

**NOTICE OF LAWSUIT WARNING TO STANDARDS
OF PRACTICE ENFORCEMENT ATTORNEY AND
VIRGINIA INDIGENT DEFENSE COMMISSION
(VIDC)**

**Re: Complaint against Attorney Fred Dempsey Smith,
dated January 27, 2024, Received on January 29, 2024.**

Wednesday, February 21, 2024 09:30 PM

ATTN: Virginia Indigent Defense
Commission (VIDC)
CC: William Efird, Standards of Practice
Enforcement Attorney (SOPEA)
wefird@vadefenders.org;
info@vadefenders.org

1604 Santa Rosa Road, Suite
200
Richmond, Virginia 23229
Phone: (804) 662-7249, ext. 134

Virginia Indigent Defense Commission (VIDC),
CC: Standards of Practice Enforcement Attorney,

I, Brian David Hill, complainant against court appointed lawyer Fred Dempsey Smith would like to file a NOTICE OF LAWSUIT warning with both William Efird of the Standards of Practice Enforcement Attorney (SOPEA) and against the Virginia Indigent Defense Commission (VIDC). Lawsuit is for defamation of my character.

The lawsuit I, Brian David Hill, plan on filing against you are on the Virginia statute of Article 4 – DEFAMATION, and I plan on filing this lawsuit in the Richmond Circuit Court for the 13th Judicial Circuit of Virginia because Judge Greer is partial, biased, and will protect anything and everything to do with his appointment of Attorney Fred Smith. So I plan on filing suit in the Circuit Court in Richmond, Virginia to protect my rights as a plaintiff. I retain the right to file such a lawsuit and am giving NOTICE to William Efird and every other member of the Commission. I retain the right to file a lawsuit against you for defamation against me, false pretenses of my complaint.

I am providing a thirty day NOTICE and warning of a lawsuit I am intending to file against SOPEA Attorney William Efird for defamation of character, making false statements concerning my complaint against Attorney Fred Smith, and making false statements as a pretense to dismiss my complaint against Attorney Fred Smith. The damages caused by dismissing my complaint put Attorney Fred Smith possibly under immunity and/or any other legal protections preventing me from filing a lawsuit against Attorney Fred Dempsey Smith for malpractice. Also preventing me from asking the Virginia State Bar to investigate any grievances I have against Fred Smith. The dismissal of my complaint prevents me from all remedies due to Fred Smith having immunity as a court appointed lawyer. I've tried to ask the State Bar in the past to investigate a court appointed lawyer but they refused because they are given some kind of immunity or protections. So the SOPEA Attorney William Efird has damaged by ability to file a private lawsuit for malpractice allegations against Attorney Fred Smith by protecting this attorney from being held accountable. The SOPEA has damaged and harmed me.

William Efird has prevented any investigation from being initiated against Attorney Fred Smith and has prevented any investigation into what all I had filed in support of my complaint against Fred Dempsey Smith including the Evidence CD disc.

I am open to mediation and negotiation on finding a solution which does not end up in me filing a civil litigation. I will be demanding a jury trial in my lawsuit so that the jury can look through everything I ever filed with the VIDC SOPEA William Efird and the jury can determine if William made any kind of false statements against me and using those false statements to justify dismissing my complaint to prevent my bid to hold Fred Dempsey Smith accountable for his malpractice and depriving me of my constitutional rights under the color of law which is a federal crime Fred Smith had done. As well as misprision of a felony.

FACTS AS TO WHY I WILL SUE FOR DEFAMATION:

See the attached 1-page letter, dated February 14, 2024, from Standards of Practice Enforcement Attorney, William Efird. The basis for the lawsuit.

That was in response to the complaint I had filed and any other documents or information submitted to the SOPEA. Here is what I had mailed out and what was emailed:

1. On January 27, 2024, I mailed out the complaint and memorandum in support of the complaint along with an EVIDENCE CD full of audio evidence and documents evidence in support of my complaint. It was received by Certified Mail and Electronic

Return Receipt confirmed on January 29, 2024. The CD had documents evidence containing 68 documents, 15 documents, 16 documents, and 8 documents. A total of 107 documents. The AUDIO Evidence contained 5 audio files. That would be five secret recordings of recording my conversations with Attorney Fred Dempsey Smith and my mother's conversation recording with Attorney Fred Dempsey Smith.

