually only Federal Courts have the power to interpret federal law. Why is the Plaintiff having to ask the State Court not to violate Federal Law here? Federal Courts are supposed to enforce Federal Laws. Is Plaintiff really wrong here to have filed suit simply asking for a State Court not to enforce an action that violates federal law?

Brian had already been punished enough from the carbon monoxide gas poisoning since November, 2017, until September 21, 2018, and is continually being punished by both the Federal Court in Winston-Salem, North Carolina and the Virginia State Court over Brian being a victim of carbon monoxide. Hasn't Brian suffered enough already? Now his SSI, his federally protected benefits are to be garnished to pay the corrupt attorneys'/Defendants' fees or Brian goes to jail if he cannot afford to pay out of his limited SSI money from the Federal Government which is cruel and unusual punishment and contradicts the U.S. Supreme Court. Martinsville, Virginia is far more evil than Righthaven, LLC who tried to extort \$6,000 and garnish his SSI to settle with Righthaven, LLC for copyright



Case 4:20-cv-00017-JLK Document 5 Filed 04/06/20 Page 4 of 10 Pageid#: 140

infringement in Colorado Federal Court. They do not care about somebody being dependent on SSI disability when that is his only source of monthly income and is on Medicaid. The City of Martinsville gets plenty of money from the NASCAR races that are twice a year. Why do they need to get blood from a turnip?

The bullying of the poor, elderly, and disabled from the Deep State Swamp of the judicial system will not stand once America is taken back by the Nationalists like the Honorable U.S. President Donald John Trump from the Globalists of the New World Dis-Order. The Bilderbergs, the Rockefellers, the Rothschilds, the Free-Masons, none of them will control our system of Government any longer once Donald Trump and the patriots makes the mass arrests. Trump can and will indict, and arrest the traitors of the Deep State Swamp all over America and end the suffering of humanity caused by the Obama/Bush/Clinton stay-behind networks of the U.S. Department of Justice, the same group of people that allowed Jeffrey Epstein (*who did NOT kill himself but was murdered in a Federal Bureau of Prisons sanctioned facility in Manhattan, New York*) to only face 13 months in a jail and was allowed to leave for work and was living in the private wing of the jail. So Jeffrey Epstein was essentially given a tax payers paid for hotel room and was able to have sex with models and rape them if he wanted and sexually abuse more children instead of living in the hardcore prison type of environment and treatment that regular citizens are incarcerated under on a day-to-day basis. Jeffrey Epstein had molested likely hundreds to thousands of underage girls and impregnated them with his seed, and yet he was given less harsh punishments than what had happened collectively to Autistic man and Plaintiff Brian D. Hill through the State and Federal cases who is a victim of a carbon monoxide poisoning attempt as documented by chimney expert Pete Compton and didn't molest a single person and was called a danger to the community by the corrupt Assistant



Case 4:20-cv-00017-JLK Document 5 Filed 04/06/20 Page 5 of 10 Pageid#: 141

U.S. Attorney Anand Prakash Ramaswamy who that same jerk was the suspect of federal violation of obstruction of justice in my FOIA lawsuit that was filed in in this same court, as well as corrupt elements of the U.S. Probation Office including Kristy L. Burton who is a corrupt element of the Danville, U.S. Probation Office. That while Jeffrey Epstein who had molested and raped hundreds of underage girls was not considered a danger to the community to the same extent as was considered against Brian D. Hill, over any behaviors caused by his Autism Spectrum Disorder and the Carbon Monoxide gas poisoning. There are major miscarriages of justice going on in the Western District of Virginia as well as the Middle District of North Carolina. The U.S. Probation Office in Danville considered Brian's autistic meltdown more of a danger to the community than Jeffrey Epstein who was convicted in another court when he was molesting tons of underage girls, trafficking underage girls and having the reputation of running a "Pedophile Island" in the St. James Island in the Caribbean? The Circuit Court wants to unlawfully extort out of Plaintiff's SSI after they considered Brian's abnormal and strange behavior of walking around naked late at night on a walking trail (not a public park trail) and never masturbated and hasn't ever repeated such behavior, was also used to have caused the Winston-Salem, NC Federal Court to consider Brian a danger to the community when he molested nobody and never approached anybody of the public while naked at night on a walking trail filled with hills and trees and got seen by a vehicle on one part of the trail where there was a road going downward which was Hooker Street (likely the name of that street came from Hooker furniture company in Martinsville), so nobody from Burger King can see anybody from the spot where the trail was.



So autistic man Brian was considered more of a danger to the community than serial-pedophile-and-rapist Jeffrey Epstein? What has happened to justice in this Court?



Is autistic man and virgin Brian D. Hill of USWGO Alternative News more of a danger to the community than pedophile Jeffrey Epstein a serial child molester?

Now Brian is being coerced to pay thousands of dollars of attorney fees including his defense attorney fees even though his own defense attorneys betrayed him, lied to him, and lied to his family. Federal Law doesn't seem to be enforced anymore, where is Congress to stop the violations of federal law by the states? It is interesting how Brian must lose his SSI to be garnished or transferred to the Defendants' benefit when Martinsville Police refused to investigate any evidence mailed to them (Exhibit 2 — Document #2, Attachment #2), and Sovah Hospital was allowed to destroy blood vials that would have shown the levels of carbon monoxide gas poisoning (Spoliation of evidence and medical neglect) and thus had



Case 4:20-cv-00017-JLK Document 5 Filed 04/06/20 Page 7 of 10 Pageid#: 143

the blood vials never been destroyed then Brian never would have been convicted of indecent exposure by his corrupt lawyer Matthew Scott Thomas Clark of Martinsville, Virginia, begging him to withdraw his appeal and refused to fight for him who will also personally financially benefit out of such court ordered garnishment. Brian keeps suffering miscarriages of justice in courts because he is not a Freemason or a Bilderberg Group member, he does not belong to an elite family, he doesn't sacrifice anybody to the stone demi-god named Moloch, he is not belonging to the Bilderberg Group or Council on Foreign Relations, he is not a servant of the devil, and so Brian is being treated like a second class citizen in his own country and is not being entitled to any of his constitutionally protected rights and federally protected rights as well as his federally protected SSI benefits.

For the wrongdoings being done to him, he will appeal this upward and all the way up the Supreme Court if he has to. He will seek justice. Plaintiff hopes that with Qanon, that is time for the cabal/elite to be arrested for their crimes and for Brian David Hill to finally be given justice once and for all, for all of his rights that he was deprived of by the State and Federal Courts over and over again, all of these years. Hail the Hon. Donald Trump and the Qanon/Alliance, Drain the Swamp!

Respectfully filed with the Court, this the 4th day of April, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian D. Hill
 Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 2

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter
 I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp
 I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)
 Make America Great Again
 Friend's justice site: JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Plaintiff also requests with the Court that a copy of this pleading be served upon the Defendants' as stated in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d), that "The officers of the court shall issue and serve all process, and preform all duties in such cases. Witnesses shall attend as in other cases, and the same remedies shall be available as are provided for by law in other cases". Plaintiff requests that copies be served with the Defendants' via CM/ECF Notice of Electronic Filing ("NEF") email, by facsimile if any of the Defendants' consents, or upon U.S. Mail. Thank You!

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Plaintiff hereby certifies that on April 4th, 2020, service was made by mailing the original of the foregoing:

“NOTICE OF APPEAL”


by deposit in the United States Post Office, in an envelope, Postage prepaid, on April 4, 2020 addressed to the Clerk of the Court in the U.S. District Court, for the Western District of Virginia, P.O. Box 1400, Danville, VA 24543.




Then pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915(d), Petitioner requests that the Clerk of the Court move to electronically file the foregoing using the CM/ECF system which will send notice of such filing to the following registered CM/ECF users:

<p>Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., in his official capacity Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, Virginia 55 West Church Street Martinsville, Virginia 24112 Telephone: 276-403-5470 E-mail Address: ahall@ci.martinsville.va.us</p>	<p>Giles Carter Greer, Esq. Judge of Martinsville Circuit Court, in his official capacity 55 West Church Street Martinsville, Virginia 24112 Phone: 276-403-5106 (Not direct phone number but no. of Clerk) E-mail Address: cgreer@ci.martinsville.va.us</p>
<p>Matthew Scott Thomas Clark, Esq. Attorney, in his official capacity 711B Starling Ave Martinsville, Virginia 24112 Telephone: (276) 634-4000 E-mail Address: matthewstclarklaw@gmail.com</p>	<p>Lauren McGarry, Esq. Martinsville Public Defender Office, in her official capacity 10 E Main St. Martinsville, Virginia 24112 Phone: (276) 666-2206 E-mail Address: lmcgarry@mar.idc.virginia.gov</p>

This is pursuant to Petitioner's "In forma Pauperis" ("IFP") status, 28 U.S.C. §1915(d) that "The officers of the court shall issue and serve all process, and perform all duties in such cases ... "the Clerk shall serve process via CM/ECF to serve process with all parties.

<p>Date of signing: <u>April 3, 2020</u></p>	<p>Respectfully submitted, <u>Brian D. Hill</u> Signed Brian D. Hill (Pro Se) 310 Forest Street, Apartment 2 Martinsville, Virginia 24112 Phone #: (276) 790-3505 </p>
--	---



No Certified Mail tracking no. I trust God will get this filing where it needs to be.

Friend's justice site: JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Trust The Plan. Qanon



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 4/7/2020 Time: 4:28:45 AM
Number of pages: 14 Session duration: 12:58
Attn.: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq. To: Commonwealth Attorney
Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478 Message type: Fax
Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\Notice of Appeal to Giles Error Correction: Yes! 2nd(3)Signed (2020-04-07).tif
File description: Notice of Appeal to Giles Carter Greer on April 2nd(3)Signed.pd Resolution: 200*200 dpi
Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478 Record number: 8158
Rate: 14400 bps

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,
Plaintiff,
v.
BRIAN DAVID HILL,
Defendant,
Criminal Action No. CR1900009-00
NOTICE OF APPEAL

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given to the Circuit Court of Martinsville that Defendant Brian David Hill ("Brian D. Hill", "Hill", "Brian", "Defendant") in the above named case,* hereby appeal to the Court of Appeals Virginia from the final judgment (See et seq. #77, Date: 04/02/2020, Type: ORDER, Party: TTM, Judge: GCG, Remarks: DENIED MOT-DISCHARGE F/C) entered in this action on the 2nd day of April, 2020. Brian will also file an Emergency Motion for Stay of Execution of Judgment to prevent this Circuit Court from violating Federal Law under 42 U.S. Code § 407. "Assignment of benefits".

*Appellant in the case would be the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, Virginia, Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.

It is wrong that the Circuit Court of Martinsville seems to love to extort money out of an SSI disability dependent, a poor person who is on Medicaid. This court seems to believe that it is absolutely okay to violate Federal Law, to enrich the

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 04/07/2020 @09:21:36

TESTE: 

~~CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK~~

Key far

Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

_____)	
)	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
Plaintiff,)	
)	Civil Action No. CL20000089-00
v.)	
)	Civil Action No. CL19000331-00
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)	
Defendant,)	
)	MOTION TO DISQUALIFY THE
)	HON. GILES CARTER GREER
)	FROM ANY FURTHER
_____)	PARTICIPATION IN THE
)	CASE(S)

MOTION TO DISQUALIFY THE HON. GILES CARTER GREER FROM ANY FURTHER PARTICIPATION IN THE CASE(S)

Defendant Brian David Hill (“Brian D. Hill”, “Hill”, “Brian”, “Defendant”) in the above named case(s), respectfully asks this Honorable Court to grant this motion to recuse/disqualify the Honorable Giles Carter Greer, Circuit Court Judge from any further participation in this case, as well as in the cases of Commonwealth v. Brian David Hill, Petition for Error Coram Vobis, Case Number: CL20000089-00; and Brian David Hill v. Commonwealth, Case Number: CL19000331-00, Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.

BRIEF AND SUPPORTING FACTS – STATEMENT OF FACTS

1. On March 27, 2020, Brian had filed a Federal lawsuit against the Hon. Giles Carter Greer in Federal Court, for attempting to have the Circuit Court unlawfully garnish or attempt the unlawful garnishment of Brian David Hill’s SSI disability. The lawsuit complaint was filed in the Circuit Court on



record. The "NOTICE OF LAWSUIT" was filed in all three cases where the Hon. Giles Carter Greer resides as judicial officer. See the following cases where the "NOTICE OF LAWSUIT" was filed:

1. See et Seq. #62, Date Filed: 03/26/2020, Type: NOTICE, Party: ERH, and Remarks: NOTICE OF LAWSUIT in case no. CR19000009-00;
 2. See Date Filed: 03/26/20, Type: Notice, Party: ERH, Remarks: NOT OF LAWSUIT in case no. CL19000331-00;
 3. See Date Filed: 03/26/20, Type: Notice, Party: ERH, Remarks: NOTICE OF LAWSUIT in case no. CL20000089-00.
2. Brian had also filed a Writ of Mandamus against the Hon. Giles Carter Greer because (1) he is attempting to unlawfully garnish the SSI benefits of Brian in excess of jurisdiction, and (2) he rules on some pro se motions and yet refuses or fails to rule on other pro se motions:
1. On the date of 11/25/2019, under et seq. #42, the Hon. Giles Carter Greer ordered the MOTION under et seq. #41 "VACATE FRAUD BEGOTTEN JUDG" to be denied.
 2. On the date of 04/02/2020, under et seq. #77, the Hon. Giles Carter Greer ordered the MOTION dated 03/31/2020 under et seq. #70 "TO DISCHARGE LEGAL FEES" to be denied.
 3. However, as far as the date of the filing of this disqualification/recusal motion, the Hon. Giles Carter Greer did not make any decision and has taken no action



on the MOTION dated 03/16/2020 under et seq. #58 "TO PROCEED PRO SE ON APPLS".

4. However, as far as the date of the filing of this disqualification/recusal motion, the Hon. Giles Carter Greer did not make any decision and has taken no action on the MOTION dated 03/16/2020 under et seq. #59 to "WAIVING LEGAL FEES".
3. The Hon. Giles Carter Greer has shown a disrespect for Federal Law, and does not wish to conform to the Federal Laws of the United States. In contradiction to the Federal Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution. Also a disrespect for the evidence supporting a motion. The evidence filed by Brian David Hill on a pro se basis is being entirely ignored. As long as any of the evidence that Brian has filed complies with the Rules of Evidence of the Rules of the Supreme Court of Virginia, as well as complies with the evidence statutes, the Hon. Giles Carter Greer should have respected the evidence the exact same way as if it were filed by an Attorney. Not all pro se filers file meaningless and frivolous pleadings. As long as a pro se motion is well grounded in law, the Judge should treat it the exact same way as he would a pleading by an attorney. This judge ignored the filed evidence in attachment to the MOTION dated 03/31/2020 under et seq. #70 "TO DISCHARGE LEGAL FEES". See pg. 11 to pg. 15 of that filing. That had contained a true and correct photocopy of the federal affidavit to proceed in forma pauperis, that was filed in the Federal Lawsuit. That same affidavit was accepted as evidence sufficient of in forma pauperis by the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Virginia, which was why the Writ of Mandamus had been filed and accepted for filing by the highest Court in Virginia. Then the pg. 16 through pg. 33 shows evidence of ineffective



assistance of counsel of Matthew Scott Thomas Clark, that an Attorney Ryan Edward Kennedy from West Virginia who is also the Mayor of Clarksburg, West Virginia, had argued before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, in Richmond, Virginia, that Brian David Hill was innocent of the Virginia state charge of indecent exposure all along because the Government/Commonwealth had no evidence of obscenity and had no evidence of any intent necessary to convict Brian David Hill under the statute. It proved that Brian had a bad lawyer (referring to Matthew Clark) when compared with Attorney Ryan Edward Kennedy, and that Brian has \$33 left from his monthly \$783 of his monthly SSI benefits after the monthly general expenses reported in the affidavit. Of course the affidavit wasn't the only evidence documented. The exhibits in the MOTION dated 03/16/2020 under et seq. #59 to "WAIVING LEGAL FEES", also shows his bank account statement and rent-check stubs proving that his expenditures make it impossible for Brian to comply with the earlier court order that Brian David Hill pay monthly installments of \$300 every month under PAYMENT AGREEMENT PLAN, et seq. #44, date filed: 11/15/2019. It would bankrupt Brian and place him in debt and it is in violation of federal law to garnish the only source of income when that income is the Supplemental Security Income ("SSI") of Brian David Hill, as protected by 42 U.S. Code § 407. Judge Giles Carter Greer had ignored the evidence of a copy of a validly filed federal court document, the same copy of the court document filed with the Writ of Mandamus that was accepted by the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Virginia as enough evidence constituting waiver of the required \$50 filing fee, and allowed Brian to proceed in forma pauperis. The Supreme Court of this state accepted a copy of that federal application to proceed in forma pauperis document of the Writ of Mandamus for filing



but that same document was ignored by the Hon Giles Carter Greer. He ignored other evidence as well, and has disregarded and has disrespected federal law.

4. The Hon. Giles Carter Greer had refused to allow Brian to proceed pro se blocking him from attempting to perfect his appeal in the Court of Appeals of Virginia. Knowing that his court appointed lawyer---Matthew Scott Thomas Clark, had openly ignored his ethical obligations and is refusing to discuss the appeal with his client and is refusing to have any form of communication with his client. The filings to this court bring this up, and yet the Hon. Greer has continually ignored his pro se motion to proceed pro se and remove Matthew Clark as counsel. This Judge is practically forcing Brian to have an attorney that is violating ethics, violating rules of professional conduct, and is violating the Sixth Amendment of the United States Constitution. See *Fitzgerald v. Bass*, 6 Va. App. 38, 40 (Va. Ct. App. 1988) (“(10) Right to Counsel — Effective Assistance of Counsel — Standard. — An accused has a right to effective assistance of counsel...”), citation omitted. Matthew Clark is refusing to communicate with Brian, has been named as a defendant in the “NOTICE OF LAWSUIT” in the very same Federal lawsuit that the Hon. Giles Carter Greer is a defendant of. Yet this Judge still ignores the motion to proceed pro se despite the conflict of interest of a client suing his own attorney and denied the motion to discharge the legal fees at a later time, and while still ignoring the Motion to Waive Legal Fees or Not Enforce Them. Any motion that benefits the party: Brian David Hill in any way is either fully ignored or denied. Seems like this Judge has an inherit bias or prejudice to Brian David Hill; or that this Judge has an inherit bias or prejudice to pro se filers. This Judge has deprived Brian David Hill of due process, and has refused to allow him to represent



himself in the case on appeal, causing Brian to lose his right to direct appeal and further lose his right to even perfect the appeal. All of his constitutional rights under the Judicial System has been taken away by Matthew Scott Thomas Clark, Brian's court appointed lawyer, while the Hon. Giles Carter Greer refuses to relieve Brian of the very lawyer causing him such pain, suffering, and irreparable injury/harm.

ARGUMENT

The Hon. Giles Carter Greer knew that Brian David Hill had defective/ineffective counsel that is refusing to even withdraw himself from the case and yet this same Judge ignores his motion to proceed pro se on the appeals, this Judge will not allow Brian to even withdraw his own counsel and proceed pro se. This judge was sued in Federal Court in late-March and was also named in Brian's Writ of Mandamus relief action, and this Judge continually ignores all evidence from Brian David Hill, ignores case law from Brian David Hill, and denies any and all motions from Brian David Hill regardless of whether or not they may be well-grounded in law. This is discriminatory, prejudice, or bias. It is a discriminatory practice.

This Judge has also ignored evidence, ignored the merits, and disregarded the legal protections of Brian David Hill's Supplemental Security Income under federal law, and has disregarded that Brian's federal in forma pauperis affidavit that was filed in the Supreme Court of Virginia (was accepted for Writ of Mandamus) and in the Circuit Court shows that Brian cannot afford to pay the monthly installments that was set forth by the Circuit Court in the payment plan.



The facts demonstrated in this motion show that this Judge is named in a Federal Lawsuit for trying to unlawfully garnish the SSI federally protected benefits of Brian David Hill while forcing him to pay monthly installments of \$300 a month or face up to 60 days of imprisonment for failure to pay, essentially a debtors prison. Even though this country is in the middle of a CoronaVirus plague pandemic, COVID-19, this Judge rather disregard the evidence that Brian has insufficient funds to pay the legal fees ordered on November 15, 2019, and disregard the case law that garnishment of Brian's SSI is unlawful under Federal Law. That Judge is named as the main defendant in the lawsuit complaint in Federal Court. Brian felt the need to sue such a Judge out of fear that this Judge is disregarding Federal Law and Supreme Court and state case law precedent regarding the Social Security Act federal law blocking a state court from coercing the transferring of a criminal defendant's SSI disability to pay a legal fee. The Hon. Giles Carter Greer knows that it is illegal for any Court including a State Court to garnish the SSI of Brian David Hill when no child support/alimony is ordered, no restitution is ordered, and no fines were ever ordered. The Hon. Greer rather break the law than respect the pro se filings and evidence of Brian David Hill.

The state case law and the adopted Rules of the "CANONS OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA" require that the Hon. Giles Carter Greer be disqualified from any further participation in this case. See Davis v. Com, 21 Va. App. 587, 590-91 (Va. Ct. App. 1996) ("Canon 3(C) of the Canons of Judicial Conduct, which guides our decision in this matter, provides: C. Disqualification. (a) A judge shall disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his impartiality might reasonably be questioned. (1) To this end, he should abstain from performing or taking part in any judicial act in which his personal



interests are involved. He should not act in a controversy where a near relative is a party. He should not suffer his conduct to justify the impression that any person can improperly influence him or unduly enjoy his favor, or that he is affected by his kinship, rank, position or influence of any party or other person. (2) A judge should inform himself about his personal and fiduciary financial interests, and make a reasonable effort to inform himself about the personal financial interests of his spouse and minor children residing in his household. The requirement of this Canon is clear; a judge must diligently avoid not only impropriety but a reasonable appearance of impropriety as well. Exactly when a judge's impartiality might reasonably be called into question is a determination to be made by that judge in the exercise of his or her sound discretion. *Justus v. Commonwealth*, 222 Va. 667, 673, 283 S.E.2d 905, 908 (1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 983, 102 S.Ct. 1491, 71 L.Ed.2d 693 (1982). Judges are presumed to be aware of the provisions of Canon 3, and their decisions will not be disturbed absent an abuse of that discretion.”)

A judge should be disqualified from a case when “he should abstain from performing or taking part in any judicial act in which his personal interests are involved”. Once Brian had sued this Judge under a Federal Court, a separate action, which the financial interests of his protected SSI benefits are at stake, this Judge is in conflict of interest since he is named as a defendant in a Federal Lawsuit concerning Brian David Hill being a plaintiff of such lawsuit.

Black Law Dictionary, 9th Edition: recusation (rek-ya-zay-shan). 1. Civil law. An objection, exception, or appeal; esp. an objection alleging a judge's prejudice or conflict of interest. [Cases: Judges 39-56.] 2. RECUSAL.

Black Law Dictionary, 9th Edition: recuse (ri-kyooz), vb. (16c) 1. To remove (oneself) as a judge in a particular case because of prejudice or conflict of interest <the judge recused himself from the trial>. [Cases: Judges 39-56.] 2. To challenge



or object to (a judge) as being disqualified from hearing a case because of prejudice or a conflict of interest <the defendant filed a motion to recuse the trial judge>.

Black Law Dictionary, 9th Edition: conflict of interest. (1843) 1. A real or seeming incompatibility between one's private interests and one's public or fiduciary duties. 2. A real or seeming incompatibility between the interests of two of a lawyer's clients, such that the lawyer is disqualified from representing both clients if the dual representation adversely affects either client or if the clients do not consent. See Model Rules of Prof'l Conduct 1.7(a).

The reason why such conflicts of interest normally require disqualification is because a Circuit Court Judge may retaliate after being named as a defendant in a Federal Lawsuit that was filed by a party in the same case that Judge presides over, a Judge may levy actions in the state case to avoid being held accountable in Federal Court after such lawsuit was filed and the Judge was notified of being a party to such suit. To prevent retaliation type behavior of a judicial officer, any conflicts of interest should require disqualification from further participation in the case.

Citing CANON 3. - CANONS OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA:

A JUDGE SHALL PERFORM THE DUTIES OF JUDICIAL OFFICE IMPARTIALLY AND DILIGENTLY. A. Judicial Duties in General.--The judicial duties of a judge take precedence over all the judge's other activities. The judge's judicial duties include all the duties of the judge's office prescribed by law. In the performance of these duties, the following standards apply. B. Adjudicative Responsibilities. --(1) A judge shall hear and decide promptly matters assigned to the judge except those in which disqualification is required. (2) A judge shall be faithful to the law and maintain professional competence in it. A judge shall not be swayed by partisan interests, public clamor or fear of criticism. (3) A judge shall require order, decorum, and civility in proceedings before the judge.



When a judge in a particular case disregards and disrespects federal law under 42 U.S. Code § 407, disregards and disrespects the case law Washington State Dep't of Social & Health Servs v Guardianship Estate of Keffeler, 537 US 371; 123 S Ct 1017; 154 L Ed 2d 972 (2003); and In re Robby Lampart, Case No. 315333 (2014), State of Michigan, Court of Appeals; the Hon. Judge Greer is not respecting the law in violation of Canon 3. The Judge ignoring pro se motions selectively while denying pro se motions. It is selective enforcement. This judge has ignored the Motion/Petition for the Writ of Error Coram Vobis under case no. CL20000089-00, and yet denied the Petition for the Writ of Habeas Corpus prematurely under case no. CL19000331-00.

The Judge doesn't even give an opinion as to why he denied the Writ of Habeas Corpus and as to why he would deny a motion to discharge the legal fees. Both of those orders appear to be using the similar formal denial template. The Judge offers no opinions and offers no facts and case law that the Judge would be relying upon for his orders.

It is clear that this Judge is acting with the appearance of impropriety at best, a conflict of interest at worst.

Keeping this Judge in this case creates a partiality, a prejudice or bias, a lack of integrity in the judicial machinery. It shows that the Judge in this Circuit Court has a disrespect for Federal Law and that they have a disregard for evidence and a disregard of case law.

If this Judge continues being assigned to this case for judicial review, then this Judge can do more damage and cause more irreparable harm, and clog up the Appeals courts with many different appeals for every denial and clog up the Supreme Court of Virginia with multiple Writs of Mandamus for every inaction or



every excess of jurisdiction or for refusing to act on a pending motion where he should act and ought to act. Yes, the usual procedures for non-favorable judicial actions for decisions to be appealed by a party dissatisfied with a judicial decision. However when a Judge has an inherit prejudice or bias, and/or is in conflict of interest, it does warrant disqualification from a case.

CONCLUSION

For the Foregoing reasons stated above, the Defendant Brian David Hill asks that this Honorable Court grant this motion and disqualify/recuse the Hon. Giles Carter Greer from any further participation in this case.

Filed with the Honorable Circuit Court of Martinsville, this the 8th day of April, 2020.

Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill – Ally of
Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
Martinsville, Virginia
24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant



*Qanon S.O.S.
help me!*



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 8th day of April, 2020, I caused this "MOTION TO DISQUALIFY THE HON. GILES CARTER GREER FROM ANY FURTHER PARTICIPATION IN THE CASE(S)" to be transmitted by facsimile (fax machine) to the Commonwealth of Virginia through the Commonwealth Attorney's Office of Martinsville (Fax #276-403-5478) and will attach proof of service (*Transmission ticket receipt for proof of transmission*) which shall satisfy proof of service:

Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.
Martinsville Commonwealth's Attorney's Office
55 West Church Street
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 403-5470
Counsel for Plaintiff

Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill – Ally of
Qanon
Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
Martinsville, Virginia
24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant





Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 4/8/2020
Number of pages: 12
Attn.: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.

Time: 10:46:27 AM
Session duration: 13:47
To: Commonwealth Attorney

Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478

Message type: Fax

Filename: C:\ProgramData\VentaVentaFax & Voice 6\Out\USWGO_20200408_102

Error Correction: No-08

File description: USWGO_20200408_102420(OCR).pdf

Resolution: 200*200 dpi

Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478

Record number: 8162

Rate: 14400 bps

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 04/08/2020 @14:56:12 by fax

TESTE: [Signature]
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,
Plaintiff,
v.
BRIAN DAVID HILL,
Defendant,
Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
Civil Action No. CL20000089-00
Civil Action No. CL19000331-00
MOTION TO DISQUALIFY THE
HON. GILES CARTER GREER
FROM ANY FURTHER
PARTICIPATION IN THE
CASE(S)

MOTION TO DISQUALIFY THE HON. GILES CARTER GREER
FROM ANY FURTHER PARTICIPATION IN THE CASE(S)

Defendant Brian David Hill ("Brian D. Hill", "Hill", "Brian", "Defendant") in the
above named case(s), respectfully asks this Honorable Court to grant this motion to
recuse/disqualify the Honorable Giles Carter Greer, Circuit Court Judge from any
further participation in this case, as well as in the cases of Commonwealth v. Brian
David Hill, Petition for Error Coram Vobis, Case Number: CL20000089-00; and
Brian David Hill v. Commonwealth, Case Number: CL19000331-00, Petition for
Writ of Habeas Corpus.

BRIEF AND SUPPORTING FACTS -- STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 1. On March 27, 2020, Brian had filed a Federal lawsuit against the Hon. Giles
Carter Greer in Federal Court, for attempting to have the Circuit Court
unlawfully garnish or attempt the unlawful garnishment of Brian David
Hill's SSI disability. The lawsuit complaint was filed in the Circuit Court on

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

v.

ORDER

Case No. CR19000009-00

BRIAN DAVID HILL

UPON CONSIDERATION of the defendant's Motion for Writ of Error Coram Vobis, it
is ORDERED that said motion is hereby DENIED.

ENTER: This 10th day of April, 2020.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. Peter Lee", is written over a horizontal line.

Judge

Endorsement is dispensed with – Rule 1:13

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA


v.

ORDER
Case No. CR19000009-00

BRIAN DAVID HILL

UPON CONSIDERATION of the defendant's Motion for Waiving Legal Fees or Not
Enforcing Them, it is ORDERED that said motion is hereby DENIED.

ENTER: This 10th day of April, 2020.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. Peter Hill", is written over a horizontal line.

Judge

Endorsement is dispensed with – Rule 1:13

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

v.

ORDER

Case No. CR19000009-00


BRIAN DAVID HILL

CL20000089-00

CL19000331-00

UPON CONSIDERATION of the defendant's Motion to Disqualify the Honorable Giles Carter Greer from any Further Participation in the Case(s), it is ORDERED that said motion is hereby DENIED.

ENTER: This 10th day of April, 2020.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Carter Greer", written over a horizontal line.

Judge

Endorsement is dispensed with – Rule 1:13

Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Letter to Clerk of the Martinsville Circuit Court regarding waiver of Service of Process, to send waiver to Supreme Court of Virginia

Case #: CR19000009-00

Friday, April 10, 2020

ATTN: The Honorable Ashby R. Pritchett, Clerk of the Martinsville Circuit Court
email: APritchett@vacourts.gov
Phone: (276) 403-5106
Fax: (276) 403-5232
P. O. Box 1206
55 W. Church Street
Martinsville, VA 24114-1206
P. O. Box 1347 (Judges)

Dear Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett,

I was told by the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Virginia that despite me being in forma pauperis and not having a lot of money in the month as shown in my federal and state affidavits for my Writ of Mandamus, all I am trying to do is protect my SSI benefits. I was told by the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Virginia that despite me being unable to afford the filing fees, and your aware that my mother Roberta Hill had voluntarily out of the kindness of her heart had paid the filings fees for my filed Habeas Corpus petition and the Writ of Error Coram Vobis. It never came out of my money. I cannot afford private process servers and neither can I afford the fees to serve all parties. **This mandamus was never against you or anything, it is only to get the Courts to rule that my Social Security SSI money cannot be garnished to pay the criminal case legal fees. I need what is left of this money of what little I have left after my expenses for my day to day living and what is left is used for fighting my other cases including my federal appeals including the Supervised Release Revocation.**

So I ask of you, I beg of you to fill out this application form to waive the requirement of service of process since you already had received a true and correct copy of my Writ of Mandamus addressed to the Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett.

I filled out the top information about the Court and the case name and case number. All you have to do is fill out the rest, sign your name, and you can digitally send it to the Supreme Court Clerk's office waiving summons requirement for you as respondent. Again, the only reason your name is listed in that Mandamus is because in the copy of me being ordered to pay the criminal case legal fees, your name Ashby R.



Pritchett was on that order, so the Judge alone may not suffice for the Mandamus relief. None of it is against you, my only interest in this Writ of Mandamus is to protect my SSI benefits from being garnished by any legal process. Other states declared it unlawful under case law but Virginia so far does not have case law precedent on this yet. My case may be the first to set precedent, or if Virginia's case law conflicts with the Supreme Court and the other circuit in a different state, I have a high chance of getting my legal fees waived in my criminal case my order of the United States Supreme Court.

Please I beg of you, since I don't have the money to pay a private process server for every single respondent and respondents in the list of my other Writ of Mandamus for my motion to proceed pro se in the Court of Appeals, please I beg of you to sign this form notifying the Supreme Court of Virginia that you are waiving the service of process since you had received a copy already with fax and have filed it on docket for my case(s) verifying that the Clerk's Office of this Circuit Court did receive a copy of my Writ of Mandamus.

Also I ask of you to request that Judge Greer waive service of process as well. Two forms are attached, so one form for the Clerk and the other for the Judge. Please I beg of you to only waive the requirement that I have to pay a private process server or deputy sheriff for serving you a copy of my filed Writ of Mandamus under case no. 200482.

Please, I have Autism, I hope there is still empathy in this Court. I have suffered enough under carbon monoxide and ineffective counsel. I don't need to continually have to pay over and over again for a crime that I am innocent of according to West Virginia Mayor of Clarksburg, named Ryan Edward Kennedy, an attorney who argued that I was innocent of indecent exposure that I was charged under in General District Court and was moved to this Circuit Court. I have suffered for too long, so many miscarriages of justice. I need a reprieve, I need a break from the madness. Please help me.

Please I beg of you, to simply waive the requirement that I serve process with you. Where We Go One, We Go All!

Thank you for your time, service, and attention to this matter. God bless you.

Thank You,
Brian D. Hill

Former news reporter of USWGO Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2 Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

S.O.S. help



Brian D. Hill
signed



Please protect my SSI

**ACCEPTANCE/WAIVER OF SERVICE OF PROCESS AND
WAIVER OF FUTURE SERVICE OF PROCESS
AND NOTICE**

Case No. 200482

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA VA. CODE §§ 8.01-327; 20-99.1:1; Rules 3:5, 3:8

Supreme Court of Virginia, 100 N 9th St, Richmond, VA 23219, Fax: (804) 786-6249 Supreme Court

Brian David Hill, Petitioner
PLAINTIFF

v. IN RE:
DEFENDANT

GREER, GILES CARTER, (JUDGE)
PRITCHETT, ASHBY, (CLERK)
CLARK, MATTHEW SCOTT THOMAS,
(ATTORNEY OFFICER OF THE
COURT)

I, the undersigned party named below, swear under oath/affirm the following:

- 1. I am a party plaintiff defendant in the above-styled suit.
- 2. I have received a copy of the following documents on this date:

Complaint
 filed on _____, attached
DATE

pre-filing copy pursuant to Va. Code § 20-99.1:1(A), attached

Summons with copy of Complaint filed on _____, attached
DATE

Other – Describe: _____ filed on _____
DATE

I understand that my receipt of these copies and my signature below constitute

- the acceptance of service of process of these copies, or
- a waiver of service of process and notice which may be prescribed by law.

- 3. I agree to voluntarily and freely waive any future service of process and notice as checked below in this case:

- a. the 21-day time period for filing a responsive pleading.
- b. any further service of process.
- c. notice of the appointment of a commissioner in chancery and hearings held by such commissioner in chancery, if a commissioner in chancery is appointed.
- d. notice of the taking of depositions.
- e. notice of the filing of any reports by a commissioner in chancery of the filing of depositions.
- f. notice of testimony to be given orally in open court.
- g. notice of entry of any order, judgment or decree, including the final decree of divorce.

I understand that, by waiving service of process and notice, I am giving up my right to be notified of the events where indicated above.

DATE DEFENDANT PLAINTIFF

TO DEFENDANT: Notify the Court in writing of any changes of your address while this case is pending.

State/Commonwealth of _____, City County of _____

Subscribed and sworn to/affirmed before me this _____ day of _____, 20 _____

by _____
PRINT NAME OF AFFIANT

DATE CLERK DEPUTY CLERK
 NOTARY PUBLIC (My commission expires _____)
Registration No. _____

**ACCEPTANCE/WAIVER OF SERVICE OF PROCESS AND
WAIVER OF FUTURE SERVICE OF PROCESS
AND NOTICE**

Case No. 200482

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA VA. CODE §§ 8.01-327; 20-99.1:1; Rules 3:5, 3:8

Supreme Court of Virginia, 100 N 9th St, Richmond, VA 23219, Fax: (804) 786-6249 Supreme Court

Brian David Hill, Petitioner v. IN RE:
PLAINTIFF DEFENDANT

I, the undersigned party named below, swear under oath/affirm the following:
1. I am a party plaintiff defendant in the above-styled suit.
2. I have received a copy of the following documents on this date:
 Complaint
 filed on _____, attached
 pre-filing copy pursuant to Va. Code § 20-99.1:1(A), attached
 Summons with copy of Complaint filed on _____, attached
 Other – Describe: _____ filed on _____, attached

GREER, GILES CARTER, (JUDGE)
PRITCHETT, ASHBY, (CLERK)
CLARK, MATTHEW SCOTT THOMAS,
(ATTORNEY OFFICER OF THE
COURT)

I understand that my receipt of these copies and my signature below constitute
 the acceptance of service of process of these copies, or
 a waiver of service of process and notice which may be prescribed by law.

