## Re: Fwd: SCOTUS case, battle for recusal of Chief Justice John Roberts



From Patrick Ryan <patrick.ryan@emblem21.com>

To Stanley Bolten <stanleybolten@justiceforuswgo.nl>

Date 2022-12-08 12:31

## Wild development.

Just a heads up, some petty spook decided to tag this email address by sending me emails with a bunch of words that activate keyword warrants, then CC'ed a lot of @fbi.gov accounts, so I will not be communicating from here.

## On 12/6/2022 4:12 AM. Stanley Bolten wrote:

Here is a case in the U.S. Supreme Court bringing up the blackmail information.

 $\label{lem:https://justiceforuswgo.wordpress.com/2022/12/04/in-u-s-supreme-court-brian-d-hill-files-emergency-motions-against-corrupt-u-s-solicitor-general-waiving-filing-a-response-again-asking-all-justices-to-compel-chief-justice-john-roberts-to-recuse/$ 

https://justiceforuswgo.wordpress.com/2022/11/22/supreme-court-files-on-docket-the-petition-and-emergency-application-regarding-alleged-blackmail-scheme-of-judges-and-officials-including-allegedly-john-roberts-citing-attorney-lin-wood-claims-and-let/

----- Original Message ------

Subject: SCOTUS case, battle for recusal of Chief Justice John Roberts

Date: 2022-12-06 03:55

From: Stanley Bolten <stanleybolten@justiceforuswgo.nl>

jromoser@scotusblog.com, eerskine@scotusblog.com, agou@scotusblog.com, kgolde@scotusblog.com, rklc@mac.com, swermiel@wcl.american.edu, afrost@wcl.american.edu, dcmark26@gmail.com, kbarlow@scotusblog.com, hennessys9@aol.com,

john.elwood@arnoldporter.com

## SCOTUS Blog,

To:

Here is the case link and documents of what will be filed next. This petitioner is fighting to ensure that the motions are not covered up like his emergency motions filed last year in his trio petitions cases. He is pushing for recusal of John Roberts over a blackmail scheme which he is compromised because of this blackmail scheme. So he cannot and should not proceed over the very case asking for investigation into sex blackmail scheme. The petitioner has submitted evidence of an attorney making public statements under Rule 7.1 of the rules of professional conduct of that state the attorney has law license in, attorneys must tell the truth about the facts and make truthful representations. John Roberts has not sued this attorney for almost two years after the statements were made on Twitter in January 2021. So it may be true. Hopefully not, but if this justice is blackmailed, it will devastate the federal judiciary, but we cannot have judges or justices being blackmailed with sex crimes. This is very dangerous in the United States of America. This case is extremely important unless the referenced attorney had lied about everything, then the attorney who had accused John Roberts should be sued for defamation and disbarred.

One party consent in both Virginia and Washington DC, Brian is making sure that everything is open and public to prevent any misbehavior by the Court employees. Brian is the only person challenging John Roberts to persuade him to recuse himself and allow the other justices to make the decision over Certiorari. If Roberts does recuse himself, this will be very interesting.

https://www.supremecourt.gov/search.aspx?filename=/docket/docketfiles/html/public/22-6123.html

https://archive.org/details/20221205-SCOTUS-calls

Brian Hill Supreme Court phone calls December 2, 2022: Brian Hill: Free Download, Borrow, and Streaming: Internet Archive

You have the filings and the documentation. You can vet this information.

Bets regards,

Stanley Bolten

stanleybolten@justiceforuswgo.nl

1 of 1 12/10/2022, 11:13 PM