2. I made a clerical mistake of confusing statements in my memorandum affidavit and had filed an emergency letter clarifying those confusing statements with the truth and explanation to explain my confusing statements so it makes more sense. That emergency letter was emailed to Attorney William Efird on both February 5, 2024, and February 2, 2024. So it was emailed two times. It was also mailed and was printed to be mailed. That was mailed out on February 20, 2024. The same thing which was emailed.

3. I had mailed additional evidence and information in support of my complaint on February 20, 2024. Evidence of what appears to be MISPRISION OF A FELONY, where Attorney Fred Smith failed and/or refused to report a threatening email to the Federal Bureau of Investigation and refused to be present during a Virginia State Police interview of Brian David Hill, the client of Attorney Fred Smith, where Brian could have made incriminating statements concerning his contempt of court charge by the Circuit Court for the City of Martinsville. Fred Smith refused to investigate and take lawful action concerning a criminal threatening email on his client Brian David Hill and own his client's mother Roberta Hill. That evidence and a copy of my clerical mistake and correction letter concerning my memorandum in support of my complaint was all mailed out on February 20, 2024. NO EVIDENCE CD was mailed out on that date, all documentation proofs was printed.

William Efird said that I had provided no evidence of anything in support of my claims against Fred Smith. Don't have to take my word for it, I will copy and paste the claims made by William Efird which I believe are defamatory against me and explain why that statement is defamatory and untrue:

1. 1. Rule 4.1 Truthfulness to others. You have not alleged any misconduct.

That is not true. This is gaslighting from William Efird. It is gaslighting because it is denying the evidence and denying that there was anything at all. Denying everything which was claimed in affidavit from Brian David Hill. The memorandum affidavit explained everything. The supporting EVIDENCE CD had documents proving that Attorney Fred Smith had lied to the Attorney General's office in Virginia. It had pleadings of things under penalty of perjury filed with the Court of Appeals of Virginia and with the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the City of Martinsville. I did alleged

misconduct by and through the supporting evidence and the memorandum. What William Efirm said is untrue.

My family provided me both the link and copy/paste of what gaslighting is. <https://health.clevelandclinic.org/gaslighting> What Is Gaslighting? **Gaslighting is a form of emotional abuse and mental manipulation that will leave you questioning your own reality and have you wondering if you're in the wrong in nearly every argument.** Plus, gaslighting doesn't just happen with significant others — it can happen with your family, your friends, your coworkers and even acquaintances.

William Efirm had engaged in psychological gaslighting which is a form of emotional abuse and mental manipulation against me. I have evidence which proven my claims but he is denying all evidence and gaslighting in a one-page dismissal letter.

The memorandum in support of such complaint explained exactly how Attorney Fred Smith had lied to the Assistant Attorney General and lied to me.

I did admit I had made confusing statements in my affidavit when typing it up as quick as I could, I have Autism Spectrum Disorder. I had my mother email an emergency letter explaining that I had typed up my memorandum quickly and had made confusing statements which are truthful but needed clarification. That was emailed by my mother Roberta Hill on both February 2, 2024, and February 5, 2024.

2. Rule 3.3 Candor toward the tribunal. You have presented no evidence that Mr. Smith lied to a tribunal concerning the appeal that you represented yourself on.

That too is gaslighting and is redirecting from what my allegations were talking about. I didn't say Fred Smith lied directly to the Court of Appeals of Virginia itself but had lied to the Assistant Attorney General which is AN OFFICER of the Court of Appeals of Virginia, and the lies ended up in the BRIEF OF THE COMMONWEALTH submitted by Asst. Attorney General Justin Hill, to the Court of Appeals of Virginia.

The memorandum and the additional evidence on the EVIDENCE CD proves that I did present evidence that Fred Smith had lied to the officer of the Court of Appeals of Virginia, as all practicing attorneys licensed to defend and prosecute appeals before the Court of Appeals of Virginia are officers of the court. Fred Smith had lied to the Court of Appeals of Virginia by lying to Justin Hill. He admitted in one of the five secret recordings that it as "JUSTIN" and then last name was "HILL", aka "Justin Hill". The evidence does prove that Fred Smith had spoken with Justin Hill, the assistant Attorney General.

I did prove that Fred Smith lied to both the Assistant Attorney General and lied to the Court of Appeals of Virginia by lying to Justin Hill who is the Assistant Attorney General. I had proven both.

I will copy and paste from the BRIEF OF THE COMMONWEALTH with false statements which that information came directly from Attorney Fred Smith.

