

**EMERGENCY LETTER TO CLERK OF THE U.S. SUPREME
COURT**

**RE; REQUEST FOR ESCALATION OF ISSUE TO
SUPERVISOR OF DEPUTY CLERK CLAYTON R. HIGGINS;
EMERGENCY LETTER, PLEASE ESCALATE ISSUE TO
THE SUPERVISOR AT ONCE, I BEG OF YOU**

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 14, 2022

ATTN: SUPERVISOR of Deputy Clerk, Clayton R. Higgins Supreme Court of the United States 1 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20543	Telephone: 202-479-3000 FAX: 202-479-3026
---	--

RE: Hill v. United States; USAP4 Nos. 22-6325 and 22-6501

To SUPERVISOR of Deputy Clerk, Clayton R. Higgins,

This is an EMERGENCY LETTER to demand that the issue I am having with Deputy Clerk Clayton R. Higgins be escalated to his supervisor.

The issue I am having with Clayton R. Higgins is in regards to:
Suspicion of illegal/unlawful blocking of filing PETITION FOR WRIT OF
CERTIORARI and EMERGENCY APPLICATION.

I have been illegally blocked from filing my lawful petition with jurisdiction and was blocked by Clayton R. Higgins in violation of 18 U.S. Code § 2071 - Concealment, removal, or mutilation generally.

I had received his letter dated November 10, 2022 along with everything I had mailed the Court at wasted \$20 postage through UPS. I have reviewed over Rule 14.1 of the Supreme Court of the United States. I have re-reviewed over my APPENDIXES, and I have come to a factual conclusion that Clayton R. Higgins has lied or made a false allegation in his

letter dated November 10, 2022, and this was used as an excuse to justify wrongfully, erroneously, and illegally blocking my PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI and EMERGENCY APPLICATION from being filed.

I have been forced by circumstances to have my mother Roberta Hill email the Public Information Officers because I do not trust mailing anything at this time until a SUPERVISOR gets involved NOW in this situation. A SUPERVISOR over Clayton R. Higgins needs to review over this letter, and attachments to this letter, and it will be faxed to you. I do ask that the faxed letter to given to the SUPERVISOR. I am afraid he will further illegally block mailings if I even attempt to mail any letters to the U.S. Supreme Court. Mailing may be impossible.

Here is what he had claimed as to why he rejected filing of my PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI and EMERGENCY APPLICATION.

QUOTE:

The appendix to the petition does not contain the following documents required by Rule 14.1(i):
The opinion of the United States district court must be appended (final order).

This U.S. Supreme Court has never rejected an interlocutory appeal from even being filed, and if the Justices believe the interlocutory appeal has no legal weight, then it is usually up to the Justices to decide, not up to a deputy Clerk to make his own personal interpretation of the rules to justify mailing back my PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI and EMERGENCY APPLICATION, as well as required copies, and all other relevant and required pleadings.

See Cohen v. Beneficial Industrial Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541 (1949).

This Court accepts any and all orders from the U.S. Court of Appeals pursuant to 28 U.S. Code § 2101 - Supreme Court; time for appeal or

certiorari; docketing; stay; 28 U.S. Code § 1254 - Courts of appeals;
certiorari; certified questions.

The Deputy Clerk has no right to declare that a Certiorari petition cannot be filed on the basis of it being interlocutory in the U.S. Court of Appeals. Then citing a rule which does not limit orders to only a “final order” as was said in the letter by Clayton R. Higgins. This concerns me.

Let me copy and paste from the Rules of the Supreme Court. My mother gave me the pdf file containing the entire copy of the RULES OF THE COURT. A Clerk has no right to make his/her own interpretation to make new rules and apply them to only one litigant where there is no rule documentation or anything, just a single letter mentioning a citation of a rule that does not limit itself to the interpretation in the Deputy Clerk's letter. Essentially Clayton Higgins has made his own interpretation of the rules to justify blocking filing my petition and demanding a order which does not exist and a citation of the rule which does not limit itself to the interpretation of the single Deputy Clerk. The “(final order)” he said.

Here is the rule:

14.1. A petition for a writ of certiorari shall contain, in the order indicated:

(i) An appendix containing, in the order indicated:

(i) the opinions, orders, findings of fact, and conclusions of law, whether written or orally given and transcribed, entered in conjunction with the judgment sought to be reviewed;

It does not mention only FINAL ORDERS because of interlocutory appeals. The law authorizing the Supreme Court to review over petitions has already been met with the consolidated appeals in the Fourth Circuit of the U.S. Court of Appeals. See 28 U.S. Code § 1254.

I have been illegally blocked and fettered with from filing my Petition for Writ of Certiorari and Emergency Application for my Certiorari case.

I have evidence that all proper orders at issue in the Fourth Circuit of the U.S. Court of Appeals were in the very appendix.

I shall fax two documents in attachment to this letter.

Document attachment #1: 1-page Letter from Clayton R. Higgins.

Document attachment #2: 28-page Appendix which was wrongfully rejected by Deputy Clerk Clayton R. Higgins.

29 pages total. I have no choice but to fax it all since those documents were illegally not filed and returned to me over an invalid reason.

Clayton Higgins apparently did not review over all appendix entries thoroughly or he made the erroneous assumption.

