

**LETTER TO CLERK OF THE U.S. SUPREME COURT**  
**RE: case no. 22-6123**

**TUESDAY, JANUARY 31, 2023**

ATTN: Clerk Supreme Court of the United States 1 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20543	Telephone: 202-479-3000 FAX: 202-479-3026
---	--

RE: Hill v. United States; case no. 22-6123

To Clerk,

I would like to request that when you receive my Petition for Rehearing, my

1. (1) “MOTION FOR REVIEW AND DISQUALIFICATION OF ANY AFFECTED HONORABLE JUSTICES WITH ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED CONFLICTS”;

2. and my (2) “DECLARATION OF BRIAN D. HILL IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION FOR REVIEW AND DISQUALIFICATION OF ANY AFFECTED HONORABLE JUSTICES WITH ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED CONFLICTS”;

that you file both pleadings in their entirety on the docket page

[https://www.supremecourt.gov/search.aspx?](https://www.supremecourt.gov/search.aspx?filename=/docket/docketfiles/html/public/22-6123.html)

[filename=/docket/docketfiles/html/public/22-6123.html](https://www.supremecourt.gov/search.aspx?filename=/docket/docketfiles/html/public/22-6123.html) (Disclaimer: Link obtained by family).

The motion is allowed to be filed with my Petition for Rehearing because the same was done by Attorneys GREGORY J. GLASER and RAY L. FLORES II in case No. 21-1511.

Partial screenshots of the docket sheet from that page are on the next page.

**No. 21-1511**

Title: **Joy Garner, Individually and on Behalf of The Control Group, et al., Petitioners**  
v.  
**Joseph R. Biden, Jr., President of the United States**

Docketed: June 2, 2022

Lower Ct: United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Case Numbers: (21-15587)

Decision Date: February 28, 2022

Oct 03 2022 Motion for leave to file amicus brief filed by Institute for Health Research GRANTED.

Oct 03 2022 Motion for leave to file amicus brief filed by Foundation for Moral Law GRANTED.

Oct 03 2022 Petition DENIED.

Oct 28 2022 Petition for Rehearing filed.

[Main Document](#) [Certificate of Word Count](#) [Proof of Service](#) [Other](#)

Nov 02 2022 Suggestion for review and disqualification from counsel for petitioners received.

[Main Document](#) [Other](#)

Nov 09 2022 DISTRIBUTED for Conference of 12/2/2022.

See the “Suggestion for review and disqualification from counsel for petitioners received.” It has two documents. I had Roberta Hill check those and the main document contained that motion and the other pdf document contained a “DECLARATION OF JOY GARNER IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION FOR REVIEW AND DISQUALIFICATION OF ANY AFFECTED HONORABLE JUSTICES WITH ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED CONFLICTS”.

So I request that both my Declaration in support of my motion and my motion itself be posted on the public docket in both its entirety. It is very important due to the subject matter in the Declaration, the statements under penalty of perjury by myself.

Filing such a “MOTION FOR REVIEW AND DISQUALIFICATION” accompanying with the Petition for Rehearing is not prohibited by the rules of the Supreme Court. That was done so in case No. 21-1511. If an attorney can file such a motion for review and disqualification with a petition for rehearing, was accepted by the Court and was distributed to conference, then the same can be done in my case.

Again, I do ask both be filed entirely on the public docket in pdf format. This is very important. If you read over my Declaration, Qresearch which is at the heart of QAnon has taken interest in my filing or filings in my Supreme Court case.

Copied from my Declaration:

<https://8kun.top/qresearch/res/18104442.html#q18104921>

<https://8kun.top/qresearch/res/18097469.html#q18098096>

<https://8kun.top/qresearch/res/18102090.html#q18102371>

Qanons are interested in my Supreme Court case and my emergency application. At this point many are interested in how this Supreme Court case is going to go. Evan Neumann has corroborated Attorney Lin Wood's claims in my EMERGENCY APPLICATION to Chief Justice Roberts, according to Pete Santilli who also held up on camera a printed copy of my past filing in this Supreme Court. This information is in my Declaration, and I am also aware that Pete Santilli said on another day he is willing to testify under penalty of perjury presumably about his claims regarding John Roberts visiting 300+ illegal websites (child porn websites). I heard that on his show before the one where he spoke about Evan Neumann. Roberta Hill had downloaded both episodes from the Pete Santilli Show. That is how I am aware of this.

This Court is free to subpoena Pete Santilli on the Evan Neumann claims about John Roberts. This Court can compel Evan Neumann to send in his Declaration from overseas and inquire on how Pete Santilli was able to somehow get in contact with Mr. Neumann from Belarus.

See 28 U.S. Code § 1746(1) If executed without the United States: “I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (date). (Signature)”.

Anyways, I always do the best I can to be honest in this Court. Please file all filings in their entirety since the QAnons are watching my case. Since I was informed that the QAnons are watching my case, then likely so is Q, whoever Q is.

Please do this, for the sake of public interest in my case.

Where We Go One We Go All (WWG1WGA), Nothing Can Stop What Is Coming (NCSWIC).

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signed  
Brian D. Hill

God bless you,  
Brian D. Hill

Ally of Q, Former news reporter of U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News  
310 Forest Street, Apartment 2  
Martinsville, Virginia 24112  
(276) 790-3505

[JusticeForUSWGO.NL](http://JusticeForUSWGO.NL) or [JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com](http://JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com)

**U.S.W.G.O.**