3. I agree to voluntarily and freely waive any future service of process and notice as checked below in this case:
- a. the 21-day time period for filing a responsive pleading.
 - b. any further service of process.
 - c. notice of the appointment of a commissioner in chancery and hearings held by such commissioner in chancery, if a commissioner in chancery is appointed.
 - d. notice of the taking of depositions.
 - e. notice of the filing of any reports by a commissioner in chancery of the filing of depositions.
 - f. notice of testimony to be given orally in open court.
 - g. notice of entry of any order, judgment or decree, including the final decree of divorce.

I understand that, by waiving service of process and notice, I am giving up my right to be notified of the events where indicated above.

DATE _____ FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE MARTINSVILLE DISTRICT COURT

TO DEFENDANT: Notify the Court in writing of any changes of your address while this case is pending.
State/Commonwealth of _____, City County of _____
Subscribed and sworn to/affirmed before me this _____ day of _____, 20____
by _____ TESTE: *GR Hamilton*
PRINT NAME OF AFFIANT CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

DATE: 04/14/2020 @16:16:29 by fax

DATE _____
 CLERK DEPUTY CLERK
 NOTARY PUBLIC (My commission expires _____)
Registration No. _____

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

_____)	
)	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
Plaintiff,)	Civil Action No. CL20000089-00
)	Civil Action No. CL19000331-00
v.)	
)	
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)	
Defendant,)	
)	NOTICE OF APPEAL
)	
_____)	

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given to the Circuit Court of Martinsville that Defendant Brian David Hill ("Brian D. Hill", "Hill", "Brian", "Defendant") in the above named case,* hereby appeal to the Court of Appeals Virginia from the final judgment (See Order, Date: 04/10/2020, Type: ORDER, Party: TTM, Judge: GCG, Remarks: DENIAL - MOT TO DISQUALIFY) denying Brian D. Hill's "MOTION TO DISQUALIFY THE HON. GILES CARTER GREER FROM ANY FURTHER PARTICIPATION IN THE CASE(S)" entered in this action on the 10th day of April, 2020.

*Appellee in the case would be the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, Virginia, Glen Andrew Hall, Esq. Accidently said Appellant in other Notice of Appeal. Clerical mistake.

First Amendment protected Opinion: The judicial corruption has gotten so out of control inside of the United States of America (the USSA, the United Soviet States of America) in almost all courts, people get nowhere like hamsters on a wheel. It doesn't matter what evidence is submitted/filed, doesn't matter what



witnesses testify or are offered, doesn't matter what the law is or how a higher court had ever interpreted the law. Courts have become slave outfitters, enslaving every single one of us American citizens. Prisons and Jails are SLAVE CAMPS, designed to take away the knowledge and productivity of people and placing them in the system to be a perpetuating crime committer. Make it easy to face a probation violation on any little thing, no matter how insignificant, making it a revolving door to prison and further slavery. Slavery has been allowed for the criminal injustice system, for now. The targets for this slavery under the system happens to be poor folks, the mentally and physically disabled, the elderly and the weak, and lower middle class. The Courts these days freely and openly encourage slavery of the poor folks which are a very large portion of the masses. They expect and demand that people pay for lawyers when it is the lawyers who are selling out the United States, betraying their clients and selling them out for money and power, and misrepresenting facts, lying, cheating, and stealing. They allowed the Central Intelligence Agency to get away with the Pedophile rings, blackmail operations, Drug Cartels including MS-13, and all kinds of criminal behaviors by those in authority underground, in the tunnels. Donald Trump said that there is light at the end of the tunnel.

Donald John Trump was elected not just to get rid of the corruption within our Federal Courts, corruption in Congress, and the corruption within all Federal Agencies including but not limited to the State Department. DJT also made the popular campaign slogan of "Drain the Swamp" as the corruption within the State Courts, State Legislature, State Agencies, Municipalities, and other corruption in public body-politic institutions and public corporations within every state will be held accountable for their crimes. God cries with every miscarriage of justice, every evil being perpetuated against the poor and fatherless by those in authority.

What Martinsville has done is to enslave a mentally/physically disabled person who is INNOCENT OF HIS CRIME, and not care about the evidence and not care about the lies that Glen Andrew Hall or any other corrupt lawyer tells. They don't care about the laws, they don't even care about anybody but themselves, it is a lack of empathy, which will lead society to RUIN, America will become a third world country under corrupt Courts and corrupt Judges. QAnon followers understand how bad our authorities have gotten. They understand the very big problem of the blackmail or Bribery of politicians and Judges and Prosecutors under Jeffrey Epstein, George Soros and other CIA/Deep-State sanctioned blackmailers and black-ops. The Deep State sanctioned blackmailers and bribers. They can threaten/bribe any politician behind the scenes to be nothing more than a puppet, but we the American people see through it all, like the Wizard of Oz movie with the Man hiding behind the Curtin pretending to be some powerful



projection like some kind of deity. If the Deep State is controlling Giles Carter Greer, and other powerful people in Virginia, if the Deep State is behind Brian's wrongful conviction in this State, then QAnon, the Department of Justice once the corruption is cleaned out, and Donald John Trump will pardon Brian David Hill and he will be acquitted even of his state charge and conviction. Brian will be acquitted of everything as it is all unconstitutional what has happened to Brian David Hill of USWGO Alternative News, and there is nothing that these corrupt State Judges can do about it once good Governors and good legislators get elected and the pardons of innocence can issue. God and Jesus is more powerful than man, we shall not continually be slaves to a Satanic Global Order. We will be free like the enslaved Jews in the Bible, we will be free, we will be acquitted, and it will be done legally and lawfully under the Rule of Law. I hope that Giles Carter Greer is not a Deep State Puppet, but he probably is the way he acts in every part of Brian's criminal case. The CIA Headquarters is in Langley, Virginia, so the CIA has a stranglehold of Virginia and its governing bodies. QAnon is dismantling the corrupt CIA behind the scenes if what they are saying is indeed the truth, they will go to prison for their crimes against humanity. I am sure that Glen Andrew Hall may likely be another George Soros funded prosecutor or simply a Deep State operative, who knows. They are NOT gods, they are not even to be considered as demi-gods. The people are victims of the Deep State Swamp.

The Bible says under Psalms, that these elite and corrupt politicians eventually will fall like one of the princes.

Psalm 82

New King James Version

A Plea for Justice

A Psalm of Asaph.

1 God stands in the congregation of [a]the mighty;

He judges among the [b]gods.

2 How long will you judge unjustly,

And show partiality to the wicked? Selah

3 [c]Defend the poor and fatherless;

Do justice to the afflicted and needy.

4 Deliver the poor and needy;



Free them from the hand of the wicked.
 5 They do not know, nor do they understand;
 They walk about in darkness;
 All the foundations of the earth are [d]unstable.
 6 I said, "You are [e]gods,
 And all of you are children of the Most High.
 7 But you shall die like men,
 And fall like one of the princes."
 8 Arise, O God, judge the earth;
 For You shall inherit all nations.

Footnotes:

- Psalm 82:1 Heb. El, lit. God
- Psalm 82:1 Judges; Heb. elohim, lit. mighty ones or gods
- Psalm 82:3 Vindicate
- Psalm 82:5 moved
- Psalm 82:6 Judges; Heb. elohim, lit. mighty ones or gods
- King James 2000

A Psalm of Asaph.

A Plea For God's Deliverance

1 God stands in the congregation of the mighty; he judges among the gods.
 2 How long will you judge unjustly, and accept the persons of the wicked? Selah.
 3 Defend the poor and fatherless: do justice to the afflicted and needy.
 4 Deliver the poor and needy: rid them out of the hand of the wicked.
 5 They know not, neither will they understand; they walk on in darkness: all the foundations of the earth are out of course.
 6 I have said, You are gods; and all of you are children of the most High.
 7 But you shall die like men, and fall like one of the princes.



8 Arise, O God, judge the earth: for you shall inherit all nations.

MARTINSVILLE is trying to enslave Brian David Hill forever. Just like the Federal Courts. The slavery is wrong and cannot continue as God will not continually turn a blind eye to each and every miscarriage of justice like it is a normal everyday occurrence.

I ask God and Jesus, to help guide me throughout this corrupt system, corrupt Government, corrupt Police, Dirty Cops, and allow me to ask the powerful to, LET MY PEOPLE GO, as Moses said to the Pharaoh of Egypt. Let my people go!!!!!!

Even Romans 13 has been misinterpreted, it is not defending an all-powerful tyrannical Government, but actually says that "For rulers are not a terror to good works, but to the evil." So a Government must not be a terror to good works but only to the evil. Those who do evil deeds must be punished. When somebody is legally innocent and had a good reason for what happened, the evidence should be considered instead of being ignored.

Romans 13 King James Version (KJV)

13 Let every soul be subject unto the higher powers. For there is no power but of God: the powers that be are ordained of God.

²Whosoever therefore resisteth the power, resisteth the ordinance of God: and they that resist shall receive to themselves damnation.

³For rulers are not a terror to good works, but to the evil. Wilt thou then not be afraid of the power? do that which is good, and thou shalt have praise of the same:

⁴For he is the minister of God to thee for good. But if thou do that which is evil, be afraid; for he beareth not the sword in vain: for he is the minister of God, a revenger to execute wrath upon him that doeth evil.

⁵Wherefore ye must needs be subject, not only for wrath, but also for conscience sake.

⁶For for this cause pay ye tribute also: for they are God's ministers, attending continually upon this very thing.

⁷Render therefore to all their dues: tribute to whom tribute is due; custom to whom custom; fear to whom fear; honour to whom honour.



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

9Owe no man any thing, but to love one another: for he that loveth another hath fulfilled the law.

9For this, Thou shalt not commit adultery, Thou shalt not kill, Thou shalt not steal, Thou shalt not bear false witness, Thou shalt not covet; and if there be any other commandment, it is briefly comprehended in this saying, namely, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself.

10Love worketh no ill to his neighbour: therefore love is the fulfilling of the law.

11And that, knowing the time, that now it is high time to awake out of sleep: for now is our salvation nearer than when we believed.

12The night is far spent, the day is at hand: let us therefore cast off the works of darkness, and let us put on the armour of light.

13Let us walk honestly, as in the day; not in rioting and drunkenness, not in chambering and wantonness, not in strife and envying.

14But put ye on the Lord Jesus Christ, and make not provision for the flesh, to fulfil the lusts thereof.

I am a Christian, I am not perfect but I know good verses of the Bible.

Filed with the Honorable Circuit Court of Martinsville, this the 15th day of April, 2020.

Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed



Brian David Hill – Ally of Qanon



Founder of USWGO
 Alternative News
 310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
 Martinsville, Virginia
 24112
 (276) 790-3505
Pro Se Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 15th day of April, 2020, I caused this "NOTICE OF APPEAL" to be transmitted by facsimile (fax machine) to the Commonwealth of Virginia through the Commonwealth Attorney's Office of Martinsville (Fax #276-403-5478) and will attach proof of service (*Transmission ticket receipt for proof of transmission*) which shall satisfy proof of service:

Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.
 Martinsville Commonwealth's Attorney's Office
 55 West Church Street
 Martinsville, Virginia 24112
 (276) 403-5470
Counsel for Plaintiff

Qanon S.O.S.

Signed,

Brian D. Hill
signed




Brian David Hill -- Ally of Qanon

*help me Qanon, I'm under attack.
 God Bless You. 7 Protect me Qanon.*



Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
Martinsville, Virginia
24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant



U.S.W.G.O.



Brian D. Hill - Ally of QANON
WWG1WGA - Q-Intel - Drain the Swamp MAGA
→ JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com - INVESTIGATE!

S.O.S. Qanon
Please protect me Qanon
Save me Qanon



Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com) Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 4/15/2020 Number of pages: 8 Attn.: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq. Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478

Time: 3:12:08 AM Session duration: 10:03 To: Commonwealth Attorney Message type: Fax Error Correction: Noril 15(3) Signed: 2020-04-15 11:11 Resolution: 200*200 dpi Record number: 8198

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 04/15/2020 @10:29:42 by fax

TESTE: *ER Hamilton* CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA, Plaintiff, v. BRIAN DAVID HILL, Defendant, Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00 Civil Action No. CL20000089-00 Civil Action No. CL19000331-00 NOTICE OF APPEAL

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given to the Circuit Court of Martinsville that Defendant Brian David Hill ("Brian D. Hill", "Hill", "Brian", "Defendant") in the above named case, * hereby appeal to the Court of Appeals Virginia from the final judgment (See Order, Date: 04/10/2020, Type: ORDER, Party: TIM, Judge: GCG, Remarks: DENIAL - MOT TO DISQUALIFY) denying Brian D. Hill's "MOTION TO DISQUALIFY THE HON. GILES CARTER GREER FROM ANY FURTHER PARTICIPATION IN THE CASE(S)" entered in this action on the 10th day of April, 2020.

*Appellee in the case would be the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, Virginia, Glen Andrew Hall, Esq. Accidently said Appellant in other Notice of Appeal. Clerical mistake.

First Amendment protected Opinion: The judicial corruption has gotten so out of control inside of the United States of America (the USSA, the United Soviet States of America) in almost all courts, people get nowhere like hamsters on a wheel. It doesn't matter what evidence is submitted/filed, doesn't matter what

VIRGINIA:

In the Court of Appeals of Virginia on Thursday the 16th day of April, 2020.

Brian David Hill, Appellant,

against Record No. 0129-20-3 (Appeal of November 25, 2019 order)
Circuit Court No. CR19000009-00

Commonwealth of Virginia, Appellee.

From the Circuit Court of the City of Martinsville

On February 5, 2020, came Brian David Hill, in proper person, and filed a motion requesting that the Court appoint new counsel to represent him on this appeal.

Upon consideration whereof, the Court hereby relieves Matthew S. T. Clark, Esquire, as court-appointed counsel for the appellant and appoints John I. Jones, IV, Esquire, in his place and stead to represent the appellant on the further proceedings in this appeal. The trial court is directed to award Matthew S. T. Clark, Esquire a fee of \$100 for services rendered the appellant on this appeal, in addition to his costs and necessary direct out of pocket expenses.

On March 9, 2020, came again the appellant, in proper person, and filed a request praying that the Court relieve counsel and allow him to proceed pro se, without the assistance of counsel, and a request praying that the Court award sanctions against the Assistant Commonwealth's Attorney for the City of Martinsville.

Upon consideration whereof, these said requests hereby are denied.

The petition for appeal in this case shall be due to be filed by May 26, 2020.

A Copy,
Teste:

By:

Cynthia L. McCoy, Clerk



Deputy Clerk

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 04/20/2020 @16:11:02

TESTE: 
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

VIRGINIA:

In the Court of Appeals of Virginia on Thursday the 16th day of April, 2020.

Brian David Hill, Appellant,
against Record No. 0128-20-3 (Appeal of November 18, 2019 order)
Circuit Court No. CR19000009-00
Commonwealth of Virginia, Appellee.

From the Circuit Court of the City of Martinsville

On February 5, 2020, came Brian David Hill, in proper person, and filed a motion requesting that the Court appoint new counsel to represent him on this appeal.

Upon consideration whereof, the Court hereby relieves Matthew S. T. Clark, Esquire, as court-appointed counsel for the appellant and appoints John I. Jones, IV, Esquire, in his place and stead to represent the appellant on the further proceedings in this appeal. The trial court is directed to award Matthew S. T. Clark, Esquire a fee of \$100 for services rendered the appellant on this appeal, in addition to his costs and necessary direct out-of-pocket expenses.

On March 9, 2020, came again the appellant, in proper person, and filed a request praying that the Court relieve counsel and allow him to proceed *pro se*, without the assistance of counsel, and a request praying that the Court award sanctions against the Assistant Commonwealth's Attorney for the City of Martinsville.

Upon consideration whereof, these said requests hereby are denied.


The petition for appeal in this case shall be due to be filed by May 26, 2020.

A Copy,

Teste:

Cynthia L. McCoy, Clerk

By:



Deputy Clerk

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 04/20/2020 @16:10:51

TESTE: 
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

_____)	
)	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
Plaintiff,)	Civil Action No. CL20000089-00
)	Civil Action No. CL19000331-00
v.)	
)	
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)	
Defendant,)	
)	NOTICE OF APPEAL
_____)	

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given to the Circuit Court of Martinsville that Defendant Brian David Hill ("Brian D. Hill", "Hill", "Brian", "Defendant") in the above named case,* hereby appeal to the Court of Appeals Virginia from the final judgment (See Order, Date: 04/10/2020, Type: ORDER, Party: TTM, Judge: GCG, Remarks: DENIED DEF WRIT ERROR CV) denying Brian D. Hill's "MOTION FOR WRIT OF ERROR CORAM VOBIS" entered in this action on the 10th day of April, 2020.

*Appellee in the case would be the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, Virginia, Glen Andrew Hall, Esq. Accidently said Appellant in other Notice of Appeal. Clerical mistake.

First Amendment protected Opinion: [CORRUPTIOPN][SLAVERY]

DRAIN THE SWAMP... Martinsville is advocating slavery against the disabled.

91	04/10/2020	ORDER	TTM	GCG	DENIED MOT DISQUALIFY GCG
86	04/10/2020	ORDER	TTM	GCG	DENIED MOT WAIVE FEES
85	04/10/2020	ORDER	TTM	GCG	DENIED DEF WRIT ERROR CV



Three orders of denial in one day, on the same day, sounds to me like [RETALIATION]. This Judge is clearly biased and his rulings make no sense and have no merit. I will appeal every one of them to the U.S. Supreme Court. Donald Trump wins, the corruption will lose.

First of all the Judge should be citing the law, rules, the evidence that was submitted in support of such motion, and case law that the Judge would be relying upon for his decision. So many constitutional errors, structural/legal defects.

The Deep State Swamp will fall, and the puppets will be arrested for their crimes, assuming that the Judge is another puppet/tool of the Deep State.

They are panicking, RATS PANIC IN DC. The Former Acting CIA Director John McLaughlin said over the issue of Impeachment: "Thank God For The Deep State." The corruption will fall, humanity and God will defeat the corruption. They are revealing exactly who they are. The Hon. Giles Carter Greer is showing exactly who he is. It is not about what you know, it is about who you know. They must all know each other, like best buds. The very corruption that Thomas Jefferson and George Washington and Benjamin Franklin had warned about. The answer to 1984 is 1776!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Arrest the corruption to protect he Constitution.

Arrest all of the Deep State Swamp, arrest and indict them all. That is my response to the Judge's decision. Corruption will not prosper but will be impeached and/or indicted. Donald Trump made that clear, we don't want corruption in our Courts anymore. We don't want corruption inside any of our offices of Government anymore. The Judge has clearly broken rules and is getting away with corruption. This Judge violated and continues to violate federal law. He may be guilty of violating other federal laws. The Deep State will be arrested as QAnon brags, the sealed indictments will begin. I am not QAnon, but am an ally of Qanon because I am all for what they stand for, they stand for justice, integrity, honesty, bravery, fidelity. We are about following the laws and the rule of law. Enforce the laws against the corruption that doesn't have to obey our laws.


Respectfully filed with the Circuit Court of Martinsville, this the 21th day of April, 2020.

Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill – Ally of
Qanon





Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
Martinsville, Virginia
24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 21st day of April, 2020, I caused this "NOTICE OF APPEAL" to be transmitted by facsimile (fax machine) to the Commonwealth of Virginia through the Commonwealth Attorney's Office of Martinsville (Fax #276-403-5478) and will attach proof of service (*Transmission ticket receipt for proof of transmission*) which shall satisfy proof of service:

Glen Andrew Hall, Esq.
Martinsville Commonwealth's Attorney's Office
55 West Church Street
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 403-5470
Counsel for Plaintiff

Signed,

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill – Ally of
Qanon






Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court



Founder of USWGO
Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
Martinsville, Virginia
24112
(276) 790-3505

Pro Se Appellant



FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT

DATE: 04/21/2020 @15:04:04 by fax

TESTE: *Jennifer C. Coplin*
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK



Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
 Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 4/21/2020 Time: 1:15:55 PM
 Number of pages: 4 Session duration: 4:51
 Attn.: Glen Andrew Hall, Esq. To: Commonwealth Attorney
 Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478 Message type: Fax
 Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\Notice of Appeal to Giles Error Correction: Yes! 21(2)Signed (2020-04-21).tif
 File description: Notice of Appeal to Giles Carter Greer on April 21(2)Signed.pdf Resolution: 200*200 dpi
 Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478 Record number: 8209
 Rate: 14400 bps

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY/TOWN OF MARTINSVILLE

_____)	
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,)	
Plaintiff,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
)	Civil Action No. CL20000089-00
)	Civil Action No. CL19000331-00
v.)	
)	
BRIAN DAVID HILL,)	
Defendant,)	
)	NOTICE OF APPEAL
)	
_____)	

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given to the Circuit Court of Martinsville that Defendant Brian David Hill ("Brian D. Hill", "Hill", "Brian", "Defendant") in the above named case,* hereby appeal to the Court of Appeals Virginia from the final judgment (See Order, Date: 04/10/2020, Type: ORDER, Party: TTM, Judge: GCG, Remarks: DENIED DEF WRIT ERROR CV) denying Brian D. Hill's "MOTION FOR WRIT OF ERROR CORAM VOBIS" entered in this action on the 10th day of April, 2020.

*Appellee in the case would be the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, Virginia, Glen Andrew Hall, Esq. Accidently said Appellant in other Notice of Appeal. Clerical mistake.

First Amendment protected Opinion: [CORRUPTIOPN][SLAVERY]

DRAIN THE SWAMP... Martinsville is advocating slavery against the disabled.

81	04/10/2020	ORDER	TTM	GCG	DENIED MOT DISQUALIFY GCG
86	04/10/2020	ORDER	TTM	GCG	DENIED MOT WAIVE FEES
85	04/10/2020	ORDER	TTM	GCG	DENIED DEF WRIT ERROR CV



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Letter to Clerk of the Martinsville Circuit Court regarding receipt of one Court order but two other Court Orders have not been received

Case #: CR19000009-00
Wednesday, April 22, 2020

ATTN: The Honorable Ashby R. Pritchett, Clerk of the Martinsville Circuit Court
email: APritchett@vacourts.gov
Phone: (276) 403-5106
Fax: (276) 403-5232
P. O. Box 1206
55 W. Church Street
Martinsville, VA 24114-1206
P. O. Box 1347 (Judges)

Dear Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett,

I had received the order of Judge Greer denying the motion to recuse/disqualify Judge Giles Carter Greer (#91), but I have not yet received the order to waive fees (#86) and I have not received the order denying Writ of Error Coram Vobis (#85).

The orders were all dated April 10, and it is already April 22, and both are not received.

91	04/10/2020	ORDER	TTM	GCG	DENIED MOT DISQUALIFY GCG
86	04/10/2020	ORDER	TTM	GCG	DENIED MOT WAIVE FEES
85	04/10/2020	ORDER	TYM	GCG	DENIED DEF WRIT ERROR CV

Please send me the orders under #86 and #85. I should have received it as my appeals will mean nothing without reading what was in the original orders.

Where We Go One, We Go All!

Thank you for your time, service, and attention to this matter. God bless you.

Thank You,
Brian D. Hill

Former news reporter of USWGO Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2 Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505



Brian D. Hill
Signed



In God We Trust. Jesus name We Pray Amen

VIRGINIA
In City of Martinsville Circuit Court
Clerk's Office.

Received and Filed this the
23rd Day of April, 2020
at 9:10 P.M.
Tested: [Signature] Clerk

VIRGINIA:

In the Court of Appeals of Virginia on Wednesday the 28th day of October, 2020.

Brian David Hill, Petitioner,
against
Commonwealth of Virginia, Respondent.

From the Circuit Court of the City of Martinsville

Upon consideration of the motion of Brian David Hill, and receiving no objection thereto from the Commonwealth, leave is granted Brian David Hill to file a replacement notice of appeal from the judgment rendered against him by the Circuit Court of the City of Martinsville on November 25, 2019, upon a conviction of misdemeanor indecent exposure (Circuit Court No. CR19000009-00).

All computations of time as required by the Rules of Court and applicable statutes shall commence on the date of entry of this order or, if Hill is entitled to appointed counsel upon this appeal, from the date of entry of the trial court's order appointing counsel, whichever date shall be later.


This order shall be certified to the trial court.

A Copy,

Teste:

Cynthia L. McCoy, Clerk

By:


Deputy Clerk



VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Civil Action No. CR19000009-00
)	
v.)	
)	
Brian David Hill,)	NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE
)	COURT
Defendant,)	

NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT

COMES NOW civil Writ of Habeas Corpus Petitioner Brian David Hill (“Brian”, “Hill”, “Petitioner”) respectfully files this NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT.

The Defendant attaches the evidence of what he is filing with the U.S. District Court concerning the incident on September 21, 2018, in relevance to this exact case.

ATTACHES: “DECLARATION OF BRIAN DAVID HILL AND NEW EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF PENDING MOTION UNDER DOCUMENT # 206 REQUESTING SANCTIONS” – 24 PAGES

WHEREFORE, the undersigned Defendant files further evidence showing **FRAUD UPON THE COURT** as follows:

1. That the original charge on September 21, 2018, and the trial on December 21, 2018, prosecutor Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., prosecuted the element of guilt that Brian David Hill was medically cleared as if Hill had a clean bill of health when evidence of medical neglect and lies show otherwise. They



knowingly discharged a patient when showing symptoms of tachycardia on record for about approximately a full hour and never checked his blood sugar not knowing what his diabetic blood glucose was as there is no evidence in the Sovah Hospital Medical record on September 21, 2018, that Brian's blood sugar was ever checked before Brian David Hill was arrested. This is evidence of MEDICAL NEGLECT, INCOMPETENCE, MAYBE EVEN CORRUPTION, who knows;

2. That Martinsville Police Department failed and refused to open up an envelope full of evidence of carbon monoxide gas poisoning and threatening greeting card and turned it over to the Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., on August 7, 2019 who also refused to open up the envelope and investigate its contents, turned it over to court appointed lawyer Matthew Clark who never informed Brian David Hill that he had taken possession of the envelope that was signed for by Martinsville Police Chief G. E. Cassady on August 7, 2019;
3. That it is Brian David Hill's right as a citizen of Martinsville to report evidence of a crime or report evidence to an investigator pursuant to a crime investigation, that by refusing to open the envelope when they didn't exactly knew what was in it is dereliction of duty and failure to fulfill the duties of the Office of Martinsville Police Department to investigate the evidence, and any and all evidence mailed to them, phone called to them, or even emailed to them;
4. That Martinsville Police Department and Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew Hall prosecuted a case where the elements of guilt, elements of the charge were proven wrong beyond a reasonable doubt. Elements such as Brian David Hill being medically cleared when there was evidence of tachycardia on September 21, 2018, medical record. Two times did it show



results for that similar to Brian's fall and blood pouring out of a part of his head on November 19, 2017. Brian also exhibited tachycardia with sinus tachycardia. On September 21, 2018, Brian reportedly fell into a creek from a steep slope which again exhibits a fall risk. In the creek was where Brian was arrested. Brian showed signs of tachycardia but the Hospital staff did nothing about it. The Hospital staff did not reportedly check his diabetic blood glucose. Brian should not have been medically cleared as it is quite clear that Sovah Hospital of Martinsville, Virginia, had clearly demonstrated incompetence, medical neglect, and did not investigate the known issues of tachycardia and Brian's diabetic glucose prior to discharging him to Police/Jail. They probably knew that Jails have the worst medical care and Jails across the United States have poor medical care. The Hospital refused to complete the laboratory tests which would have proven the levels of Carbon Monoxide Poisoning and likely the Commonwealth Attorney would never had charged him if that evidence had surfaced but instead, they are allowing evidence to be destroyed that clearly would have been in Brian Hill's favor. Usually when the prosecutor of a case lets evidence be repeatedly destroyed, that is spoliation of evidence and is usually a sign of frauds upon the court as it shows that the case was weak from the very beginning. Glen Andrew Hall, Esq. allowed evidence to be destroyed and Matthew Clark allowed evidence to be destroyed, and Scott Albrecht allowed evidence to be destroyed. Then Matthew Clark tells Brian and his family in 2019 that it doesn't matter, that they don't have to do laboratory tests. That is a bunch of garbage and they know it. It is the lawyer's job to prevent spoliation of any and all evidence favorable to the defense, they failed in that regard. A lot of evidence was destroyed and Glen Andrew Hall and Matthew Clark and Lauren McGarry and Scott Albrecht all let it happen



on their watch. They allowed the police body-camera footage to conveniently be destroyed, they allowed the blood vial or vials to be destroyed of blood that was drawn on September 21, 2018, that would have proven the levels of Carbon Monoxide poisoning after Brian was apprehended by Martinsville Police Department. They allowed any important to be destroyed, it is all a fraud upon the court.

Case law in support of this NOTICE:

Where falsification occurs in the midst of ongoing judicial proceedings, and is specifically directed at affecting those proceedings, it often is termed “fraud on the court.” A court, as an exercise of this inherent authority, may sanction fraud on the court through dismissal (if the falsifier is the plaintiff) or default (if the falsifier is the defendant).

Some examples are: *Breezevale Ltd. v. Dickinson*, 879 A.2d 957, 964 (D.C. 2005) (affirming sanction of dismissal where top executives of plaintiff company engaged in scheme to forge documents and subsequently denied the forgery in pleadings and sworn testimony); *Synanon Found., Inc. v. Bernstein*, 503 A.2d 1254, 1263 (D.C. 1986) (affirming sanction of dismissal where plaintiff, inter alia, destroyed audiotapes and made false statements to the court “that no responsive documents could be found” in order “to deceive the court, and to improperly influence the court in its decision on the defendants’ motions to compel, with the ultimate aim of preventing the judicial process from operating in an impartial fashion”); *Cox v. Burke*, 706 So. 2d 43 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1998) (affirming sanction of dismissal where plaintiff gave false answers to interrogatories and deceptive deposition testimony); *Pope v. Fed. Express Corp.*, 974 F.2d 982, 984 (8th Cir. 1992) (affirming sanction of dismissal for plaintiff’s forgery of, and reliance on, a single document); *Aoude v. Mobil Oil Corp.*, 892 F.2d 1115 (1st Cir.



1989) (affirming dismissal where plaintiff concocted a single document); *Tramel v. Bass*, 672 So. 2d 78, 82 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1996) (affirming default judgment against defendant who excised damaging six-second portion of videotape before producing it during discovery). See 501 U.S. at 56–57; see also *Synanon Found., Inc. v. Bernstein*, 517 A.2d 28, 43 (D.C. 1986) (once a party embarks on a “pattern of fraud,” and “[r]egardless of the relevance of these [fraudulent] materials to the substantive legal issue in the case,” this is enough to “completely taint [the party’s] entire litigation strategy from the date on which the abuse actually began”).

It has always been understood—the inference, indeed, is one of the simplest in human experience—that a party’s falsehood or other fraud in the preparation and presentation of his cause, his fabrication or suppression of evidence by bribery or spoliation, and all similar conduct is receivable against him as an indication of his consciousness that his case is a weak or unfounded one; and from that consciousness may be inferred the fact itself of the cause’s lack of truth and merit. The inference thus does not necessarily apply to any specific fact in the cause, but operates, indefinitely though strongly, against the whole mass of alleged facts constituting his cause.

The arguments cited from Attorney report titled "Responding to Falsification of Evidence" by Jonathan K. Tycko. Jonathan K. Tycko is a partner with Tycko Zavareei & Spiva LLP in Washington, D.C. He can be reached at (202) 973-0900 or by email at jtycko@tzslaw.com.

Hill respectfully files this NOTICE with this honorable Court, this the 2nd day of November, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Ally of Qanon
We Are Change

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 1

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com/Pardon

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

This pleading had been transmitted by facsimile to the Office of the Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Clerk's office at the Martinsville Circuit Court on November 2, 2020, at the address of 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112 and at Fax: (276) 403-5232.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this the 2nd day of November, 2020, a true copy of the foregoing NOTICE/Pleading was transmitted by facsimile to Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., at the office of the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112, counsel for Plaintiff of the Commonwealth of Virginia, Fax: 276-403-5478.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 1



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505

U.S.W.G.O.

Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

**ATTACHMENT: “DECLARATION OF BRIAN DAVID HILL AND NEW
EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF PENDING MOTION UNDER DOCUMENT #
206 REQUESTING SANCTIONS” – 24 PAGES**



In the United States District Court
For the Middle District of North Carolina

)	
Brian David Hill,)	
Petitioner/Defendant)	
)	Criminal Action No. 1:13-CR-435-1
v.)	
)	Civil Action No. 1:17-CV-1036
United States of America,)	
Respondent/Plaintiff)	
)	
)	

**DECLARATION OF BRIAN DAVID HILL AND NEW EVIDENCE IN
SUPPORT OF PENDING MOTION UNDER DOCUMENT # 206
REQUESTING SANCTIONS**

I, Brian David Hill, declare pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 1746 and subject to the penalties of perjury, that the following is true and correct:

Petitioner Brian David Hill (“Brian D. Hill”, “Petitioner) would like to submit a Declaration and its attached evidence in support of his #206 Motion for Sanctions (Filed: October 15, 2019) that was still pending before this Court and was uncontested since no response was ever filed to that motion before this Court. That motion still pending before this Court is MOTION entitled "Petitioner's Second Motion for Sanctions and to Vacate Judgment that was in Plaintiff's/Respondent's Favor; Motion and Brief/Memorandum of Law in support of Requesting the Honorable Court in this case Vacate Fraudulent begotten Judgment or Judgments" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Response to Motion due by 11/5/2019. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit 2, # 3 Supplement 1, # 4 Supplement 2, # 5 Supplement 3, # 6 Supplement 4, # 7 Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 10/16/2019).

No response was ever entered by the Government in regards to pending motion Document #222, MOTION entitled "Petitioner's third Motion for Sanctions, Motion for Default Judgment in 2255 case and to Vacate Judgment that was in



Plaintiff/Respondent's favor" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit 2, # 3 Exhibit 3, # 4 Exhibit 4, # 5 Exhibit 5, # 6 Exhibit 6, # 7 Exhibit 7, # 8 Exhibit 8, # 9 Exhibit 9, # 10 Exhibit 10, # 11 Supplement 1, # 12 Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 11/21/2019).

Petitioner hereby submits new evidence that was not previously filed in this Court before and would be considered new evidence which counters the “Judgment and Revocation” (Doc. #200, Filed: October 7, 2019) proving even further that the judgment revoking the Supervised Release of Petitioner Brian David Hill is even further based upon fraud upon the court. Fraud upon the court as there was no intent to commit indecent exposure, no intent to commit any obscenity, or both. The evidence further proving that the Martinsville Police Department refused and/or failed to conduct a reasonable thorough investigation before deciding to charge Brian David Hill on September 21, 2018, with indecent exposure under Virginia Code § 18.2-387 in the Martinsville General District Court. The additional evidence proves even further that “evidence fails to show that Appellant” aka Brian David Hill “acted intentionally to make an obscene display or exposure of his person” as Attorney Edward Ryan Kennedy had argued before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit. See his arguments in appellant brief document: USCA4 Appeal case no.: 19-4758, Doc: 21, Filed: 12/19/2019.

The evidence attached thereto under **Exhibit 1** shows photograph exhibits of what was filed in the Petition/Motion for Writ of Error Coram Nobis/Vobis”, case no. CL20000089-00 that was filed this year on March 16, 2020 in the Circuit Court of Martinsville. Even though it was denied later on April 10, 2020 without an opinion from the Hon. Giles Carter Greer, it shows photographs of an envelope that was mailed to the Police Chief G. E. Cassady from the City of Martinsville Police Department. That envelope was signed for by G. E. Cassady. A fax was sent to Martinsville Police Department on how important signing for the envelope was and that he needed to pick it up due to it having important evidence that had needed to be investigated. See **Exhibit 2** for the photocopy of that fax letter that Martinsville Police Department had received before Chief G. E. Cassady had personally signed for that envelope. Martinsville Police never investigated any of the evidence in the envelope, has the evidence will forensically show that the envelope was never even opened up. The envelope contained the original letter, and a copy if that letter was filed with this Court on July 22, 2019, as Document #181, “DECLARATION entitled "Evidence Declaration of Brian David Hill Regarding Carbon Monoxide and Letgter to Martinsville Police Chief in Opposition to



Government's/Respondent's Documents # 156 , # 157 , # 158 , # 159 , and # 160 " filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 0, # 2 Exhibit 1, # 3 Exhibit 2, # 4 Exhibit 3, # 5 Exhibit 4, # 6 Exhibit 5, # 7 Exhibit 6, # 8 Exhibit 7, # 9 Exhibit 8, # 10 Exhibit 9, # 11 Exhibit 10, # 12 Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 07/22/2019)". That letter was mailed out to Martinsville Police Department before the Doc. #186 revocation hearing on September 12, 2019.