Here are the orders which are in the APPENDIX, and only the orders at issue in the petition for rehearing and in the pursued appeals were argued in the Petition for Writ of Certiorari. I did not focus on issues which may have no merit, and only focused on the majorly important issues. Therefore nothing was omitted which even required sending back the Petition over a missing order, which non exists. All orders were in JA.

Judgment/Order in a Criminal/Civil Case of
The United States District Court for
The Middle District of North Carolina
entered March 2nd,
2022..... 6

TEXT Judgment/Order in a Criminal/Civil Case of
The United States District Court for
The Middle District of North Carolina
NOTE: Docket sheet printout of TEXT ORDER with highlight)
entered April 21st,
2022..... 21

The order which Clayton Higgins claimed was missing from the

appendix is in pages 6-9 of the Joint Appendix, the very appendix which was rejected by Clayton Higgins. I can't believe he missed that order, even though it was in the very Appendix he rejected.

Let us examine the two additional TEXT ORDERS from the COURT in page 21, and that proves all orders at issue and not at issue were in the Appendix. Nothing was omitted. Rule 14.1 was complied with in the originally mailed petition. All was complied with, appendix did have it.

Here is a copy and paste from the two TEXT ORDERS on page 21 of the Joint Appendix:

TEXT ORDER denying 301 Motion for Reconsideration.

Petitioner has filed a motion (Docket Entry 301) requesting that the Court reconsider an Order directing the Government to file a response to Petitioner's motion brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 and denying the appointment of a special master, a change of venue, the appointment of counsel, and the adoption of special filing procedures. Petitioner has failed to provide good cause or an adequate reason for the relief requested. The motion is therefore denied. Issued by MAG/JUDGE JOEL. WEBSTER on 4/21/2022. (Lee, Pedra) (Entered: 04/21/2022)

TEXT ORDER denying 309. Emergency Motion for Extension of Time or Delay the Time for the Government to Respond to Petitioner's §2255 motion. Petitioner has filed a motion (Docket Entry 309) requesting that the Court delay or extend the Government's deadline for responding to his motion brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Petitioner has failed to provide good cause or an adequate reason for the relief requested. The motion is therefore denied. Issued by MAG/JUDGE JOEL. WEBSTER on 4/21/2022. (Lee, Pedra) (Entered: 04/21 /2022)

The record is there, it was in the JOINT APPENDIX which Clayton Higgins acted as though it did not exist or acted as though it was not a FINAL ORDER when the interlocutory appeals (non-final orders) were consolidated and all orders involving the final decision from the Fourth Circuit were all

included in the Appendix.

Let me cite an excerpt from the U.S. Court of Appeals OPINION of the two text orders and the 3-page order on page 9 of the JA Joint Appendix, all at issue in the appeal cases consolidated and were in the very Appendix and Certiorari petition. Rule 14.1 was complied with, Clayton R. Higgins WAS WRONG, he erroneously or illegally blocked my petition.

Here is the CITATION (JA 3):

Brian David Hill seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying his motions for appointment of a special master and appointment of counsel, his motion to reconsider, and his motion to extend time for the Government to respond to his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion.

Joint Appendix page 3. That would be referencing three orders.

The citation and the orders are all in the appendix and here are the locations since Higgins did not think all orders were properly appended in the appendix before originally being mailed off.

Brian David Hill seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying his motions for appointment of a special master and appointment of counsel (Page 3 of JA), his motion to reconsider (Page 21 of JA), and his motion to extend time for the Government to respond to his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion (Page 21 of JA).

Clayton R. Higgins is demanding appending a "FINAL ORDER" from the District Court which does not exist and is outside the scope of the orders at issue in the final ruling from the U.S. Court of Appeals. Higgins is making a demand of something which is impossible and not required by Rule 14.1, those requirement had already been met and he goes above and beyond the requirements to set new requirements or new rules which aren't even codified in the Rules of the Supreme Court. A clerk does not have a right to

individually make an interpretation to block filing a pleading, and make a personal amendment to a rule for one particular litigant. If they were allowed to do that, the rules would not be necessary as many would be blocked from filing Certiorari petitions, and no justice would be able to see what is being filed, most to all would likely be blocked by the clerks. That is illegal under 18 U.S. Code § 2071 - Concealment, removal, or mutilation generally. A clerk cannot just become a self appointed rule maker to make a last minute rule which is not even codified as an excuse to block a Certiorari petition. It would be very messy to have clerks self appoint themselves as rule makers to make or change the rules at any time at any basis and not even write them down to be codified as law, except in a one page letter with a self-made excuse as to why a petition has to be blocked while making an impossible demand which the rule cited doesn't even require such.

This FAX letter is necessary, Roberta Hill's emails to the PIO are necessary because this self appointed rule-maker has decided to block my Petition for a Writ of Certiorari by making a new rule for the Supreme Court that interlocutory appeals are now not allowed but only a final order, and that rule is likely not being self created for other litigants here. This is ILLEGAL. I have been robbed of \$20 POSTAGE FROM THE UPS private postal service by you returning my filings under a false pretense.

I would like to request that I be reimbursed for my wasted postage of \$20 by UPS, by this wrongful returning of my attempted filings back to me over an issue which does not exist. Clayton Higgins was wrong and he cited a portion of the Rule 14.1 which does not even justify his excuse for returning my filings back to me in a mail box. He should have filed them because that excuse does not justify blocking my filings. My filings did comply with 14.1, and 14.1(i).