COPIED FROM ATTORNEY GENERAL FILING (ON EVIDENCE CD): *In his notices of appeal, Hill accused the circuit court judge of ethical violations, fraud, colluding with the Commonwealth's Attorney to obstruct justice, and being part of a RICO₃ conspiracy to infringe his rights. (R. 4282–87; 4296–4301; 4317–22). Shortly after, the Circuit Court issued a show cause, charging Hill with contempt and **appointed him counsel**. The contempt charges were dismissed on joint motion of the Commonwealth and Hill on October 23, 2023. Upon **information and belief, Hill agreed during the pendency of those contempt charges not to file any new motions in the Circuit Court. That agreement did not prohibit his from filing anything in this Court.** (footnote 4). Footnote 4: **Undersigned counsel confirmed this fact with Hill's appointed counsel in his contempt case** during the pendency of those proceedings.*

Fred Smith had pretty much told the Asst. Attorney General that “***That agreement did not prohibit his from filing anything in this Court.***” referring to the Court of Appeals of Virginia. That was a lie. It is confirmed to be a lie, because the five secret recordings I have with Attorney Fred Smith prove that he told me, Brian David Hill, “don't file anything in state court”. Then he said that the Attorney General had agreed to me being granted an extension of time to file in my appeals. **If I was not prohibited from filing in my appeals, then why would Fred Smith talk about the extension for my appeals after six months???** I then had Roberta Hill email the Attorney General my letter to them, and they refused to grant me an extension of time to file on my behalf even though Attorney Fred Smith had told me that the Attorney General had agreed to give me an extension of time for my appeals. So Fred Smith lied to me about that. I did prove that he lied to myself, lied to my mother Roberta Hill, and lied to the Assistant Attorney General. Somebody clearly lied here and the recordings prove that. Either Justin Hill is lying, or Fred Smith is lying. Either of them is lying, or both of them are lying. My evidence submitted to the SOPEA Attorney William Efird proves that.

Anyways when Efird said: “**You have presented no evidence that Mr. Smith lied to a tribunal concerning the appeal that you represented yourself on.**” That is a bold faced lie when the BRIEF OF THE COMMONWEALTH had said: “Undersigned counsel **confirmed this fact with Hill's appointed counsel in his contempt**

case during the pendency of those proceedings.” That came directly from Attorney Fred Smith when he spoke in those secret recordings about talking with that Assistant Attorney General, uh what's his name? Justin; What's his last name? Hill. Fred Smith admitted to speaking with Justin Hill, the Assistant Attorney General in the secret recording submitted to the SOPEA. That filing: BRIEF OF THE COMMONWEALTH in the evidence CD submitted to the SOPEA, said that it was filed with the Court of Appeals of Virginia on January 2, 2024. SO THIS PROVES William Efird WRONG. The lies of Fred Smith did go to the Court of Appeals of Virginia, not directly but indirectly. **If theoretically I had lied to an attorney in a case and not straight to the court but it ends up in the court record, it is still lying to the court even though indirectly. So Attorney Fred Smith did lie to the Court of Appeals of Virginia but indirectly, indirectly by lying to Justin Hill the Assistant Attorney General.** It is a lie when Fred said that the Attorney General agreed to an extension for my appeals during the six month period, then when my mother emails the Assistant Attorney General Justin Hill, he says the opposite of what Fred Smith said in the audio recording, saying I have to file my extension request or my lawyer would have to file which I had none. Fred Smith said all I had to do was CALL the gentleman from the Attorney General's office that I would like to ask for that extension and would get that extension because Fred Smith said in that audio recording that he agreed to it already. WHAT A LIAR! I proved it.

3. Rule 1.2 Scope of representation. Mr. Smith did not represent you on the appeal you claim he caused to be dismissed.

The issue was that even though he represented me in my contempt of court case but not in my appeals but **HE INTERFERED with my right to my pro se appeals.** It doesn't matter that Fred Smith didn't represent me in my appeals when he DEMANDED that I cannot file anything in my appeals until after my contempt of court case he represented me on was dismissed. That is misrepresentation. William Efird is gaslighting on that too. He is acting like because Fred didn't represent me in my pro se appeals, that gives Attorney Fred Smith the right to interfere with my appeals by barring me from filing in my pro se appeals. His lying and misrepresentation interfered with my rights, including my constitutional due process right to prosecute my appeals timely.

4. Standard 1.0- You do not state a violation here.

He appeared to have ignored the entire memorandum affidavit. The Commission didn't set the standards of where the heading for presenting the proof, arguments, and headers in regard to each rule violation allegation. The proof of all violations being alleged here was in the memorandum in support of the complaint, and is in the

EVIDENCE CD. The proof is there. William Efirm didn't seem to be reviewing over everything or he did review everything and is gaslighting in his wrongful dismissal of my complaint preventing me from holding Fred Smith accountable for his malpractice.