Brian David Hill, the Petitioner, has this envelope that was signed for by the Police Chief G. E. Cassady. Petitioner states under oath or affirmation why this is possible. Petitioner will explain how he has the envelope, the very envelope that was mailed to Martinsville Police Department with evidence for them to investigate regarding the indecent exposure incident and charge on September 21, 2018. The truth is there was no investigation into any of that evidence, the envelope was never even opened, Petitioner's court appointed lawyer Matthew Clark never told him about the envelope being in his possession, as Petitioner discovered the sealed envelope to the Police Chief of Martinsville in case files that Brian had requested from Matthew Clark's office when Brian had decided to timely appeal his conviction of indecent exposure due to Matthew Clark begging Brian and his family to withdraw the appeal and accept the decision of guilty in the General District Court. However, Brian didn't know that his lawyer had lied to him and his family or deceived him by failing or refusing to notify Brian of the envelope that Brian intended to mail to Martinsville Police Department therefore waiving attorney/client privilege. It is Brian's constitutional and legal rights, as a citizen of Martinsville, Virginia, to report evidence of a crime or evidence of an incident to his local Police Department when new evidence surfaces. It is the duty of Martinsville Police to investigate new evidence mailed to them. It is a dereliction of duty at best, corruption at worst.

I also would like to present a filing and it's attached evidence from a federal lawsuit from the Western District of Virginia to this Court as I do not want to refile the entire Writ of Error Coram Vobis/Nobis document and evidence as it would be redundant. So, I would like to introduce Document #2-2, case of Brian David Hill v. Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., et al., case no. 4:20-cv-00017, Western District of Virginia.

DECLARATION:



I Brian David Hill had typed up a letter and attached exhibits to that letter that was to be directed to the Police Chief of Martinsville Police Department, letter dated Thursday, July 18, 2019, and I had requested that Officer Robert Jones, aka the one who initially investigated the incident and charged Brian David Hill with indecent exposure on September 21, 2018, be the one to also receive a copy (by "CC:") of my letter and attached exhibits with the intent of wanting an investigation into this. I had apologized to the police for cussing them out on September 21, 2018, and explained to them that I had no knowledge of carbon monoxide gas that was flowing into my Apartment and once I had the evidence showing that multiple symptoms were documented showing evidence of potential carbon monoxide poisoning, that I thought it should be made available to the criminal investigator who had arrested me for indecent exposure as I was charged under Virginia Code § 18.2-387. I have the return receipt which proves that the envelope was signed for by Police Chief G. E. Cassady on August 7, 2019.

After I had faxed the Martinsville Police Department (See Exhibit 2) asking for the Chief of Police to sign for the envelope, I got a return receipt back proving that he had indeed signed for the envelope back in 2019. That was before the Final Supervised Release Violation hearing that had been scheduled for September 12, 2019. I had assumed that the envelope had been read and that there was some sort of an investigation into my claims of carbon monoxide, and that chimney expert Pete Compton would be questioned by Martinsville Police to verify my claims to the Police Department in that letter giving a good reasonable explanation why I was out butt naked on the Dick and Willie hiking trail at nighttime on September 21, 2019. I couldn't give that explanation on the day that I was charged because I did not know that I had been subject to carbon monoxide poisoning or gas until my family had notified me while I was being mentally evaluated by Dr. Dawn Graney of the Federal Correctional Institution 1 ("FCI-1") in Butner, North Carolina.

Later I found out that my assumptions were way off when I discovered that the envelope that I had mailed to the Police Chief had never even been opened and I will explain to this court herein how I indeed know this and how I can prove it.

After the hearing on September 12, 2019 before the Hon. Thomas David Schroeder in the Winston-Salem U.S. District Court, my ineffective counsel Matthew Clark in my Virginia criminal case (for the indecent exposure) had kept pressuring me to withdraw my appeal and trial de novo in the Circuit Court and accept the decision in the lower court which that influence came directly after I was revoked of my



Supervised Release on September 12, 2019 at the hearing. My Attorney Matthew Clark had been informed of the decision in the federal court regarding the revocation and then he started pressuring the pestering me and my family to have me withdraw my appeal and give up on me fighting for acquittal in my state criminal case. That was indeed caused and influenced by that decision on September 12, 2019. If my court appointed lawyer Matthew Clark had known that proving my technical innocence to my charge of indecent exposure would play a role in me possibly not being revoked of my Supervised Release sentence, Matthew Clark may not have advised me to withdraw my appeal in state court. So that decision may have completely influenced my Attorney in such a negative and ineffective way in my opinion.

Anyways, I had faxed Matthew Clark's office a letter asking for my case files as I was pursuing my Writ of Habeas Corpus direct collateral attack on my wrongful conviction of indecent exposure that was caused and influenced by me being revoked of my Supervised Release on September 12, 2019. I still had not known of the envelope being in Matthew Clark's possession until I had picked up the case files at Matthew Clark's office. His assistant that works at his Martinsville law office, gave me the case files. I took them back home at 310 Forest Street, Apartment 2, Martinsville, VA 24112 to examine the contents of the case files. I saw the envelope and was baffled why the envelope that I had originally mailed to the Police Chief G. E. Cassady was in my Attorney's case files that were given to me.

I noticed some writing on it "Turned over to CA 8/7/2019 1455 hrs", "N.L 7-22-19 won't be back till 8-1-19" and from what I could tell it appeared to me that my envelope was turned over to the Commonwealth Attorney on August 7, 2019 and then the Commonwealth Attorney turned over the envelope to my court appointed Attorney Matthew Clark without ever opening its contents and he had never informed me verbally that he had received my envelope that was mailed and directed to Martinsville Police Department to investigate the evidence contents inside. Matthew Clark never informed me in writing that he had received my envelope that was mailed and directed to Martinsville Police Department to investigate the evidence contents inside. Even my own lawyer Matthew Clark never opened up the envelope to see what I had attempted to mail to Martinsville Police Chief and why I would mail it without going through my lawyer. He never acknowledged that I had waived attorney/client privilege when mailing evidence to Martinsville Police Department, and that I have a right to report a crime or any



evidence relating to a possible crime or investigation to my local Police Department as a citizen of that locality. Martinsville Police Department had failed me and by not conducting a thorough investigation they cannot just make assumptions regarding intent of indecent exposure or even obscenity when they failed and/or refused to investigate any evidence I had sent them by mail or had wanted to send them but was blocked from doing such.

My lawyer Matthew Clark never investigated the contents inside that envelope, Martinsville Police Officer Robert Jones never investigated the contents inside that envelope prior to the Revocation Hearing on September 12, 2019, at the Winston-Salem North Carolina federal courthouse, the Martinsville Police of Chief never investigated the contents inside that envelope, and even the Commonwealth Attorney who had prosecuted the charge of indecent exposure never investigated the contents inside that envelope. That envelope had clear and convincing factual evidence that was more provable than my claim in 2018 as to a man wearing a hoodie directing me to take my clothes off and take pictures of myself. I had a document my mother had printed for me regarding a National Institutes of Health (“NIH”) medical study regarding acute carbon monoxide poisoning and the symptoms. The symptoms had I believed matched my weird and bazaar behavior on September 21, 2018. A month after I was arrested, I was diagnosed with “psychosis” which is a symptom of carbon monoxide poisoning according to the NIH article. Later on, I found evidence that my resting blood pulse was over 100 while I was at the Hospital on September 21, 2018, the day that I was arrested and had been arrested after being discharged from the Hospital. When a resting blood pulse is recorded as to being over 100, it is medically known as Sinus Tachycardia. That is also a symptom known to have been exhibited by those exposed to carbon monoxide gas poisoning. The Sovah Hospital medical record I had requested of the date of September 21, 2018, the medical record of myself of being examined at the Hospital before I was arrested by Martinsville Police, showed two times an abnormal resting blood pulse. One number from the record showed around 4:09AM was “Pulse 119” and around 5:01AM was “Pulse 106” (Doc. #181-11, Page 6 of 8). That was filed under Document #181-11, dated July 22, 2019, a few months before the final revocation hearing. That proves to this Federal Court in this case that I, Brian David Hill, was wrongfully discharged from the Hospital into Martinsville Police custody and then to Martinsville City Jail regarding my charge of indecent exposure. I should not have been medically cleared. They released me when records show that I had multiple abnormally high resting blood pulse, and



yet they drew blood from my arm which is evident when they were prepared to conduct laboratory testing but then cancelled them and likely threw my blood away. They put in the medical record "Corrections: (The following items were deleted from the chart)". So, they drew my blood which would have had the biological evidence and the levels which could have proven the levels of Carbon Monoxide ("CO") poisoning in my blood but they threw it away. I had told my first state court appointed lawyer Scott Albrecht in my criminal case that I felt I was drugged and blacked out while I was on the Dick and Willie hiking trail when I was naked. I asked for the drug test results and asked for a drug test but my court appointed lawyer Scott Albrecht never did any such thing and ignored my requests even though that would have proven me actually innocent of indecent exposure as the carbon monoxide would show an abnormality of my health during the indecent exposure incident. More than likely that evidence would have been brought up at the General District Court and may have led to a not-guilty verdict. If the Circuit Court had heard of this evidence at the jury trial that had been planned for December 2, 2019, the Jury would have likely voted not-guilty on the factual basis that there was no intent to be indecent and that the carbon monoxide was a lawful reason or excuse to have conducted the weird and bazaar behavior on September 21, 2018, that I had not exhibited previously and had not exhibited such behavior after that incident as the source of what had caused the carbon monoxide to reportedly enter by Apartment in 2018 was reportedly removed by Pete Compton the chimney expert that I wanted to testify at the Final Revocation Hearing on September 12, 2019, but Attorney Renorda Pryor never asked him to testify as an expert witness or as a witness at all.

With all of this cumulative evidence I had on carbon monoxide, it is clear that I was suffering under its effects when I was naked on the Dick and Willie hiking trail on September 21, 2018, and would explain my abnormal, bazaar and weird behavior. It is clear to the best of my knowledge when asking my family to research about carbon monoxide symptoms and effects that carbon monoxide affects the brain and can cause anywhere from hallucinations to psychosis to not making any sense when interviewed or interrogated.

I also had filed four affidavits which is one from myself (Document #216-2), one from Stella Forinash (Document #216-3), one from Kenneth Forinash (Document #216-4), and one from Roberta Hill (Document #216-1). Whether or not the Court would agree as to the omissions in the official transcript of the proceeding dated September 12, 2019, we all know that there were things that the U.S. Attorney's



witness Officer Robert Jones (as listed under Document #186 on Exhibit and Witness List) did not find in my backpack when I was arrested on September 21, 2018. I did not have my glucose tablets with me that night. I did not have my insulin pens with me that night. I did not have my diabetic glucose monitor with me that night. Also, the trial Exhibits and Witnesses listed under Document #186 have a statement that is incorrect and there is no evidence ever proving a certain entry. It said "(Sealed) Thumbnail Photos from Defendant's Phone". There was no phone that was seized by Martinsville Police Department but was a camera according to the search warrant affidavit I had seen in my state case. So that was incorrect and I hope that gets corrected on the record. Yeah, I did not have any of my necessary diabetic equipment. I did not have any cell phone with me on the night that I was out on the Dick and Willie trail on September 21, 2018, otherwise the Police could have found things like for example: emergency contacts. They did not find any evidence of me even being diabetic. Officer Robert Jones did not find things in my backpack that I normally would have in my backpack when I go hiking with my mother and caretaker Roberta Hill.

My mother and caretaker Roberta Hill are also paid to be my caretaker under Virginia Medicaid program Consumer Direct, formerly known as Public Partnerships. She is paid for a certain number of hours to help manage my diabetes and supervise me when I manage my diabetes. What I mean by that is there are times when I can do my own insulin shots and drink glucose beverages to help manage my diabetes. There are times when I cannot manage my own type one brittle diabetes and sometimes, I can be incapacitated due to my low blood sugar. During those times my caretaker does whatever she can to give me glucose whether it be with honey or marshmallows or icing. That is to bring my low blood sugar back up either to stop my diabetic seizure to get me back to normal or to prevent a diabetic seizure from coming. So, I cannot fully take care of myself. That is why I have a Medicaid paid for caretaker.

I did remember something from the day of the hearing on September 12, 2019, about Attorney Renorda Pryor asking Officer Robert Jones if he knew I was diabetic and he did not know I was diabetic, as if the Officer was shocked and did not know as he was never told but rather I told him that I had Autism but forgot to tell him that I had type 1 brittle diabetes which is unlike me. The fact I didn't even mention an important medical health problem before and during my arrest tells me that I wasn't thinking properly and didn't understand why I was not thinking properly that night. I had sinus tachycardia at the Hospital but was discharged



without the Hospital ever finding out why, pushing to order laboratory results but then cancelling them. The Hospital never should have medically cleared me, it was inappropriate and they should have held me under observation and should have held me until they had figured out why I had sinus tachycardia on two different readings of my resting blood pulse. The Officer I forgot to have told him that I had type 1 brittle diabetes. I had no cell phone, no diabetic insulin, no glucose tablets, no diabetic blood sugar reader, and no emergency contact information. I was clearly not thinking straight. Out in the middle of the night, butt naked on a deserted hiking trail, I was spotted at one point of the hiking trail where a road with cars can see the hiking path, all that person saw was a naked man running and not doing anything sexual and probably out of concern for that person's safety had called 911. I written letters to the Court in 2018 saying things that made no sense and saying to people that I thought I was drugged and blacked out while I was on the trail. All of this I am willing to admit under oath or affirmation to the Court. I clearly had no men's rea when I was charged on September 21, 2018. It doesn't make any logical sense to have no diabetic supplies in my backpack when I was arrested by Officer Robert Jones. He didn't know when he arrested me that I was a type one brittle diabetic because I only remember telling him about me having Autism Spectrum Disorder. The Hospital clearly did not educate that officer about my medical problems. That Hospital clearly erroneously discharged me as I never should have been medically cleared according to the hospital record dated September 21, 2018. Two high resting blood pulse readings which is considered tachycardia which is a sign of possibly more serious medical health issue and they released me to be thrown in jail without bond/bail then they even knew why and how I would have sinus tachycardia. The claim by the U.S. Probation Office or U.S. Attorney Office or Prosecutor in the state case that claimed I was medically cleared is and should be without merit. I was prematurely discharged but it is too late for me to file a lawsuit under the civil statute of limitations in the Commonwealth of Virginia but I wanted to sue that Hospital for medical neglect. Instead I will show evidence to this Court that there is no intent to indecently expose and there is no intent to being obscene. A Hospital that did not investigate the tachycardia readings and cancelled the laboratory tests that would have proven the levels of carbon monoxide which could have led to no criminal charge by the Commonwealth of Virginia, my Probation Officer would have been informed of the levels of carbon monoxide and I never would have had to worry about facing a revocation over what had happened. The Police Department of Martinsville had failed me, the Hospital had failed me. I didn't fail myself, I wasn't thinking clearly,



like I was half thinking. I never should have been held criminally culpable to the extent pushed by the U.S. Probation Officer and by the U.S. Attorney Office. That was wrong and clearly is an erroneous revocation. If this Court cannot undo its miscarriage of justice done on September 12, 2018, then I will ask the President of the United States Donald John Trump for a full unconditional pardon over my probation violation in regards to what had happened on September 21, 2018 (Doc. #156, #157, #158). The President has the absolute right to grant pardons and reprieves. If the President feels that the U.S. District Court did wrong in one of its judgments in a criminal case and created a permanent miscarriage of justice that cannot be undone and cannot be remedied, then I believe the President has the right to pardon me of that probation violation and relieve me of the consequences of what had happened since it is not my fault. Carbon monoxide is not my fault and I cannot help how it had affected me. It affected me to the extent where I was out on a hiking trail at night, butt naked, without my necessary medical supplies on September 21, 2018. If this Court cannot have any sympathy to the evidence and facts I have demonstrated before this Court then maybe the President of the United States will correct this fraud, the fraudulent begotten judgment under Document #200. The President of the United States, I will request that he intervene in his case even if I have to ask each and every member of the Donald Trump family to forward my request personally to the President himself to grant me a pardon or reprieve for this wrongful supervised release violation and revocation.

Also, it should be noted for this Court that on September 21, 2018, the Sovah Hospital of Martinsville who acted as though I was medically cleared which was also claimed by the U.S. Probation Office for my indecent exposure charge if I had recalled that Arrest Warrant and charge Declaration correctly, that they never checked my diabetic blood sugar on September 21, 2018, as far as the record was concerned. There is no evidence on the September 21, 2018, that my diabetic glucose was checked before I was discharged to Police/Jail. Diabetes does play a major role of my behavior in my life. Even the U.S. Marshals know that diabetes can affect behavior as one of them had told me in 2015. Part of the evidence submitted to the Martinsville Police Department that was attached to the letter that they never read because they had never opened up the original envelope that I have in my possession after that Police Chief months ago had signed for that envelope and gave it up, showed that Sovah Hospital saw multiple times that I had tachycardia but never further investigated and never checked my diabetic blood sugar.



See Document #181-3, Filed 07/22/2019, Page 5 of 5. That medical record said "POC GLU" which was "429" at around "1006" and "435" around "0943". Both were extremely high blood glucose readings. That was around November 19, 2017. My diabetic blood sugar reading was checked around that admission to that same Hospital.

Then according to Document #181-11, Filed 07/22/2019, Page 5 of 5. That medical record dated September 21, 2018, said "04:48 28-year-old male with diabetes and autism presents for evaluation..."

It said on Page 8 of 8, of that federal court case record, "04:52 09/21 04:52 09/21/2018 04:52 Discharged to Jail/Police. Impression: Abrasion, right knee; Abrasion of unspecified front wall of thorax. Condition is Stable." That contradicts the tachycardia readings on Page 6 of 8 which again is Document #181-11. When tachycardia is present which is an abnormally high resting blood pulse and it had lasted for about proximately almost an hour on record, to say my condition is stable is not true. They failed and because of that I can never ever get the levels of carbon monoxide poisoning and thus ineffective counsel and medical neglect by the Hospital and lying that my health was cleared or just simply being incompetent has violated my Constitutional and/or legal rights. I never should have been revoked of my supervised release. This is an extreme and unusual circumstance.

Here are some quoted information from the medical records submitted on federal court record

Document #181-11, Filed 07/22/2019, Page 6 of 8, "Vital Signs":

It said "04:09 BP 124 / 86; Pulse 119; Resp 19; Temp 98; Pulse ox 98% ; Weight 99.79 jt kg; Height 6 ft. 0 in. (182.88 cm); Pain 0/10;"

It said "05:01 BP 119 / 80; Pulse 106; Resp 16; Temp 98.2; Pulse Ox 99% ; Pain 0/10; jt"

From what it said from around 4AM to 5AM I still had exhibited a resting blood pulse of over 100, a long period of time to have tachycardia and the Hospital never got the levels and never investigated as to even why, that is serious medical neglect, irresponsible behavior for the medical profession, and/or is extreme incompetence. Document #181-3, Page 4 of 5: shows I had sinus tachycardia due to my resting blood pulse being over 100. That was on Sunday, November 19, 2017. At that date period, I had a fall and blood was coming out of a part of my head because of that fall. Ambulance was called but because my OCD was really



bad at about that time, I insisted that I head to the Hospital voluntarily. Then it took me about an hour or more doing my OCD routine. Not knowing that the more hot water I had used up in my routine, that even more carbon monoxide was coming into my Apartment and my mother's Apartment. That would explain to the Hospital why I had Sinus Tachycardia. Same as me having Tachycardia at around 4AM and 5AM around September 21, 2018, but the Hospital was lazy enough and stupid enough to not complete the laboratory tests after drawing my blood and thus they never got the levels of carbon monoxide which would have been a defense to my charge of indecent exposure. Then when I got to the Hospital hours after the ambulance came and left after I insisted that I do my OCD routine before going to the Hospital, they found evidence that I had sinus tachycardia. The Hospital was ignorant, incompetent, stupid, and ruined my life on September 21, 2018, when they let me go to jail with evidence in my blood which would have exonerated me and acquitted me.

If this U.S. District Court cannot acquit me even after this affidavit as to my carbon monoxide poisoning and the Police refusing to look at evidence in a manilla envelope mailing, then I have no choice but to peaceably go to every family member of President Donald J. Trump to petition them and beg them to contact the President directly and have me granted an unconditional full pardon for my supervised release violation for the incident on September 21, 2018. The President has the power to pardon me for any federal charges. As for the state, it will be up to the Governor to pardon me or the State Court to acquit me. However, the President does have the right to grant pardons and reprieves for any federal punishments that I receive, therefore the President has the absolute right to pardon me for my probation violation and I will push for a pardon if the Court cannot fix this miscarriage of justice.

EXHIBITS

I also attach hereto as **Exhibit 1**, a true and correct copy of the photographs that was submitted to the Circuit Court as part of the Motion/Petition for Writ of Error Coram Vobis/Nobis. As well as two photocopy scans of the return receipt showing that it was signed for by Police Chief G. E. Cassady, and was under restricted delivery. It shows the envelope to Police Chief G. E. Cassady that was signed for by Police Chief G. E. Cassady on August 7, 2019, but was turned over to the Commonwealth Attorney and then to my court appointed lawyer who did nothing with it while badgering me and my family to accept the guilty verdict of the



General District Court. He ignored valuable evidence and refused to ask Martinsville Police to investigate any of it. Both of my state court appointed lawyers Matthew Clark and Scott Albrecht did nothing to ask for the Police Body camera footage which also could have been useful to evaluate my eyes to determine if I was under the influence of any drugs or substances. Both lawyers were ineffective and damaged by defense in the state case to such extent where proving my factual innocence may or may not be impossible. They refused to investigate my claim that I was drugged. I had agreed to a drug test that was never conducted by Martinsville Police and never requested by any of my court appointed lawyers in my Virginia state case. They never asked for the body-camera footage and then Matthew Clark told me in 2019 that the footage may likely be destroyed now because of the retention period. I sent multiple letters, one was certified mail by my family where I kept asking for the body-camera footage and my court appointed lawyers in my state case knew I wanted the body-camera footage and they never asked for it as evidence and they allowed it to be destroyed. That is what led to me falsely accepting the decision of guilty in the Martinsville General District Court. My lawyers allowed potential evidence favorable to me as a defendant to be destroyed by the Police Department. They allowed the Martinsville Sovah Hospital to destroy evidence such as drawing blood from my veins. They violated my legal rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act, Title II. They violated my rights as a criminal defendant. My court appointed lawyers in my state case didn't want to do anything that could have prevented my revocation of supervised release in my Federal case by allowing favorable evidence to be destroyed and allowing any facts that could have helped me also be destroyed. My ineffective counsel ruined my life and allowed the fraud of indecent exposure to become a permanent criminal record against me and they won't even apologize for what they had done to me. **Exhibit 1** contains 5 pages of evidence which should be filed in COLOR by the deputy Clerk.

I also attach hereto as **Exhibit 2**, a true and correct copy of the original fax that I had transmitted to the Martinsville Police Department on August 7, 2019. I have this original because I assumed that it was also turned over to the Commonwealth Attorney and then to Matthew Clark, my court appointed lawyer, and that is how I am in possession of this original fax record. **Exhibit 2** contains 1 page of evidence in black and white. I have the original fax record in my possession as well.



This evidence should be sufficient to prove that the U.S. Attorney Office for the Middle District of North Carolina had wrongfully prosecuted a questionable and possibly fraudulent charge of violating supervised release as the Martinsville Police Department was allowed to destroy their body-camera footage of what had happened on September 21, 2018, because my court appointed lawyers never fulfilled my requested as the client for the body-camera footage. Scott Albrecht should be sued or held legally responsible in Virginia for this blatant ignorance to allow spoliation of evidence which may be useful in proving that I had no intent (mens rea) to indecent exposure and potential carbon monoxide symptoms. The U.S. Probation Office never should have pushed for revoking my supervised release and should have given me a chance to have me be found not-guilty in the state court. This prosecution led to such a miscarriage of justice that blood evidence was destroyed, body-camera footage was destroyed, and all of that evidence being destroyed was allowed by both the Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew Hall (that jerk!!!!) and the Defense Attorney Scott Albrecht and had this evidence been brought up I likely would not have been found guilty of indecent exposure at the General District Court of Martinsville.

I feel I had been wrongfully convicted in the state court on December 21, 2018, I was deprived of due process of such egregious circumstances. I was wrongfully allowed by my own court appointed lawyers to have allowed evidence to be destroyed that may have been beneficial to me proving that I had no intent of indecent exposure, no intent of obscenity and should not have been revoked of my supervised release.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 2, 2020.

Respectfully filed with the Court, this the 2nd day of November, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian D. Hill
signed Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
 310 Forest Street, Apartment 2
 Martinsville, Virginia 24112



Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp
I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)
Make America Great Again

Petitioner also requests with the Court that a copy of this pleading be served upon the Government as stated in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d), that “The officers of the court shall issue and serve all process, and preform all duties in such cases. Witnesses shall attend as in other cases, and the same remedies shall be available as are provided for by law in other cases”. Petitioner requests that copies be served with the U.S. Attorney office of Greensboro, NC via CM/ECF Notice of Electronic Filing ("NEF") email, by facsimile if the Government consents, or upon U.S. Mail.

Thank You!

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Petitioner/Defendant hereby certifies that on November 2, 2020, service was made by mailing the original of the foregoing:

“DECLARATION OF BRIAN DAVID HILL AND NEW EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF PENDING MOTION UNDER DOCUMENT # 206 REQUESTING SANCTIONS”

by deposit in the United States Post Office, in an envelope, Postage prepaid, on November 2, 2020 addressed to the Clerk of the Court in the U.S. District Court, for the Middle District of North Carolina, 324 West Market Street, Greensboro, NC 27401.

Then pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915(d), Petitioner requests that the Clerk of the Court move to electronically file the foregoing using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following parties to be served in this action:

Anand Prakash Ramaswamy U.S. Attorney Office	Angela Hewlett Miller U.S. Attorney Office
---	---



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Civil Case # 1:17 -cv-1036 101 South Edgeworth Street, 4th Floor, Greensboro, NC 27401 Anand.Ramaswamy@usdoj.gov	Civil Case # 1: 17 -cv-1036 101 South Edgeworth Street, 4th Floor, Greensboro, NC 27401 angela.miller@usdoj.gov
JOHN M. ALSUP U.S. Attorney Office 101 South Edgeworth Street, 4th Floor, Greensboro, NC 27401 john.alsup@usdoj.gov	

This is pursuant to Petitioner's "In forma Pauperis" ("IFP") status, 28 U.S.C. §1915(d) that "The officers of the court shall issue and serve all process, and perform all duties in such cases ... "the Clerk shall serve process via CM/ECF to serve process with all parties.

<p>Date of signing:</p> <p><u>November 2, 2020</u></p>	<p>Respectfully submitted,</p> <p><u>Brian D. Hill</u> Signed</p> <p>Signed Brian D. Hill (Pro Se) 310 Forest Street, Apartment 2 Martinsville, Virginia 24112 Phone #: (276) 790-3505</p> <p>U.S.W.G.O.</p> <p>I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.) Make America Great Again</p>
--	---



Friend's justice site: JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com
JusticeForUSWGO.NL/Pardon

JusticeForUSWGO.NL/Pardon
JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com/Pardon





Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 11/2/2020 Time: 2:48:23 PM
Number of pages: 31 Session duration: 1:17:26
Attn.: Commonwealth Attorney To: Commonwealth Attorney
Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478 Message type: Fax
Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\W_Attachment (2020-11-Error Correction: Yes
File description: VA NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT(3)OCR-Signed-W_AtResolution: 200*200 dpi
Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478 Record number: 8323
Rate: 14400 bps

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,
Plaintiff,
v.
Brian David Hill,
Defendant,
Civil Action No. CR19000009-00
NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT

NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT

COMES NOW civil Writ of Habeas Corpus Petitioner Brian David Hill ("Brian", "Hill", "Petitioner") respectfully files this NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT.

The Defendant attaches the evidence of what he is filing with the U.S. District Court concerning the incident on September 21, 2018, in relevance to this exact case.

ATTACHES: "DECLARATION OF BRIAN DAVID HILL AND NEW EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF PENDING MOTION UNDER DOCUMENT # 206 REQUESTING SANCTIONS" – 24 PAGES

WHEREFORE, the undersigned Defendant files further evidence showing FRAUD UPON THE COURT as follows:

- 1. That the original charge on September 21, 2018, and the trial on December 21, 2018, prosecutor Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., prosecuted the element of guilt that Brian David Hill was medically cleared as if Hill had a clean bill of health when evidence of medical neglect and lies show otherwise. They



Exhibit 1

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP




UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:17-CV-1036
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

Exhibit in attachment to "DECLARATION OF BRIAN DAVID HILL AND NEW EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF PENDING MOTION UNDER DOCUMENT # 206 REQUESTING SANCTIONS"



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court





11/2/2020 4:54:37 PM


From: Brian David Hill

Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Page 27/32

Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

ATTN: Police Chief G. E. Cassidy
Martinsville Police Department
55 West Church St.
Martinsville, VA 24112





Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

7017 2680 0000 5750 9122

MARTINSVILLE
1123 SPRUCE ST
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112-9998
515652-0362
(800)275-8777
07/19/2019 09:12 AM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
PM 1-Day (Domestic) (MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112) (Weight: 0 Lb 13.30 Oz) (Expected Delivery Day) (Saturday 07/20/2019)	1	\$7.35	\$7.35
Return Receipt (USPS Return Receipt #) (959094023527275749741)			\$2.80
Cert Mail RstrDel (Recipient name) (G E CASSADY) (USPS Certified Mail #) (70172680000057509122)			\$8.80
Total:			\$18.95
Cash		\$20.00	
Change		(\$1.05)	

Includes up to \$50 insurance

Text your tracking number to 28777 (2USPS) to get the latest status. Standard Message and Data rates may apply. You may also visit www.usps.com USPS Tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

Save this receipt as evidence of insurance. For information on filing an insurance claim go to <https://www.usps.com/help/claims.htm>

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE www.informedelivery.com

All sales final on stamps and postage. Refunds for guaranteed services only. Thank you for your business.

HELP US SERVE YOU BETTER

TELL US ABOUT YOUR RECENT POSTAL EXPERIENCE

Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>

840-5250-0004-003-00041-12438-02

or scan this code with your mobile device:



U.S. Postal Service[®]
CERTIFIED MAIL[®] RECEIPT
Domestic Mail Only

For a 24/7/365 business day, visit our website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

Certified Mail Fee \$2.80

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)

Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$0.00

Return Receipt (electronic) \$8.80

Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$0.00

Adult Signature Required \$0.00

Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$

Postage \$7.35

Total Postage and Fees \$18.95

Sent to
Martinsville Police Department
55 West Church St.
Martinsville, VA 24112

Postmark Here
JUL 19 2019

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature Agent Addressee

B. Recipient (Printed Name) G. E. Cassady
C. Date of Delivery 8/17/2019

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes No
If YES, enter delivery address below:

3. Service Type

Priority Mail Express[®]

Registered Mail[®]

Registered Mail Restricted Delivery[®]

Certified Mail[®]

Certified Mail Restricted Delivery[®]

Collect on Delivery[®]

Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery[®]

Insured Mail[®]

Insured Mail Restricted Delivery[®] (over \$500)

Priority Mail Express[®]

Registered Mail[®]

Registered Mail Restricted Delivery[®]

Return Receipt for Merchandise[®]

Signature Confirmation[®]

Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery[®]

Domestic Return Receipt

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

1. Article Addressed to:
ATTN: Chief G. E. Cassady
Martinsville Police Department
55 West Church St.
Martinsville, VA 24112

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)
9590 9402 3527 7275 7497 41
7017 2680 0000 5750 9122

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-8053



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
PM 1-Day (Domestic) (MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112) (Weight: 0 Lb 13.30 Oz) (Expected Delivery Day) (Saturday 07/20/2019)	1	\$7.35	\$7.35
Return Receipt (USPS Return Receipt #) (9590940235277275749741)			\$2.80
Cert Mail RstrDel (Recipient name) (G E CASSADY) (USPS Certified Mail #) (70172680000057509122)			\$8.80
Total:			\$18.95
Cash			\$20.00
Change			(\$1.05)

Includes up to \$50 insurance

Text your tracking number to 28777 (2USPS) to get the latest status. Standard Message and Data rates may apply. You may also visit www.usps.com USPS Tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

Save this receipt as evidence of insurance. For information on filing an insurance claim go to <https://www.usps.com/help/claims.htm>

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE
www.informedelivery.com

All sales final on stamps and postage. Refunds for guaranteed services only. Thank you for your business.

HELP US SERVE YOU BETTER

TELL US ABOUT YOUR RECENT POSTAL EXPERIENCE

Go to:
<https://postalexperience.com/Pos>

840-5250-0004-003-00041-12438-02

or scan this code with your mobile device:



U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
Domestic Mail Only

For more information, visit our website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

276 055 0000 0992 2702

MARTINSVILLE
1123 SPRUCE ST
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112-9998
515652-0362
(800)275-8777
07/19/2019 09:12 AM

0362
13
Postmark Here
JUL 19 2019
07/19/2019

Certified Mail Fee	\$2.80
Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee add)	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (hardcopy)	\$8.80
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (electronic)	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	\$0.00
Postage	\$7.35
Total Postage and Fees	\$18.95

ATTN: Chief G. E. Cassady
Martinsville Police Department
55 West Church St.
Martinsville, VA 24112

United States Postal Service

9590 9402 3527 7275 7497 41

USPS TRACKING® PRO

Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4® in this box*

USMGO
Brian D. Hill
310 Forest Street, Apt. 1
Martinsville, VA 24112

Brian D. Hill
Signed

First-Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
USPS
Permit No. G-10



Exhibit 2

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:17-CV-1036
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

Exhibit in attachment to "DECLARATION OF BRIAN DAVID HILL AND NEW
EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF PENDING MOTION UNDER DOCUMENT # 206
REQUESTING SANCTIONS"



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Transmitted with Venta Fax & Voice software - http://www.ventafax.com



8/7/2019 2:16:06 PM From: Brian David Hill

Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Page 1/1

Attn.: Any authorized Agent to sign for Mail

To: Martinsville Police Department

MARTINSVILLE
1123 SPRUCE ST
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112-9998
515652-0362
(800)275-8777
07/19/2019 09:12 AM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
PM 1-Day (Domestic) (MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112) (Weight: 0 Lb 13.30 Oz) (Expected Delivery Day) (Saturday 07/20/2019)	1	\$7.35	\$7.35
Return Receipt (USPS Return Receipt #) (9590940295277275749741)			\$2.80
Cert Mail RstrDel (Recipient name) (G E CASSADY) (USPS Certified Mail #) (7017268000057509122)			\$8.80
Total:			\$18.95

Cash \$20.00
Change (\$1.05)

Includes up to \$50 insurance

Text your tracking number to 28777 (2USPS) to get the latest status. Standard Message and Data rates may apply. You may also visit www.usps.com USPS Tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

Save this receipt as evidence of insurance. For information on filing an insurance claim go to https://www.usps.com/help/claims.htm

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
www.informedelivery.com


All sales final on stamps and postage. Refunds for guaranteed services only. Thank you for your business.

HELP US SERVE YOU BETTER
TELL US ABOUT YOUR RECENT
POSTAL EXPERIENCE

Go to:
https://postal.experience.com/Pos

840-5250-0004-003-00041-12438-02

or scan this code with
your mobile device:



U.S. Postal Service
CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT
Domestic Mail Only

For more information, visit our website at www.usps.com

7017 2680 0000 5750 9122

OFFICIAL USE

Certified Mail Fee \$2.80

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)

Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$2.80

Return Receipt (electronic) \$8.80

Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$0.00

Adult Signature Required \$0.00

Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$0.00

Postage \$7.35

Total Postage and Fees \$18.95

0362 13
Postmark Here
JUL 19 2019
07/19/2019

Send To
Street and Apt
City, State, ZIP
PS Form 3800

ATTN: Chief G. E. Cassidy
Martinsville Police Department
55 West Church St.
Martinsville, VA 24112

Very Important Evidence.
Please sign for it Chief G. E. Cassidy.

I am sorry that it is restricted delivery but I wanted to make sure that the evidence was picked up by somebody in your Department.

Medical records/reports, statement from expert witness Pete Compton a chimney expert. Evidence of threatening greeting card that was received by a citizen of Martinsville.

A lot of important evidence that needs to be picked up and reviewed by the Police Chief and given to Officer R. D. Jones. Please sign for it. Thank you for your time and attention to this matter. Thank you for your service. God Bless. USPS rather it be picked up than redelivered. - Brian

For Fax: 276.403.5306

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT
DATE: 11/04/2020 @09:07:20

ASHBY PRITCHETT -CLERK
TESTERK/DEPUTY CLERK



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Civil Action No. CR19000009-00
)	
v.)	
)	
Brian David Hill,)	NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE
)	COURT
Defendant,)	

NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT

COMES NOW civil Writ of Habeas Corpus Petitioner Brian David Hill (“Brian”, “Hill”, “Petitioner”) respectfully files this NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT.

The Defendant attaches the evidence of what he is filing with the U.S. District Court concerning the incident on September 21, 2018, in relevance to this exact case.