Anyways I would like to request that you email my mother rbhill67@comcast.net and/or rbhill67@justiceforuswego.nl a return postage label with confirming receipt at the dock of the U.S. Supreme Court as I had originally paid for with UPS. I paid for postage to send to the Supreme Court and paid for adult signature confirmation, meaning that only an adult can sign for the package containing my pleadings being mailed to you for filing.

I would like to be reimbursed on my wasted postage and mail the pleadings back to you. I would like for Clayton R. Higgins to apologize to me for his error in blocking my petition over a non-existent reason. I would like my postage either to be reimbursed or that I can tape the box back up and mail them back to you as RETURN TO SENDER or that you give us a label to affix to the box to mail back to you.

I am willing to forgive you all for this error and not press charges or lawsuits against you. I understand maybe you worked very hard that day and wasn't thinking clearly, human error and all of that.

I ask that the Supreme Court rectify this issue, and escalate this to the supervisor of Clayton R. Higgins. Please do not give this letter directly to Higgins but to his SUPERVISOR AT ONCE. I would like his supervisor to rectify this issue otherwise my DUE PROCESS OF LAW under the Fifth Amendment has been violated, AGAIN.

So please do not cover up anything this time. Where We Go One. We Go All.


Signed

Brian D. Hill

God bless you,
Brian D. Hill

Ally of Q, Former news reporter of U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apartment 2
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

JusticeForUSWGO.NL or JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

U.S.W.G.O.

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001**

November 10, 2022

Brian David Hill
310 Forest Street
Apt. 2
Martinsville, VA 24112

RE: Hill v. United States
USAP4 Nos. 22-6325 and 22-6501

Dear Mr. Hill:

The above-entitled petition for writ of certiorari was postmarked November 7, 2022 and received November 10, 2022. The papers are returned for the following reason(s):

The appendix to the petition does not contain the following documents required by Rule 14.1(i):

The opinion of the United States district court must be appended (final order).


Please correct and resubmit as soon as possible. Unless the petition is submitted to this Office in corrected form within 60 days of the date of this letter, the petition will not be filed. Rule 14.5.

A copy of the corrected petition must be served on opposing counsel.

When making the required corrections to a petition, no change to the substance of the petition may be made.

Sincerely,
Scott S. Harris, Clerk

By:



Clayton R. Higgins, Jr.
(202) 479-3019

Enclosures

JOINT APPENDIX

JA = Joint Appendix in Petition

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Joint Appendix Page

Unpublished Opinion of The United States Court of Appeals For the Fourth Circuit entered August 18th, 2022.....	1
Judgment of The United States Court of Appeals For the Fourth Circuit entered August 23rd, 2022.....	4
Judgment/Order in a Criminal/Civil Case of The United States District Court for The Middle District of North Carolina entered March 2nd, 2022.....	6
EXHIBIT 3 of MOTION To Reconsider the Order/Judgment Under Document #300 Denying Petitioner's Document #294: "Motion For Appointment of Special Master for Proceedings and Findings of Fact of Ground VII" (Letter about inquiring in evidence of blackmail) The United States District Court for The Middle District of North Carolina entered March 11th, 2022.....	9
TEXT Judgment/Order in a Criminal/Civil Case of The United States District Court for The Middle District of North Carolina NOTE: Docket sheet printout of TEXT ORDER with highlight) entered April 21st, 2022.....	21
Order of The United States Court of Appeals For the Fourth Circuit Re: Court consolidates Case No. 22-6325 and Case No. 22-6501 entered July 28, 2022.....	22
Order of The United States Court of Appeals For the Fourth Circuit	

Re: Denying Petition for Rehearing and Rehearing <i>En Banc</i> entered October 24, 2022.....	24
--	----

UNPUBLISHEDUNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 22-6325

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

BRIAN DAVID HILL,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 22-6501

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

BRIAN DAVID HILL,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, at Greensboro. Thomas D. Schroeder, Chief District Judge. (1:13-cr-00435-TDS-1; 1:22-cv-00074-TDS-JLW)

Submitted: August 18, 2022

Decided: August 23, 2022

Before WYNN, THACKER, and HEYTENS, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Brian David Hill, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Brian David Hill seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying his motions for appointment of a special master and appointment of counsel, his motion to reconsider, and his motion to extend time for the Government to respond to his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292; Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); *Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp.*, 337 U.S. 541, 545-46 (1949). The orders Hill seeks to appeal are neither final orders nor appealable interlocutory or collateral orders. Accordingly, we dismiss the appeals for lack of jurisdiction. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

FILED: August 23, 2022

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 22-6325 (L)
(1:13-cr-00435-TDS-1)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

BRIAN DAVID HILL

Defendant - Appellant

No. 22-6501
(1:13-cr-00435-TDS-1)
(1:22-cv-00074-TDS-JLW)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

BRIAN DAVID HILL

Defendant - Appellant

J U D G M E N T

In accordance with the decision of this court, this appeal is dismissed.

This judgment shall take effect upon issuance of this court's mandate in accordance with Fed. R. App. P. 41.