5. Standard 1.0- You have provided no evidence that Mr. Smith lied to the assistant attorney general. The secret recording that you made of the conversation with Mr. Smith was of him advising you to call the assistant attorney general concerning an extension. This was entirely reasonable as you represented yourself on the appeal.

That is a lie too, it is gaslighting. There are five secret recordings and William Efirm only focused on one secret recording instead of five lawfully recorded conversations. There are five audio files. Cited in the memorandum document mailed to the SOPEA. Yeah he advised me of an entirely false pretense. He told me that the Attorney General had agreed to extend my appeals which I represented myself on while he demanded that I not file anything in all Virginia state courts for six months.

The reason I had complied with Attorney Fred Smith's demand not to file anything in the state courts for six months is because he refused to assert a first amendment defense to my criminal charge of contempt of court. He refused to protect my freedom of speech and the recording said that I would have to get another attorney to seek my remedies including my first amendment. He demanded that I do what he wanted me to do or I will not have my contempt of court case dismissed. **He acted as my boss telling me what to do. I am the client, I am his boss as the client.** If I am barred from filing by my own court appointed attorney in even my appeals, then it is his duty to file something with the Court of Appeals of Virginia notifying them of me being prohibited from filing even in the Court of Appeals of Virginia since it was his demand that I not file for six months. He should have filed a letter affidavit about prohibiting me from filing for six months.

6. Standard 1.1- You do not state a violation here.

That is gaslighting. Did he even read all of the memorandum???

7. Standard 1.2- You have provided no evidence that Mr. Smith does not have the education, training or experience necessary to represent you on the charge he was appointed to represent you.

Did William Efirm even read the complaint or did he pick and choose things and picked and chose what to review to make those determinations.

I did provided a lot of proof against Attorney Fred Smith.

I had provided true and correct copies of documents filed with the Court of Appeals of Virginia proving that Attorney Fred Smith did interfere with my pro se appeals, and his demands interfered with my pro se appeals. Then he lied to me by lying to Roberta Hill about the Attorney General agreeing to give me an extension since I was prohibited from filing for six months, prohibited BY FRED SMITH. He told me not to file for six months. He told me in pretty much multiple times not to file anything which did directly interfere with my appeals.

Here is the issue. Fred Smith is in the wrong here and I had proved it to William Efir, the Standards of Practice Enforcement Attorney (SOPEA).

HERE IS THE ULTIMATE PROOF already submitted to William Efir.

MY AMENDED MOTION FOR DELAYED APPEAL. I said in my memorandum that: “15. *I had filed an amended motion for delayed appeal. It was reviewed and accepted by the Clerk on January 22, 2024. SEE FILE: VACES 1-22-2024 pdf-acceptances.pdf. Justin Hill was served on January 21, 2024.*” I also said on page 17 of my memorandum: “Fred has caused false information to be in my appeals by Justin Hill, Appellees counsel. Fred had caused me to wrongfully lose my appeals possibly permanently not being able to get them revived **unless my motion for delayed appeal is granted for all three of my appeals.** If my motion is not granted, then Attorney Fred Smith lied and misrepresented and caused me harm to the extent where I may owe more money to the City of Martinsville and Commonwealth of Virginia over the indecent exposure case appeals which three of those appeals had been dismissed because of the lies and misrepresentations caused by Attorney Fred Smith.”

That is in my Evidence CD submitted to the commission. There is evidence in support of my grounds for my complaint.

The File in the evidence CD is: “3-AMDMOTION1-20-2024.PDF”. That motion was timely filed. BY LAW under the statute: The Commonwealth of Virginia has a right to respond to that motion for delayed appeal and file objections to the movant's motion. If they correctly claim that the movant has no sufficient grounds and reasons for the Court of Appeals of Virginia to grant that motion for delayed appeals, BY LAW the motion will be dismissed without prejudice. Virginia Code § 19.2-321.1.

For a FACT, The Attorney General and Commonwealth's Attorney FILED NO CONTENTIONS, FILED NO OBJECTIONS, and filed nothing contesting my claims about Attorney Fred Smith in my Motions for delayed appeal. They filed nothing

contesting my claims about Attorney Fred Smith lying to the Attorney General Office. They filed no contentions to my claims against Attorney Fred Smith. So the Attorney General did not file anything disagreeing with my facts in my motions for delayed appeal against Attorney Fred Smith blaming Attorney Fred Smith as the sole cause for my appeals being dismissed. I had proven with all the evidence in my Evidence CD, I PROVEN MY CLAIMS, I PROVEN MY GROUNDS for my complaint. Did Efirm even look through all the evidence in my Evidence CD??? Did he even read the entire memorandum??? Did he review every pdf document file in my filed Evidence CD???

