

**Subject:** Brian's draft Declaration for his drafting of motion to recuse John Roberts

**From:** ROBERTA HILL <rbhill67@comcast.net>

**Date:** 1/19/2023, 2:40 PM

**To:** Lin Wood <lwood@linwoodlaw.com>, Lin Wood <lwood@fightback.law>, "lm@thebigmig.com" <lm@thebigmig.com>, "pete@petesantilli.tv" <pete@petesantilli.tv>, Kenstella <kenstella@comcast.net>, "kenstella2005@comcast.net" <kenstella2005@comcast.net>, "stanleybolten@justiceforuswgo.nl" <StanleyBolten@justiceforuswgo.nl>

Lin Wood,

Brian has been working on what to argue in his petition for rehearing as well as a separate motion to recuse in the blackmail scheme case. He also wishes to file a motion (*like in this case* <https://www.supremecourt.gov/search.aspx?filename=/docket/docketfiles/html/public/21-1511.html> "Suggestion for review and disqualification from counsel for petitioners received.") asking for recusal one last time bringing up Pete Santilli's statements that he agreed with what Lin Wood had said, saying Pete has his own separate sources. Brian isn't just pushing for rehearing but also wants to try one more time to compel recusal of the Chief Justice Roberts.

It is attached. His declaration and the article which he will attach to his declaration with the transcript of the Pete Santilli show. Brian has read what that attorney argued in his declaration, and Brian tried to do the best he could to explain how many people now suspect Roberts is blackmailed after denial of Certiorari. Then Pete saying that Evan Neumann confirmed the claims made in my son's case.

If anybody sees anything wrong or needs to be corrected in his declaration/affidavit, please feel free to reply to this email.

Thanks,  
Roberta Hill

— Attachments: —

Declaration for 2nd Motion for Recusal (8).pdf	279 KB
Declaration for 2nd Motion for Recusal (8).docx	364 KB
Pete Santilli_ 'Evan Neumann has corroborated everything that's in here.'.pdf	3.9 MB