/s/ PATRICIA S. CONNOR, CLERK

involved breaches of Virginia law, and the Court later transferred jurisdiction of Petitioner's supervised release to that district. Although all of these facts are true, Petitioner's supervision was revoked by this Court and Petitioner seeks to challenge its Judgment (Docket Entry 200) revoking supervision. Venue for a § 2255 motion is proper in the court that issued the challenged judgment. 28 U.S.C. § 2255(a). Petitioner also seeks to have venue transferred based on his delusional blackmail theory which fails for the reasons already noted. No change of venue is appropriate and Petitioner's Motion will be denied.

Petitioner next filed a Motion (Docket Entry 296) seeking an appointment of counsel to aid him in pursuing his § 2255 Motion. In considering this request, the Court notes first that there is no constitutional right to appointed counsel in a habeas case. See Pennsylvania v. Finley, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987) (holding that "the right to appointed counsel extends to the first appeal of right, and no further"); United States v. Williamson, 706 F.3d 405, 416 (4th Cir. 2013) ("[A] petitioner has no Sixth Amendment right to counsel in order to mount a collateral challenge to his conviction."); Hunt v. Nuth, 57 F.3d 1327, 1340 (4th Cir. 1995) (noting that "the Constitution does not require counsel for defendants who attack their judgments under 28 U.S.C. § 2255"). Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 and 18 U.S.C. § 3006A, the Court, in its discretion, may appoint counsel if it "determines that the interests of justice so require." 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2). Appointment of counsel is also required if discovery is otherwise authorized and counsel is needed for effective discovery or where an evidentiary hearing is to be held. See Rules 6(a) and 8(c) of the Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings in the United States District Courts. Having reviewed Petitioner's request for counsel and the record in this matter, the Court does not find that appointment of counsel is required by the


interests of justice or otherwise. Therefore, Petitioner's request for counsel will be denied. Should the Court later determine that discovery or an evidentiary hearing is necessary, or that the interests of justice otherwise require, the Court will appoint counsel at that time.

Finally, Petitioner filed a Motion (Docket Entry 197) seeking to have the Clerk file his pleadings in particular ways. Petitioner presents no adequate reasons supporting such a request, the Motion will be denied, and the Clerk will file any pleadings in accordance with the Court's standard operating procedures.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the United States Attorney is directed to file a Response to Petitioner's Motion (Docket Entry 291) within sixty (60) days from the date of the entry of this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner's Motions (Docket Entries 294, 295, 296, and 297) seeking the appointment of a special master, a change of venue, an appointment of counsel, and special filing procedures are denied.

This, the 2nd day of March, 2022.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Joe L. Webster", is written over a horizontal line.

Joe L. Webster
United States Magistrate Judge

EXHIBIT 3

for

For MOTION TO RECONSIDER THE
ORDER/JUDGMENT UNDER DOCUMENT #300
DENYING PETITIONER'S DOCUMENT #294:
"MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF SPECIAL
MASTER FOR PROCEEDINGS AND FINDINGS OF
FACT OF GROUND VII"; AND DOCUMENT #296:
"MOTION FOR APPOINTED COUNSEL TO ASSIST
IN 2255 CASE MOTION AND
BRIEF/MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION BY BRIAN DAVID HILL."

by Brian David Hill

Case no. 1:13-cr-435-1; civil no. 1:22-CV-00074

Ally of Q, Former news reporter of USWGO Alternative News
JUSTICEFORUSWGO.WORDPRESS.COM



Date: 1/20/2021

Number of pages: 8

Attn.: Attorney L. Lin Wood

Recipient's number: T14045069111

Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\Fax & Voice 6\Out\LETTER TO ATTORNEY L. LIN WOOD - USWGO INVESTIGATION(2) (2021-01-20).tif

File description: LETTER TO ATTORNEY L. LIN WOOD - USWGO INVESTIGATION Resolution: 200*200 dpi

Recipient's Fax ID: 1-404-506-9111

Rate: 9600 bps

Time: 6:29:51 PM

Session duration: 12:36

To: Attorney L. Lin Wood

Message type: Fax

Record number: 8345

EMERGENCY LETTER TO ATTORNEY L. LIN WOOD ON TWEETS
CONCERNING BLACKMAILED FEDERAL/STATE JUDGES AND
POLITICIANS, INQUIRY THAT COULD SAVE MY LIFE FROM BEING
TARGETED BY THE CIA/NSA DEEP STATE THUGS

Wednesday, January 20, 2021 18:03

EMERGENCY

ATTN: L. Lin Wood
Law Office of L. Lin Wood, P.C.
Please CC to Sidney Powell

P.O. Box 52584
Atlanta, GA 30355-0584
Telephone: (404) 891-1402
Facsimile: (404) 506-9111

Dear L. Lin Wood,

This is in reference to YOUR tweets. My family took screenshots and gave them to me to use as reference in this EMERGENCY LETTER. These are YOUR tweets.

Here they are:

← **Tweet**



Lin Wood
@LLinWood

000

The blackmail targets are approached with a gun, a child, & a camera. The target is ordered to rape the child on video. The target is then ordered to shoot the child on video. The target is then owned & controlled by the blackmailers until blackmail evidence loses its value.