My complaint is not groundless. Attorney William Efirm had gas-lighted dismissal in response to my complaint. He clearly didn't want any thorough investigation into my complaint. He clearly did not want to hold Attorney Fred Dempsey Smith accountable for his misconduct, for malpractice. It is gaslighting to claim that I had provided no evidence and no grounds. Then citing only one recorded conversation but not five recorded conversations in support of my complaint.

I demand that the entire Virginia Indigent Defense Commission (VIDC) review over my complaint, my memorandum, my evidence CD. **I demand that William Efirm reverse his decision to dismiss my complaint, and I demand an full impartial investigation into Attorney Fred Smith. Or I sue William Efirm for defamation of myself.**

I will file a lawsuit within 30 days of you all receiving this NOTICE OF LAWSUIT. I have been damaged by Attorney William Efirm by his defamation against me by lying that I had presented no evidence and groundless claims. My complaint is not groundless when I had presented over 100 pdf files and 5 audio files of evidence. I had presented transcripts of those secret recordings. William Efirm did not have a sufficient reason to dismiss my complaint because he had made untruthful statements because I had submitted a lot of proof. It takes a lot of time to investigate.

I will demand a jury trial in my lawsuit and then the jury will review over everything ever mailed to the SOPEA of the Virginia Indigent Defense Commission (VIDC). They will review over all files in the Evidence CD, the memorandum, and complaint. The jury will see everything and make a determination on whether William Efirm defamed me in dismissing my complaint for false reasons. I'm sorry William, but I have to sue you for what you did.

I am not upset and angry that William Efirm dismissed my complaint, **I am upset and angry that he dismissed my complaint for false reasons.** It is false when I had provided sufficient proof. **It is false when the Attorney General could have objected to my motions for delayed appeal before the Court of Appeals of Virginia, and did**

not contest the motions for the three appeals. When a motion is uncontested, that means the facts are true in the uncontested motion and is usually granted without further notice. They had a right to claim my motion for delayed appeal was groundless but the Attorney General did not object because I did have grounds for my claims against Attorney Fred Dempsey Smith.

I can claim to the future jury when I sue your office that the Attorney General did not object to my Amended motions for delayed appeal against Attorney Fred Dempsey Smith. I did have sufficient reasons against Fred Smith in the Court of Appeals of Virginia. I did prove he was in the wrong. The Attorney General did not object to my claims against Fred Smith and they are the law enforcement side of the legal system.

William Efird has made false typed/written statements against me to make it appear that I filed an empty/groundless complaint, and filed no evidence at all when I did file a ton of evidence. The EVIDENCE CD had documents evidence containing 68 documents, 15 documents, 16 documents, and 8 documents. A total of 107 documents. The AUDIO Evidence contained 5 audio files. That would be five secret recordings of conversations with Attorney Fred Dempsey Smith concerning me and my case.

I have filed a ton of evidence. It was William Efird's job to look through everything with my complaint, including ALL FILES. That includes my filings with the Court of Appeals of Virginia. The memorandum did spoke of my filed Amended Motions for Delayed Appeal. William Efird should have asked the Court of Appeals of Virginia for that very filing, and he would have the proof not even from my own mouth, but from the record of the Appeals Court.

William Efird clearly should have set the matter for an investigation before deciding that it was "GROUNDLESS".

William Efird has defamed me, because this decision by William Efird will be used against me if Fred Smith ever decides to sue me, he will use this bad decision against me, and use it against me in a court of law. This decision will be used to attack my credibility when I did provide a ton of evidence in support of my complaint. I understand it sounds confusing and there is a LOT OF INFORMATION, hundreds of pages of information. I understand they don't want to read all of that, but it is THEIR JOB. IT IS THEIR JOB to review over everything including all audio recordings.

William Efird should have reviewed every document on that EVIDENCE CD. Reviewed over every audio recording. He either clearly did not do so or he didn't want to acknowledge what he reviewed over. Did he listen to every audio recording???

To claim that I presented no evidence and that it was all groundless is false. I could go into dozens more pages to explain further why Efird is wrong, but I rather not.