ATTACHES: “DECLARATION OF BRIAN DAVID HILL AND NEW EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF PENDING MOTION UNDER DOCUMENT # 206 REQUESTING SANCTIONS” – 24 PAGES

WHEREFORE, the undersigned Defendant files further evidence showing **FRAUD UPON THE COURT** as follows:

1. That the original charge on September 21, 2018, and the trial on December 21, 2018, prosecutor Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., prosecuted the element of guilt that Brian David Hill was medically cleared as if Hill had a clean bill of health when evidence of medical neglect and lies show otherwise. They



knowingly discharged a patient when showing symptoms of tachycardia on record for about approximately a full hour and never checked his blood sugar not knowing what his diabetic blood glucose was as there is no evidence in the Sovah Hospital Medical record on September 21, 2018, that Brian's blood sugar was ever checked before Brian David Hill was arrested. This is evidence of MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE, INCOMPETENCE, MAYBE EVEN CORRUPTION, who knows;

2. That Martinsville Police Department failed and refused to open up an envelope full of evidence of carbon monoxide gas poisoning and threatening greeting card and turned it over to the Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., on August 7, 2019 who also refused to open up the envelope and investigate its contents, turned it over to court appointed lawyer Matthew Clark who never informed Brian David Hill that he had taken possession of the envelope that was signed for by Martinsville Police Chief G. E. Cassady on August 7, 2019;
3. That it is Brian David Hill's right as a citizen of Martinsville to report evidence of a crime or report evidence to an investigator pursuant to a crime investigation, that by refusing to open the envelope when they didn't exactly knew what was in it is dereliction of duty and failure to fulfill the duties of the Office of Martinsville Police Department to investigate the evidence, and any and all evidence mailed to them, phone called to them, or even emailed to them;
4. That Martinsville Police Department and Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew Hall prosecuted a case where the elements of guilt, elements of the charge were proven wrong beyond a reasonable doubt. Elements such as Brian David Hill being medically cleared when there was evidence of tachycardia on September 21, 2018, medical record. Two times did it show



results for that similar to Brian's fall and blood pouring out of a part of his head on November 19, 2017. Brian also exhibited tachycardia with sinus tachycardia. On September 21, 2018, Brian reportedly fell into a creek from a steep slope which again exhibits a fall risk. In the creek was where Brian was arrested. Brian showed signs of tachycardia but the Hospital staff did nothing about it. The Hospital staff did not reportedly check his diabetic blood glucose. Brian should not have been medically cleared as it is quite clear that Sovah Hospital of Martinsville, Virginia, had clearly demonstrated incompetence, medical neglect, and did not investigate the known issues of tachycardia and Brian's diabetic glucose prior to discharging him to Police/Jail. They probably knew that Jails have the worst medical care and Jails across the United States have poor medical care. The Hospital refused to complete the laboratory tests which would have proven the levels of Carbon Monoxide Poisoning and likely the Commonwealth Attorney would never had charged him if that evidence had surfaced but instead, they are allowing evidence to be destroyed that clearly would have been in Brian Hill's favor. Usually when the prosecutor of a case lets evidence be repeatedly destroyed, that is spoliation of evidence and is usually a sign of frauds upon the court as it shows that the case was weak from the very beginning. Glen Andrew Hall, Esq. allowed evidence to be destroyed and Matthew Clark allowed evidence to be destroyed, and Scott Albrecht allowed evidence to be destroyed. Then Matthew Clark tells Brian and his family in 2019 that it doesn't matter, that they don't have to do laboratory tests. That is a bunch of garbage and they know it. It is the lawyer's job to prevent spoliation of any and all evidence favorable to the defense, they failed in that regard. A lot of evidence was destroyed and Glen Andrew Hall and Matthew Clark and Lauren McGarry and Scott Albrecht all let it happen



on their watch. They allowed the police body-camera footage to conveniently be destroyed, they allowed the blood vial or vials to be destroyed of blood that was drawn on September 21, 2018, that would have proven the levels of Carbon Monoxide poisoning after Brian was apprehended by Martinsville Police Department. They allowed any important to be destroyed, it is all a fraud upon the court.

Case law in support of this NOTICE:

Where falsification occurs in the midst of ongoing judicial proceedings, and is specifically directed at affecting those proceedings, it often is termed “fraud on the court.” A court, as an exercise of this inherent authority, may sanction fraud on the court through dismissal (if the falsifier is the plaintiff) or default (if the falsifier is the defendant).

Some examples are: *Breezevale Ltd. v. Dickinson*, 879 A.2d 957, 964 (D.C. 2005) (affirming sanction of dismissal where top executives of plaintiff company engaged in scheme to forge documents and subsequently denied the forgery in pleadings and sworn testimony); *Synanon Found., Inc. v. Bernstein*, 503 A.2d 1254, 1263 (D.C. 1986) (affirming sanction of dismissal where plaintiff, inter alia, destroyed audiotapes and made false statements to the court “that no responsive documents could be found” in order “to deceive the court, and to improperly influence the court in its decision on the defendants’ motions to compel, with the ultimate aim of preventing the judicial process from operating in an impartial fashion”); *Cox v. Burke*, 706 So. 2d 43 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1998) (affirming sanction of dismissal where plaintiff gave false answers to interrogatories and deceptive deposition testimony); *Pope v. Fed. Express Corp.*, 974 F.2d 982, 984 (8th Cir. 1992) (affirming sanction of dismissal for plaintiff’s forgery of, and reliance on, a single document); *Aoude v. Mobil Oil Corp.*, 892 F.2d 1115 (1st Cir.



1989) (affirming dismissal where plaintiff concocted a single document); *Tramel v. Bass*, 672 So. 2d 78, 82 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1996) (affirming default judgment against defendant who excised damaging six-second portion of videotape before producing it during discovery). See 501 U.S. at 56–57; see also *Synanon Found., Inc. v. Bernstein*, 517 A.2d 28, 43 (D.C. 1986) (once a party embarks on a “pattern of fraud,” and “[r]egardless of the relevance of these [fraudulent] materials to the substantive legal issue in the case,” this is enough to “completely taint [the party’s] entire litigation strategy from the date on which the abuse actually began”).

It has always been understood—the inference, indeed, is one of the simplest in human experience—that a party’s falsehood or other fraud in the preparation and presentation of his cause, his fabrication or suppression of evidence by bribery or spoliation, and all similar conduct is receivable against him as an indication of his consciousness that his case is a weak or unfounded one; and from that consciousness may be inferred the fact itself of the cause’s lack of truth and merit. The inference thus does not necessarily apply to any specific fact in the cause, but operates, indefinitely though strongly, against the whole mass of alleged facts constituting his cause.

The arguments cited from Attorney report titled "Responding to Falsification of Evidence" by Jonathan K. Tycko. Jonathan K. Tycko is a partner with Tycko Zavareei & Spiva LLP in Washington, D.C. He can be reached at (202) 973-0900 or by email at jtycko@tzslaw.com.

Hill respectfully files this NOTICE with this honorable Court, this the 2nd day of November, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

*Ally of Qanon
We Are Change*

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 1

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com/Pardon

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

This pleading had been transmitted by facsimile to the Office of the Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Clerk's office at the Martinsville Circuit Court on November 2, 2020, at the address of 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112 and at Fax: (276) 403-5232.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this the 2nd day of November, 2020, a true copy of the foregoing NOTICE/Pleading was transmitted by facsimile to Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., at the office of the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112, counsel for Plaintiff of the Commonwealth of Virginia, Fax: 276-403-5478.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 1



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

**ATTACHMENT: “DECLARATION OF BRIAN DAVID HILL AND NEW
EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF PENDING MOTION UNDER DOCUMENT #
206 REQUESTING SANCTIONS” – 24 PAGES**



In the United States District Court For the Middle District of North Carolina

Brian David Hill, Petitioner/Defendant v. United States of America, Respondent/Plaintiff Criminal Action No. 1:13-CR-435-1 Civil Action No. 1:17-CV-1036

DECLARATION OF BRIAN DAVID HILL AND NEW EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF PENDING MOTION UNDER DOCUMENT # 206 REQUESTING SANCTIONS

I, Brian David Hill, declare pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 1746 and subject to the penalties of perjury, that the following is true and correct:

Petitioner Brian David Hill ("Brian D. Hill", "Petitioner) would like to submit a Declaration and its attached evidence in support of his #206 Motion for Sanctions (Filed: October 15, 2019) that was still pending before this Court and was uncontested since no response was ever filed to that motion before this Court. That motion still pending before this Court is MOTION entitled "Petitioner's Second Motion for Sanctions and to Vacate Judgment that was in Plaintiff's/Respondent's Favor; Motion and Brief/Memorandum of Law in support of Requesting the Honorable Court in this case Vacate Fraudulent begotten Judgment or Judgments" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. Response to Motion due by 11/5/2019. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit 2, # 3 Supplement 1, # 4 Supplement 2, # 5 Supplement 3, # 6 Supplement 4, # 7 Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 10/16/2019).

No response was ever entered by the Government in regards to pending motion Document #222, MOTION entitled "Petitioner's third Motion for Sanctions, Motion for Default Judgment in 2255 case and to Vacate Judgment that was in



Plaintiff/Respondent's favor" filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit 2, # 3 Exhibit 3, # 4 Exhibit 4, # 5 Exhibit 5, # 6 Exhibit 6, # 7 Exhibit 7, # 8 Exhibit 8, # 9 Exhibit 9, # 10 Exhibit 10, # 11 Supplement 1, # 12 Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 11/21/2019).

Petitioner hereby submits new evidence that was not previously filed in this Court before and would be considered new evidence which counters the “Judgment and Revocation” (Doc. #200, Filed: October 7, 2019) proving even further that the judgment revoking the Supervised Release of Petitioner Brian David Hill is even further based upon fraud upon the court. Fraud upon the court as there was no intent to commit indecent exposure, no intent to commit any obscenity, or both. The evidence further proving that the Martinsville Police Department refused and/or failed to conduct a reasonable thorough investigation before deciding to charge Brian David Hill on September 21, 2018, with indecent exposure under Virginia Code § 18.2-387 in the Martinsville General District Court. The additional evidence proves even further that “evidence fails to show that Appellant” aka Brian David Hill “acted intentionally to make an obscene display or exposure of his person” as Attorney Edward Ryan Kennedy had argued before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit. See his arguments in appellant brief document: USCA4 Appeal case no.: 19-4758, Doc: 21, Filed: 12/19/2019.

The evidence attached thereto under **Exhibit 1** shows photograph exhibits of what was filed in the Petition/Motion for Writ of Error Coram Nobis/Vobis”, case no. CL20000089-00 that was filed this year on March 16, 2020 in the Circuit Court of Martinsville. Even though it was denied later on April 10, 2020 without an opinion from the Hon. Giles Carter Greer, it shows photographs of an envelope that was mailed to the Police Chief G. E. Cassady from the City of Martinsville Police Department. That envelope was signed for by G. E. Cassady. A fax was sent to Martinsville Police Department on how important signing for the envelope was and that he needed to pick it up due to it having important evidence that had needed to be investigated. See **Exhibit 2** for the photocopy of that fax letter that Martinsville Police Department had received before Chief G. E. Cassady had personally signed for that envelope. Martinsville Police never investigated any of the evidence in the envelope, has the evidence will forensically show that the envelope was never even opened up. The envelope contained the original letter, and a copy if that letter was filed with this Court on July 22, 2019, as Document #181, “DECLARATION entitled "Evidence Declaration of Brian David Hill Regarding Carbon Monoxide and Letgter to Martinsville Police Chief in Opposition to



Government's/Respondent's Documents # 156 , # 157 , # 158 , # 159 , and # 160 " filed by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 0, # 2 Exhibit 1, # 3 Exhibit 2, # 4 Exhibit 3, # 5 Exhibit 4, # 6 Exhibit 5, # 7 Exhibit 6, # 8 Exhibit 7, # 9 Exhibit 8, # 10 Exhibit 9, # 11 Exhibit 10, # 12 Envelope – Front and Back) (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 07/22/2019)". That letter was mailed out to Martinsville Police Department before the Doc. #186 revocation hearing on September 12, 2019.

Brian David Hill, the Petitioner, has this envelope that was signed for by the Police Chief G. E. Cassady. Petitioner states under oath or affirmation why this is possible. Petitioner will explain how he has the envelope, the very envelope that was mailed to Martinsville Police Department with evidence for them to investigate regarding the indecent exposure incident and charge on September 21, 2018. The truth is there was no investigation into any of that evidence, the envelope was never even opened, Petitioner's court appointed lawyer Matthew Clark never told him about the envelope being in his possession, as Petitioner discovered the sealed envelope to the Police Chief of Martinsville in case files that Brian had requested from Matthew Clark's office when Brian had decided to timely appeal his conviction of indecent exposure due to Matthew Clark begging Brian and his family to withdraw the appeal and accept the decision of guilty in the General District Court. However, Brian didn't know that his lawyer had lied to him and his family or deceived him by failing or refusing to notify Brian of the envelope that Brian intended to mail to Martinsville Police Department therefore waiving attorney/client privilege. It is Brian's constitutional and legal rights, as a citizen of Martinsville, Virginia, to report evidence of a crime or evidence of an incident to his local Police Department when new evidence surfaces. It is the duty of Martinsville Police to investigate new evidence mailed to them. It is a dereliction of duty at best, corruption at worst.

I also would like to present a filing and it's attached evidence from a federal lawsuit from the Western District of Virginia to this Court as I do not want to refile the entire Writ of Error Coram Vobis/Nobis document and evidence as it would be redundant. So, I would like to introduce Document #2-2, case of Brian David Hill v. Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., et al., case no. 4:20-cv-00017, Western District of Virginia.

DECLARATION:



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

I Brian David Hill had typed up a letter and attached exhibits to that letter that was to be directed to the Police Chief of Martinsville Police Department, letter dated Thursday, July 18, 2019, and I had requested that Officer Robert Jones, aka the one who initially investigated the incident and charged Brian David Hill with indecent exposure on September 21, 2018, be the one to also receive a copy (by "CC:") of my letter and attached exhibits with the intent of wanting an investigation into this. I had apologized to the police for cussing them out on September 21, 2018, and explained to them that I had no knowledge of carbon monoxide gas that was flowing into my Apartment and once I had the evidence showing that multiple symptoms were documented showing evidence of potential carbon monoxide poisoning, that I thought it should be made available to the criminal investigator who had arrested me for indecent exposure as I was charged under Virginia Code § 18.2-387. I have the return receipt which proves that the envelope was signed for by Police Chief G. E. Cassidy on August 7, 2019.

After I had faxed the Martinsville Police Department (See Exhibit 2) asking for the Chief of Police to sign for the envelope, I got a return receipt back proving that he had indeed signed for the envelope back in 2019. That was before the Final Supervised Release Violation hearing that had been scheduled for September 12, 2019. I had assumed that the envelope had been read and that there was some sort of an investigation into my claims of carbon monoxide, and that chimney expert Pete Compton would be questioned by Martinsville Police to verify my claims to the Police Department in that letter giving a good reasonable explanation why I was out butt naked on the Dick and Willie hiking trail at nighttime on September 21, 2019. I couldn't give that explanation on the day that I was charged because I did not know that I had been subject to carbon monoxide poisoning or gas until my family had notified me while I was being mentally evaluated by Dr. Dawn Graney of the Federal Correctional Institution 1 ("FCI-1") in Butner, North Carolina.

Later I found out that my assumptions were way off when I discovered that the envelope that I had mailed to the Police Chief had never even been opened and I will explain to this court herein how I indeed know this and how I can prove it.

After the hearing on September 12, 2019 before the Hon. Thomas David Schroeder in the Winston-Salem U.S. District Court, my ineffective counsel Matthew Clark in my Virginia criminal case (for the indecent exposure) had kept pressuring me to withdraw my appeal and trial de novo in the Circuit Court and accept the decision in the lower court which that influence came directly after I was revoked of my



Supervised Release on September 12, 2019 at the hearing. My Attorney Matthew Clark had been informed of the decision in the federal court regarding the revocation and then he started pressuring the pestering me and my family to have me withdraw my appeal and give up on me fighting for acquittal in my state criminal case. That was indeed caused and influenced by that decision on September 12, 2019. If my court appointed lawyer Matthew Clark had known that proving my technical innocence to my charge of indecent exposure would play a role in me possibly not being revoked of my Supervised Release sentence, Matthew Clark may not have advised me to withdraw my appeal in state court. So that decision may have completely influenced my Attorney in such a negative and ineffective way in my opinion.

Anyways, I had faxed Matthew Clark's office a letter asking for my case files as I was pursuing my Writ of Habeas Corpus direct collateral attack on my wrongful conviction of indecent exposure that was caused and influenced by me being revoked of my Supervised Release on September 12, 2019. I still had not known of the envelope being in Matthew Clark's possession until I had picked up the case files at Matthew Clark's office. His assistant that works at his Martinsville law office, gave me the case files. I took them back home at 310 Forest Street, Apartment 2, Martinsville, VA 24112 to examine the contents of the case files. I saw the envelope and was baffled why the envelope that I had originally mailed to the Police Chief G. E. Cassady was in my Attorney's case files that were given to me.

I noticed some writing on it "Turned over to CA 8/7/2019 1455 hrs", "N.L 7-22-19 won't be back till 8-1-19" and from what I could tell it appeared to me that my envelope was turned over to the Commonwealth Attorney on August 7, 2019 and then the Commonwealth Attorney turned over the envelope to my court appointed Attorney Matthew Clark without ever opening its contents and he had never informed me verbally that he had received my envelope that was mailed and directed to Martinsville Police Department to investigate the evidence contents inside. Matthew Clark never informed me in writing that he had received my envelope that was mailed and directed to Martinsville Police Department to investigate the evidence contents inside. Even my own lawyer Matthew Clark never opened up the envelope to see what I had attempted to mail to Martinsville Police Chief and why I would mail it without going through my lawyer. He never acknowledged that I had waived attorney/client privilege when mailing evidence to Martinsville Police Department, and that I have a right to report a crime or any



evidence relating to a possible crime or investigation to my local Police Department as a citizen of that locality. Martinsville Police Department had failed me and by not conducting a thorough investigation they cannot just make assumptions regarding intent of indecent exposure or even obscenity when they failed and/or refused to investigate any evidence I had sent them by mail or had wanted to send them but was blocked from doing such.

My lawyer Matthew Clark never investigated the contents inside that envelope, Martinsville Police Officer Robert Jones never investigated the contents inside that envelope prior to the Revocation Hearing on September 12, 2019, at the Winston-Salem North Carolina federal courthouse, the Martinsville Police of Chief never investigated the contents inside that envelope, and even the Commonwealth Attorney who had prosecuted the charge of indecent exposure never investigated the contents inside that envelope. That envelope had clear and convincing factual evidence that was more provable than my claim in 2018 as to a man wearing a hoodie directing me to take my clothes off and take pictures of myself. I had a document my mother had printed for me regarding a National Institutes of Health ("NIH") medical study regarding acute carbon monoxide poisoning and the symptoms. The symptoms had I believed matched my weird and bazaar behavior on September 21, 2018. A month after I was arrested, I was diagnosed with "psychosis" which is a symptom of carbon monoxide poisoning according to the NIH article. Later on, I found evidence that my resting blood pulse was over 100 while I was at the Hospital on September 21, 2018, the day that I was arrested and had been arrested after being discharged from the Hospital. When a resting blood pulse is recorded as to being over 100, it is medically known as Sinus Tachycardia. That is also a symptom known to have been exhibited by those exposed to carbon monoxide gas poisoning. The Sovah Hospital medical record I had requested of the date of September 21, 2018, the medical record of myself of being examined at the Hospital before I was arrested by Martinsville Police, showed two times an abnormal resting blood pulse. One number from the record showed around 4:09AM was "Pulse 119" and around 5:01AM was "Pulse 106" (Doc. #181-11, Page 6 of 8). That was filed under Document #181-11, dated July 22, 2019, a few months before the final revocation hearing. That proves to this Federal Court in this case that I, Brian David Hill, was wrongfully discharged from the Hospital into Martinsville Police custody and then to Martinsville City Jail regarding my charge of indecent exposure. I should not have been medically cleared. They released me when records show that I had multiple abnormally high resting blood pulse, and



yet they drew blood from my arm which is evident when they were prepared to conduct laboratory testing but then cancelled them and likely threw my blood away. They put in the medical record "Corrections: (The following items were deleted from the chart)". So, they drew my blood which would have had the biological evidence and the levels which could have proven the levels of Carbon Monoxide ("CO") poisoning in my blood but they threw it away. I had told my first state court appointed lawyer Scott Albrecht in my criminal case that I felt I was drugged and blacked out while I was on the Dick and Willie hiking trail when I was naked. I asked for the drug test results and asked for a drug test but my court appointed lawyer Scott Albrecht never did any such thing and ignored my requests even though that would have proven me actually innocent of indecent exposure as the carbon monoxide would show an abnormality of my health during the indecent exposure incident. More than likely that evidence would have been brought up at the General District Court and may have led to a not-guilty verdict. If the Circuit Court had heard of this evidence at the jury trial that had been planned for December 2, 2019, the Jury would have likely voted not-guilty on the factual basis that there was no intent to be indecent and that the carbon monoxide was a lawful reason or excuse to have conducted the weird and bazaar behavior on September 21, 2018, that I had not exhibited previously and had not exhibited such behavior after that incident as the source of what had caused the carbon monoxide to reportedly enter by Apartment in 2018 was reportedly removed by Pete Compton the chimney expert that I wanted to testify at the Final Revocation Hearing on September 12, 2019, but Attorney Renorda Pryor never asked him to testify as an expert witness or as a witness at all.

With all of this cumulative evidence I had on carbon monoxide, it is clear that I was suffering under its effects when I was naked on the Dick and Willie hiking trail on September 21, 2018, and would explain my abnormal, bazaar and weird behavior. It is clear to the best of my knowledge when asking my family to research about carbon monoxide symptoms and effects that carbon monoxide affects the brain and can cause anywhere from hallucinations to psychosis to not making any sense when interviewed or interrogated.

I also had filed four affidavits which is one from myself (Document #216-2), one from Stella Forinash (Document #216-3), one from Kenneth Forinash (Document #216-4), and one from Roberta Hill (Document #216-1). Whether or not the Court would agree as to the omissions in the official transcript of the proceeding dated September 12, 2019, we all know that there were things that the U.S. Attorney's



witness Officer Robert Jones (as listed under Document #186 on Exhibit and Witness List) did not find in my backpack when I was arrested on September 21, 2018. I did not have my glucose tablets with me that night. I did not have my insulin pens with me that night. I did not have my diabetic glucose monitor with me that night. Also, the trial Exhibits and Witnesses listed under Document #186 have a statement that is incorrect and there is no evidence ever proving a certain entry. It said “(Sealed) Thumbnail Photos from Defendant’s Phone”. There was no phone that was seized by Martinsville Police Department but was a camera according to the search warrant affidavit I had seen in my state case. So that was incorrect and I hope that gets corrected on the record. Yeah, I did not have any of my necessary diabetic equipment. I did not have any cell phone with me on the night that I was out on the Dick and Willie trail on September 21, 2018, otherwise the Police could have found things like for example: emergency contacts. They did not find any evidence of me even being diabetic. Officer Robert Jones did not find things in my backpack that I normally would have in my backpack when I go hiking with my mother and caretaker Roberta Hill.

My mother and caretaker Roberta Hill are also paid to be my caretaker under Virginia Medicaid program Consumer Direct, formerly known as Public Partnerships. She is paid for a certain number of hours to help manage my diabetes and supervise me when I manage my diabetes. What I mean by that is there are times when I can do my own insulin shots and drink glucose beverages to help manage my diabetes. There are times when I cannot manage my own type one brittle diabetes and sometimes, I can be incapacitated due to my low blood sugar. During those times my caretaker does whatever she can to give me glucose whether it be with honey or marshmallows or icing. That is to bring my low blood sugar back up either to stop my diabetic seizure to get me back to normal or to prevent a diabetic seizure from coming. So, I cannot fully take care of myself. That is why I have a Medicaid paid for caretaker.

I did remember something from the day of the hearing on September 12, 2019, about Attorney Renorda Pryor asking Officer Robert Jones if he knew I was diabetic and he did not know I was diabetic, as if the Officer was shocked and did not know as he was never told but rather I told him that I had Autism but forgot to tell him that I had type 1 brittle diabetes which is unlike me. The fact I didn’t even mention an important medical health problem before and during my arrest tells me that I wasn’t thinking properly and didn’t understand why I was not thinking properly that night. I had sinus tachycardia at the Hospital but was discharged



without the Hospital ever finding out why, pushing to order laboratory results but then cancelling them. The Hospital never should have medically cleared me, it was inappropriate and they should have held me under observation and should have held me until they had figured out why I had sinus tachycardia on two different readings of my resting blood pulse. The Officer I forgot to have told him that I had type 1 brittle diabetes. I had no cell phone, no diabetic insulin, no glucose tablets, no diabetic blood sugar reader, and no emergency contact information. I was clearly not thinking straight. Out in the middle of the night, butt naked on a deserted hiking trail, I was spotted at one point of the hiking trail where a road with cars can see the hiking path, all that person saw was a naked man running and not doing anything sexual and probably out of concern for that person's safety had called 911. I written letters to the Court in 2018 saying things that made no sense and saying to people that I thought I was drugged and blacked out while I was on the trail. All of this I am willing to admit under oath or affirmation to the Court. I clearly had no men's rea when I was charged on September 21, 2018. It doesn't make any logical sense to have no diabetic supplies in my backpack when I was arrested by Officer Robert Jones. He didn't know when he arrested me that I was a type one brittle diabetic because I only remember telling him about me having Autism Spectrum Disorder. The Hospital clearly did not educate that officer about my medical problems. That Hospital clearly erroneously discharged me as I never should have been medically cleared according to the hospital record dated September 21, 2018. Two high resting blood pulse readings which is considered tachycardia which is a sign of possibly more serious medical health issue and they released me to be thrown in jail without bond/bail then they even knew why and how I would have sinus tachycardia. The claim by the U.S. Probation Office or U.S. Attorney Office or Prosecutor in the state case that claimed I was medically cleared is and should be without merit. I was prematurely discharged but it is too late for me to file a lawsuit under the civil statute of limitations in the Commonwealth of Virginia but I wanted to sue that Hospital for medical neglect. Instead I will show evidence to this Court that there is no intent to indecently expose and there is no intent to being obscene. A Hospital that did not investigate the tachycardia readings and cancelled the laboratory tests that would have proven the levels of carbon monoxide which could have led to no criminal charge by the Commonwealth of Virginia, my Probation Officer would have been informed of the levels of carbon monoxide and I never would have had to worry about facing a revocation over what had happened. The Police Department of Martinsville had failed me, the Hospital had failed me. I didn't fail myself, I wasn't thinking clearly,



like I was half thinking. I never should have been held criminally culpable to the extent pushed by the U.S. Probation Officer and by the U.S. Attorney Office. That was wrong and clearly is an erroneous revocation. If this Court cannot undo its miscarriage of justice done on September 12, 2018, then I will ask the President of the United States Donald John Trump for a full unconditional pardon over my probation violation in regards to what had happened on September 21, 2018 (Doc. #156, #157, #158). The President has the absolute right to grant pardons and reprieves. If the President feels that the U.S. District Court did wrong in one of its judgments in a criminal case and created a permanent miscarriage of justice that cannot be undone and cannot be remedied, then I believe the President has the right to pardon me of that probation violation and relieve me of the consequences of what had happened since it is not my fault. Carbon monoxide is not my fault and I cannot help how it had affected me. It affected me to the extent where I was out on a hiking trail at night, butt naked, without my necessary medical supplies on September 21, 2018. If this Court cannot have any sympathy to the evidence and facts I have demonstrated before this Court then maybe the President of the United States will correct this fraud, the fraudulent begotten judgment under Document #200. The President of the United States, I will request that he intervene in his case even if I have to ask each and every member of the Donald Trump family to forward my request personally to the President himself to grant me a pardon or reprieve for this wrongful supervised release violation and revocation.

Also, it should be noted for this Court that on September 21, 2018, the Sovah Hospital of Martinsville who acted as though I was medically cleared which was also claimed by the U.S. Probation Office for my indecent exposure charge if I had recalled that Arrest Warrant and charge Declaration correctly, that they never checked my diabetic blood sugar on September 21, 2018, as far as the record was concerned. There is no evidence on the September 21, 2018, that my diabetic glucose was checked before I was discharged to Police/Jail. Diabetes does play a major role of my behavior in my life. Even the U.S. Marshals know that diabetes can affect behavior as one of them had told me in 2015. Part of the evidence submitted to the Martinsville Police Department that was attached to the letter that they never read because they had never opened up the original envelope that I have in my possession after that Police Chief months ago had signed for that envelope and gave it up, showed that Sovah Hospital saw multiple times that I had tachycardia but never further investigated and never checked my diabetic blood sugar.



See Document #181-3, Filed 07/22/2019, Page 5 of 5. That medical record said "POC GLU" which was "429" at around "1006" and "435" around "0943". Both were extremely high blood glucose readings. That was around November 19, 2017. My diabetic blood sugar reading was checked around that admission to that same Hospital.

Then according to Document #181-11, Filed 07/22/2019, Page 5 of 5. That medical record dated September 21, 2018, said "04:48 28-year-old male with diabetes and autism presents for evaluation..."

It said on Page 8 of 8, of that federal court case record, "04:52 09/21 04:52 09/21/2018 04:52 Discharged to Jail/Police. Impression: Abrasion, right knee; Abrasion of unspecified front wall of thorax. Condition is Stable." That contradicts the tachycardia readings on Page 6 of 8 which again is Document #181-11. When tachycardia is present which is an abnormally high resting blood pulse and it had lasted for about proximately almost an hour on record, to say my condition is stable is not true. They failed and because of that I can never ever get the levels of carbon monoxide poisoning and thus ineffective counsel and medical neglect by the Hospital and lying that my health was cleared or just simply being incompetent has violated my Constitutional and/or legal rights. I never should have been revoked of my supervised release. This is an extreme and unusual circumstance.

Here are some quoted information from the medical records submitted on federal court record

Document #181-11, Filed 07/22/2019, Page 6 of 8, "Vital Signs":

It said "04:09 BP 124 / 86; Pulse 119; Resp 19; Temp 98; Pulse ox 98% ; Weight 99.79 jt kg; Height 6 ft. 0 in. (182.88 cm); Pain 0/10;"

It said "05:01 BP 119 / 80; Pulse 106; Resp 16; Temp 98.2; Pulse Ox 99% ; Pain 0/10; jt"

From what it said from around 4AM to 5AM I still had exhibited a resting blood pulse of over 100, a long period of time to have tachycardia and the Hospital never got the levels and never investigated as to even why, that is serious medical neglect, irresponsible behavior for the medical profession, and/or is extreme incompetence. Document #181-3, Page 4 of 5: shows I had sinus tachycardia due to my resting blood pulse being over 100. That was on Sunday, November 19, 2017. At that date period, I had a fall and blood was coming out of a part of my head because of that fall. Ambulance was called but because my OCD was really



bad at about that time, I insisted that I head to the Hospital voluntarily. Then it took me about an hour or more doing my OCD routine. Not knowing that the more hot water I had used up in my routine, that even more carbon monoxide was coming into my Apartment and my mother's Apartment. That would explain to the Hospital why I had Sinus Tachycardia. Same as me having Tachycardia at around 4AM and 5AM around September 21, 2018, but the Hospital was lazy enough and stupid enough to not complete the laboratory tests after drawing my blood and thus they never got the levels of carbon monoxide which would have been a defense to my charge of indecent exposure. Then when I got to the Hospital hours after the ambulance came and left after I insisted that I do my OCD routine before going to the Hospital, they found evidence that I had sinus tachycardia. The Hospital was ignorant, incompetent, stupid, and ruined my life on September 21, 2018, when they let me go to jail with evidence in my blood which would have exonerated me and acquitted me.

If this U.S. District Court cannot acquit me even after this affidavit as to my carbon monoxide poisoning and the Police refusing to look at evidence in a manilla envelope mailing, then I have no choice but to peaceably go to every family member of President Donald J. Trump to petition them and beg them to contact the President directly and have me granted an unconditional full pardon for my supervised release violation for the incident on September 21, 2018. The President has the power to pardon me for any federal charges. As for the state, it will be up to the Governor to pardon me or the State Court to acquit me. However, the President does have the right to grant pardons and reprieves for any federal punishments that I receive, therefore the President has the absolute right to pardon me for my probation violation and I will push for a pardon if the Court cannot fix this miscarriage of justice.

EXHIBITS

I also attach hereto as **Exhibit 1**, a true and correct copy of the photographs that was submitted to the Circuit Court as part of the Motion/Petition for Writ of Error Coram Vobis/Nobis. As well as two photocopy scans of the return receipt showing that it was signed for by Police Chief G. E. Cassady, and was under restricted delivery. It shows the envelope to Police Chief G. E. Cassady that was signed for by Police Chief G. E. Cassady on August 7, 2019, but was turned over to the Commonwealth Attorney and then to my court appointed lawyer who did nothing with it while badgering me and my family to accept the guilty verdict of the



General District Court. He ignored valuable evidence and refused to ask Martinsville Police to investigate any of it. Both of my state court appointed lawyers Matthew Clark and Scott Albrecht did nothing to ask for the Police Body camera footage which also could have been useful to evaluate my eyes to determine if I was under the influence of any drugs or substances. Both lawyers were ineffective and damaged by defense in the state case to such extent where proving my factual innocence may or may not be impossible. They refused to investigate my claim that I was drugged. I had agreed to a drug test that was never conducted by Martinsville Police and never requested by any of my court appointed lawyers in my Virginia state case. They never asked for the body-camera footage and then Matthew Clark told me in 2019 that the footage may likely be destroyed now because of the retention period. I sent multiple letters, one was certified mail by my family where I kept asking for the body-camera footage and my court appointed lawyers in my state case knew I wanted the body-camera footage and they never asked for it as evidence and they allowed it to be destroyed. That is what led to me falsely accepting the decision of guilty in the Martinsville General District Court. My lawyers allowed potential evidence favorable to me as a defendant to be destroyed by the Police Department. They allowed the Martinsville Sovah Hospital to destroy evidence such as drawing blood from my veins. They violated my legal rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act, Title II. They violated my rights as a criminal defendant. My court appointed lawyers in my state case didn't want to do anything that could have prevented my revocation of supervised release in my Federal case by allowing favorable evidence to be destroyed and allowing any facts that could have helped me also be destroyed. My ineffective counsel ruined my life and allowed the fraud of indecent exposure to become a permanent criminal record against me and they won't even apologize for what they had done to me. **Exhibit 1** contains 5 pages of evidence which should be filed in COLOR by the deputy Clerk.

I also attach hereto as **Exhibit 2**, a true and correct copy of the original fax that I had transmitted to the Martinsville Police Department on August 7, 2019. I have this original because I assumed that it was also turned over to the Commonwealth Attorney and then to Matthew Clark, my court appointed lawyer, and that is how I am in possession of this original fax record. **Exhibit 2** contains 1 page of evidence in black and white. I have the original fax record in my possession as well.



This evidence should be sufficient to prove that the U.S. Attorney Office for the Middle District of North Carolina had wrongfully prosecuted a questionable and possibly fraudulent charge of violating supervised release as the Martinsville Police Department was allowed to destroy their body-camera footage of what had happened on September 21, 2018, because my court appointed lawyers never fulfilled my requested as the client for the body-camera footage. Scott Albrecht should be sued or held legally responsible in Virginia for this blatant ignorance to allow spoliation of evidence which may be useful in proving that I had no intent (mens rea) to indecent exposure and potential carbon monoxide symptoms. The U.S. Probation Office never should have pushed for revoking my supervised release and should have given me a chance to have me be found not-guilty in the state court. This prosecution led to such a miscarriage of justice that blood evidence was destroyed, body-camera footage was destroyed, and all of that evidence being destroyed was allowed by both the Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew Hall (that jerk!!!!) and the Defense Attorney Scott Albrecht and had this evidence been brought up I likely would not have been found guilty of indecent exposure at the General District Court of Martinsville.

I feel I had been wrongfully convicted in the state court on December 21, 2018, I was deprived of due process of such egregious circumstances. I was wrongfully allowed by my own court appointed lawyers to have allowed evidence to be destroyed that may have been beneficial to me proving that I had no intent of indecent exposure, no intent of obscenity and should not have been revoked of my supervised release.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 2, 2020.

Respectfully filed with the Court, this the 2nd day of November, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian D. Hill
signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
310 Forest Street, Apartment 2
Martinsville, Virginia 24112



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

Petitioner also requests with the Court that a copy of this pleading be served upon the Government as stated in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d), that “The officers of the court shall issue and serve all process, and preform all duties in such cases. Witnesses shall attend as in other cases, and the same remedies shall be available as are provided for by law in other cases”. Petitioner requests that copies be served with the U.S. Attorney office of Greensboro, NC via CM/ECF Notice of Electronic Filing ("NEF") email, by facsimile if the Government consents, or upon U.S. Mail.

Thank You!

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Petitioner/Defendant hereby certifies that on November 2, 2020, service was made by mailing the original of the foregoing:

“DECLARATION OF BRIAN DAVID HILL AND NEW EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF PENDING MOTION UNDER DOCUMENT # 206 REQUESTING SANCTIONS”

by deposit in the United States Post Office, in an envelope, Postage prepaid, on November 2, 2020 addressed to the Clerk of the Court in the U.S. District Court, for the Middle District of North Carolina, 324 West Market Street, Greensboro, NC 27401.