2:22 AM · Jan 4, 2021 · Twitter for iPhone

34.7K Retweets **4.4K** Quote Tweets **75.3K** Likes

EMERGENCY LETTER TO ATTORNEY L. LIN WOOD ON TWEETS
CONCERNING BLACKMAILED FEDERAL/STATE JUDGES AND
POLITICIANS, INQUIRY THAT COULD SAVE MY LIFE FROM BEING
TARGETED BY THE CIA/NSA DEEP STATE THUGS

Wednesday, January 20, 2021 18:03

EMERGENCY

ATTN: L. Lin Wood

Law Office of L. Lin Wood, P.C.

Please CC to Sidney Powell

P.O. Box 52584

Atlanta, GA 30355-0584

Telephone: (404) 891-1402

Facsimile: (404) 506-9111

Dear L. Lin Wood,

This is in reference to YOUR tweets. My family took screenshots and gave them to me to use as reference in this EMERGENCY LETTER. These are YOUR tweets.

Here they are:

← **Tweet**



Lin Wood

@LLinWood

...

The blackmail targets are approached with a gun, a child, & a camera. The target is ordered to rape the child on video. The target is then ordered to shoot the child on video. The target is then owned & controlled by the blackmailers until blackmail evidence loses its value.

2:22 AM · Jan 4, 2021 · Twitter for iPhone

34.7K Retweets **4.4K** Quote Tweets **75.3K** Likes

← **Tweet**



Lin Wood
@LLinWood

This tweet was an insurance policy. The evil forces behind this blackmail scheme of child rape & murder need to know that others have encryption key. I have procedure in place if I die in near term or any member of my family is harmed or threatened, key will be released by many.

 **Lin Wood** @LLinWood · Jan 1

I have always seen myself as more of a giver of gifts than a receiver of them. If I had key to a treasure trove, I would share the key or the treasure with others. But I always try to give to others with discernment.

"For many are called, but few are chosen."
- Matthew 22:14 [twitter.com/LLinWood/statu...](https://twitter.com/LLinWood/status...)

2:54 AM · Jan 4, 2021 · Twitter for iPhone

26.7K Retweets **1.6K** Quote Tweets **66.1K** Likes



← **Tweet**



Lin Wood
@LLinWood



Many issues in our world may be tied to blackmail scheme I described tonight, including bizarre behavior of officials & judges in recent election.

@realDonaldTrump must appoint special prosecutor to thoroughly investigate. We need answers. We must investigate. For the children.

4:01 AM · Jan 4, 2021 · Twitter for iPhone

31.5K Retweets **1.4K** Quote Tweets **95.5K** Likes



I like to bring to your attention the following individuals who have been targeting me or have been getting the CIA/NSA to target me, and if they are compromised as you have been saying on Twitter, then I like to have an inquiry on possible blackmail targets who have been making my life a living hell and almost caused me to kill myself back in 2013. Receiving threatening CIA text messages, CIA greeting cards with terms such as "SNOW WHITE" an intelligence Supercomputer, receiving threatening emails in 2013. This involves pedophilia and they set me up with child porn and I suspect that the following individuals have been blackmailed with child rape and murder, and that would give them access to those materials used to try to set me up back in July, 2012.

INDIVIDUALS SUSPECTED OF BEING BLACKMAILED WITH CHILD RAPE AND MURDER:

PAGE 3 OF 8 - LETTER TO ATTORNEY L. LIN WOOD – USWGO INVESTIGATION 2021 JAN

JA 13

- Philip Edward Berger Senior, NC State Senator and President Pro Tempore
- Philip Edward Berger Junior, former Rockingham County District Attorney
- Federal Judge William Lindsey Osteen Junior, Middle Dist. North Carolina
- Federal Judge Thomas David Schroeder, Middle Dist. North Carolina
- SBI Agent Rodney V. White
- NC Reidsville Detective Robert Bridge
- Any or All listed Federal Appellate Court Judges of the Fourth Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals in Richmond, Virginia.
- Charles J. Caruso, Mayodan Police Chief
- Christopher Todd Brim, Detective Sergeant, Mayodan Police
- Attorney Mark Jones, Bell Davis and Pitt law firm

I have photographs of criminal case discovery materials that prove alleged child porn was downloading from July 20, 2012, to July 28, 2013. My computer was seized on August 28, 2012. So for 11 months it was downloading to my computer when I didn't even have my computer while it was supposedly in secure law enforcement custody. **I have been set up here and I have evidence of it but the CORRUPT JUDGE Thomas David Schroeder ignores it all. He is probably being blackmailed too like John Roberts.**

Look sir, I am willing to be executed, murdered, to prove my actual innocence. I am willing to risk my life and my families lives to clear my name. I need to give these individuals names to you and if they are in any of the child rape blackmail schemes' evidence that you were tweeting about, then they are the SUSPECTED #1 culprits who SET ME UP WITH CHILD PORN. President Trump would not pardon me even though Roger Stone agreed to get this information to President Trump. He told me through text message today that he was unable to have me on Trump's final pardon list. So now my only option is to prove that any of these individuals were pedophiles blackmailed child rapists being videotaped by the blackmailers of the Deep State Swamp. Compromised.

You have information that may very well embarrass the corrupt compromised Judges or the corrupt NC State Senator, if any of it is even remotely true. **I can use this information to prove my Actual Innocence if Pedophiles or Child Rapers were in charge of investigating me in 2012.** Any of that can be useful in embarrassing the corrupt Judges and then they would no longer hold unlawful control over me and I can finally be acquitted because I AM ACTUALLY INNOCENT.

