

## Evidence Information for you re: Jonathon Owen Shroyer



**From** Stanley Bolten <stanleybolten@justiceforuswgo.nl>  
**To** <FL01MG.Outreach@mail.house.gov>, <info@mattgaetz.com>, <info@mattgaetzforflorida.com>, <matt@gaetz4usa.com>, <Team@gaetz4usa.com>, <campaign@mattgaetzforflorida.com>, <team@gaetz4congress.com>  
**Date** 2023-11-11 00:31  
**Priority** Highest

1-letter-to-clerk-regarding-cover-up3.pdf (~166 KB) 2-declaration-in-support-of-sentencing-for-owen-shroyer6.pdf (~559 KB)  
 dkt\_-1699058330.pdf (~4 KB) e82144249e7c054db7af2bc6cb93dcc1f6\_redacted.pdf (~16 KB)  
 5-not-read-external-sentencing-letter-for-jonathan-owen-shroyer.pdf (~128 KB)  
 6-not-read-external-sentencing-letter-for-jonathan-owen-shroyer-for-opposing-counsel.pdf (~130 KB) 70223330000056995930.pdf (~20 KB)  
 9481737903244999465007.pdf (~20 KB) USWGO\_20231026\_002256(OCR)\_Redacted.pdf (~13 MB)

U.S. Rep. Matt Gaetz,

I have documentation, evidence, and information for you since you work directly for U.S. Congressman Matt Gaetz as he is looking into or investigating the [mistreatment of Jonathon Owen Shroyer](#) at the Federal Correctional Institution 1 in Oakdale, LA.

I have the evidence regarding the differential treatment of J6 defendant Jonathon Owen Shroyer not just by the U.S. DOJ but also by the judge presiding over Owen Shroyer's case. DOJ deleted emails regarding the attempted filed sentencing letter, and didn't even want to look it over or investigate any of its claims despite being a Declaration/affidavit. You may want to bring up this issue on Twitter or even on the Congressional record if you want to bring public attention to it; or keep the attached documents of this email, download the rest of the evidence from the blog links to dozens and more of evidence documents and phone call recordings legal under one party consent. The corruption documented for any member of Congress to use in investigation of the issues of unethical misconduct within the DOJ and Federal Judiciary in its mistreatment of J6 defendants. Evidence of a sentencing letter being covered up illegally by the court. Then when the letter writer contacted the U.S. Probation Office in Austin, TX regarding covered up sentencing letter, and the BOP facility received a copy of the sentencing letter by certified mail, the judge was forced to acknowledge receipt but denied it and never informed the letter writer that it was denied. The letter was never returned to the filer after it was denied, the court never contacted the letter write informing him that his filing was rejected. The letter was covered up again, meaning they had to cover up the first cover up by having a denial of filing filed on docket but still cover up the filing. Laws are possibly being broken and/or constitutional violations by a rigged judiciary over the January 6, 2021 incident.

1. Evidence has been released to the general public on [justiceforuswgo.wordpress.com](https://justiceforuswgo.wordpress.com) of a timely filed sentencing letter mailed through USPS Express Mail. Also there was a re-filing of the sentencing letter. It was mailed, twice. It was an affidavit sentencing letter demonstrating character witness testimony of the January 6 defendant Owen Shroyer being a good person and being a professional news reporter or journalist. Filing of this letter would have demonstrated that Owen's professional behavior is protecting him as the "Free Press" under the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution. The DOJ and judge covered up the sentencing letter from the Court and deleted it from DOJ emails to prevent Owen from being recognized as a Free Press protected individual and thus shouldn't be sitting in solitary confinement. These are illegal and unconstitutional activities going on to ensure the torture of news reporter Shroyer. The evidence is all in PDF files and is publicly accessible for the general public:  
<https://justiceforuswgo.wordpress.com/2023/11/04/positive-sentencing-letter-for-j6-defendant-owen-shroyer-was-denied-months-after-being-timely-filed-not-covered-up-anymore-other-fed-judges-granted-filing-of-sentencing-letters-incl-j6-defendant-s/> and <https://justiceforuswgo.wordpress.com/2023/10/06/brian-d-hill-refiles-mails-sentencing-letter-again-in-owen-shroyer-j6-federal-case-asks-clerk-to-notify-brian-if-it-is-approved-to-be-considered-as-timely-filed-around-september-11-2023-a-day-befor/>.

2. I have evidence that Joe Hoft of The Gateway Pundit released a post about the judge denying the sentencing letter favorable to the January 6 defendant, the judge saying the public has no right to file anything on criminal docket which includes sentencing letters favorable to the January 6 defendant.

However the same U.S. District Court in Washington, DC allows sentencing letters in other federal criminal cases. Roger Stone's case a democrat judge Amy B. Jackson allowed sentencing letters, and J6 defendant Stewart Rhodes a negative sentencing letter was allowed to be filed by another democrat federal judge. For some reason, this particular sentencing letter was blocked even when the affidavit stated how he was a character witness of Owen Shroyer under penalty of perjury. Usually the standard for a judge to accept filing a sentencing letter for a criminal defendant is by demonstrating how you know the defendant and/or personally witnessed how he treated the person. Brian shown a photo of Brian, Alex Jones, and Stewart Rhodes proving that he knew the boss of Owen Shroyer, Brian was introduced when calling into Owen's talk show. Brian demonstrated evidence in his sentencing letter affidavit how he knew the defendant enough to be a character witness, but it was still denied and covered up again (***filer was not notified by mail or anything about his filing being rejected, not even the clerk is sending any notification***) saying the public has no right to file anything including sentencing letters. For some odd or unknown reason, Owen Shroyer is not allowed any sentencing letter from any character witness if it is favorable to him. That right there means a bias or prejudice against him by the judge which is unethical. <https://joehoft.com/dc-court-wont-allow-sentencing-letters-for-owen-shroyer-but-will-for-serial-rapist/>

3. I am aware that the court isn't even writing back the letter writer Brian Hill informing him that his sentencing letter was denied leave to file. This contradicts normal judicial procedures that a federal judge silently denies the sentencing letter and doesn't even write back the attempted filer to even inform him of it being denied. Everything is being done secretly. Brian called the judge's chambers and his clerk told Brian that he isn't even allowed to know if they received his letter. Other judge's chambers have not done such a thing. This is a very secretive courthouse. They are treating Owen Shroyer as a GITMO detainee where Brian's sentencing letter can be secretly rejected and destroyed because Owen may be classified as a terrorist.

I can't put too many links in this email or it will get blocked or filtered, but the evidence can be researched at the small number of links and evidence can be collected by Matt Gaetz or anybody he has assigned to investigate what has happened to Owen Shroyer. The judge is acting weird, the DOJ is deleting emails without even reviewing over the affidavit or anything, and the prison is blocking books directly from the publisher. I have even more evidence if you want to request it. You won't need to subpoena me as I will voluntarily hand over any further evidence you may want to request.

Thank you for looking out for Jonathon Owen Shroyer. Evidence is everything, proofs are everything. You should have more evidence for any investigation into Owen Shroyer's mistreatment by the Feds.

God Bless You,  
Stanley Bolten