Then pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915(d), Petitioner requests that the Clerk of the Court move to electronically file the foregoing using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following parties to be served in this action:

Anand Prakash Ramaswamy U.S. Attorney Office	Angela Hewlett Miller U.S. Attorney Office
---	---



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Civil Case # 1:17 -cv-1036 101 South Edgeworth Street, 4th Floor, Greensboro, NC 27401 Anand.Ramaswamy@usdoj.gov	Civil Case # 1: 17 -cv-1036 101 South Edgeworth Street, 4th Floor, Greensboro, NC 27401 angela.miller@usdoj.gov
JOHN M. ALSUP U.S. Attorney Office 101 South Edgeworth Street, 4th Floor, Greensboro, NC 27401 john.alsup@usdoj.gov	


This is pursuant to Petitioner's "In forma Pauperis" ("IFP") status, 28 U.S.C. §1915(d) that "The officers of the court shall issue and serve all process, and perform all duties in such cases ... "the Clerk shall serve process via CM/ECF to serve process with all parties.

<p>Date of signing:</p> <p><u>November 2, 2020</u></p>	<p>Respectfully submitted,</p> <p><u>Brian D. Hill</u> Signed</p> <p>Brian D. Hill (Pro Se) 310 Forest Street, Apartment 2 Martinsville, Virginia 24112 Phone #: (276) 790-3505</p> <p>U.S.W.G.O.</p> <p>I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.) Make America Great Again</p>
--	---



Friend's justice site: JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com
JusticeForUSWGO.NL/Pardon

JusticeForUSWGO.NL/Pardon
JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com/Pardon





Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 11/2/2020	Time: 2:48:23 PM
Number of pages: 31	Session duration: 1:17:26
Attn.: Commonwealth Attorney	To: Commonwealth Attorney
Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478	Message type: Fax
Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\W_Attachment (2020-11-Error Correction: Yes	
File description: VA NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT(3)OCR-Signed-W_AtResolution: 200*200 dpi	
Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478	Record number: 8323
Rate: 14400 bps	

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Civil Action No. CR19000009-00
)	
v.)	
)	
Brian David Hill,)	NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE
)	COURT
Defendant,)	

NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT

COMES NOW civil Writ of Habeas Corpus Petitioner Brian David Hill ("Brian", "Hill", "Petitioner") respectfully files this NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT.

The Defendant attaches the evidence of what he is filing with the U.S. District Court concerning the incident on September 21, 2018, in relevance to this exact case.

ATTACHES: "DECLARATION OF BRIAN DAVID HILL AND NEW EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF PENDING MOTION UNDER DOCUMENT # 206 REQUESTING SANCTIONS" – 24 PAGES

WHEREFORE, the undersigned Defendant files further evidence showing FRAUD UPON THE COURT as follows:

1. That the original charge on September 21, 2018, and the trial on December 21, 2018, prosecutor Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., prosecuted the element of guilt that Brian David Hill was medically cleared as if Hill had a clean bill of health when evidence of medical neglect and lies show otherwise. They



Exhibit 1

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP




UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:17-CV-1036
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

Exhibit in attachment to "DECLARATION OF BRIAN DAVID HILL AND NEW
EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF PENDING MOTION UNDER DOCUMENT # 206
REQUESTING SANCTIONS"



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court



2
Enter
3104
1 line

1 R
2 *





Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Vertical text on the left side of the page, possibly a return address or recipient information, which is mostly illegible due to the dark background and low resolution.

ATTN: Police Chief G. E. Casseady
Martinsville Police Department
55 West Church St.
Martinsville, VA 24112

Vertical text on the left side of the page, possibly a return address or recipient information, which is mostly illegible due to the dark background and low resolution.







Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

7017 2680 0000 5750 9122


MARTINSVILLE
1123 SPRUCE ST
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112-9998
515652-0362
(800)275-8777
07/19/2019 09:12 AM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
PM 1-Day (Domestic) (MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112) (Weight: 0 Lb 13.30 Oz) (Expected Delivery Day) (Saturday 07/20/2019)	1	\$7.35	\$7.35
Return Receipt (USPS Return Receipt #) (9590940235277275749741)			\$2.80
Cert Mail RstrDel (Recipient name) (G E CASSADY) (USPS Certified Mail #) (70172680000057509122)			\$8.80
Total:			\$18.95
Cash		\$20.00	
Change		(\$1.05)	

U.S. Postal Service
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
Domestic Mail Only

For more information, visit our website at www.usps.com
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112

Certified Mail Fee	\$2.80
Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee)	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (hardcopy)	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (electronic)	\$8.80
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	\$0.00
Postage	\$7.35
Total Postage and Fees	\$18.95



ATTN: Chief G. E. Cassady
Martinsville Police Department
55 West Church St.
Martinsville, VA 24112

COMPLETE THIS SECTION FOR DELIVERY

A. Signature Agent Addressee

B. Recipient (Printed Name) G. E. Cassady C. Date of Delivery 8/7/2019

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes No
If YES, enter delivery address below:

3. Service Type
 Priority Mail Express®
 Registered Mail™
 Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
 Certified Mail®
 Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
 Collect on Delivery
 Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
 Insured Mail
 Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)

Domestic Return Receipt

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

1. Article Addressed to:
 ATTN: Chief G. E. Cassady
 Martinsville Police Department
 55 West Church St.
 Martinsville, VA 24112

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)
 9580 9402 3527 7275 7497 41
 7017 2680 0000 5750 9122

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Includes up to \$50 insurance
Text your tracking number to 28777 (2USPS) to get the latest status. Standard Message and Data rates may apply. You may also visit www.usps.com USPS Tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

Save this receipt as evidence of insurance. For information on filing an insurance claim go to <https://www.usps.com/help/claims.htm>

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE
www.informedelivery.com

All sales final on stamps and postage. Refunds for guaranteed services only. Thank you for your business.

HELP US SERVE YOU BETTER
TELL US ABOUT YOUR RECENT POSTAL EXPERIENCE

Go to:
<https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
840-5250-0004-003-00041-12438-02

or scan this code with your mobile device:





Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

MARTINSVILLE
 1123 SPRUCE ST
 MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112-9998
 515652-0362
 (800)275-8777
 07/19/2019 09:12 AM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
PM 1-Day (Domestic) (MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112) (Weight: 0 Lb 13.30 Oz) (Expected Delivery Day) (Saturday 07/20/2019)	1	\$7.35	\$7.35
Return Receipt (USPS Return Receipt #) (9590940235277275749741)			\$2.80
Cert Mail RestrDel (Recipient name) (G E CASSADY) (USPS Certified Mail #) (70172680000057509122)			\$8.80
Total:			\$18.95
Cash			\$20.00
Change			(\$1.05)

2216 0525 0000 0992 LT0L

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
 Domestic Mail Only

FOR MAILING OFFICES ONLY (www.usps.com)

OFFICIAL USE

0362 13

Postmark Here
 JUL 19 2019
 07/19/2019

Certified Mail Fee	\$2.80
Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (hardcopy)	\$8.80
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (electronic)	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	\$0.00
Postage	\$7.35
Total Postage and Fees	\$18.95

ATTN: Chief G. E. Cassidy
 Martinsville Police Department
 55 West Church St.
 Martinsville, VA 24112

United States Postal Service

9590 9402 3527 7275 7497 4J

USPS TRACKING®
 2-DIGIT 9502

First-Class Mail
 Postage & Fees Paid
 USPS
 Permit No. G-10

Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4® in this box.

USWIGO
 Brian D. Hill
 310 Forest Street, Apt. 1
 Martinsville, VA 24112

Brian D. Hill
 Signed

Includes up to \$50 insurance
 Text your tracking number to 28777 (2USPS) to get the latest status. Standard Message and Data rates may apply. You may also visit www.usps.com USPS Tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

Save this receipt as evidence of insurance. For information on filing an insurance claim go to <https://www.usps.com/help/claims.htm>

Preview your Mail
 Track your Packages
 Sign up for FREE
www.informedelivery.com

All sales final on stamps and postage. Refunds for guaranteed services only. Thank you for your business.

HELP US SERVE YOU BETTER
 TELL US ABOUT YOUR RECENT POSTAL EXPERIENCE

Go to:
<https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
 840-5250-0004-003-00041-12438-02

or scan this code with your mobile device:





Exhibit 2

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:13-CR-435-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. 1:17-CV-1036
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

Exhibit in attachment to "DECLARATION OF BRIAN DAVID HILL AND NEW
EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF PENDING MOTION UNDER DOCUMENT # 206
REQUESTING SANCTIONS"



Transmitted with Venta Fax & Voice software - <http://www.ventafax.com>



8/7/2019 2:16:06 PM From: Brian David Hill
 Attn.: Any authorized Agent to sign for Mail

Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Page 1/1

To: Martinsville Police Department

MARTINSVILLE
 1123 SPRUCE ST
 MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112-9998
 515652-0962
 (800)275-8777
 07/19/2019 09:12 AM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
PM 1-Day (Domestic) (MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112) (Weight: 0 Lb 13.30 Oz) (Expected Delivery Day) (Saturday 07/20/2019)	1	\$7.35	\$7.35
Return Receipt (USPS Return Receipt #) (959094023527275749741)			\$2.80
Cert Mail RestrDel (Recipient name) (G E CASSADY) (USPS Certified Mail #) (7017268000057509122)			\$8.80
Total:			\$18.95

Cash \$20.00
 Change (\$1.05)

Includes up to \$50 insurance

Text your tracking number to 28777 (2USPS) to get the latest status. Standard Message and Data rates may apply. You may also visit www.usps.com USPS Tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

Save this receipt as evidence of insurance. For information on filing an insurance claim go to <https://www.usps.com/help/claims.htm>

Preview your Mail
 Track your Packages
 Sign up for FREE @
www.informedelivery.com

All sales final on stamps and postage. Refunds for guaranteed services only. Thank you for your business.

HELP US SERVE YOU BETTER
 TELL US ABOUT YOUR RECENT
 POSTAL EXPERIENCE

Go to:
<https://postalexperience.com/Pos>

840-5250-0004-003-00041-12438-02

or scan this code with
 your mobile device:



U.S. Postal Service
CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT
 Domestic Mail Only

For more information, visit our website at www.usps.com

7017 2680 0000 5750 9122

OFFICIAL USE

Certified Mail Fee \$2.80
 Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee) \$0.00
 Return Receipt Hardcopy \$0.00
 Return Receipt Electronic \$8.80
 Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$0.00
 Adult Signature Required \$0.00
 Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$0.00
 Postage \$7.35
 Total Postage and Fees \$18.95

0362
 13
 Postmark Here
 JUL 19 2019
 07/19/2019

Sent To
 Street and Apt
 City, State, ZIP
 PS Form 3800

ATTN: Chief G. E. Cassidy
Martinsville Police Department
55 West Church St.
Martinsville, VA 24112

Very Important Evidence.
 Please sign for it Chief G. E. Cassidy.

I am sorry that it is restricted delivery but I wanted to make sure that the evidence was picked up by somebody in your Department.

Medical records/reports, statement from expert witness Pete Compton a chimney expert. Evidence of threatening greeting card that was received by a citizen of Martinsville.

A lot of important evidence that needs to be picked up and reviewed by the Police Chief and given to Officer R. D. Jones. Please sign for it. Thank you for your time and attention to this matter. Thank you for your service. God Bless. USPS rather it be picked up than redelivered. - Brian

For fax: 276.403.5306

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
 OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
 MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT
 DATE: 11/05/2020 @11:07:05

ASHBY PRITCHETT, CLERK

TESTE: *[Signature]*
 CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK



VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
)	Civil Case No. CL19000331-00
v.)	Civil Case No. CL20000089-00
)	
Brian David Hill,)	
)	SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD
Defendant,)	UPON THE COURT

SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT

COMES NOW criminal defendant, civil Writ of Error Coram Nobis/Vobis Petitioner and civil Writ of Habeas Corpus Petitioner Brian David Hill (“Brian”, “Hill”, “Petitioner”) respectfully files this SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT.

The Defendant attaches the evidence of what he is filing in this case concerning Scott Albrecht being purely ineffective by allowing destruction of evidence that Brian David Hill sought as part of his right to discovery in his criminal case; was made aware that Brian David Hill wanted the body camera footage that was recorded by Officer Robert Jones on the night of September 21, 2018, and Scott Albrecht never asked to obtain the footage by litigation hold letter or subpoena despite repeated requests by Brian Hill and/or Eric Clark and/or his family for the body-camera footage. Scott Albrecht was Brian’s court appointed attorney between the time he was appointed in September or October, 2018, and some point in June, 2019, Scott Albrecht had left the Martinsville Public Defender Office and Brian’s lawyer was replaced with Lauren McGarry. However, for the issues that will be



raised here in this fraud upon the court evidence filing by Petitioner Brian David Hill, Lauren McGarry is a side issue and Brian will focus on Scott Albrecht.

Brian also attaches further evidence of potential fraud and deception in regards to the original charging officer Sgt. Robert Jones who had charged Petitioner Brian Hill on September 21, 2018. See EXHIBIT 2 for the proof. Exhibit 2 shows oral testimony that was transcribed during a Federal Court hearing concerning the Supervised Release Violation over the very same Virginia State Charge that was lodged against Brian David Hill on September 21, 2018. That this evidence is credible and relevant evidence to help demonstrate the fraud and explain how it is fraudulent.

TRANSCRIPT (Page 3 of EXHIBIT 2):

Q Okay. But as part of your investigation, have you been able to find out whether there were some threatening matters that was sent to him or his family?

A I have not heard anything of that, no.

Q But do you -- but you didn't do the investigation?

A No.

Right there cited from the Transcript proves to this Court that there was no thorough investigation. None of the officers of Martinsville Police Department ever asked Brian D. Hill or Roberta Hill to produce the evidence of the threatening greeting card that Brian had allegedly claimed. In fact, in the last "NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT" and the very same photographs filed in Brian's Petition for Writ of Error Coram Vobis/Nobis, case no. CL20000089-00 evidence was filed showing that the envelope signed for by Police Chief G. E. Cassady was never even opened. It was turned over to the Commonwealth Attorney who also never opened the envelope. So again, this Martinsville Police Department had



refused to investigate any evidence in regards to Brian David Hill's claims that he was threatened to get naked and take photos of himself. It is hard to believe that they claim they could never find the guy wearing the hoodie, this "black man" wearing a hoodie when Brian trying to correct the record that he never said "black man" but said sounded like a white guy but wearing a "black hoodie". They got his alleged description wrong, they never investigated anything to even be worthy of proving to this Court that somehow Brian David Hill was wrong because they claimed they had never found a guy wearing a hoodie, but yet they admit they really conducted no actual thorough investigation. They never tested Brian for drugs. They never asked for the Laboratory results after the Sovah Hospital drew blood from Brian's veins. Now let's go back to the Transcript and see what else this witness Robert Jones had to say in regards to the indecent exposure charge/case.

TRANSCRIPT (Page 3 of EXHIBIT 2):

Q Did Mr. Hill -- when you approached him, did he tell you that he had autism?

A He did.

Q And do you guys -- does your -- I would say does your -- does the department train you on how to approach someone with autism?

A We deal with some academy-wise and not much follow-up after that.

Q Did he also tell you that he was a diabetic as well?

A I do not recall him telling me that, no.

Q Did he tell you that he was also OCD?

A Not that I recall.



Q And when you took him to the hospital, did they admit him
into the hospital that night?

A No, they cleared him medically and psychologically and
released him to us.

Q Okay. Did you get those reports from -- the medical
reports?

A No, I did not do a subpoena for his hospital records.

Still that was pretty lousy for an investigator or police officer who was supposed to conduct a reasonable and pretty thorough investigation before considering a criminal charge against somebody that can potentially ruin somebody's life like that of Brian David Hill. Never subpoenaed for his Hospital Records when Brian made multiple claims that he thought he was drugged yet nobody actually wanted to verify whether Brian David Hill was telling the truth on being drugged or not. Didn't even know that Brian was diabetic even though the Jail would know. Diabetes does affect the behavior of somebody. Low blood sugar can impair brain functions and make somebody appear to be confused and/or drunk. The Hospital never actually checked for Brian's blood sugar before Brian was arrested, had exhibited tachycardia but was not committed to the Hospital to even try to find out why. This whole criminal investigation was very sketchy and should not have been prosecuted to such an extent where Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., had clearly taken it. He does not care about the truth, he does not care about the facts, all he cares about is winning each and every one of his cases to make his prosecutorial record look good for if he ever went into private practice with such a good looking record.

TRANSCRIPT (Page 4 of **EXHIBIT 2**):

Q Okay. Did you speak to a doctor or anyone regarding his



condition or anything of that nature that night?

A We -- other than just checking with him to see if they were going to be releasing him or admitting him, no.

Q Do you recall any tests that were taken that night besides just checking, I believe you said, his knee?

A No, ma'am. Like I said, when we -- we also checked him for mental health issues is the reason why they cleared him psychologically, to make sure there was nothing going on there. Once they do that, they do lab work and other stuff. I didn't ask about his medical history.

Q Was there any tests dealing with his blood alcohol content or anything of that nature?

A I don't know if they did. Like I said, I did not get his records. They normally do, but I do not have that.

That is interesting that the Police Officer said that they normally "do lab work and other stuff." And yet the Sovah Hospital did no Laboratory Work and threw away the blood vials, that itself is fraudulent as they said Brian David Hill was medically cleared, had fooled ignorant Officer Robert Jones into believing that "they do lab work and other stuff" but the evidence showed that they neglected to even do such. That itself is fraudulent. NO MEDICAL LAB TESTS while Officer Robert Jones thought they medically cleared him and did the laboratory work.

UNBELIEVABLE!!!!!!!!!! Why Brian would falsely withdraw his appeal when his ineffective counsel Matthew Clark was not going to bring any of these issues up when all of that would have shown a defect in the elements that were prosecuted by Glen Andrew Hall, Esquire, the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville. Officer Jones who would have testified at Brian's scheduled "Jury Trial" would have likely made the same statements that he assumed that lab tests were done and



other stuff but then the Sovah Hospital released Defendant Brian David Hill to Jail without laboratory tests while Officer Robert Jones was convinced that they did. That is serious poopooing of an investigation. The Officers were clearly ignorant and this was not a thorough investigation worthy of prosecuting this very criminal case. If Brian had a half-decent court appointed lawyer or even a private lawyer, all of this would have been brought out and Brian David Hill would have been found not-guilty in the General District Court or even the Circuit Court.

I don't care what justification CORRUPT COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY Glen Andrew Hall has to say here. Brian was NOT IN FACT medically cleared. Does neglecting to conduct the laboratory tests and the Police Officer not even knowing what the potential lab results were when they were never done behind the scenes sound like a true medical cleared?

Does neglecting to check Brian's diabetic blood sugar glucose sound like a true medical cleared?

Does neglecting investigate why Brian had multiple "Vital Signs" showing abnormally high resting blood pulse of over "100" which its medical term is called "tachycardia", ask any medical Doctor about this term, does that sound like a true medical cleared?

Brian was NOT medically cleared by the standards that clearly should have been set here. A police officer who thought Brian was medically cleared, that he was A-Okay to be charged with indecent exposure and have his Supervised Release Revoked, thought lab tests were done and other stuff but he assumed WRONG. It was NOT TRUE. There is clear fraud upon the court since the very beginning of this prosecution by Glen Andrew Hall, Esquire. There clearly needs to be an ACQUITTAL of Brian David Hill of this charge. Brian can't go back in a time



machine and ask the Hospital to conduct thorough laboratory tests, the evidence destruction is too late and NOT Brian's FAULT by any means. This Court clearly needs to reexamine the entire prosecution and guilty verdict on December 21, 2018. This guilty verdict is clearly erroneous. How much evidence must Brian mail to this Court, FAX to this Court, before this Court corrects the wrongful conviction of Brian David Hill?

EXHIBIT 3 clearly shows an email addressed to Attorney Scott Albrecht in regards to "*Obtaining body cam footage through discovery*". That proves Brian David Hill wanted his court appointed lawyer to obtain the body-camera footage as part of his Brady materials aka Discovery materials in his criminal case.

It appears that Brian's family received Brian's messages through SmartJailMail from Western Virginia Regional Jail and Brian wanted this information to be emailed or faxed to Scott Albrecht:

EXHIBIT 3 Quote: "I will file a motion for Writ of Actual Innocence and motion for new trial pursuant to Virginia Code Sec. 19.2-265.4 a failure to provide discovery in Misdemeanor and felony cases. The bodycam footage and 911 recording is another one. I will fill out the ADA Accommodation form for my Circuit Court case on January 28, 2019."

So, Brian's lawyer Scott Albrecht had sat on potential Brady evidence material that had become spoliated aka spoliation of evidence. He knew as a lawyer that Law Enforcement agencies have an evidence retention period. It was Scott Albrecht's duty as an officer of the court to protect Brian's constitutional Brady rights by filing with Sovah Hospital and with Martinsville Police Department, a subpoena or a litigation hold letter asking the Hospital and Police Department to hold onto any potential evidence that Brian had requested. Brian said that he thought he was drugged and initially told his attorney that during his first meeting with his attorney



according to statements he had made in **EXHIBIT 5** in a fax to his lawyer at the time.

Brian said this in **EXHIBIT 5**:

I had originally told you that I thought I was drugged. This year new evidence came to my attention and was discovered in late January carbon monoxide was present in my Apartment and was witnessed by Roberta Hill, the expert who found evidence of carbon monoxide damage, and even my Probation Officer Jason McMurray saw the damage of the carbon monoxide cause and effect.

Why did Brian's defense attorney not file a motion compelling a Court-Ordered DRUG TEST or any kind of lab test to see what was going on????????? Why did Martinsville Police not request a DRUG TEST as would clearly be their right with the way Brian was naked at night on a walking trail falling into a creek with cuts and abrasions on his body????? Why did Glen Andrew Hall, Esquire, not ask the Court to drug test Brian????????????? Too late as the blood vials were destroyed.

This proves serious ignorance, negligence, and incompetence from the Police Department, from Sovah Hospital, from Brian's court appointed lawyers, and even from the prosecutor Glen Andrew Hall. All are incompetent and ignorant.

An email addressed to Scott Ablrecht at his IDC email address also mentioned:

EXHIBIT 4, Page 3: "Evidence of coercion exists but was not disclosed under Discovery violating Brady rights. Police bodycam footage during interview of Brian after arrest."

EXHIBIT 6, clearly shows that Brian D. Hill had mailed multiple letters to Police Chief G. E. Cassady asking for the body-camera footage to be turned over to Brian's lawyer. Brian's court appointed lawyer Matthew Clark told Brian and his family in 2019, that the body-camera footage was likely destroyed and could not get ahold of it due to going past the six-month retention period for Police Body-



Camera footage. So, Scott Albrecht had sat on potential evidence and let it become spoliated also termed as spoliation of evidence and then Matthew Clark acted as though there was clearly nothing, nothing that he could do to obtain the destroyed evidence that Scott Albrecht allowed to be destroyed which likely benefited Glen Andrew Hall. That right there is a MAJOR WRONG. Total deprivation of Brian's constitutional due process rights to his Brady Materials under Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) and Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972). Matthew Clark also told Brian and his family that no law requires laboratory tests or drug testing and that was one of the factors of what led to Brian David Hill falsely accepting the decision of the General District Court by withdrawing his appeal. However, this incompetence proves that the Police assumed there was a lab test but yet there was no lab test and so there is no way that Brian David Hill was ever proven to be medically cleared. There was no clear and convincing evidence that Brian David Hill was medically cleared, maybe psychologically cleared, yes, but should not have been medically cleared. That was premature and erroneous and thus cannot be established as a FACT by the Commonwealth or even by the Federal Prosecutor or even by the U.S. Probation Office.

Exhibits LIST and page number range will have the following evidence:

EXHIBIT 1. Declaration by Brian David Hill certifying that all Exhibits are true and correct copies of original records.

EXHIBIT 2. Six pages excerpt of 81-page Transcript from U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, with the testimony statements of Martinsville Police Department Sergeant Robert Jones, the same officer who arrested and charged Brian with indecent exposure on September 21, 2018, and is relevant in this criminal case. Transcribed by Briana L. Bell, RPR, Official Court Reporter who has transcribed this testimony at a federal court hearing. This Court



can ask for the entire Transcript to authenticate the record if necessary. Her email address for this Court or the Commonwealth Attorney to contact to confirm authenticity is at: brinesbit@gmail.com. Her name was Briana Nesbit but later changed to Briana Bell. Direct contact number is 336-734-2514. Transcript dated as November 4, 2019. Total of 6 pages.

EXHIBIT 3. Two-page print-out of an email that Roberta Hill had printed for Brian David Hill to use in this criminal case as evidence. Entitled "Re: Brian D. Hill asked me to send this email to you about his appealed case". Emailed to Brian's court appointed lawyer Scott Albrecht at the time through his Indigent Defense Commission email: salbrecht@mar.IDC.Virginia.gov. Total of 2 pages.

EXHIBIT 4. Five-page print-out of an email conversation that Roberta Hill had printed for Brian David Hill to use in this criminal case as evidence. Entitled "Fw: Brian D. Hill request", "Re: Brian D. Hill request", "Re: Brian D. Hill request", and "Brian D. Hill request". Shows in Page 3 an email to Brian's court appointed lawyer Scott Albrecht at the time through his Indigent Defense Commission email: salbrecht@mar.IDC.Virginia.gov. Total of 5 pages.

EXHIBIT 5. Two-page photocopy of a 1-page FAX letter to Attorney Scott Albrecht. As well as a "Transmission Verification Report". Says it had faxed two pages and it likely was a cover page but was omitted from this Exhibit. It was transmitted to the Office of the Public Defender fax number on May 15, 2019, 6:09PM. Total of 2 pages.

EXHIBIT 6. Seven-page photocopy of what appears to be a letter that Brian's grandparents Kenneth Forinash and Stella Forinash had mailed to Martinsville Police Chief G. E. Cassady. It appears that it was likely signed for by a different officer. Pages 1 and 2 show a typed of version of the written letter that Brian had



mailed to the Police Chief asking for the body-camera footage about the incident on September 21, 2018, and give it to his lawyer as part of the discovery process. Page 3 shows what appears to be a scan of the envelope. Page 4 shows a photocopy of the original handwritten letter with something else written on it saying “For Jason McMurray”. That was probably a goof and the words “For Jason McMurray” were probably not in the original letter. It was photocopied using the photocopying machine at the Federal Correctional Institution I at Butner, North Carolina. Pages 5 through 7 are of both sides of the “Return Receipt” mailed by Brian’s grandparents and the certified mail receipt as well as the U.S. Postal Service receipt. Total of 7 pages.

EXHIBIT NO.	Page Range	Date:
EXHIBIT 1	1-5	November 7, 2020
EXHIBIT 2	6-12	November 4, 2019
EXHIBIT 3	13-15	December 27, 2018
EXHIBIT 4	16-21	December 26, 2018
EXHIBIT 5	22-24	May 15, 2019
EXHIBIT 6	25-32	January, 2019, March, 2019

WHEREFORE, the undersigned Defendant files further evidence showing FRAUD UPON THE COURT as follows:

1. That the original charge on September 21, 2018, and the trial on December 21, 2018, prosecutor Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., prosecuted the element of guilt that Brian David Hill was medically cleared as if Brian Hill had a clean bill of health with laboratory tests certifying such when evidence of medical neglect and lies show otherwise. Lab tests were never conducted after being ordered originally and then was to be deleted from the chart. They knowingly discharged a patient when showing symptoms of tachycardia on



record for about approximately a full hour and never checked his blood sugar not knowing what his diabetic blood glucose was as there is no evidence in the Sovah Hospital Medical record on September 21, 2018, that Brian's blood sugar was ever checked before Brian David Hill was arrested. This is evidence of MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE, INCOMPETENCE, MAYBE EVEN CORRUPTION, who knows;

2. That Martinsville Police Department failed and both Brian's court appointed lawyer Scott Abrecht and Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew Hall sat on evidence that Brian had requested before it's spoliation, including a request that Brian David Hill said that he thought he was drugged and that clearly demonstrated that he should have been given laboratory tests or drug tests or whatever; instead allowing blood vial or vials to being spoliated aka spoliation of evidence;
3. That Brian David Hill had faxed his lawyer showing that he had made an earlier statement in 2018 about the thought of being drugged and yet his lawyer failed or refused to file a subpoena or litigation hold letter to Sovah Hospital on Brian's drawn blood samples and have them drug tested;
4. That Brian David Hill had emailed his family using SmartJailMail from Western Virginia Regional Jail showing that he had wanted his lawyer Scott Abrecht to obtain the Martinsville Police Department body-camera footage as part of his criminal case discovery materials pursuant to Brady and Giglio, but his lawyer Scott Abrecht had failed or refused to file a subpoena or litigation hold letter to Martinsville Police Department on Brian's claim that the Police had body-camera footage of the incident on September 21, 2018, thus that evidence was also destroyed aka spoliation of evidence thanks to Glen Andrew Hall, G.E. Cassady the Police Chief, and/or Scott



Albrecht repeatedly ignoring Brian's requests for the body-camera footage that could have been favorable to the defense;

5. That Martinsville Police Department and Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew Hall prosecuted a case where the elements of guilt, where there were elements of the charge that were proven wrong/false beyond a reasonable doubt. That both Martinsville Police Department and Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew Hall had allowed evidence to be destroyed and ignored Brian's repeated written pleas asking for the additional discovery materials relevant to the guilt or innocence of Brian David Hill and relevant to his charge on September 21, 2018, originally in the General District Court of Martinsville.

Once evidence is destroyed, physical evidence, biological evidence, it can never be recovered. Brian David Hill can and will never face a fair jury trial in this criminal case as a result of this much level of FRAUD and spoliation of evidence.

Destruction of evidence will negatively affect Brian's success rate at winning the jury trial for his charge of indecent exposure under Virginia Code § 18.2-387. The destruction of evidence will forever hurt Brian David Hill and had caused Matthew Clark his court appointed lawyer to beg him and his family to pressure Brian David Hill to withdraw his appeal and accept the decision of the lower court. All of this is wrong, deprives Brian of all of his basic Constitutional rights. Deprives Brian of his rights under Brady and Giglio. Deprives Brian of his right to a fair trial.

Deprives Brian of proving actual innocence by evidence being destroyed and allowed to being destroyed by both the Commonwealth Attorney and Brian's defense attorneys despite Brian repeatedly making statements in total opposite of destroying evidence. This is contradictory and his court appointed lawyer was clearly working against him and working against his Constitutional rights. His



court appointed lawyers have clearly worked with or in favor of Glen Andrew Hall in his fraudulent prosecution. Brian David Hill should never have been convicted; all of this is a shame of a case. It is not worthy of a guilty verdict.

Case law in support of this NOTICE:

Where falsification occurs in the midst of ongoing judicial proceedings, and is specifically directed at affecting those proceedings, it often is termed “fraud on the court.” A court, as an exercise of this inherent authority, may sanction fraud on the court through dismissal (if the falsifier is the plaintiff) or default (if the falsifier is the defendant).

Some examples are: *Breezevale Ltd. v. Dickinson*, 879 A.2d 957, 964 (D.C. 2005) (affirming sanction of dismissal where top executives of plaintiff company engaged in scheme to forge documents and subsequently denied the forgery in pleadings and sworn testimony); *Synanon Found., Inc. v. Bernstein*, 503 A.2d 1254, 1263 (D.C. 1986) (affirming sanction of dismissal where plaintiff, inter alia, destroyed audiotapes and made false statements to the court “that no responsive documents could be found” in order “to deceive the court, and to improperly influence the court in its decision on the defendants’ motions to compel, with the ultimate aim of preventing the judicial process from operating in an impartial fashion”); *Cox v. Burke*, 706 So. 2d 43 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1998) (affirming sanction of dismissal where plaintiff gave false answers to interrogatories and deceptive deposition testimony); *Pope v. Fed. Express Corp.*, 974 F.2d 982, 984 (8th Cir. 1992) (affirming sanction of dismissal for plaintiff’s forgery of, and reliance on, a single document); *Aoude v. Mobil Oil Corp.*, 892 F.2d 1115 (1st Cir. 1989) (affirming dismissal where plaintiff concocted a single document); *Tramel v. Bass*, 672 So. 2d 78, 82 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1996) (affirming default judgment against defendant who excised damaging six-second portion of videotape before



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

producing it during discovery). See 501 U.S. at 56–57; see also Synanon Found., Inc. v. Bernstein, 517 A.2d 28, 43 (D.C. 1986) (once a party embarks on a “pattern of fraud,” and “[r]egardless of the relevance of these [fraudulent] materials to the substantive legal issue in the case,” this is enough to “completely taint [the party’s] entire litigation strategy from the date on which the abuse actually began”).

It has always been understood—the inference, indeed, is one of the simplest in human experience—that a party’s falsehood or other fraud in the preparation and presentation of his cause, his fabrication or suppression of evidence by bribery or spoliation, and all similar conduct is receivable against him as an indication of his consciousness that his case is a weak or unfounded one; and from that consciousness may be inferred the fact itself of the cause’s lack of truth and merit. The inference thus does not necessarily apply to any specific fact in the cause, but operates, indefinitely though strongly, against the whole mass of alleged facts constituting his cause. The arguments cited from Attorney report titled "Responding to Falsification of Evidence" by Jonathan K. Tycko. Jonathan K. Tycko is a partner with Tycko Zavareei & Spiva LLP in Washington, D.C. He can be reached at (202) 973-0900 or by email at jtycko@tzslaw.com.

Hill respectfully files this SECOND NOTICE with this honorable Court, this the 7th day of November, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian D. Hill
signed Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
310 Forest Street, Apartment 2
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

U.S.W.G.O.

Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter
I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp
Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com/Pardon

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

This pleading and all its attached Exhibits had been transmitted by facsimile to the Office of the Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Clerk's office at the Martinsville Circuit Court on November 2, 2020, at the address of 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112 and at Fax: (276) 403-5232.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this the 7th day of November, 2020, a true copy of the foregoing NOTICE/Pleading and all its attached Exhibits was transmitted by facsimile to Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., at the office of the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112, counsel for Plaintiff of the Commonwealth of Virginia, Fax: 276-403-5478.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 2

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505

U.S.W.G.O.

Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter
Make America Great Again
JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com
Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 11/7/2020 Time: 3:39:26 AM
Number of pages: 48 Session duration: 45:06
Attn.: Commonwealth Attorney To: Commonwealth Attorney
Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5478 Message type: Fax
Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\VA SECOND NOTICE of Error Correction: Yes COURT(6)Signed_W_Exhibits (2020-11-07) (2).tif
File description: VA SECOND NOTICE of FRAUD UPON THE COURT(6)Signed_Resolution: 200*200 dpi
Recipient's Fax ID: 12764035478 Record number: 8328
Rate: 14400 bps

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,
Plaintiff,
v.
Brian David Hill,
Defendant,
Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
Civil Case No. CL19000331-00
Civil Case No. CL20000089-00
SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD
UPON THE COURT

SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT

COMES NOW criminal defendant, civil Writ of Error Coram Nobis/Vobis
Petitioner and civil Writ of Habeas Corpus Petitioner Brian David Hill ("Brian",
"Hill", "Petitioner") respectfully files this SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON
THE COURT.

The Defendant attaches the evidence of what he is filing in this case concerning
Scott Albrecht being purely ineffective by allowing destruction of evidence that
Brian David Hill sought as part of his right to discovery in his criminal case; was
made aware that Brian David Hill wanted the body camera footage that was
recorded by Officer Robert Jones on the night of September 21, 2018, and Scott
Albrecht never asked to obtain the footage by litigation hold letter or subpoena
despite repeated requests by Brian Hill and/or Eric Clark and/or his family for the
body-camera footage. Scott Albrecht was Brian's court appointed attorney between
the time he was appointed in September or October, 2018, and some point in June,
2019, Scott Albrecht had left the Martinsville Public Defender Office and Brian's
lawyer was replaced with Lauren McGarry. However, for the issues that will be



Exhibit 1

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP



MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT – CRIMINAL CASE NO. CR19000009-00

Exhibit in attachment to “SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT”



VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

)	
Commonwealth of Virginia,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
)	
v.)	
)	DECLARATION OF BRIAN DAVID
Brian David Hill,)	HILL IN SUPPORT OF SECOND
)	NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE
Defendant,)	COURT

DECLARATION OF BRIAN DAVID HILL IN SUPPORT OF SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT

COMES NOW Brian David Hill, and in pursuant to Virginia Code § 8.01-4.3, I affirm the following facts under penalty of perjury with my statement of facts before this Court:

I am Brian David Hill, the criminal defendant in this criminal case CR19000009-00, Writ of Habeas Corpus filer under case no. CL19000331-00, and Writ of Coram Nobis/Vobis filer under case no. CL20000089-00. I hereby make my following statement of facts regarding the various Exhibits filed in my pleading known as "**SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT**". I am attempting to demonstrate different issues to this Court as to why I personally believe and am asserting that the wrongful conviction of myself, Brian David Hill, in the above captioned case is a fraud upon the court that was wrongfully prosecuted by Glen Andrew Hall, Esquire, and should not stand as judgment as the facts come out by my various pro se filings.