I need to know. You have the evidence of blackmail by the blackmailers of child

rape and murder. That gives them the child porn materials used to set me up and ruin my life. They have the child porn materials, they set me up. Your evidence can help me prove it.

I ran a blog named "USWGO Alternative News" at USWGO.COM. Check the Wayback Machine from 2009 to 2012. My stuff is also located at archive.org and you can search up "uswgo" keyword and find the articles I had done which had made me a TARGET.

I always knew I pissed off State Senator Phil Berger Senior. His son was involved in the child porn investigation against me and they admitted to it in Affidavit and his name was in the federal discovery papers, knowing that it would already be a conflict of interest for somebody I written bad articles about on my news blog to be involved in any criminal investigation against me because of the high risk of evidence planting, evidence tampering, and foul play.

Cheryl King knew what was going on but she mysteriously died while in Georgia and the SBI said to me she was six feet under. So they were tracking her and she died without explanation. I suspect she was murdered. The FBI was sent this information and the FBI refused to do anything about any of it and refused to even interview me over any of it. I did get one FBI Agent to look at some of my papers, he began to open up a criminal investigation file and asked me to come down to the FBI Office in Greensboro, NC to give a statement. I have this evidence. Then the investigation was halted and called meritless by his boss. The Agent was Jerry Pickford in Greensboro, NC.

Look I know by writing you this letter I may end up dead like Cheryl King of the NC SBI but I have nothing left to lose now that President Trump left office without giving me a FULL UNCONDITIONAL PARDON. Joe Biden and his son are both pedophiles, compromised. They hold the nuclear football and can nuke whoever they please. For GODS SAKE PEDOPHILES NOW HOLD the HIGHEST OFFICE in the United States of America and Trump wouldn't pardon an innocent man like me. I no longer respect the Sex Registry because I am innocent and a pedophile is our Fake President now.

Another one of your Tweets, next page:



Tweet



Lin Wood
@LLinWood

...

This blackmail scheme is conducted by members of 10 of world's most well-known & "elite" intelligence agencies.

One of those groups was hacked by a group known as Lizard Squad. The blackmail files of rape & murder were obtained by this group & copy was provided to Isaac Kappy.



Lin Wood @LLinWood · Jan 4

I believe Chief Justice John Roberts & a multitude of powerful individuals worldwide are being blackmailed in a horrendous scheme involving rape & murder of children captured on videotape.

I have the key to the files containing the videos. I have also shared this information.

2:17 AM · Jan 4, 2021 · Twitter for iPhone

24K Retweets **1.4K** Quote Tweets **50.9K** Likes

I need to know L. Lin Wood if any of the following individuals were blackmail targets:

- Philip Edward Berger Senior, NC State Senator and President Pro Tempore
- Philip Edward Berger Junior, former Rockingham County District Attorney
- Federal Judge William Lindsey Osteen Junior, Middle Dist. North Carolina
- Federal Judge Thomas David Schroeder, Middle Dist. North Carolina
- SBI Agent Rodney V. White
- NC Reidsville Detective Robert Bridge
- Any or All listed Federal Appellate Court Judges of the Fourth Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals in Richmond, Virginia.
- Charles J. Caruso, Mayodan Police Chief
- Christopher Todd Brim, Detective Sergeant, Mayodan Police
- Attorney Mark Jones, Bell Davis and Pitt law firm

If any of them were actually involved in pedophilia, child rape and murder, then they were compromised at the time and during the time child pornography investigation retaliation and set up operations against me and my mother. They threatened to set up my mother if I did not falsely confess. Any evidence that they were involved in pedo stuff even before they targeted me would nullify my false confession and nullify my false guilty plea. I NEED HARD EVIDENCE or at least something like a smoking gun. That is my only way I can be acquitted as Joe Biden will never pardon me. Like a giant arrow pointing to them as the possible culprits.

I am risking being murdered as they monitor my mother's internet access and they are monitoring my phone calls. I am risking my life but I must do so because President Trump never granted me a full unconditional pardon. I must risk my life. That is my only way, I have no other recourse.

COPY

SEARCH WARRANT IN THE DISTRICT COURT Robert Hill and Brian Hill 8/19/10 11:30 AM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AM <input type="checkbox"/> PM Todd Babin Robert Bridge Name of Addressed Party		STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA Raleigh, County To any officer with authority and jurisdiction I, the undersigned, find that there is probable cause to believe that the above named persons are in possession of child pornography. You are commanded to search the premises, in application for the property and person in question and keep the property subject to Court Order and return to the Clerk of the Court. You are directed to execute this Search Warrant and make due return to the Clerk of the Court. This Search Warrant is issued upon information from the undersigned. Source: Federal Docket on http://archive.org/details/USAvHill Case sensitive Document 64-2 8/19/10 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deputy GSC <input type="checkbox"/> Assistant GSC <input type="checkbox"/> GSC <input type="checkbox"/> Sheriff
RETURN OF SERVICE I certify that this Search Warrant was served and executed as follows: Date Returned: 8/19/10 Time Returned: 11:30 AM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AM <input type="checkbox"/> PM Date Executed: 8/19/10 Time Executed: 11:30 AM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AM <input type="checkbox"/> PM <input type="checkbox"/> I made a search of _____ <input type="checkbox"/> I seized the items listed on the attached inventory. <input type="checkbox"/> I did not seize any items. <input type="checkbox"/> This Warrant WAS NOT executed within forty-eight (48) hours of the date of issuance and		Source: http://archive.org/details/USAvHill Case sensitive Document 64-2 eMule virus? Former USWGO Alternative News reporter Brian D Hill was hacked into by Win32/MoliVampire.A (or variant B) before his laptop was seized by Search Warrant. Child porn continued downloading for exactly eleven months while under the custody of Mayodan Police and State Bureau of Investigation.