I hereby certify that EXHIBIT 2 is a true and correct copy of six pages of excerpt of the 81-page Transcript from U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, with the testimony statements of Martinsville Police Department Sergeant Robert Jones, the same officer who arrested and charged Brian with indecent exposure on September 21, 2018, and is relevant in this criminal case. Transcribed by Briana L. Bell, RPR, Official Court Reporter who has transcribed this testimony at a federal court hearing. This Court can ask for the entire Transcript to authenticate the record if necessary. Her email address for this Court or the Commonwealth Attorney to contact to confirm authenticity is at: brinesbit@gmail.com. Her name was Briana Nesbit but later changed to Briana Bell. Direct contact number is 336-734-2514. Transcript dated as November 4, 2019. Total of 6 pages. I excerpt on Page 1 is of Page 1, Page 2 is of Page 2. Page 3 of this Exhibit is Page 34 of the record. Page 4 of this Exhibit is Page 35 of the record. Page 5 of this Exhibit is Page 36 of the record. Page 6 of this Exhibit is Page 81 of the record, the final page of the Transcript to prove that the pages did come from a certified transcript, meaning that the Court Reporter had certified that the Transcript is a “a true and correct transcript of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.” That should affirm proof.

I hereby certify that EXHIBIT 3 is a true and correct copy of a two-page print-out of an email that Roberta Hill had printed for Brian David Hill to use in this criminal case as evidence. Entitled “Re: Brian D. Hill asked me to send this email to you about his appealed case”. Emailed to Brian’s court appointed lawyer Scott Albrecht at the time through his Indigent Defense Commission email: salbrecht@mar.IDC.Virginia.gov. Total of 2 pages.

I hereby certify that EXHIBIT 4 is a true and correct copy of a five-page print-out of an email conversation that Roberta Hill had printed for Brian David Hill to use



in this criminal case as evidence. Entitled “Fw: Brian D. Hill request”, “Re: Brian D. Hill request”, “Re: Brian D. Hill request”, and “Brian D. Hill request”. Shows in Page 3 an email to Brian’s court appointed lawyer Scott Albrecht at the time through his Indigent Defense Commission email: salbrecht@mar.IDC.Virginia.gov. Total of 5 pages.

I hereby certify that EXHIBIT 5 is a true and correct copy of a 1-page FAX letter to Attorney Scott Albrecht. As well as a “Transmission Verification Report”. Says it had faxed two pages and it likely was a cover page but was omitted from this Exhibit. It was transmitted to the Office of the Public Defender fax number on May 15, 2019, 6:09PM. Total of 2 pages.

I hereby certify that EXHIBIT 6 is a true and correct copy of a seven-page photocopy of what appears to be a letter that Brian’s grandparents Kenneth Forinash and Stella Forinash had mailed to Martinsville Police Chief G. E. Cassady. It appears that it was likely signed for by a different officer. Pages 1 and 2 show a typed of version of the written letter that Brian had mailed to the Police Chief asking for the body-camera footage about the incident on September 21, 2018, and give it to his lawyer as part of the discovery process. Page 3 shows what appears to be a scan of the envelope. Page 4 shows a photocopy of the original handwritten letter with something else written on it saying “For Jason McMurray”. That was probably a goof and the words “For Jason McMurray” were probably not in the original letter. It was photocopied using the photocopying machine at the Federal Correctional Institution I at Butner, North Carolina. Pages 5 through 7 are of both sides of the “Return Receipt” mailed by Brian’s grandparents and the certified mail receipt as well as the U.S. Postal Service receipt. Total of 7 pages.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.



Executed on November 7, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian D. Hill
Signed Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 2

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S. W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com/Pardon

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill



May 15, 2019

Office of the Public Defender
10 East Main Street // P.O. Drawer 31
Martinsville, Virginia 24112//24114
Phone: 276-666-2206 // Fax: 276-666-8929
Dear Scott Albrecht,

CI 8-3138

I have been released on Federal Bond since yesterday on Tuesday.

The Federal Court will hold any proceedings for the Supervised Release Violation until the final disposition of this State charge.

The stress and anxiety of waiting is affecting my health and this case needs to be resolved As Soon As Possible.

I rather not ask to reschedule the Circuit Court trial Pro Se since I had been released. Please schedule the Trial to early June this year.

I would like to be scheduled the Trial in early June if possible, any day in June instead of July, 2019. I am ready to bring up the carbon monoxide poisoning report and the evidence that I had filed while I was at FCI-1 Butner. I had originally told you that I thought I was drugged. This year new evidence came to my attention and was discovered in late January carbon monoxide was present in my Apartment and was witnessed by Roberta Hill, the expert who found evidence of carbon monoxide damage, and even my Probation Officer Jason McMurray saw the damage of the carbon monoxide cause and effect. It can cause me not to think straight which would also help explain how I was just naked, not aroused, just doing something out of the blue that was bazaar. Not having a history of it. Carbon monoxide may have even been caused by the guy in the hoodie as the one greeting card my mother received mentioning about "not be good feeling sick" stating that my mother was not feeling good getting sick as if a calling card for the carbon monoxide making us sick and tired.

I filed the evidence of carbon monoxide with the Clerk while at FCI Butner. So not only was I not aroused, I was sick from carbon monoxide exposure in my home.

Sincerely,

Brian D. Hill // 310 Forest Street, Apartment 2, Martinsville VA 24112 276-790-3505

858

Brian D Hill



Exhibit 6

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP



MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT – CRIMINAL CASE NO. CR19000009-00

Exhibit in attachment to “SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT”



January 19, 2019 (Typed letter March 13, 2019)

Dear Chief of Police of Martinsville Police Dept: G. Edward Cassady

CC: Commonwealth Attorney, Case no C18-3138,

55 West Church Street Municipal Building Martinsville, VA 24112

Martinsville Circuit Court case Discovery Request

Under Virginia Code in regards to discovery requirements for misdemeanor and felony trials in the Commonwealth of Virginia, Brady v Maryland, Giglio v U.S., Brian Hill hereby requests a copy of Police-Camera footage presumably recorded by Sgt. R.D. Jones of Martinsville Police Department between the times of 3:00AM and 4:00AM, September 20, 2018, where I gave statements about the man wearing the hoodie, who had threatened to kill my mother Roberta Hill on the late night of September 20, 2018. Please turn over that Police body camera footage recording evidence copy to my Attorney Scott Albrecht of the Martinsville Public Defender Office, As Soon As Possible. Thank you for your service.

My Respects,

Brian D. Hill (Signed)

Dated January 19, 2019

P.S. Brian Hill has Autism Spectrum Disorder in DMV handicap placard records

Brian David Hill #29947-057 Federal Correctional Institution 1
Old NC Hwy 75; P.O. Box 1000 Butner, NC 27509
JusticeForUSWGO,wordpress.com USWGO

(Letter 1)



Copy of note mailed with letter dated January 19, 2019

**Chief of Police and Commonwealth Attorney in Martinsville,
VA,**

Please acknowledge receipt of letters. Please write response.

Thank you

Brian D. Hill

God bless you!

Note: In a week of no response, I will assume that it was lost and mail another copy. Thanks.

Note from Brian's grandparents. Brian wrote this on January 19, 2019 and January, 20, 2019. He received no response, He sent it again and received no response a week later. After waiting almost two months, his grandparents will have to go to the post office and send this out return receipt requested. You also should know that Brian has been on disability since the age of 19 months; has brittle diabetes requiring insulin shots, has seizures, autism, anxiety and OCD. His actions that night were not normal. He was a victim who was arrested and sent to jail by the police who are supposed to protect its citizens and disabled. Brian's mom and grandparents were at the trial and noticed the prosecuting attorney making derogatory comments and making fun of this disabled citizen of Martinsville in front of his family and many other people in the court room.

♀ STELLA FORINASH
CHALMERS ST., APT A
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112

G. E. CASSADY, CHIEF OF POLICE
MARTINSVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT
55 WEST CHURCH ST.
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112



Dear Chief of Police of Martinsville Police Dept,

CC: Commonwealth Attorney, Case no. C18-3138,

55 West Church Street,

Municipal Building,

Martinsville, VA 24112,

For Jason McMurray

Martinsville Circuit Court case

Discovery Request

Under Virginia Code in regards to discovery requirements for misdemeanor and felony trials in the Commonwealth of Virginia, Brady v. Maryland, Giglio v. U.S., Brian David Hill hereby requests a copy of Police Body-Camera footage presumably recorded by Sgt. R.D. Jones of Martinsville Police Department between the times of 3:00AM and 4:00AM, September 21, 2018, where I gave statements about the man wearing the hoodie who had threatened to kill my mother Roberta Hill on the late night of September 20, 2018. Please turn over that Police body camera footage recording evidence copy to my Attorney Scott Albrecht of the Martinsville Public Defender Office, As Soon As Possible. Thank You for your service.

My Respects,

Brian D. Hill

Signed

Brian David Hill #29947-057

Federal Correctional Institution 1

Old NC Hwy 75; P.O. Box 1000

Butner, N.C. 27509

Justice For USINCA.wordpress.com

Dated January 19, 2019.

P.S. Brian Hill has Autism

Spectrum Disorder in DMV

handicap placard records.



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

7018 1830 0001 9309 1586

U.S. Postal Service
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
 Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com®

OFFICIAL USE

Certified Mail Fee **\$3.50** 0362

Postage **\$1.30**


Total Postage and Fees **\$7.60**

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee)

<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (hardcopy)	\$ \$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (electronic)	\$ \$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	\$ \$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required	\$ \$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	\$

Sent To
KE CASSADY - CHIEF OF POLICE
 Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No.
55 WEST CHURCH ST
 City, State, ZIP+4®
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112

PS Form 3800, April 2015 See Reverse for instructions



MARTINSVILLE
 1123 SPRUCE ST
 MARTINSVILLE
 VA
 24112-9998
 5156520362

03/14/2019 (800)275-8777 11:38 AM

Product Description	Sale Qty	Final Price
First-Class Mail Large Envelope (Domestic) (MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112) (Weight:0 Lb 2.60 Oz) (Estimated Delivery Date) (Saturday 03/16/2019)	1	\$1.30
Certified (USPS Certified Mail #) (70181830000193091586)	1	\$3.50
Return Receipt (USPS Return Receipt #) (9590940239158060485685)	1	\$2.80
Total		\$7.60
Cash		\$7.60

Text your tracking number to 28777 (2USPS) to get the latest status. Standard Message and Data rates may apply. You may also visit www.usps.com USPS Tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

Preview your Mail
 Track your Packages
 Sign up for FREE www.informedelivery.com

All sales final on stamps and postage. Refunds for guaranteed services only. Thank you for your business.

HELP US SERVE YOU BETTER
 TELL US ABOUT YOUR RECENT POSTAL EXPERIENCE

Go to:
<https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
 840-5250-0004-003-00038-69612-02
 or scan this code with your mobile device:



or call 1-800-410-7420.

YOUR OPINION COUNTS



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

MARTINSVILLE
1123 SPRUCE ST
MARTINSVILLE
VA

24112-9998
5156520362

03/14/2019 (800)275-8777 11:38 AM

Product Description	Sale Qty	Final Price
First-Class Mail Large Envelope (Domestic) (MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112) (Weight: 0 Lb 2.60 Oz) (Estimated Delivery Date) (Saturday 03/16/2019)	1	\$1.30
Certified (USPS Certified Mail #) (70181830000193091586)	1	\$3.50
Return Receipt (USPS Return Receipt #) (9590940239158060485685)	1	\$2.80
Total		\$7.60
Cash		\$7.60

Text your tracking number to 28777 (2USPS) to get the latest status. Standard Message and Data rates may apply. You may also visit www.usps.com USPS tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE
www.informedelivery.com

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.


HELP US SERVE YOU BETTER

TELL US ABOUT YOUR RECENT
POSTAL EXPERIENCE

Go to:
<https://postalexperience.com/Pos>

840-5250-0604 003-00038-69612-02

or scan this code with
your mobile device:



or call 1-800-410-7420.

YOUR OPTIMAL COURSE

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature Agent
 B. Received by (Printed Name) Addresses
 C. Date of Delivery 3/18/19 Yes No
 D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes No
 If YES, enter delivery address below:

3. Service Type
 Priority Mail Express®
 Registered Mail™
 Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
 Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Signature Confirmation™
 Restricted Delivery

Domestic Return Receipt

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

1. Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
 2. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
 3. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.
 1. Article Addressed to:
 GECASSADY, CHIEF OF POLICE
 55 WEST O'HURCH ST
 MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112

9590 9402 3915 8060 4856 85

2. Article Number (transfer from service label)
 7018 1830 0001 9309 1586 (over \$500)

PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

MARTINSVILLE
1123 SPRUCE ST
MARTINSVILLE
VA

24112-9998
5156520362

03/14/2019 (800)275-8777 11:38 AM

Product Description	Sale Qty	Final Price
First-Class Mail Large Envelope (Domestic) (MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112) (Weight:0 Lb 2.60 Oz) (Estimated Delivery Date) (Saturday 03/16/2019)	1	\$1.30
Certified (USPS Certified Mail #) (70181830000193091586)	1	\$3.50
Return Receipt (USPS Return Receipt #) (9590940239158060485685)	1	\$2.80
Total		\$7.60
Cash		\$7.60

Text your tracking number to 28777 (2USPS) to get the latest status. Standard Message and Data rates may apply. You may also visit www.usps.com USPS Tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
www.informedelivery.com

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.


HELP US SERVE YOU BETTER

TELL US ABOUT YOUR RECENT
POSTAL EXPERIENCE

Go to:
<https://postalexperience.com/Pos>

840-5250-0804-008-00038-69612-02

or scan this code with
your mobile device:



United States
Postal Service

9590 9402 3915 8060 4856 85



USPS TRACKING #
9590 9402 3915 8060 4856 85

First-Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
USPS
Permit No. G-10

NEW STELLA KORINASH
916 CHALMERS ST, APT A
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112

Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4® in this box



Exhibit 2

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP



MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT – CRIMINAL CASE NO. CR19000009-00

Exhibit in attachment to “SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT”



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) CASE NO. 1:13CR435-1
)
vs.)
) Winston-Salem, North Carolina
BRIAN DAVID HILL) September 12, 2019
3:37 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF THE **SUPERVISED RELEASE REVOCATION HEARING**
BEFORE THE HONORABLE THOMAS D. SCHROEDER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Government: ANAND RAMASWAMY, AUSA
Office of the U.S. Attorney
101 S. Edgeworth Street, 4th Floor
Greensboro, North Carolina 27401

For the Defendant: RENORDA E. PRYOR, ESQ
Herring Law Center
1821 Hillandale Road, Suite 1B-220
Durham, North Carolina

Court Reporter: BRIANA L. BELL, RPR
Official Court Reporter
P.O. Box 20991
Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27120



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

INDEX

GOVERNMENT'S WITNESSES:

PAGE:

SERGEANT ROBERT JONES

Direct Examination by Mr. Ramaswamy	11
Cross-Examination by Ms. Pryor	30
Redirect Examination by Mr. Ramaswamy	35
Recross-Examination by Ms. Pryor	36

DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES:

PAGE:

JASON MCMURRAY

Direct Examination by Ms. Pryor	37
Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramaswamy	42
Redirect Examination by Ms. Pryor	44

ROBERTA HILL

Direct Examination by Ms. Pryor	45
Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramaswamy	51
Redirect Examination by Ms. Pryor	53
Recross-Examination by Mr. Ramaswamy	54

EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibits:</u>		<u>Identified</u>	<u>Received</u>
G-1	Contact sheet of photographs found on Defendant's camera	17	18
G-2	Map depicting locations of where photographs found on Defendant's camera were taken	19	29
G-3	Photographs of area	20	29
G-4	Photographs of area	21	29
G-5	Photograph of area	21	29
G-6	Photographs of area	22	29
G-7	Roadmap of locations of where photographs were taken	25	29
G-8	Defendant's August 2018 monthly supervision report found on camera	26	29
G-9	Screenshot of properties for Exhibit No. 8	27	29
G-10	Virginia Code Section 18.2-387	28	29



Cross -- Sgt. Jones

34

1 Q Okay. But as part of your investigation, have you been
2 able to find out whether there were some threatening matters
3 that was sent to him or his family?

4 A I have not heard anything of that, no.

5 Q But do you -- but you didn't do the investigation?

6 A No.

7 Q Did Mr. Hill -- when you approached him, did he tell you
8 that he had autism?

9 A He did.

10 Q And do you guys -- does your -- I would say does your --
11 does the department train you on how to approach someone with
12 autism?

13 A We deal with some academy-wise and not much follow-up
14 after that.

15 Q Did he also tell you that he was a diabetic as well?

16 A I do not recall him telling me that, no.

17 Q Did he tell you that he was also OCD?

18 A Not that I recall.

19 Q And when you took him to the hospital, did they admit him
20 into the hospital that night?

21 A No, they cleared him medically and psychologically and
22 released him to us.

23 Q Okay. Did you get those reports from -- the medical
24 reports?

25 A No, I did not do a subpoena for his hospital records.

870



Redirect -- Sgt. Jones

35

1 Q Okay. Did you speak to a doctor or anyone regarding his
2 condition or anything of that nature that night?

3 A We -- other than just checking with him to see if they
4 were going to be releasing him or admitting him, no.

5 Q Do you recall any tests that were taken that night besides
6 just checking, I believe you said, his knee?

7 A No, ma'am. Like I said, when we -- we also checked him
8 for mental health issues is the reason why they cleared him
9 psychologically, to make sure there was nothing going on there.
10 Once they do that, they do lab work and other stuff. I didn't
11 ask about his medical history.

12 Q Was there any tests dealing with his blood alcohol content
13 or anything of that nature?

14 A I don't know if they did. Like I said, I did not get his
15 records. They normally do, but I do not have that.

16 **MS. PRYOR:** No further questions, Your Honor.

17 **THE COURT:** Any redirect?

18 **MR. RAMASWAMY:** Briefly, Your Honor.

19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

20 **BY MR. RAMASWAMY**

21 Q Counsel asked you about certain businesses and whether or
22 not they were open in this time frame. Are there residences
23 along this trail?

24 A It is.

25 Q Were there residences close to the trail?

871



Redirect -- Sgt. Jones

36

1 A There are.

2 Q Are there residences where there's no obstruction between
3 the residence and the trail?

4 A Yes, sir.

5 Q And this did, in fact, come in on a call of a report of a
6 naked man; correct?

7 A Correct.

8 **MR. RAMASWAMY:** No other questions.

9 **MS. PRYOR:** I just have a follow-up on that.

10 **THE COURT:** All right.

11 RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION

12 **BY MS. PRYOR**

13 Q Were any pictures taken in front of any houses?

14 A Not on the camera that I saw, no.

15 Q And the residences that he mentioned, are those residences
16 behind trees on the trail?

17 A There's some that back up to it that you can see the trail
18 from, along with -- the original call that came in, the trail
19 actually runs right up the side of the road where the original
20 call came in.

21 Q And did that call come in from a resident?

22 A No, it was a passerby in a car.

23 **MS. PRYOR:** Thank you. No further question.

24 **THE COURT:** What time did you say you were on the
25 scene there the first time?

872



1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
3 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I, Briana L. Bell, Official Court Reporter, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Dated this 4th day of November 2019.



Briana L. Bell, RPR
Official Court Reporter



Exhibit 3

USWGO

QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP



MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT – CRIMINAL CASE NO. CR19000009-00

Exhibit in attachment to “SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT”



11/6/2020

Yahoo Mail - Re: Brian D. Hill asked me to send this email to you about his appealed case

Re: Brian D. Hill asked me to send this email to you about his appealed case

From: Eric S Clark (eric@whitestonepublishing.org)
To: kenstella2007@yahoo.com; salbrecht@mar.IDC.Virginia.gov
Cc: rbhill67@yahoo.com
Date: Thursday, December 27, 2018, 11:21 PM EST

Concerning this part:

Also please email Scott Albrecht and see if he wishes to represent me on appeal or notify the Court that he cannot represent me so a new lawyer can be appointed as soon as possible for Circuit Court

If Scott wishes to get involved, he will have to work with Eric Clark since he has the three Virginia appeal case laws that I had messaged him.

Brian already had me forward messages on Christmas day and those messages (MESSAGE 1) had the three case law citations.

Obtaining body cam footage through discovery and an expert witness from REACH (concerning autism) are probably

the key things. Should be able to file a motion in limine or a motion for directed verdict base on those evidences.

**As for the January 28, 2019 Court date, they should allow a "motion for continuance"
IF Brian and his attorney (whether Scott or someone else) are not ready for trial.**

Let me know if there's anything I can do to help.

**Eric Clark
785-214-8904**

From: [Ken & Stella](#)
Sent: Thursday, December 27, 2018 9:46 PM
To: salbrecht@mar.IDC.Virginia.gov
Cc: [Eric S. Clark](#), [Roberta Hill](#)
Subject: Brian D. Hill asked me to send this email to you about his appealed case

Attorney Albrecht:

Brian David Hill, our grandson is in the Western Regional Virginia jail. He has asked us to send you an email with these statements and asking these questions:



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

11/6/2020

Yahoo Mail - Re: Brian D. Hill asked me to send this email to you about his appealed case

Please reply to this email letting us know that you have read this.

My scheduled court date for the Martinsville Circuit Court is January 28, 2019.

I will file a motion for Writ of Actual Innocence and motion for new trial pursuant to Virginia Code Sec. 19.2-265.4 a failure to provide discovery in Misdemeanor and felony cases. The bodycam footage and 911 recording is another one. I will fill out the ADA Accommodation form for my Circuit Court case on January 28, 2019.

Also please email Scott Albrecht and see if he wishes to represent me on appeal or notify the Court that he cannot represent me so a new lawyer can be appointed as soon as possible for Circuit Court

If Scott wishes to get involved, he will have to work with Eric Clark since he has the three Virginia appeal case laws that I had messaged him. (Eric's email address is above).

Brian is also filling out an accommodation form for disabled citizens.

Sincerely,

Ken & Stella Forinash
(Brian David Hill's grandparents)



Exhibit 4

USWGO

QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP



MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT – CRIMINAL CASE NO. CR19000009-00

Exhibit in attachment to “SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT”



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

11/6/2020

Yahoo Mail - Fw: Brian D. Hill request

Fw: Brian D. Hill request

From: Ken & Stella (kenstella2007@yahoo.com)

To: rbhill67@yahoo.com

Date: Wednesday, December 26, 2018, 04:30 PM EST

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Eric S Clark <eric@whitestonepublishing.org>

To: Ken & Stella <kenstella2007@yahoo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, December 26, 2018, 7:27:15 AM EST

Subject: Re: Brian D. Hill request

Yes, if the attorney has not filed by Friday, then take those two documents to the court (Fri or next Wed).

Those documents should work okay.

RE Albrecht was not a good attorney.

Yes and no.

He did bring up the same argument that WON on several appeals but maybe did not identify those cases specifically enough and maybe did not lay out enough facts for the appeal process.

If the appeal is "new trial" then more evidence can be brought up. If the appeal is just review of the trial below, then generally the appeal court will not consider new evidence.

I don't know what evidence the State presented, but I doubt it was enough to show the legal standard of "obscene" was met.

The quotes below are from the appeal court in another case:

"A portrayal of nudity is not, as a matter of law, a sufficient basis for finding that [it] is obscene."

878

The State must establish that Brian's "actions had as their dominant purpose



11/6/2020

Yahoo Mail - Fw: Brian D. Hill request

With "purient interest in sex" meaning

"a shameful or morbid interest in nudity, sexual conduct, sexual excitement, excretory functions or products thereof or sadomasochistic abuse, and which goes substantially beyond customary limits of candor in description or representation of such matters and which, taken as a whole, does not have serious literary, artistic, political or scientific value."

PS

Lowest level (trial court) judges sometimes(often?) do not know the

necessary meanings if the particular law in question so they sometimes rule incorrectly.

Again, I don't know what evidence the State presented, but, at this point, I think Brian has 95+% of wining on appeal.

From: [Ken & Stella](#)

Sent: Wednesday, December 26, 2018 4:48 AM

To: [Eric S Clark](#)

Subject: Re: Brian D. Hill request

Thank you, Eric. We will check to make sure that an appeal is filed by this Friday. If not, do we need to take something to the court ourselves by this Friday? Brian's trial was on Friday, Dec 21st. Court was closed on Sat - Tue Christmas Day. Will be opened this Wed., Thur, Fri. then closed for New Years Sat. - Tuesday. Albrecht was not a good attorney. We saw him lose another case before Brian's. We can't afford an attorney and appreciate all of your help!

On Tuesday, December 25, 2018, 9:58:25 PM EST, Eric S Clark <eric@whitestonepublishing.org> wrote:

I forwarded the information in the bottom email to the Public Defender that represented Brian. It is his DUTY as trial counsel to file the notice of appeal if he knows Brian wants to appeal.

So, there will probably be nothing more you need to do



11/6/2020

Yahoo Mail - Fw: Brian D. Hill request

has been filed already.

I looked at the cases Brian researched and based on those, he should win the appeal.

That said, if the appeal requires a "new trial" rather than just filing a brief to identify the trial court error, I encouraged Brian to seek a PLEA DEAL.

Only because that is an extra avenue to keep from having his supervised release from being revoked. That is, PLEA to a Jaywalking charge and pay a fine, something like that.

It was WRING to hold Brian in jail so long on this charge. Even with a GUILTY, the sentence was only 30 days. It sounds like he was in jail way longer than that before trial. Disgusting.

If the Public Defender wants to stay out of trouble, HE WILL FILE the notice of appeal -- probably right away. :-0)
From here down was sent to his Public Defender's email

From: Eric S Clark
Sent: Tuesday, December 25, 2018 4:46 PM
To: salbrecht@mar.IDC.Virginia.gov
Subject: Brian D. Hill request

I was asked to forward these messages from Brian Hill.

MESSAGE 1

Scott Albrecht can be forwarded my email.

Scott Albrecht salbrecht@mar.IDC.Virginia.gov,

I attempted to file a pro se Notice of Appeal to the Circuit Court on late December 21, 2018, but the mail will go out till Wednesday morning if the jail doesn't fetter with it.

The facts are simple 1. Brian was not aroused in the pictures. Brian hadpsd Autism Spectrum Disorder. Brian exhibited an extreme form of compliance so that his mother Roberta Hill wouldn't be killed. 2. Brian attempted to file two pro se motions to the Clerk of the Martinsville



11/6/2020

Yahoo Mail - Fw: Brian D. Hill request

Nudists can take pictures of themselves and they were not aroused in pictures. The pictures in the case of Brian was to satisfy the guy who threatened to kill his mother. 4. Evidence of coercion exists but was not disclosed under Discovery violating Brady rights. Police bodycam footage during interview of Brian after arrest. The 911 call recording must mention of Brian placing his hand over his mouth or face which is unusual for indecent exposures. There are no Virginia indecent exposure cases with a guy putting his hand over his mouth and the other to his side. Brian has Autism. The witness who called 911 was never subpoenaed. An psychologist expert never reviewed over the pictures, bodycam footage, and interview Brian before testifying at the trial.

Brian was not aroused, Brian was coerced, his whole affidavit should have been presented before the Judge at trial, not bits and pieces of testimony but only the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help me God.

If the court of appeals adopts the three case laws to Brian's situation then he is not guilty of indecent exposure.

A.M v. Commonwealth of Virginia Alexandria, VA Circuit Court Case no 1150-12-4

Kenneth Samuel Moses v. Commonwealth of Virginia Salem, VA Circuit court Case no 0985-03-3

Kimberly F. Neice v. Commonwealth of Virginia Teleconference, VA Circuit Court CASE NO. 1477-09-3

Scott, all three were acquitted based exactly on your argument that I am innocent and didn't do anything indecent. The Court of Appeals may adopt those three rulings. I was never aroused in the photos. Competency was never a good strategy. An evaluation for the photos and an Autism expert under a certified psychology license would determine that I didn't behave appropriate in response to a threatening situation by over-complying. I showed signs of coercion when Iqpw was interviewed by the officer who turned on his body camera and the red recording light was on. That would show coercion as I was shaken up and explaining to the officer what had happened.

Scott I am innocent of indecent exposure based on the evidence that the prosecutor and police knew about and did not present during the trial.

MESSAGE 2

Scott, Eric knows he is forwarding this message and the other message. Scott you know I was wrongfully convicted. I can still win. Three different Appellate rulings.

Scott, Eric is a witness that knows that I wanted to appeal to the Circuit Court. Scott please follow my request as my representative to directly appeal my wrongful conviction.

Thank you Scott and Thank you Eric. God bless you both.

881

Best Regards, Brian D. Hill U.S.W.G.O.



11/6/2020

Yahoo Mail - Fw: Brian D. Hill request

MESSAGE 3

If they can push for a plea deal to a small infraction charge of illegal parking or even Jaywalking or some small stupid infraction charge in a plea deal, my Supervised Release will not be revoked.

Something that carries only a fine.

Mention that to Scott Albrecht.

He can also push for deferred prosecution requiring me to take safety courses.

Exhibit 5

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP

U.S.W.G.O.



MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT – CRIMINAL CASE NO. CR19000009-00

Exhibit in attachment to “SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT”



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

TRANSMISSION VERIFICATION REPORT

TIME : 05/15/2019 06:11PM
NAME : KEN STELLA FORINASH
FAX : 2766322599
SER. # : U63038J1F328116

DATE, TIME	05/15 06:09PM
FAX NO./NAME	2766668929
DURATION	00:01:02
PAGE(S)	02
RESULT	OK
MODE	PHOTO ECM

May 15, 2019

Office of the Public Defender
10 East Main Street // P.O. Drawer 31
Martinsville, Virginia 24112//24114
Phone: 276-666-2206 // Fax: 276-666-8929

CI 8-3138

Dear Scott Albrecht,

I have been released on Federal Bond since yesterday on Tuesday.

The Federal Court will hold any proceedings for the Supervised Release Violation until the final disposition of this State charge.

The stress and anxiety of waiting is affecting my health and this case needs to be resolved As Soon As Possible.

I rather not ask to reschedule the Circuit Court trial Pro Se since I had been released. Please schedule the Trial to early June this year.

I would like to be scheduled the Trial in early June if possible, any day in June instead of July, 2019. I am ready to bring up the carbon monoxide poisoning report and the evidence that I had filed while I was at FCI-1 Butner. I had originally told you that I thought I was drugged. This year new evidence came to my attention and was discovered in late January carbon monoxide was present in my

VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

**COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,
CITY OF MARTINSVILLE,**

v.

CASE NO. CR19000009-00

BRIAN DAVID HILL,

Defendant.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Brian David Hill, appellant pro se, hereby appeals to the Court of Appeals of Virginia from the final judgment of this Court by final order entered November 18, 2019, convicting Mr. Hill of violating Va. Code § 18.2-387 as incorporated by Martinsville City Ordinance 13-17, and sentencing him to 30 days' incarceration.

Mr. Hill also requests appointment of counsel for this appeal, forgives John Jones of his earlier mistake, and asks the Court of Appeals or Circuit Court to appoint John Jones, John Jones Law, PLC, 9520 Iron Bridge Road, Suite 204, Chesterfield, VA 23832, phone: (804) 263-7130, fax: (804) 717-5677, as counsel of record.

A transcript of the testimony and other incidents of the case will be filed. This Notice of Appeal is filed pursuant to the Court of Appeals of Virginia's order entered October 28, 2020, granting Mr. Hill a delayed appeal in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian David Hill, Appellant pro se

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2

7019-1120-0002-2623-
6402

Martinsville, VA 24112
Phone: (276) 790-3505
Appellant pro se

Ally of QAnon

JusticeForUSWGO.NL/Pardon

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Arrest Glen Andrew Hall for his crimes!!!!

U.S.W.G.O.

Brian D. Hill - Ally of QAnon

310 Forest Street, Apartment 2

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

WWG1WGA - Q-Intel Drain the

Swamp MAGA - INVESTIGATE!

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com



CERTIFICATE

The undersigned certifies as follows:

- (1) The name and address of appellant is:
 Brian David Hill
 310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
 Martinsville, VA 24112
 Phone: (276) 790-3505
- (2) Appellant is not represented by counsel at this time.
- (3) The name of appellee is:
 Commonwealth of Virginia
- (4) The name, address, and telephone number of counsel for appellee is:
 G. Andrew Hall
 Martinsville Commonwealth's Attorney
 55 W. Church Street
 Martinsville, VA 24112
 (276) 403-5470
- (5) The appellant has caused to be ordered from the court reporter who reported the case the transcript for filing as required by Rule 5A:8(a).
- (6) The appellant has requested the appointment of counsel.
- (7) A copy of this Notice of Appeal has been mailed to the Martinsville Circuit Court Clerk's Office, to opposing counsel, and to the Clerk of the Court of Appeals of Virginia, all on November __, 2020.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill
Appellant pro se

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT
DATE: 11/12/2020 @15:57:51

U.S.W.G.O.



Brian D. Hill - Ally of QANON
WWG1WGA - Q-Intel - Drain the Swamp MAGA
JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com - INVESTIGATE!

ASHBY PROCTOR HOLE
TELE: *Jennifer Cords*
CLERK DEPUTY CLERK

↙ 3

VIRGINIA
City of Martinsville Circuit Court
Clerk's Office.
Received and Filed this the
12th Day of November 2020
at 3:57 P. M.
T. Clerk

VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

**COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,
CITY OF MARTINSVILLE,**

v.

CASE NO. CR19000009-00

BRIAN DAVID HILL,

Defendant.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Brian David Hill, appellant pro se, hereby appeals to the Court of Appeals of Virginia from this Court's denial, by order entered November 25, 2019, of Mr. Hill's motion to vacate fraudulent begotten judgment in the above-captioned case.

Mr. Hill also requests appointment of counsel for this appeal, forgives John Jones of his earlier mistake, and asks the Court of Appeals or Circuit Court to appoint John Jones, John Jones Law, PLC, 9520 Iron Bridge Road, Suite 204, Chesterfield, VA 23832, as counsel of record.

A transcript of the testimony and other incidents of the case will be filed. This Notice of Appeal is filed pursuant to the Court of Appeals of Virginia's order entered October 28, 2020, granting Mr. Hill a delayed appeal in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian David Hill, Appellant pro se

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
Martinsville, VA 24112
Phone: (276) 790-3505
Appellant pro se

7019-1120-0002-2623-
6402

CERTIFICATE

The undersigned certifies as follows:

- (1) The name and address of appellant is:
 Brian David Hill
 310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
 Martinsville, VA 24112
 Phone: (276) 790-3505
- (2) Appellant is not represented by counsel at this time.
- (3) The name of appellee is:
 Commonwealth of Virginia
- (4) The name, address, and telephone number of counsel for appellee is:
 G. Andrew Hall
 Martinsville Commonwealth's Attorney
 55 W. Church Street
 Martinsville, VA 24112
 (276) 403-5470
- (5) The appellant has caused to be ordered from the court reporter who reported the case the transcript for filing as required by Rule 5A:8(a).
- (6) The appellant has requested the appointment of counsel.
- (7) A copy of this Notice of Appeal has been mailed to the Martinsville Circuit Court Clerk's Office, to opposing counsel, and to the Clerk of the Court of Appeals of Virginia, all on November 12, 2020.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian David Hill
Appellant pro se

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT
DATE: 11/12/2020 @15:58:17

ASHBY PRITCHETT, CLERK

TESTE: *Jenny C. Oplin*
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

Ally of QAnon

JusticeForUSWGO.NL/Pardon

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Arrest Glen Andrew Hall for his crimes!!!!



VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
)	Civil Case No. CL19000331-00
v.)	Civil Case No. CL20000089-00
)	
Brian David Hill,)	
)	SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD
Defendant,)	UPON THE COURT

SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT

COMES NOW criminal defendant, civil Writ of Error Coram Nobis/Vobis Petitioner and civil Writ of Habeas Corpus Petitioner Brian David Hill (“Brian”, “Hill”, “Petitioner”) respectfully files this SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT.

The Defendant attaches the evidence of what he is filing in this case concerning Scott Albrecht being purely ineffective by allowing destruction of evidence that Brian David Hill sought as part of his right to discovery in his criminal case; was made aware that Brian David Hill wanted the body camera footage that was recorded by Officer Robert Jones on the night of September 21, 2018, and Scott Albrecht never asked to obtain the footage by litigation hold letter or subpoena despite repeated requests by Brian Hill and/or Eric Clark and/or his family for the body-camera footage. Scott Albrecht was Brian’s court appointed attorney between the time he was appointed in September or October, 2018, and some point in June, 2019, Scott Albrecht had left the Martinsville Public Defender Office and Brian’s lawyer was replaced with Lauren McGarry. However, for the issues that will be

7019-1120-0002-2623-6402

raised here in this fraud upon the court evidence filing by Petitioner Brian David Hill, Lauren McGarry is a side issue and Brian will focus on Scott Albrecht.

Brian also attaches further evidence of potential fraud and deception in regards to the original charging officer Sgt. Robert Jones who had charged Petitioner Brian Hill on September 21, 2018. See **EXHIBIT 2** for the proof. **Exhibit 2** shows oral testimony that was transcribed during a Federal Court hearing concerning the Supervised Release Violation over the very same Virginia State Charge that was lodged against Brian David Hill on September 21, 2018. That this evidence is credible and relevant evidence to help demonstrate the fraud and explain how it is fraudulent.

TRANSCRIPT (Page 3 of **EXHIBIT 2**):

Q Okay. But as part of your investigation, have you been able to find out whether there were some threatening matters that was sent to him or his family?

A I have not heard anything of that, no.

Q But do you -- but you didn't do the investigation?

A No.

Right there cited from the Transcript proves to this Court that there was no thorough investigation. None of the officers of Martinsville Police Department ever asked Brian D. Hill or Roberta Hill to produce the evidence of the threatening greeting card that Brian had allegedly claimed. In fact, in the last "NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT" and the very same photographs filed in Brian's Petition for Writ of Error Coram Vobis/Nobis, case no. CL20000089-00 evidence was filed showing that the envelope signed for by Police Chief G. E. Cassady was never even opened. It was turned over to the Commonwealth Attorney who also never opened the envelope. So again, this Martinsville Police Department had

refused to investigate any evidence in regards to Brian David Hill's claims that he was threatened to get naked and take photos of himself. It is hard to believe that they claim they could never find the guy wearing the hoodie, this "black man" wearing a hoodie when Brian trying to correct the record that he never said "black man" but said sounded like a white guy but wearing a "black hoodie". They got his alleged description wrong, they never investigated anything to even be worthy of proving to this Court that somehow Brian David Hill was wrong because they claimed they had never found a guy wearing a hoodie, but yet they admit they really conducted no actual thorough investigation. They never tested Brian for drugs. They never asked for the Laboratory results after the Sovah Hospital drew blood from Brian's veins. Now let's go back to the Transcript and see what else this witness Robert Jones had to say in regards to the indecent exposure charge/case.

TRANSCRIPT (Page 3 of EXHIBIT 2):

Q Did Mr. Hill -- when you approached him, did he tell you that he had autism?

A He did.

Q And do you guys -- does your -- I would say does your -- does the department train you on how to approach someone with autism?

A We deal with some academy-wise and not much follow-up after that.

Q Did he also tell you that he was a diabetic as well?

A I do not recall him telling me that, no.

Q Did he tell you that he was also OCD?

A Not that I recall.

Q And when you took him to the hospital, did they admit him into the hospital that night?

A No, they cleared him medically and psychologically and released him to us.

Q Okay. Did you get those reports from -- the medical reports?

A No, I did not do a subpoena for his hospital records.

Still that was pretty lousy for an investigator or police officer who was supposed to conduct a reasonable and pretty thorough investigation before considering a criminal charge against somebody that can potentially ruin somebody's life like that of Brian David Hill. Never subpoenaed for his Hospital Records when Brian made multiple claims that he thought he was drugged yet nobody actually wanted to verify whether Brian David Hill was telling the truth on being drugged or not. Didn't even know that Brian was diabetic even though the Jail would know. Diabetes does affect the behavior of somebody. Low blood sugar can impair brain functions and make somebody appear to be confused and/or drunk. The Hospital never actually checked for Brian's blood sugar before Brian was arrested, had exhibited tachycardia but was not committed to the Hospital to even try to find out why. This whole criminal investigation was very sketchy and should not have been prosecuted to such an extent where Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., had clearly taken it. He does not care about the truth, he does not care about the facts, all he cares about is winning each and every one of his cases to make his prosecutorial record look good for if he ever went into private practice with such a good looking record.

TRANSCRIPT (Page 4 of EXHIBIT 2):

Q Okay. Did you speak to a doctor or anyone regarding his

condition or anything of that nature that night?

A We -- other than just checking with him to see if they were going to be releasing him or admitting him, no.

Q Do you recall any tests that were taken that night besides just checking, I believe you said, his knee?

A No, ma'am. Like I said, when we -- we also checked him for mental health issues is the reason why they cleared him psychologically, to make sure there was nothing going on there. Once they do that, they do lab work and other stuff. I didn't ask about his medical history.

Q Was there any tests dealing with his blood alcohol content or anything of that nature?

A I don't know if they did. Like I said, I did not get his records. They normally do, but I do not have that.

That is interesting that the Police Officer said that they normally "do lab work and other stuff." And yet the Sovah Hospital did no Laboratory Work and threw away the blood vials, that itself is fraudulent as they said Brian David Hill was medically cleared, had fooled ignorant Officer Robert Jones into believing that "they do lab work and other stuff" but the evidence showed that they neglected to even do such. That itself is fraudulent. NO MEDICAL LAB TESTS while Officer Robert Jones thought they medically cleared him and did the laboratory work.

UNBELIEVABLE!!!!!!!!!! Why Brian would falsely withdraw his appeal when his ineffective counsel Matthew Clark was not going to bring any of these issues up when all of that would have shown a defect in the elements that were prosecuted by Glen Andrew Hall, Esquire, the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville. Officer Jones who would have testified at Brian's scheduled "Jury Trial" would have likely made the same statements that he assumed that lab tests were done and

other stuff but then the Sovah Hospital released Defendant Brian David Hill to Jail without laboratory tests while Officer Robert Jones was convinced that they did. That is serious poopooing of an investigation. The Officers were clearly ignorant and this was not a thorough investigation worthy of prosecuting this very criminal case. If Brian had a half-decent court appointed lawyer or even a private lawyer, all of this would have been brought out and Brian David Hill would have been found not-guilty in the General District Court or even the Circuit Court.

I don't care what justification CORRUPT COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY Glen Andrew Hall has to say here. Brian was NOT IN FACT medically cleared. Does neglecting to conduct the laboratory tests and the Police Officer not even knowing what the potential lab results were when they were never done behind the scenes sound like a true medical cleared?

Does neglecting to check Brian's diabetic blood sugar glucose sound like a true medical cleared?

Does neglecting investigate why Brian had multiple "Vital Signs" showing abnormally high resting blood pulse of over "100" which its medical term is called "tachycardia", ask any medical Doctor about this term, does that sound like a true medical cleared?

Brian was NOT medically cleared by the standards that clearly should have been set here. A police officer who thought Brian was medically cleared, that he was A-Okay to be charged with indecent exposure and have his Supervised Release Revoked, thought lab tests were done and other stuff but he assumed WRONG. It was NOT TRUE. There is clear fraud upon the court since the very beginning of this prosecution by Glen Andrew Hall, Esquire. **There clearly needs to be an ACQUITTAL of Brian David Hill of this charge.** Brian can't go back in a time

machine and ask the Hospital to conduct thorough laboratory tests, the evidence destruction is too late and NOT Brian's FAULT by any means. This Court clearly needs to reexamine the entire prosecution and guilty verdict on December 21, 2018. This guilty verdict is clearly erroneous. How much evidence must Brian mail to this Court, FAX to this Court, before this Court corrects the wrongful conviction of Brian David Hill?

EXHIBIT 3 clearly shows an email addressed to Attorney Scott Albrecht in regards to "*Obtaining body cam footage through discovery*". That proves Brian David Hill wanted his court appointed lawyer to obtain the body-camera footage as part of his Brady materials aka Discovery materials in his criminal case.

It appears that Brian's family received Brian's messages through SmartJailMail from Western Virginia Regional Jail and Brian wanted this information to be emailed or faxed to Scott Albrecht:

EXHIBIT 3 Quote: "I will file a motion for Writ of Actual Innocence and motion for new trial pursuant to Virginia Code Sec. 19.2-265.4 a failure to provide discovery in Misdemeanor and felony cases. The bodycam footage and 911 recording is another one. I will fill out the ADA Accommodation form for my Circuit Court case on January 28, 2019."

So, Brian's lawyer Scott Albrecht had sat on potential Brady evidence material that had become spoliated aka spoliation of evidence. He knew as a lawyer that Law Enforcement agencies have an evidence retention period. It was Scott Albrecht's duty as an officer of the court to protect Brian's constitutional Brady rights by filing with Sovah Hospital and with Martinsville Police Department, a subpoena or a litigation hold letter asking the Hospital and Police Department to hold onto any potential evidence that Brian had requested. Brian said that he thought he was drugged and initially told his attorney that during his first meeting with his attorney

according to statements he had made in **EXHIBIT 5** in a fax to his lawyer at the time.

Brian said this in **EXHIBIT 5**:

I had originally told you that I thought I was drugged. This year new evidence came to my attention and was discovered in late January carbon monoxide was present in my Apartment and was witnessed by Roberta Hill, the expert who found evidence of carbon monoxide damage, and even my Probation Officer Jason McMurray saw the damage of the carbon monoxide cause and effect.

Why did Brian's defense attorney not file a motion compelling a Court-Ordered DRUG TEST or any kind of lab test to see what was going on?????????? Why did Martinsville Police not request a DRUG TEST as would clearly be their right with the way Brian was naked at night on a walking trail falling into a creek with cuts and abrasions on his body?????? Why did Glen Andrew Hall, Esquire, not ask the Court to drug test Brian???????????????? Too late as the blood vials were destroyed.

This proves serious ignorance, negligence, and incompetence from the Police Department, from Sovah Hospital, from Brian's court appointed lawyers, and even from the prosecutor Glen Andrew Hall. All are incompetent and ignorant.

An email addressed to Scott Abrecht at his IDC email address also mentioned:

EXHIBIT 4, Page 3: "Evidence of coercion exists but was not disclosed under Discovery violating Brady rights. Police bodycam footage during interview of Brian after arrest."

EXHIBIT 6, clearly shows that Brian D. Hill had mailed multiple letters to Police Chief G. E. Cassady asking for the body-camera footage to be turned over to Brian's lawyer. Brian's court appointed lawyer Matthew Clark told Brian and his family in 2019, that the body-camera footage was likely destroyed and could not get ahold of it due to going past the six-month retention period for Police Body-

Camera footage. So, Scott Albrecht had sat on potential evidence and let it become spoliated also termed as spoliation of evidence and then Matthew Clark acted as though there was clearly nothing, nothing that he could do to obtain the destroyed evidence that Scott Albrecht allowed to be destroyed which likely benefited Glen Andrew Hall. That right there is a MAJOR WRONG. Total deprivation of Brian's constitutional due process rights to his Brady Materials under Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) and Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972). Matthew Clark also told Brian and his family that no law requires laboratory tests or drug testing and that was one of the factors of what led to Brian David Hill falsely accepting the decision of the General District Court by withdrawing his appeal. However, this incompetence proves that the Police assumed there was a lab test but yet there was no lab test and so there is no way that Brian David Hill was ever proven to be medically cleared. There was no clear and convincing evidence that Brian David Hill was medically cleared, maybe psychologically cleared, yes, but should not have been medically cleared. That was premature and erroneous and thus cannot be established as a FACT by the Commonwealth or even by the Federal Prosecutor or even by the U.S. Probation Office.

Exhibits LIST and page number range will have the following evidence:

EXHIBIT 1. Declaration by Brian David Hill certifying that all Exhibits are true and correct copies of original records.

EXHIBIT 2. Six pages excerpt of 81-page Transcript from U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, with the testimony statements of Martinsville Police Department Sergeant Robert Jones, the same officer who arrested and charged Brian with indecent exposure on September 21, 2018, and is relevant in this criminal case. Transcribed by Briana L. Bell, RPR, Official Court Reporter who has transcribed this testimony at a federal court hearing. This Court

can ask for the entire Transcript to authenticate the record if necessary. Her email address for this Court or the Commonwealth Attorney to contact to confirm authenticity is at: brinesbit@gmail.com. Her name was Briana Nesbit but later changed to Briana Bell. Direct contact number is 336-734-2514. Transcript dated as November 4, 2019. Total of 6 pages.

EXHIBIT 3. Two-page print-out of an email that Roberta Hill had printed for Brian David Hill to use in this criminal case as evidence. Entitled “Re: Brian D. Hill asked me to send this email to you about his appealed case”. Emailed to Brian’s court appointed lawyer Scott Albrecht at the time through his Indigent Defense Commission email: salbrecht@mar.IDC.Virginia.gov. Total of 2 pages.

EXHIBIT 4. Five-page print-out of an email conversation that Roberta Hill had printed for Brian David Hill to use in this criminal case as evidence. Entitled “Fw: Brian D. Hill request”, “Re: Brian D. Hill request”, “Re: Brian D. Hill request”, and “Brian D. Hill request”. Shows in Page 3 an email to Brian’s court appointed lawyer Scott Albrecht at the time through his Indigent Defense Commission email: salbrecht@mar.IDC.Virginia.gov. Total of 5 pages.

EXHIBIT 5. Two-page photocopy of a 1-page FAX letter to Attorney Scott Albrecht. As well as a “Transmission Verification Report”. Says it had faxed two pages and it likely was a cover page but was omitted from this Exhibit. It was transmitted to the Office of the Public Defender fax number on May 15, 2019, 6:09PM. Total of 2 pages.

EXHIBIT 6. Seven-page photocopy of what appears to be a letter that Brian’s grandparents Kenneth Forinash and Stella Forinash had mailed to Martinsville Police Chief G. E. Cassady. It appears that it was likely signed for by a different officer. Pages 1 and 2 show a typed of version of the written letter that Brian had

mailed to the Police Chief asking for the body-camera footage about the incident on September 21, 2018, and give it to his lawyer as part of the discovery process. Page 3 shows what appears to be a scan of the envelope. Page 4 shows a photocopy of the original handwritten letter with something else written on it saying “For Jason McMurray”. That was probably a goof and the words “For Jason McMurray” were probably not in the original letter. It was photocopied using the photocopying machine at the Federal Correctional Institution I at Butner, North Carolina. Pages 5 through 7 are of both sides of the “Return Receipt” mailed by Brian’s grandparents and the certified mail receipt as well as the U.S. Postal Service receipt. Total of 7 pages.

EXHIBIT NO.	Page Range	Date:
EXHIBIT 1	1-5	November 7, 2020
EXHIBIT 2	6-12	November 4, 2019
EXHIBIT 3	13-15	December 27, 2018
EXHIBIT 4	16-21	December 26, 2018
EXHIBIT 5	22-24	May 15, 2019
EXHIBIT 6	25-32	January, 2019, March, 2019

WHEREFORE, the undersigned Defendant files further evidence showing FRAUD UPON THE COURT as follows:

1. That the original charge on September 21, 2018, and the trial on December 21, 2018, prosecutor Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., prosecuted the element of guilt that Brian David Hill was medically cleared as if Brian Hill had a clean bill of health with laboratory tests certifying such when evidence of medical neglect and lies show otherwise. Lab tests were never conducted after being ordered originally and then was to be deleted from the chart. They knowingly discharged a patient when showing symptoms of tachycardia on

record for about approximately a full hour and never checked his blood sugar not knowing what his diabetic blood glucose was as there is no evidence in the Sovah Hospital Medical record on September 21, 2018, that Brian's blood sugar was ever checked before Brian David Hill was arrested. This is evidence of MEDICAL NEGLECT, INCOMPETENCE, MAYBE EVEN CORRUPTION, who knows;

2. That Martinsville Police Department failed and both Brian's court appointed lawyer Scott Albrecht and Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew Hall sat on evidence that Brian had requested before it's spoliation, including a request that Brian David Hill said that he thought he was drugged and that clearly demonstrated that he should have been given laboratory tests or drug tests or whatever; instead allowing blood vial or vials to being spoliated aka spoliation of evidence;
3. That Brian David Hill had faxed his lawyer showing that he had made an earlier statement in 2018 about the thought of being drugged and yet his lawyer failed or refused to file a subpoena or litigation hold letter to Sovah Hospital on Brian's drawn blood samples and have them drug tested;
4. That Brian David Hill had emailed his family using SmartJailMail from Western Virginia Regional Jail showing that he had wanted his lawyer Scott Albrecht to obtain the Martinsville Police Department body-camera footage as part of his criminal case discovery materials pursuant to Brady and Giglio, but his lawyer Scott Albrecht had failed or refused to file a subpoena or litigation hold letter to Martinsville Police Department on Brian's claim that the Police had body-camera footage of the incident on September 21, 2018, thus that evidence was also destroyed aka spoliation of evidence thanks to Glen Andrew Hall, G.E. Cassady the Police Chief, and/or Scott

Albrecht repeatedly ignoring Brian's requests for the body-camera footage that could have been favorable to the defense;

5. That Martinsville Police Department and Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew Hall prosecuted a case where the elements of guilt, where there were elements of the charge that were proven wrong/false beyond a reasonable doubt. That both Martinsville Police Department and Commonwealth Attorney Glen Andrew Hall had allowed evidence to be destroyed and ignored Brian's repeated written pleas asking for the additional discovery materials relevant to the guilt or innocence of Brian David Hill and relevant to his charge on September 21, 2018, originally in the General District Court of Martinsville.

Once evidence is destroyed, physical evidence, biological evidence, it can never be recovered. Brian David Hill can and will never face a fair jury trial in this criminal case as a result of this much level of FRAUD and spoliation of evidence.

Destruction of evidence will negatively affect Brian's success rate at winning the jury trial for his charge of indecent exposure under Virginia Code § 18.2-387. The destruction of evidence will forever hurt Brian David Hill and had caused Matthew Clark his court appointed lawyer to beg him and his family to pressure Brian David Hill to withdraw his appeal and accept the decision of the lower court. All of this is wrong, deprives Brian of all of his basic Constitutional rights. Deprives Brian of his rights under Brady and Giglio. Deprives Brian of his right to a fair trial.

Deprives Brian of proving actual innocence by evidence being destroyed and allowed to being destroyed by both the Commonwealth Attorney and Brian's defense attorneys despite Brian repeatedly making statements in total opposite of destroying evidence. This is contradictory and his court appointed lawyer was clearly working against him and working against his Constitutional rights. His

court appointed lawyers have clearly worked with or in favor of Glen Andrew Hall in his fraudulent prosecution. Brian David Hill should never have been convicted; all of this is a shame of a case. It is not worthy of a guilty verdict.

Case law in support of this NOTICE:

Where falsification occurs in the midst of ongoing judicial proceedings, and is specifically directed at affecting those proceedings, it often is termed “fraud on the court.” A court, as an exercise of this inherent authority, may sanction fraud on the court through dismissal (if the falsifier is the plaintiff) or default (if the falsifier is the defendant).


Some examples are: *Breezevale Ltd. v. Dickinson*, 879 A.2d 957, 964 (D.C. 2005) (affirming sanction of dismissal where top executives of plaintiff company engaged in scheme to forge documents and subsequently denied the forgery in pleadings and sworn testimony); *Synanon Found., Inc. v. Bernstein*, 503 A.2d 1254, 1263 (D.C. 1986) (affirming sanction of dismissal where plaintiff, inter alia, destroyed audiotapes and made false statements to the court “that no responsive documents could be found” in order “to deceive the court, and to improperly influence the court in its decision on the defendants’ motions to compel, with the ultimate aim of preventing the judicial process from operating in an impartial fashion”); *Cox v. Burke*, 706 So. 2d 43 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1998) (affirming sanction of dismissal where plaintiff gave false answers to interrogatories and deceptive deposition testimony); *Pope v. Fed. Express Corp.*, 974 F.2d 982, 984 (8th Cir. 1992) (affirming sanction of dismissal for plaintiff’s forgery of, and reliance on, a single document); *Aoude v. Mobil Oil Corp.*, 892 F.2d 1115 (1st Cir. 1989) (affirming dismissal where plaintiff concocted a single document); *Tramel v. Bass*, 672 So. 2d 78, 82 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1996) (affirming default judgment against defendant who excised damaging six-second portion of videotape before

producing it during discovery). See 501 U.S. at 56–57; see also Synanon Found., Inc. v. Bernstein, 517 A.2d 28, 43 (D.C. 1986) (once a party embarks on a “pattern of fraud,” and “[r]egardless of the relevance of these [fraudulent] materials to the substantive legal issue in the case,” this is enough to “completely taint [the party’s] entire litigation strategy from the date on which the abuse actually began”).

It has always been understood—the inference, indeed, is one of the simplest in human experience—that a party’s falsehood or other fraud in the preparation and presentation of his cause, his fabrication or suppression of evidence by bribery or spoliation, and all similar conduct is receivable against him as an indication of his consciousness that his case is a weak or unfounded one; and from that consciousness may be inferred the fact itself of the cause’s lack of truth and merit. The inference thus does not necessarily apply to any specific fact in the cause, but operates, indefinitely though strongly, against the whole mass of alleged facts constituting his cause. The arguments cited from Attorney report titled “Responding to Falsification of Evidence” by Jonathan K. Tycko. Jonathan K. Tycko is a partner with Tycko Zavareei & Spiva LLP in Washington, D.C. He can be reached at (202) 973-0900 or by email at jtycko@tzslaw.com.

Hill respectfully files this SECOND NOTICE with this honorable Court, this the 7th day of November, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,


Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)
310 Forest Street, Apartment 2
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
Phone #: (276) 790-3505

U.S.W.G.O.

Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com/Pardon

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

This pleading and all its attached Exhibits had been transmitted by facsimile to the Office of the Hon. Ashby Pritchett, Clerk's office at the Martinsville Circuit Court on November 2, 2020, at the address of 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112 and at Fax: (276) 403-5232.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this the 7th day of November, 2020, a true copy of the foregoing NOTICE/Pleading and all its attached Exhibits was transmitted by facsimile to Glen Andrew Hall, Esq., at the office of the Commonwealth Attorney of Martinsville, at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112, counsel for Plaintiff of the Commonwealth of Virginia, Fax: 276-403-5478.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 2

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT
DATE: 11/12/2020 @15:59:08

U.S.W.G.O.

Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

ASHBY PRITCHETT, CLERK

TESTE: *Jennifer C. Coplin*
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

Exhibit 1

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP

U.S.W.G.O.



MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT – CRIMINAL CASE NO. CR19000009-00

Exhibit in attachment to “SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT”

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

)	
Commonwealth of Virginia,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
)	
v.)	
)	DECLARATION OF BRIAN DAVID
Brian David Hill,)	HILL IN SUPPORT OF SECOND
)	NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE
Defendant,)	COURT

DECLARATION OF BRIAN DAVID HILL IN SUPPORT OF SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT

COMES NOW Brian David Hill, and in pursuant to Virginia Code § 8.01-4.3, I affirm the following facts under penalty of perjury with my statement of facts before this Court:

I am Brian David Hill, the criminal defendant in this criminal case CR19000009-00, Writ of Habeas Corpus filer under case no. CL19000331-00, and Writ of Coram Nobis/Vobis filer under case no. CL20000089-00. I hereby make my following statement of facts regarding the various Exhibits filed in my pleading known as “**SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT**”. I am attempting to demonstrate different issues to this Court as to why I personally believe and am asserting that the wrongful conviction of myself, Brian David Hill, in the above captioned case is a fraud upon the court that was wrongfully prosecuted by Glen Andrew Hall, Esquire, and should not stand as judgment as the facts come out by my various pro se filings.

I hereby certify that EXHIBIT 2 is a true and correct copy of six pages of excerpt of the 81-page Transcript from U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, with the testimony statements of Martinsville Police Department Sergeant Robert Jones, the same officer who arrested and charged Brian with indecent exposure on September 21, 2018, and is relevant in this criminal case. Transcribed by Briana L. Bell, RPR, Official Court Reporter who has transcribed this testimony at a federal court hearing. This Court can ask for the entire Transcript to authenticate the record if necessary. Her email address for this Court or the Commonwealth Attorney to contact to confirm authenticity is at: brinesbit@gmail.com. Her name was Briana Nesbit but later changed to Briana Bell. Direct contact number is 336-734-2514. Transcript dated as November 4, 2019. Total of 6 pages. I excerpt on Page 1 is of Page 1, Page 2 is of Page 2. Page 3 of this Exhibit is Page 34 of the record. Page 4 of this Exhibit is Page 35 of the record. Page 5 of this Exhibit is Page 36 of the record. Page 6 of this Exhibit is Page 81 of the record, the final page of the Transcript to prove that the pages did come from a certified transcript, meaning that the Court Reporter had certified that the Transcript is a “a true and correct transcript of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.” That should affirm proof.

I hereby certify that EXHIBIT 3 is a true and correct copy of a two-page print-out of an email that Roberta Hill had printed for Brian David Hill to use in this criminal case as evidence. Entitled “Re: Brian D. Hill asked me to send this email to you about his appealed case”. Emailed to Brian’s court appointed lawyer Scott Albrecht at the time through his Indigent Defense Commission email: salbrecht@mar.IDC.Virginia.gov. Total of 2 pages.

I hereby certify that EXHIBIT 4 is a true and correct copy of a five-page print-out of an email conversation that Roberta Hill had printed for Brian David Hill to use

in this criminal case as evidence. Entitled “Fw: Brian D. Hill request”, “Re: Brian D. Hill request”, “Re: Brian D. Hill request”, and “Brian D. Hill request”. Shows in Page 3 an email to Brian’s court appointed lawyer Scott Albrecht at the time through his Indigent Defense Commission email:

salbrecht@mar.IDC.Virginia.gov. Total of 5 pages.

I hereby certify that EXHIBIT 5 is a true and correct copy of a 1-page FAX letter to Attorney Scott Albrecht. As well as a “Transmission Verification Report”. Says it had faxed two pages and it likely was a cover page but was omitted from this Exhibit. It was transmitted to the Office of the Public Defender fax number on May 15, 2019, 6:09PM. Total of 2 pages.

I hereby certify that EXHIBIT 6 is a true and correct copy of a seven-page photocopy of what appears to be a letter that Brian’s grandparents Kenneth Forinash and Stella Forinash had mailed to Martinsville Police Chief G. E. Cassady. It appears that it was likely signed for by a different officer. Pages 1 and 2 show a typed of version of the written letter that Brian had mailed to the Police Chief asking for the body-camera footage about the incident on September 21, 2018, and give it to his lawyer as part of the discovery process. Page 3 shows what appears to be a scan of the envelope. Page 4 shows a photocopy of the original handwritten letter with something else written on it saying “For Jason McMurray”. That was probably a goof and the words “For Jason McMurray” were probably not in the original letter. It was photocopied using the photocopying machine at the Federal Correctional Institution I at Butner, North Carolina. Pages 5 through 7 are of both sides of the “Return Receipt” mailed by Brian’s grandparents and the certified mail receipt as well as the U.S. Postal Service receipt. Total of 7 pages.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 7, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 2

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505

U.S.W.G.O.

Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com/Pardon

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill

Exhibit 2

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP

U.S.W.G.O.



MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT – CRIMINAL CASE NO. CR19000009-00

Exhibit in attachment to “SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT”

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) CASE NO. 1:13CR435-1
4))
4 vs.))
5 BRIAN DAVID HILL) Winston-Salem, North Carolina
) September 12, 2019
) 3:37 p.m.

6
7
8 TRANSCRIPT OF THE **SUPERVISED RELEASE REVOCATION HEARING**
9 BEFORE THE HONORABLE THOMAS D. SCHROEDER
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11 APPEARANCES:

12 For the Government: ANAND RAMASWAMY, AUSA
13 Office of the U.S. Attorney
14 101 S. Edgeworth Street, 4th Floor
 Greensboro, North Carolina 27401

15 For the Defendant: RENORDA E. PRYOR, ESQ
16 Herring Law Center
17 1821 Hillandale Road, Suite 1B-220
 Durham, North Carolina

18 Court Reporter: BRIANA L. BELL, RPR
19 Official Court Reporter
20 P.O. Box 20991
 Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27120

21
22
23
24 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenotype reporter.
25 Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.Gl

1	INDEX		
2	<u>GOVERNMENT'S WITNESSES:</u>		<u>PAGE:</u>
3	SERGEANT ROBERT JONES		
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Ramaswamy		11
	Cross-Examination by Ms. Pryor		30
5	Redirect Examination by Mr. Ramaswamy		35
	Recross-Examination by Ms. Pryor		36
6			
7	<u>DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES:</u>		<u>PAGE:</u>
8	JASON MCMURRAY		
9	Direct Examination by Ms. Pryor		37
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramaswamy		42
10	Redirect Examination by Ms. Pryor		44
11	ROBERTA HILL		
12	Direct Examination by Ms. Pryor		45
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramaswamy		51
13	Redirect Examination by Ms. Pryor		53
	Recross-Examination by Mr. Ramaswamy		54
14			
15	EXHIBITS		
16	<u>Exhibits:</u>	<u>Identified</u>	<u>Received</u>
17	G-1 Contact sheet of photorgraphs found on Defendant's camera	17	18
18	G-2 Map depicting locations of where photographs found on Defendant's camera were taken	19	29
19	G-3 Photographs of area	20	29
	G-4 Photographs of area	21	29
20	G-5 Photograph of area	21	29
	G-6 Photographs of area	22	29
21	G-7 Roadmap of locations of where photographs were taken	25	29
22	G-8 Defendant's August 2018 monthly supervision report found on	26	29
23	camera		
	G-9 Screenshot of properties for	27	29
24	Exhibit No. 8		
	G-10 Virginia Code Section 18.2-387	28	29
25			

1 Q Okay. But as part of your investigation, have you been
2 able to find out whether there were some threatening matters
3 that was sent to him or his family?

4 A I have not heard anything of that, no.

5 Q But do you -- but you didn't do the investigation?

6 A No.

7 Q Did Mr. Hill -- when you approached him, did he tell you
8 that he had autism?

9 A He did.

10 Q And do you guys -- does your -- I would say does your --
11 does the department train you on how to approach someone with
12 autism?

13 A We deal with some academy-wise and not much follow-up
14 after that.

15 Q Did he also tell you that he was a diabetic as well?

16 A I do not recall him telling me that, no.

17 Q Did he tell you that he was also OCD?

18 A Not that I recall.

19 Q And when you took him to the hospital, did they admit him
20 into the hospital that night?

21 A No, they cleared him medically and psychologically and
22 released him to us.

23 Q Okay. Did you get those reports from -- the medical
24 reports?

25 A No, I did not do a subpoena for his hospital records.

1 Q Okay. Did you speak to a doctor or anyone regarding his
2 condition or anything of that nature that night?

3 A We -- other than just checking with him to see if they
4 were going to be releasing him or admitting him, no.

5 Q Do you recall any tests that were taken that night besides
6 just checking, I believe you said, his knee?

7 A No, ma'am. Like I said, when we -- we also checked him
8 for mental health issues is the reason why they cleared him
9 psychologically, to make sure there was nothing going on there.
10 Once they do that, they do lab work and other stuff. I didn't
11 ask about his medical history.

12 Q Was there any tests dealing with his blood alcohol content
13 or anything of that nature?

14 A I don't know if they did. Like I said, I did not get his
15 records. They normally do, but I do not have that.

16 **MS. PRYOR:** No further questions, Your Honor.

17 **THE COURT:** Any redirect?

18 **MR. RAMASWAMY:** Briefly, Your Honor.

19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

20 **BY MR. RAMASWAMY**

21 Q Counsel asked you about certain businesses and whether or
22 not they were open in this time frame. Are there residences
23 along this trail?

24 A It is.

25 Q Were there residences close to the trail?

1 A There are.

2 Q Are there residences where there's no obstruction between
3 the residence and the trail?

4 A Yes, sir.

5 Q And this did, in fact, come in on a call of a report of a
6 naked man; correct?

7 A Correct.

8 **MR. RAMASWAMY:** No other questions.

9 **MS. PRYOR:** I just have a follow-up on that.

10 **THE COURT:** All right.

11 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION**

12 **BY MS. PRYOR**

13 Q Were any pictures taken in front of any houses?

14 A Not on the camera that I saw, no.

15 Q And the residences that he mentioned, are those residences
16 behind trees on the trail?

17 A There's some that back up to it that you can see the trail
18 from, along with -- the original call that came in, the trail
19 actually runs right up the side of the road where the original
20 call came in.

21 Q And did that call come in from a resident?

22 A No, it was a passerby in a car.

23 **MS. PRYOR:** Thank you. No further question.

24 **THE COURT:** What time did you say you were on the
25 scene there the first time?

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

3 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

4
5
6 I, Briana L. Bell, Official Court Reporter, certify
7 that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript
8 of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

9
10 Dated this 4th day of November 2019.


11
12
13 
14 Briana L. Bell, RPR
15 Official Court Reporter
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Exhibit 3

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP

U.S.W.G.O.



MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT – CRIMINAL CASE NO. CR19000009-00

Exhibit in attachment to “SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT”

Re: Brian D. Hill asked me to send this email to you about his appealed case

From: Eric S Clark (eric@whitestonepublishing.org)

To: kenstella2007@yahoo.com; salbrecht@mar.IDC.Virginia.gov

Cc: rbhill67@yahoo.com

Date: Thursday, December 27, 2018, 11:21 PM EST

Concerning this part:

Also please email Scott Albrecht and see if he wishes to represent me on appeal or notify the Court that he cannot represent me so a new lawyer can be appointed as soon as possible for Circuit Court

If Scott wishes to get involved, he will have to work with Eric Clark since he has the three Virginia appeal case laws that I had messaged him.

Brian already had me forward messages on Christmas day and those messages (MESSAGE 1) had the three case law citations.

Obtaining body cam footage through discovery and an expert witness from REACH (concerning autism) are probably

the key things. Should be able to file a motion in limine or a motion for directed verdict base on those evidences.

**As for the January 28, 2019 Court date, they should allow a "motion for continuance"
IF Brian and his attorney (whether Scott or someone else) are not ready for trial.**

Let me know if there's anything I can do to help.

Eric Clark

785-214-8904

From: [Ken & Stella](#)

Sent: Thursday, December 27, 2018 9:46 PM

To: salbrecht@mar.IDC.Virginia.gov

Cc: [Eric S. Clark](#) ; [Roberta Hill](#)

Subject: Brian D. Hill asked me to send this email to you about his appealed case

Attorney Albrecht:

Brian David Hill, our grandson is in the Western Regional Virginia jail. He has asked us to send you an email with these statements and asking these questions:

Please reply to this email letting us know that you have read this.

My scheduled court date for the Martinsville Circuit Court is January 28, 2019.

I will file a motion for Writ of Actual Innocence and motion for new trial pursuant to Virginia Code Sec. 19.2-265.4 a failure to provide discovery in Misdemeanor and felony cases. The bodycam footage and 911 recording is another one. I will fill out the ADA Accommodation form for my Circuit Court case on January 28, 2019.

Also please email Scott Albrecht and see if he wishes to represent me on appeal or notify the Court that he cannot represent me so a new lawyer can be appointed as soon as possible for Circuit Court

If Scott wishes to get involved, he will have to work with Eric Clark since he has the three Virginia appeal case laws that I had messaged him. (Eric's email address is above).

Brian is also filling out an accommodation form for disabled citizens.

Sincerely,

Ken & Stella Forinash
(Brian David Hill's grandparents)

Exhibit 4

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP

U.S.W.G.O.



MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT – CRIMINAL CASE NO. CR19000009-00

Exhibit in attachment to “SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT”

Fw: Brian D. Hill request

From: Ken & Stella (kenstella2007@yahoo.com)

To: rbhill67@yahoo.com

Date: Wednesday, December 26, 2018, 04:30 PM EST

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Eric S Clark <eric@whitestonepublishing.org>

To: Ken & Stella <kenstella2007@yahoo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, December 26, 2018, 7:27:15 AM EST

Subject: Re: Brian D. Hill request

Yes, if the attorney has not filed by Friday, then take those two documents to the court (Fri or next Wed).

Those documents should work okay.

RE Albrecht was not a good attorney.

Yes and no.

He did bring up the same argument that WON on several appeals but maybe did not identify those cases specifically enough and maybe did not lay out enough facts for the appeal process.

If the appeal is "new trial" then more evidence can be brought up. If the appeal is just review of the trial below, then generally the appeal court will not consider new evidence.

I don't know what evidence the State presented, but I doubt it was enough to show the legal standard of "obscene" was met.

The quotes below are from the appeal court in another case:

"A portrayal of nudity is not, as a matter of law, a sufficient basis for finding that [it] is obscene."

The State must establish that Brian's "actions had as their dominant purpose an appeal to the prurient interest in sex."

With "purient interest in sex" meaning

"a shameful or morbid interest in nudity, sexual conduct, sexual excitement, excretory functions or products thereof or sadomasochistic abuse, and which goes substantially beyond customary limits of candor in description or representation of such matters and which, taken as a whole, does not have serious literary, artistic, political or scientific value."

PS

Lowest level (trial court) judges sometimes(often?) do not know the

necessary meanings if the particular law in question so they sometimes rule incorrectly.

Again, I don't know what evidence the State presented, but, at this point, I think Brian has 95+% of wining on appeal.

From: [Ken & Stella](#)

Sent: Wednesday, December 26, 2018 4:48 AM

To: [Eric S Clark](#)

Subject: Re: Brian D. Hill request

Thank you, Eric. We will check to make sure that an appeal is filed by this Friday. If not, do we need to take something to the court ourselves by this Friday? Brian's trial was on Friday, Dec 21st. Court was closed on Sat - Tue Christmas Day. Will be opened this Wed., Thur, Fri. then closed for New Years Sat. - Tuesday. Albrecht was not a good attorney. We saw him lose another case before Brian's. We can't afford an attorney and appreciate all of your help!

On Tuesday, December 25, 2018, 9:58:25 PM EST, Eric S Clark <eric@whitestonepublishing.org> wrote:

I forwarded the information in the bottom email to the Public Defender that represented Brian. It is his DUTY as trial counsel to file the notice of appeal if he knows Brian wants to appeal.

So, there will probably be nothing more you need to do other than check with the court to see if a notice of appeal

has been filed already.

I looked at the cases Brian researched and based on those, he should win the appeal.

That said, if the appeal requires a "new trial" rather than just filing a brief to identify the trial court error, I encouraged Brian to seek a PLEA DEAL.

Only because that is an extra avenue to keep from having his supervised release from being revoked. That is, PLEA to a Jaywalking charge and pay a fine, something like that.