I have no hope left after President Trump left office. The CIA/NSA is going to WAR WITH ME. The attacks and traps have been coming for days. They are trying to hurt me.

I may probably need to be placed under protection of General Michael Flynn and be placed in a safe house if they come after me with guns and abduction squads. I have even tried to get this information to Attorney Sidney Powell, Pentagon.

I have been targeted by these pedophile SCUM since 2012. The corrupt CIA and NSA people. I need some kind of evidence. YOU Have this evidence. Your likely the ONLY ONE among few others who has this evidence. I need something to prove if any of them are compromised. I need the smoking gun to get my wrongful conviction overturned and YOU HAVE THIS EVIDENCE.

I will probably ask my Attorney involved in my criminal case to subpoena you for the evidence if necessary. I don't want to put you in that position. Please help me NOW. I cannot get pardoned anymore, President Trump is GONE FOREVER. They will probably arrest him and give him a felony. Your my only hope left.

I already informed a corrupt Federal Judge that I know they are compromised and told them about your tweets. They will likely have me targeted and who knows what they will do to me now. I am at high risk if they are compromised as you have claimed. I need actions now, The Swamp must be DRAINED or they will drain all of us instead in Concentration Camps or CIA black sites. The SWAMP must be drained. That was why I mailed Chris Miller of the U.S. Pentagon, Secretary of Defense. There must be action taken on those who have framed me with child porn and those SWAMP enemies. The invisible enemies.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian D. Hill

God bless you,
Brian D. Hill

Former news reporter of U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News
Ally of QANON



Date: 1/25/2021

Number of pages: 1

Attn.: Attorney L. Lin Wood

Recipient's number: T14045069111

Filename: C:\ProgramData\Venta\VentaFax & Voice 6\Out\Addendum to LETTER TO ATTORNEY L. LIN WOOD - USWGO INVESTIGATION(1) {2021-01-25}.tif

File description: Addendum to LETTER TO ATTORNEY L. LIN WOOD - USWGO Resolution: 200*200 dpi

Recipient's Fax ID: 1-404-506-9111

Rate: 9600 bps

Time: 4:04:14 AM

Session duration: 2:08

To: Attorney L. Lin Wood

Message type: Fax

Record number: 8352

**ADDENDUM TO EMERGENCY LETTER TO ATTORNEY L. LIN WOOD
ON TWEETS CONCERNING BLACKMAILED FEDERAL/STATE
JUDGES AND POLITICIANS, INQUIRY THAT COULD SAVE MY LIFE
FROM BEING TARGETED BY THE CIA/NSA DEEP STATE THUGS**

Monday, January 25, 2021 03:51

ATTN: L. Lin Wood

Law Office of L. Lin Wood, P.C.

Please CC to Sidney Powell

P.O. Box 52584

Atlanta, GA 30355-0584

Telephone: (404) 891-1402

Facsimile: (404) 506-9111

Dear L. Lin Wood,

Sorry, when I sent you that inquiry letter, I forgot to type down my mailing address. My apologies. I been so emotional lately after Donald Trump left office without pardoning me. The Federal Courts are so corrupt, I feel lost. So hopefully the evidence from The Lizard Squad could help me in being acquitted because the Courts just block anything and everything I ever do, won't give me relief on anything, don't even want to look at any evidence. My apologies for forgetting my address in my last letter.

Brian D. Hill
Signed
Brian D. Hill

God bless you,
Brian D. Hill
Former news reporter of U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News
Ally of QANON
310 Forest Street, Apartment 2
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505



JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

ADDENDUM TO EMERGENCY LETTER TO ATTORNEY L. LIN WOOD
ON TWEETS CONCERNING BLACKMAILED FEDERAL/STATE
JUDGES AND POLITICIANS, INQUIRY THAT COULD SAVE MY LIFE
FROM BEING TARGETED BY THE CIA/NSA DEEP STATE THUGS

Monday, January 25, 2021 03:51

ATTN: L. Lin Wood

Law Office of L. Lin Wood, P.C.

Please CC to Sidney Powell

P.O. Box 52584

Atlanta, GA 30355-0584

Telephone: (404) 891-1402

Facsimile: (404) 506-9111

Dear L. Lin Wood,

Sorry, when I sent you that inquiry letter, I forgot to type down my mailing address. My apologies. I been so emotional lately after Donald Trump left office without pardoning me. The Federal Courts are so corrupt, I feel lost. So hopefully the evidence from The Lizard Squad could help me in being acquitted because the Courts just block anything and everything I ever do, won't give me relief on anything, don't even want to look at any evidence. My apologies for forgetting my address in my last letter.