It was WRING to hold Brian in jail so long on this charge. Even with a GUILTY, the sentence was only 30 days. It sounds like he was in jail way longer than that before trial. Disgusting.

If the Public Defender wants to stay out of trouble, HE WILL FILE the notice of appeal -- probably right away. :-0)
From here down was sent to his Public Defender's email

From: [Eric S Clark](#)
Sent: Tuesday, December 25, 2018 4:46 PM
To: salbrecht@mar.IDC.Virginia.gov
Subject: Brian D. Hill request

I was asked to forward these messages from Brian Hill.

MESSAGE 1

Scott Albrecht can be forwarded my email.

Scott Albrecht salbrecht@mar.IDC.Virginia.gov,

I attempted to file a pro se Notice of Appeal to the Circuit Court on late December 21, 2018, but the mail will go out till Wednesday morning if the jail doesn't fetter with it.

The facts are simple 1. Brian was not aroused in the pictures. Brian hadpsd Autism Spectrum Disorder. Brian exhibited an extreme form of compliance so that his mother Roberta Hill wouldn't be killed. 2. Brian attempted to file two pro se motions to the Clerk of the Martinsville General District Court which never made docket in November prior to the trial. 3. Arguably,

Nudists can take pictures of themselves and they were not aroused in pictures. The pictures in the case of Brian was to satisfy the guy who threatened to kill his mother. 4. Evidence of coercion exists but was not disclosed under Discovery violating Brady rights. Police bodycam footage during interview of Brian after arrest. The 911 call recording must mention of Brian placing his hand over his mouth or face which is unusual for indecent exposures. There are no Virginia indecent exposure cases with a guy putting his hand over his mouth and the other to his side. Brian has Autism. The witness who called 911 was never subpoenaed. An psychologist expert never reviewed over the pictures, bodycam footage, and interview Brian before testifying at the trial.

Brian was not aroused, Brian was coerced, his whole affidavit should have been presented before the Judge at trial, not bits and pieces of testimony but only the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help me God.

If the court of appeals adopts the three case laws to Brian's situation then he is not guilty of indecent exposure.

A.M v. Commonwealth of Virginia Alexandria, VA Circuit Court Case no 1150-12-4

Kenneth Samuel Moses v. Commonwealth of Virginia Salem, VA Circuit court Case no 0985-03-3

Kimberly F. Neice v. Commonwealth of Virginia Teleconference, VA Circuit Court CASE NO. 1477-09-3

Scott, all three were acquitted based exactly on your argument that I am innocent and didn't do anything indecent. The Court of Appeals may adopt those three rulings. I was never aroused in the photos. Competency was never a good strategy. An evaluation for the photos and an Autism expert under a certified psychology license would determine that I didn't behave appropriate in response to a threatening situation by over-complying. I showed signs of coercion when I was interviewed by the officer who turned on his body camera and the red recording light was on. That would show coercion as I was shaken up and explaining to the officer what had happened.

Scott I am innocent of indecent exposure based on the evidence that the prosecutor and police knew about and did not present during the trial.

MESSAGE 2

Scott, Eric knows he is forwarding this message and the other message. Scott you know I was wrongfully convicted. I can still win. Three different Appellate rulings.

Scott, Eric is a witness that knows that I wanted to appeal to the Circuit Court. Scott please follow my request as my representative to directly appeal my wrongful conviction.

Thank you Scott and Thank you Eric. God bless you both.

Best Regards, Brian D. Hill U.S.W.G.O.

MESSAGE 3

If they can push for a plea deal to a small infraction charge of illegal parking or even Jaywalking or some small stupid infraction charge in a plea deal, my Supervised Release will not be revoked.

Something that carries only a fine.

Mention that to Scott Albrecht.

He can also push for deferred prosecution requiring me to take safety courses.

Exhibit 5

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP

U.S.W.G.O.



MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT – CRIMINAL CASE NO. CR19000009-00

Exhibit in attachment to “SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT”

TRANSMISSION VERIFICATION REPORT

TIME : 05/15/2019 06:11PM
NAME : KEN STELLA FORINASH
FAX : 2766322599
SER. # : U6303BJ1F328116

DATE, TIME	05/15 06:09PM
FAX NO./NAME	2766668929
DURATION	00:01:02
PAGE(S)	02
RESULT	OK
MODE	PHOTO ECM

May 15, 2019

Office of the Public Defender
10 East Main Street // P.O. Drawer 31
Martinsville, Virginia 24112//24114
Phone: 276-666-2206 // Fax: 276-666-8929
Dear Scott Albrecht,

CI 8-3138

I have been released on Federal Bond since yesterday on Tuesday.

The Federal Court will hold any proceedings for the Supervised Release Violation until the final disposition of this State charge.

The stress and anxiety of waiting is affecting my health and this case needs to be resolved As Soon As Possible.

I rather not ask to reschedule the Circuit Court trial Pro Se since I had been released. Please schedule the Trial to early June this year.

I would like to be scheduled the Trial in early June if possible, any day in June instead of July, 2019. I am ready to bring up the carbon monoxide poisoning report and the evidence that I had filed while I was at FCI-1 Butner. I had originally told you that I thought I was drugged. This year new evidence came to my attention and was discovered in late January carbon monoxide was present in my Apartment and was witnessed by Roberta Hill, the expert who found evidence of carbon monoxide damage, and even my Probation Officer Jason McMurray saw⁹²⁸ the damage of the carbon monoxide cause and effect. It can cause me not to think straight which would also help explain how I was just naked, not aroused

May 15, 2019

Office of the Public Defender
10 East Main Street // P.O. Drawer 31
Martinsville, Virginia 24112//24114
Phone: 276-666-2206 // Fax: 276-666-8929
Dear Scott Albrecht,

CI 8-3138

I have been released on Federal Bond since yesterday on Tuesday.

The Federal Court will hold any proceedings for the Supervised Release Violation until the final disposition of this State charge.

The stress and anxiety of waiting is affecting my health and this case needs to be resolved As Soon As Possible.

I rather not ask to reschedule the Circuit Court trial Pro Se since I had been released. Please schedule the Trial to early June this year.

I would like to be scheduled the Trial in early June if possible, any day in June instead of July, 2019. I am ready to bring up the carbon monoxide poisoning report and the evidence that I had filed while I was at FCI-1 Butner. I had originally told you that I thought I was drugged. This year new evidence came to my attention and was discovered in late January carbon monoxide was present in my Apartment and was witnessed by Roberta Hill, the expert who found evidence of carbon monoxide damage, and even my Probation Officer Jason McMurray saw the damage of the carbon monoxide cause and effect. It can cause me not to think straight which would also help explain how I was just naked, not aroused, just doing something out of the blue that was bazaar. Not having a history of it. Carbon monoxide may have even been caused by the guy in the hoodie as the one greeting card my mother received mentioning about "not be good feeling sick" stating that my mother was not feeling good getting sick as if a calling card for the carbon monoxide making us sick and tired.

I filed the evidence of carbon monoxide with the Clerk while at FCI Butner. So not only was I not aroused, I was sick from carbon monoxide exposure in my home.

Sincerely,

Brian D. Hill // 310 Forest Street, Apartment 2, Martinsville VA 24112 276-790-3505

Brian D. Hill U.S.W.G.O.
Signed

Exhibit 6

USWGO
QANON // DRAIN THE SWAMP

U.S.W.G.O.



MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT – CRIMINAL CASE NO. CR19000009-00

Exhibit in attachment to “SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT”

January 19, 2019 (Typed letter March 13, 2019)

Dear Chief of Police of Martinsville Police Dept: G. Edward Cassady

CC: Commonwealth Attorney, Case no C18-3138,

55 West Church Street Municipal Building Martinsville, VA 24112

Martinsville Circuit Court case Discovery Request

Under Virginia Code in regards to discovery requirements for misdemeanor and felony trials in the Commonwealth of Virginia, Brady v Maryland, Giglio v U.S., Brian Hill hereby requests a copy of Police-Camera footage presumably recorded by Sgt. R.D. Jones of Martinsville Police Department between the times of 3:00AM and 4:00AM, September 20, 2018, where I gave statements about the man wearing the hoodie, who had threatened to kill my mother Roberta Hill on the late night of September 20, 2018. Please turn over that Police body camera footage recording evidence copy to my Attorney Scott Albrecht of the Martinsville Public Defender Office, As Soon As Possible. Thank you for your service.

My Respects,

Brian D. Hill (Signed)

Dated January 19, 2019

P.S. Brian Hill has Autism Spectrum Disorder in DMV handicap placard records

**Brian David Hill #29947-057 Federal Correctional Institution 1
Old NC Hwy 75; P.O. Box 1000 Butner, NC 27509
JusticeForUSWGO,wordpress.com USWGO**

(Letter 1)

Copy of note mailed with letter dated January 19, 2019

**Chief of Police and Commonwealth Attorney in Martinsville,
VA,**

Please acknowledge receipt of letters. Please write response.

Thank you

Brian D. Hill

God bless you!

**Note: In a week of no response, I will assume that it was lost
and mail another copy. Thanks.**

**Note from Brian's grandparents. Brian wrote this on
January 19, 2019 and January, 20, 2019. He received no
response, He sent it again and received no response a week
later. After waiting almost two months, his grandparents
will have to go to the post office and send this out return
receipt requested. You also should know that Brian has
been on disability since the age of 19 months; has brittle
diabetes requiring insulin shots, has seizures, autism, anxiety
and OCD. His actions that night were not normal. He was a
victim who was arrested and sent to jail by the police who
are supposed to protect its citizens and disabled. Brian's
mom and grandparents were at the trial and noticed the
prosecuting attorney making derogatory comments and
making fun of this disabled citizen of Martinsville in front of
his family and many other people in the court room.**

KEN + STELLA FORINASH
916 CHALMERS ST, APT A
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112

G E CASSADY, CHIEF OF POLICE
MARTINSVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT
55 WEST CHURCH ST.
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112

Dear Chief of Police of Martinsville Police Dept,

CC: Commonwealth Attorney, Case no. C18-3138,

55 West Church Street,

Municipal Building,

Martinsville, VA 24112,

For Jason McMurray

Martinsville Circuit Court case
Discovery Request

Under Virginia Code in regards to discovery requirements for misdemeanor and felony trials in the Commonwealth of Virginia, Brady v. Maryland, Giglio v. U.S., Brian David Hill hereby requests a copy of Police Body-Camera footage presumably recorded by Sgt. R.D. Jones of Martinsville Police Department between the times of 3:00AM and 4:00AM, September ~~20~~ 21, 2018, where I gave statements about the man wearing the hoodie who had threatened to kill my mother Roberta Hill on the late night of September 20, 2018. Please turn over that Police body camera footage recording evidence copy to my Attorney Scott Albrecht of the Martinsville Public Defender Office, As Soon As Possible. Thank You for your service.

My Respects,

Brian D. Hill

Signed

Brian David Hill #29947-057

Federal Correctional Institution 1

Old NC Hwy 75; P.O. Box 1000

Butner, N.C. 27509

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

U.S.W.G.O.


Dated January 19, 2019.

P.S. Brian Hill has Autism
Spectrum Disorder in DMV
handicap placard records.

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
 Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com.
 MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112

Certified Mail Fee	\$3.50
Postage	\$1.30
Total Postage and Fees	\$7.60



Sent To
CC CASSADY - CHIEF OF POLICE
 Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No.
55 WEST CHURCH ST
 City, State, ZIP+4®
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112

PS Form 3800, April 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9001 See Reverse for Instructions

7018 1830 0001 9309 1586 995T 60E6 1000 910Z

MARTINSVILLE
 1123 SPRUCE ST
 MARTINSVILLE
 VA
 24112-9998
 5156520362
 03/14/2019 (800)275-8777 11:38 AM

Product Description	Sale Qty	Final Price
First-Class Mail Large Envelope (Domestic) (MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112) (Weight: 0 Lb 2.60 Oz) (Estimated Delivery Date) (Saturday 03/16/2019)	1	\$1.30
Certified (USPS Certified Mail #) (70181830000193091586)	1	\$3.50
Return Receipt (USPS Return Receipt #) (9590940239158060485685)	1	\$2.80
Total		\$7.60
Cash		\$7.60

Text your tracking number to 28777 (2USPS) to get the latest status. Standard Message and Data rates may apply. You may also visit www.usps.com USPS Tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

Preview your Mail
 Track your Packages
 Sign up for FREE
www.informedelivery.com

All sales final on stamps and postage. Refunds for guaranteed services only. Thank you for your business.

HELP US SERVE YOU BETTER
 TELL US ABOUT YOUR RECENT POSTAL EXPERIENCE

Go to:
<https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
 840-5250-0004-003-00038-69612-02
 or scan this code with your mobile device:



or call 1-800-410-7420.
 YOUR OPINION COUNTS

MARTINSVILLE
1123 SPRUCE ST
MARTINSVILLE
VA

24112-9998
5156520362

03/14/2019 (800)275-8777 11:38 AM

Product Description	Sale Qty	Final Price
First-Class Mail Large Envelope (Domestic) (MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112) (Weight:0 Lb 2.60 Oz) (Estimated Delivery Date) (Saturday 03/16/2019)	1	\$1.30
Certified (USPS Certified Mail #) (70181830000193091586)	1	\$3.50
Return Receipt (USPS Return Receipt #) (9590940239158060485685)	1	\$2.80
Total		\$7.60
Cash		\$7.60

Text your tracking number to 28777 (2USPS) to get the latest status. Standard Message and Data rates may apply. You may also visit www.usps.com USPS Tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE
www.informedelivery.com

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

HELP US SERVE YOU BETTER

TELL US ABOUT YOUR RECENT
POSTAL EXPERIENCE

Go to:
<https://postalexperience.com/Pos>

840-5250-0004-003-00038-69612-02

or scan this code with
your mobile device:



or call 1-800-410-7420.

YOUR OPINION COUNTS

United States
Postal Service


9590 9402 3915 8060 4856 85



USPS TRACKING #
9590 9402 3915 8060 4856 85

First-Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
USPS
Permit No. G-10

• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4® in this box.
MEN & STELLA KORINASH
916 CHALMERS ST, 19PT A
MARTINSVILLE, VA 22112



FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT
DATE: 11/12/2020 @15:58:39

ASHBY PRITCHETT, CLERK

TESTE: Jennifer C. Coplin
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

U.S.W.G.O.



Brian D. Hill - Ally of QAnon
310 Forest Street, Apartment 2
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
WWGIWGA - Q-Intel Drain the
Swamp MAGA - INVESTIGATE!
JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

CERTIFIED MAIL®



7019 1120 0002 2623 6402
7019 1120 0002 2623 6402

U.S. Postal Service™ CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT

Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com®

OFFICIAL USE

Certified Mail Fee

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)

- Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$ _____
- Return Receipt (electronic) \$ _____
- Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$ _____
- Adult Signature Required \$ _____
- Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$ _____

Postage

Total Postage and Fees \$ _____

Postmark
Here

Sent To: Clerk of the Court, Martinsville Circuit Court
Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No. P.O. Box 1206
City, State, ZIP+4® Martinsville, VA 24114

PS Form 3800, April 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9047

See Reverse for Instructions

Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett
Clerk of the Court
Martinsville Circuit Court
P.O. Box 1206
Martinsville, VA 24114



VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

)	
Commonwealth of Virginia,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Civil Action No. CR19000009-00
)	
v.)	
)	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE
Brian David Hill,)	
)	
Defendant,)	

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE (NON-NOTARIZED)

COMES NOW Brian David Hill, and in pursuant to Virginia Code § 8.01-4.3, I affirm the following facts under penalty of perjury with my statement of facts before this Court:

I am Brian David Hill, the criminal defendant in this criminal case CR19000009-00, Writ of Habeas Corpus filer under case no. CL19000331-00, and Writ of Coram Nobis/Vobis filer under case no. CL20000089-00.

I hereby make my following statement of facts regarding the service of pleadings to the Office of the Commonwealth Attorney located at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112; as well as the service of pleadings to the Office of the Clerk, Martinsville Circuit Court, also located at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112.

The pleadings delivered to the Office of the Commonwealth Attorney, Glen Andrew Hall, Esquire, in a sealed envelope are the following:

1. Notice of Appeal, concerning "the final judgment of this Court by final order entered November 18, 2019"


1



2. Notice of Appeal, concerning the "Court's denial, by order entered November 25, 2019, of Mr. Hill's motion to vacate fraudulent begotten judgment"

I had originally planned to mail those pleadings to the Commonwealth Attorney but I have a limited income of only my SSI disability money that is monthly. Legal mailings can get costly when there is the added "Certified Mail" tracking numbers and return receipt. I had decided to save some money and deliver the Notices of Appeal and another pleading to the Clerk's office. I had decided to keep the certified mail tracking numbers on both envelopes and just deliver them myself to save some money. That way those numbers have a unique identification number and I have a record of those very envelopes with the very unique numbers and this Affidavit of Service documents that very process of my service of the pleadings.


Anyways, the sealed envelope with the photocopies of the two NOTICES OF APPEAL, one NOTICE regarding the final order entered November 18, 2019 and the second NOTICE regarding the order on November 25, 2019, and the tracking number I was going to mail it out under was: 7019-1120-0002-2623-6396.





I had delivered it to the Commonwealth Attorney's Office around close to 4:00PM today on November 12, 2020, giving my best approximate time on time of delivery. I had received a receipt of the envelope and is attached to this AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE.

As to the pleadings being delivered to the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Martinsville, I had also planned to have originally mailed them under Certified Mail tracking number: 7019-1120-0002-2623-6402.



I figured that it was going to be costly with the volume of pages, and it would have likely been delivered by Monday of next week, and I thought why not just take the envelope and deliver it myself and save some money as the legal mailing costs eat up a lot of any remaining SSI monthly income money that I have left after rent and expenses on food and other needs like hygiene.

So before I had delivered the sealed envelope to the Commonwealth Attorney's office in the same building as the Office of the Clerk at the Circuit



Court of Martinsville, I had delivered a sealed envelope with three pleadings for the Clerk to file in my case.

The pleadings delivered to the Office of the Clerk, Circuit Court of Martinsville, Virginia, under the Hon. Ashby Pritchett, in a sealed envelope are the following:

1. Notice of Appeal, concerning “the final judgment of this Court by final order entered November 18, 2019”
2. Notice of Appeal, concerning the “Court’s denial, by order entered November 25, 2019, of Mr. Hill’s motion to vacate fraudulent begotten judgment”
3. “SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT”, Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00, Civil Case No. CL19000331-00, Civil Case No. CL20000089-00, 48 pages with Exhibits

I had successfully faxed the pleading known as “SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT” on November 7, 2020, but my mother checked the Online Case Information System 2.0 for the criminal case and noticed that the pleading had not been entered on the system. That was why I had printed out a copy of that pleading and placed it in the sealed envelope with the other two pleadings, referring to the two different NOTICE OF APPEAL pleadings. I wanted to make sure that the second time that they do enter this pleading into their document index aka the docket sheet for the very Commonwealth/State criminal case. I had also faxed a copy to the Commonwealth Attorney before faxing to the Circuit Court Clerk, with the proof of service to the Commonwealth in the fax to the Circuit Court Clerk.

I am just waiting for the Circuit Court to enter the three pleadings that I had hand delivered in a sealed envelope.



Attn.: Ms Stacie Renae Prillaman or any authorized Deputy Clerk; Martinsville General District Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 11/7/2020 Time: 5:14:41 AM
Number of pages: 49 Session duration: 12:06
Attn: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court
Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5232 Message type: Fax
Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\VA SECOND NOTICE of Error Correction: NoE COURT(6)Signed_W_ Exhibits_T RansmissionTicket (2020-11-07)
File description: VA SECOND NOTICE of FRAUD UPON THE COURT(6)Signed_Resolution: 200*200 dpi
Recipient's Fax ID: Record number: 8331
Rate: 14400 bps

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,
Plaintiff,
v.
Brian David Hill,
Defendant.
Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
Civil Case No. CL19000331-00
Civil Case No. CL20000089-00
SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD
UPON THE COURT

I have stated the facts herein as to my service of process of the two different NOTICES OF APPEAL and the service of "SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT" to the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Martinsville.

I have stated the facts herein as to my service of process of the two different NOTICES OF APPEAL to the Office of the Commonwealth Attorney.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 12, 2020.



Attn.: Ms Stacie Renae Prillaman or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville General District Court

Respectfully submitted,

Brian D. Hill

Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 2

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505



Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com/Pardon

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill



RECEIVED A DOCUMENT FROM BRIAN HILL

[Handwritten Signature]
NAME

11-12-20
DATE

Commonwealth v. BRIAN HILL

Copy

lao

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT
DATE: 11/13/2020 @14:00:04

ASHBY PRITCHETT, CLERK

TESTED: *[Handwritten Signature]*
CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK



VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Civil Action No. CR19000009-00
)	
v.)	
)	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE
Brian David Hill,)	
)	
Defendant,)	

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE (NON-NOTARIZED)

COMES NOW Brian David Hill, and in pursuant to Virginia Code § 8.01-4.3, I affirm the following facts under penalty of perjury with my statement of facts before this Court:

I am Brian David Hill, the criminal defendant in this criminal case CR19000009-00, Writ of Habeas Corpus filer under case no. CL19000331-00, and Writ of Coram Nobis/Vobis filer under case no. CL20000089-00.

I hereby make my following statement of facts regarding the service of pleadings to the Office of the Commonwealth Attorney located at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112; as well as the service of pleadings to the Office of the Clerk, Martinsville Circuit Court, also located at 55 West Church Street, Martinsville, Virginia 24112.

The pleadings delivered to the Office of the Commonwealth Attorney, Glen Andrew Hall, Esquire, in a sealed envelope are the following:

1. Notice of Appeal, concerning “the final judgment of this Court by final order entered November 18, 2019”



2. Notice of Appeal, concerning the “Court’s denial, by order entered November 25, 2019, of Mr. Hill’s motion to vacate fraudulent begotten judgment”

I had originally planned to mail those pleadings to the Commonwealth Attorney but I have a limited income of only my SSI disability money that is monthly. Legal mailings can get costly when there is the added “Certified Mail” tracking numbers and return receipt. I had decided to save some money and deliver the Notices of Appeal and another pleading to the Clerk’s office. I had decided to keep the certified mail tracking numbers on both envelopes and just deliver them myself to save some money. That way those numbers have a unique identification number and I have a record of those very envelopes with the very unique numbers and this Affidavit of Service documents that very process of my service of the pleadings.

Anyways, the sealed envelope with the photocopies of the two NOTICES OF APPEAL, one NOTICE regarding the final order entered November 18, 2019 and the second NOTICE regarding the order on November 25, 2019, and the tracking number I was going to mail it out under was: 7019-1120-0002-2623-6396.

7019 1120 0002 2623 6396

For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com

Certified Mail Fee		Postmark Here
Extra Services & Fees (Add box, only if appropriate)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (hardcopy)	\$	
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (electronic)	\$	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	\$	
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required	\$	
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	\$	
Postage	\$	
Total Postage and Fees	\$	


Send to: **Andrew Hall, Martinsville Commonwealth Attorney**
 Street and Apt. No., or P.O. Box No: **P.O. Box 1377**
 City, State, ZIP+4: **Martinsville, VA 24114**



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

I had delivered it to the Commonwealth Attorney's Office around close to 4:00PM today on November 12, 2020, giving my best approximate time on time of delivery. I had received a receipt of the envelope and is attached to this AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE.

As to the pleadings being delivered to the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Martinsville, I had also planned to have originally mailed them under Certified Mail tracking number: 7019-1120-0002-2623-6402.



I figured that it was going to be costly with the volume of pages, and it would have likely been delivered by Monday of next week, and I thought why not just take the envelope and deliver it myself and save some money as the legal mailing costs eat up a lot of any remaining SSI monthly income money that I have left after rent and expenses on food and other needs like hygiene.

So before I had delivered the sealed envelope to the Commonwealth Attorney's office in the same building as the Office of the Clerk at the Circuit



Court of Martinsville, I had delivered a sealed envelope with three pleadings for the Clerk to file in my case.

The pleadings delivered to the Office of the Clerk, Circuit Court of Martinsville, Virginia, under the Hon. Ashby Pritchett, in a sealed envelope are the following:

1. Notice of Appeal, concerning “the final judgment of this Court by final order entered November 18, 2019”
2. Notice of Appeal, concerning the “Court’s denial, by order entered November 25, 2019, of Mr. Hill’s motion to vacate fraudulent begotten judgment”
3. “SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT”, Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00, Civil Case No. CL19000331-00, Civil Case No. CL20000089-00, 48 pages with Exhibits

I had successfully faxed the pleading known as “SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT” on November 7, 2020, but my mother checked the Online Case Information System 2.0 for the criminal case and noticed that the pleading had not been entered on the system. That was why I had printed out a copy of that pleading and placed it in the sealed envelope with the other two pleadings, referring to the two different NOTICE OF APPEAL pleadings. I wanted to make sure that the second time that they do enter this pleading into their document index aka the docket sheet for the very Commonwealth/State criminal case. I had also faxed a copy to the Commonwealth Attorney before faxing to the Circuit Court Clerk, with the proof of service to the Commonwealth in the fax to the Circuit Court Clerk.

I am just waiting for the Circuit Court to enter the three pleadings that I had hand delivered in a sealed envelope.



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Venta Fax & Voice (http://www.ventafax.com)
Transmission ticket for Fax ID: 276-790-3505

Date: 11/7/2020 Time: 5:14:41 AM
Number of pages: 49 Session duration: 12:06
Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court
Recipient's number: T1-276-403-5232 Message type: Fax
Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\VA SECOND NOTICE of FRAUD UPON THE COURT(6)\Signed_W_Exhibits_TransmissionTicket (2020-11-07)
File description: VA SECOND NOTICE of FRAUD UPON THE COURT(6)\Signed_'Resolution: 200*200 dpi
Recipient's Fax ID: Record number: 8331
Rate: 14400 bps

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

Commonwealth of Virginia,
Plaintiff,
v.
Brian David Hill,
Defendant.
Criminal Action No. CR19000009-00
Civil Case No. CL19000331-00
Civil Case No. CL20000089-00
SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD
UPON THE COURT

I have stated the facts herein as to my service of process of the two different NOTICES OF APPEAL and the service of "SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT" to the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Martinsville.

I have stated the facts herein as to my service of process of the two different NOTICES OF APPEAL to the Office of the Commonwealth Attorney.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 12, 2020.



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

Respectfully submitted,

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Signed

Brian D. Hill (Pro Se)

310 Forest Street, Apartment 2

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

Phone #: (276) 790-3505

U.S.W.G.O.

Former U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News reporter

I stand with QANON/Donald-Trump – Drain the Swamp

I ask Qanon and Donald John Trump for Assistance (S.O.S.)

Make America Great Again

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com/Pardon

JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

Amazon: The Frame Up of Journalist Brian D. Hill




Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

RECEIVED A DOCUMENT FROM BRIAN HILL

Brian Hill
 NAME

11-12-20
 DATE

Commonwealth v. BRIAN HILL



lao

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE
 OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
 MARTINSVILLE CIRCUIT COURT
 DATE: 11/13/2020 @12:43:40

ASHBY PRITCHETT, CLERK

TESTE: *AP*
 CLERK/DEPUTY CLERK

by [signature]



Attn.: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett or any authorized Deputy Clerk To: Martinsville Circuit Court

**LETTER TO CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT REGARDING MISSING
DOCKJET/DOCUMENT ENTRIES – RE: COMMONWEALTH V. BRIAN
DAVID HILL, CASE NO. CR19000009-00**

Monday, November 16, 2020

ATTN: Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett, Clerk
Circuit Court of Martinsville, Virginia
55 West Church Street, Room 205
P.O. Box 1206
Martinsville, VA 24114

Phone: 276-403-5106
Fax: 276-403-5232
GDC Fax: (276) 403-5114

CC: John Ira Jones, IV via FAX number
804-717-5677

Dear Hon. Ashby R. Pritchett, Clerk,

I like to inquire on two different things.

First thing that my court appointed lawyer from the Court of Appeals of Virginia, John Ira Jones, IV, Esquire, told me to request the information regarding the Court Reporter for any and all hearings from the Circuit Court concerning my criminal case. He told me that the lawyer could do it but until a lawyer is appointed for my delayed appeals in the Court of Appeals of Virginia, I may not be able to get the transcripts furnished at State Expense as it would have to be through my lawyer. However he did tell me to go ahead and get this information now as I had filed my two different NOTICES OF APPEAL around November 12, 2020. The Clerk of the Court of Appeals of Virginia should have received a copy of those two different NOTICES OF APPEAL on November 16, 2020, as the U.S. Postal Service reported that my certified mail envelope was delivered today. So they also had received the copy of the two NOTICES OF APPEAL. So the issue of transcripts should be brought up as all Circuit Courts are supposed to be courts of record and are supposed to be able to produce transcripts of each and every hearing in the court of record. As the Circuit Court of Martinsville is supposed to be a court of record, as with all other Circuit Courts in the Commonwealth of Virginia, state law requires transcripts be made available for all hearings, especially trials, Writs of Habeas Corpus, and for purposes of appeals of right and successfully petitioned appeals. This is pursuant to Virginia Code § 8.01-420.3., Virginia Code § 19.2-165, Virginia Code § 17.1-128, Virginia Code § 19.2-215.9, Virginia Code § 19.2-212, Virginia Code §



19.2-166, or any other statute regarding Court Reporters.

So I need to know who the Court Reporter is and give this contact information to my newly appointed lawyer once the Court of Appeals of Virginia opens up the two delayed appeals again for appeal of right and/or petitioning for appeals. I assume it may be John Ira Jones as I have asked for his appointment as he only made a little mistake of not filing timely and owned up to his mistake. He deserves a second chance, so that is why I have asked for his appointment. If he is appointed as counsel for my appeals, then you can forward the Court Reporter and Transcript information to him instead of me.

Now as for my second inquiry and request.

My family keeps checking the Online Case Information System and the other case information system. A screenshot was captured showing that after November 5, 2020, no documents are being filed electronically. Criminal case no. CR19000009-00.

04/20/2020	Other	TTM			TABLE OF CONTENTS-ADD APLD
04/20/2020	Other	TTM			DIGITAL APPELATE REC SUBMT
04/21/2020	Appeal Notice	ERH			
04/23/2020	Letter	TTM			DEF TO CLK-NOT RECV COPY O
07/29/2020	Other	TTM			DIGITAL APPELATE REC-SUBMT
07/29/2020	Other	TTM			TABLE OF CONTENTS-ADD APLD
10/28/2020	Court Of Appeals Of Va Orders	TTM			GRANTED LEAVE REPLACE N/A
11/04/2020	Notice	TTM			OF FRAUD UPON THE CT-DEF
11/05/2020	Notice	TTM			OF FRAUD UPON THE CT-DEF
11/05/2020	Other	TTM			DIGITAL APPELATE REC SUBMT
11/05/2020	Other	TTM			TABLE OF CONTENTS-ADD APLD

Return to Case Main Menu Logoff

I am concerned about this as the evidence filed in my "SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT" has information and evidence attached that is not included in my first filed "NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT" pleading. I also didn't mean to have filed that twice as I assumed the first one may not have been received but after the elections it



seems like the whole system is not being updated quickly like it usually was.

I have tried to refrain from faxing the **SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT** again due to the voluminous pages of 48 or 49 pages. That was why I had delivered a second copy of that pleading via hand delivery in a sealed envelope on November 12, 2020. Then I also signed an **"AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE"** also certifying that I had hand delivered the pleadings. That includes **NOTICE OF APPEAL** concerning the final judgment, the second **NOTICE OF APPEAL** concerning the denial of a motion to vacate fraud upon the court, and the **"SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT"**.

My family keeps checking the OCIS and not even the **NOTICES OF APPEAL** are showing up and this concerns me. The Affidavit of Service is not showing up.

This newly evidenced fraud upon the court documentation includes evidence that the arresting Officer Robert Jones, Investigator and Sergeant of Martinsville Police Department, had admitted under transcript and under oath that he never subpoenaed the Sovah Hospital Records and told the Court that they would do lab work and other stuff but I have evidence contradicting what Officer Robert Jones had claimed under obtaining a true and corrupt copy of all medical records concerning September 21, 2018. Either officer Robert Jones had committed perjury from the date of the original **ARREST WARRANT** charging me with indecent exposure, or he is ignorant and incompetent.

Whatever the case may be, there was a major **DEFECT** in charging me with indecent exposure. A copy of that pleading was successfully filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, Document #267, case no. 1:13-cr-435-1. This document is being reviewed by a Federal Judge as part of the fraud upon the court documentation and requests for sanctions. They are likely wondering whether your court had filed the **SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT** and it's federal transcript certified and other evidence under oath or affirmation. This evidence does indeed further prove fraud upon the court as it proves that I was not medically cleared and that Officer Jones pushed that I was medically cleared while he admitted in Federal Court that he did not ask for



the details concerning me being discharged from the Hospital and did not subpoena my medical records, and he let evidence be destroyed, that is spoliation of evidence, which is unlawful under 18 U.S.C. Section 1519, punishable up to twenty years in Federal Prison. Officer Jones may face Federal Imprisonment if he allowed blood samples and evidence to be destroyed which would influence a Federal Law Enforcement Officer or Investigation by the U.S. Probation Office in the Western District of Virginia and the Middle District of North Carolina.

To convict someone under 18 U.S.C. Section 1519 of falsifying or destroying evidence related to a federal investigation which can include investigations of supervised release violations of those on federal supervision sentences, the government must prove two things beyond a reasonable doubt: (1) the documents or "tangible object" destroyed or falsified was relevant to a federal matter; and (2) that the defendant falsified, destroyed, or concealed it with the intent to obstruct a potential or pending investigation.

As Officer Robert Jones knew that Brian David Hill was on federal probation and had written down the contact information of Brian's U.S. Probation Officer Jason McMurray of Roanoke, VA, on September 21, 2018, he let blood vials be destroyed, he let the body-camera footage also be destroyed, and the that laboratory tests be canceled then testifies under Oath in Federal Court that the Hospital did lab tests and other stuff. He had let evidence be destroyed when Brian said he thought he was drugged on the day of his arrest, told his lawyer which is within the evidence of the pleading "SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT". So the first court appointed lawyer Scott Albrecht knew that Brian claimed he was drugged but no drug test was ever done and evidence was destroyed influencing Federal Probation Officers to charge Brian David Hill with a supervised release violation punishable up to 9 months imprisonment.

Thus Officer Robert Jones, Scott Albrecht and maybe even Lauren McGarry, and Glen Andrew Hall, Esquire, may be in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 1519 and should face imprisonment for their crimes. All knew that there was exculpatory evidence favorable to Brian David Hill being actually innocent of indecent exposure and yet they pushed for the conviction of Brian David Hill on December 21, 2018, during the winter solstice, which is



odd timing. Everything the Deep State Swamp does to hurt innocent people are always on specific and odd days and timelines as part of their rituals and behaviors.

Anyways, there is a reason I am bringing all of this information up. They have knowingly violated Federal Law and influenced the Federal Probation Officers in a way by destroying blood evidence and body-camera footage. They destroyed any evidence while stating under oath falsely that Sovah Hospital conducted lab work and other stuff as from the Transcript. They lied or were ignorant which is false facts under oath. They weren't even completely sure that Brian David Hill was even supposed to be medically cleared under the standards and ethics of Virginia and Federal Medical Codes (CFR).

Officer Robert Jones may have defrauded the court by making false assumptions, maybe even under oath when charging Brian David Hill with indecent exposure. They cannot hide behind Brian being forced to do everything through his lawyer or it is ignored. Evidence had been destroyed during a Federal Supervised Release Violation investigation. Evidence that should have been retained to comply with federal law.

So I do ask you to add those pleadings to the Online Case Information System for my family to be able to look it up.

If for some reason, whatever reason it is that you cannot update the OCIS system to include the pleadings given to your office by hand delivery in an envelope on November 12, 2020, as well as faxing the "SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT", I please ask that you write me a letter by mail acknowledging that it had been filed in my Commonwealth/State criminal case no. CR19000009-00.

Please send me a letter as soon as possible about these issues. As for the transcript issue, that will be up to my next court appointed lawyer unless that appointment is already John Ira Jones, IV, Esquire. I need a paper or some kind of documentation about the filing of the two different NOTICES OF APPEAL and the "SECOND NOTICE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT" regarding the new evidence of Officer Robert Jones.



Thank You. I appreciate the time and effort that goes into answering my request and inquires. Thanks and God Bless You. Where We Go One We Go All.

Brian D Hill
Signed

Thank You,
Brian D. Hill
Ally of Qanon
Former news reporter of USWGO Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apt. 2
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505



JusticeForUSWGO.NL
JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com
JusticeForUSWGO.NL/pardon
JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com/pardon

11/16/2020
11:30 A.M.
By [Signature]

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF MARTINSVILLE

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,
CITY OF MARTINSVILLE,

ORDER

v.

Case No. CR19000009-00

BRIAN DAVID HILL

It appearing to the Court that the defendant has appealed his convictions, and that the Court of Appeals has ordered this Court to appoint counsel to represent the defendant, it is accordingly

ORDERED that John Jones be and he is hereby appointed to represent the above-named defendant on the above case pending in the Court of Appeals.

The Clerk of this Court is directed to mail or deliver a copy of this Order to the Commonwealth's Attorney, John Jones, and the Clerk of the Virginia Court of Appeals.

ENTER this 14th day of December, 2020



Judge

Endorsement of Counsel is dispensed with – Rule 1:13