Brian D. Hill
Signed

Brian D. Hill

Former news reporter of U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News
Ally of QANON

God bless you,

Brian D. Hill

310 Forest Street, Apartment 2

Martinsville, Virginia 24112

(276) 790-3505



JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com

		PROCESS IN VIOLATION OF THE TENTH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION; AND IN SUPPORT OF 2255 MOTION (DOC. #291) by BRIAN DAVID HILL (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Attachment 1: "Affidavit Stella April, 2022.pdf", # <u>2</u> Attachment 2:"1 Brian Hill's proof of innocence for the court in 2022.pdf", # <u>3</u> Attachment 3:"2 Who is Brian Hill-Pictures & Descriptions.pdf", # <u>4</u> Attachment 4:"3 Brian's treatment in jail with brittle diabetes, autism & OCD.pdf", # <u>5</u> Attachment 5:"4 Threats.pdf", # <u>6</u> Attachment 6:"5 INVESTIGATION 1.pdf", # <u>7</u> Attachment 7:"6 INVESTIGATION 2.pdf, # <u>8</u> Attachment 8:"7 Danville, VA-Brian-Discovery.pdf", # <u>9</u> Attachment 9:"8 ACTUAL INNOCENCE.pdf", # <u>10</u> Attachment 10:"9-Child Pornography Through a Computer Virus-Roberts Law Group.pdf", # <u>11</u> Envelope Front Envelope, # <u>12</u> Envelope Back Envelope, # <u>13</u> Note to Clerk) (Bowers, Alexis) (Entered: 04/20/2022)
04/20/2022	<u>308</u>	ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF "MEMORANDUM OF STELLA FORINASH AND KENNETH FORINASH IN FAVOR OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE OF BRIAN DAVID HILL; IN SUPPORT OF WHY BRIAN DAVID IDLL SUSPECTS BLACKMAIL OF "JUDGES" AND "OFFICIALS"; AND IN SUPPORT OF GROUND VI - UNCONSTITUTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH THE STATE COURT PROCESS AND/OR UNWARRANTED USURPATION OF POWER AGAINST THE STATE COURT PROCESS IN VIOLATION OF THE TENTH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION; AND IN SUPPORT OF 2255 MOTION (DOC. #291)" by BRIAN DAVID HILL (Attachments: # <u>1</u> ATTACHMENT 11: "10-CAN OF WORMS Infowars Targeted By Child Porn And MSM, Not The First Time Alternative Journalists Set Up- Activist Post.pdf") (Bowers, Alexis) (Entered: 04/20/2022)
04/20/2022	<u>309</u>	EMERGENCY MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME OR DELAY THE TIME FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO RESPOND TO PETITIONER'S DOCUMENT # <u>291</u> MOTION TO VACATE, SET ASIDE OR CORRECT SENTENCE (PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. 2255) by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Bowers, Alexis) (Entered: 04/20/2022)
04/21/2022		Motion Referred to MAGISTRATE JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER RE: <u>309</u> EMERGENCY MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME OR DELAY THE TIME FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO RESPOND TO PETITIONER'S DOCUMENT # 291 MOTION TO VACATE, SET ASIDE OR CORRECT SENTENCE (PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. 2255) by BRIAN DAVID HILL. (Engle, Anita) (Entered: 04/21/2022)
04/21/2022		TEXT ORDER denying <u>301</u> Motion for Reconsideration. Petitioner has filed a motion (Docket Entry 301) requesting that the Court reconsider an Order directing the Government to file a response to Petitioner's motion brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 and denying the appointment of a special master, a change of venue, the appointment of counsel, and the adoption of special filing procedures. Petitioner has failed to provide good cause or an adequate reason for the relief requested. The motion is therefore denied. Issued by MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER on 4/21/2022. (Lee, Pedra) (Entered: 04/21/2022)
04/21/2022		TEXT ORDER denying <u>309</u> Emergency Motion for Extension of Time or Delay the Time for the Government to Respond to Petitioner's §2255 motion. Petitioner has filed a motion (Docket Entry 309) requesting that the Court delay or extend the Government's deadline for responding to his motion brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Petitioner has failed to provide good cause or an adequate reason for the relief requested. The motion is therefore denied. Issued by MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER on 4/21/2022. (Lee, Pedra) (Entered: 04/21/2022)

FILED: July 28, 2022

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 22-6325 (L)
(1:13-cr-00435-TDS-1)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

BRIAN DAVID HILL

Defendant - Appellant

No. 22-6501
(1:13-cr-00435-TDS-1)
(1:22-cv-00074-TDS-JLW)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

BRIAN DAVID HILL

Defendant – Appellant

O R D E R

The court consolidates Case No. 22-6325 and Case No. 22-6501.

For the Court--By Direction

/s/ Patricia S. Connor, Clerk

FILED: October 24, 2022

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 22-6325 (L)
(1:13-cr-00435-TDS-1)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

BRIAN DAVID HILL

Defendant - Appellant

No. 22-6501
(1:13-cr-00435-TDS-1)
(1:22-cv-00074-TDS-JLW)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

BRIAN DAVID HILL

Defendant – Appellant

O R D E R

The court denies the petition for rehearing and rehearing en banc. No judge requested a poll under Fed. R. App. P. 35 on the petition for rehearing en banc.

Entered at the direction of the panel: Judge Wynn, Judge Thacker, and Judge Heytens.

For the Court

/s/ Patricia S. Connor, Clerk