I will file this NOTICE OF LAWSUIT giving the Virginia Indigent Defense Commission (VIDC) 30 days to correct their mistake of dismissing my complaint against Attorney Fred Dempsey Smith. The Evidence CD had contained a lot of evidence which William Efird likely didn't go through everything, or if he did then he is lying in dismissing my complaint. He is defaming me by acting as though I had no grounds at all when that is clearly false.

Five secret audio recordings is irrefutable proof. The emails from Asst. Attorney General Justin Hill also prove that Fred Smith had lied about the Attorney General agreeing to grant the extension of time for my appeals. He did lie, and that is a fact. If it was Justin Hill who lied, then Fred Smith can respond to my complaint with an affidavit alleging that Justin Hill lied and not Fred Smith. It is up to him what course he wants to take in response to my complaint. Everyone has the right to a fair trial, presumption of innocence, and due process of law.

William Efird didn't even allow attorney Fred Smith to respond to my complaint when I mailed two Evidence CDs so that the SOPEA can mail one Evidence CD to Fred Dempsey Smith to allow him to respond to my complaint, memorandum, and evidence CD. William Efird didn't even give the responding attorney a right to respond to my complaint. Didn't ask for any investigation or anything. Just bury his head in the sand.

William Efird of the SOPEA who acted as a gatekeeper, who decided without ordering an investigation that my complaint was groundless then dismiss my complaint without even a truthful justifiable reason.

I will give William Efird and the Commission 30 days to mediate this situation and give them plenty of time to respond to my NOTICE OF LAWSUIT. I believe William Efird was defamatory in dismissing my complaint. He had dismissed my complaint under FALSE PRETENSES. FALSE PRETENSES. Dismissing my complaint as groundless for false pretenses is defamatory to me and I will file a lawsuit against you to have a jury look over everything I filed with your commission. The jury will decide if my complaint was baseless or not when I sue you for defamation. When I sue you for defamation, they will see whether or not I had no evidence against Attorney Fred Smith. They will hear all five audio recordings and review over the BRIEF OF THE COMMONWEALTH filed with the Court of Appeals of Virginia, the false statements which came from Attorney Fred Smith. The jury will have a hard time believing that my


complaint was groundless. I can succeed in suing you for defamation, for defaming me and my character by dismissing my complaint under false pretenses.

I ask William Efird that he apologize for the mistake he made on February 14, 2024, in his dismissal letter, and ask that he only dismiss my complaint under valid reasons (if any) and not under false pretenses. I ask that my complaint be reopened, and INVESTIGATED, INVESTIGATED, INVESTIGATED, INVESTIGATED. I DEMAND AN INVESTIGATION INTO my claims against Attorney Fred Smith in my complaint, memorandum, and evidence CD.

Otherwise I will file a lawsuit against you William Efird. I will file a lawsuit against the entire Virginia Indigent Defense Commission (VIDC). You will be sued, and I will find an attorney who will help me pro bono or I will try to figure out whether I should represent myself. I will see what I can do to sue you here.

I hate to have to travel back and forth between the Circuit Court in Richmond, Virginia, but I cannot file the suit in Martinsville Circuit Court because Judge Greer is corrupt and will act against me to protect his court appointed lawyer. Judge Greer is corrupt and will likely file another contempt charge against me if I ask for change of venue or recusal like Brian Clark did years ago. I know I wouldn't get a fair trial in Martinsville, so I plan to file the lawsuit in the Circuit Court of Richmond, VA. I cannot afford the travel expenses to keep going to a hearing in that court. I will see what I can do here. Plus I am under federal supervised release. I would have to keep getting permission to appear over and over again once I file my lawsuit against you.

You have defamed me, William Efird. I will file a lawsuit and see what I can do here to show a jury trial you lied about my complaint to dismiss my complaint. Have a good day everybody.


Signed

Brian D. Hill

God bless you,
Brian D. Hill

Ally of Q, Former news reporter of U.S.W.G.O. Alternative News
310 Forest Street, Apartment 2
Martinsville, Virginia 24112
(276) 790-3505

JusticeForUSWGO.NL or JusticeForUSWGO.wordpress.com





VIRGINIA DEFENDERS
INDIGENT DEFENSE COMMISSION

February 14, 2024

Brian Hill
310 Forest Street, Apartment 2
Martinsville, VA 24112

Dear Brian Hill:

We received your Standards of Practice complaint dated January 27, 2024. This letter serves as a determination on the listed complaints:

1. Rule 4.1 Truthfulness to others. You have not alleged any misconduct.
2. Rule 3.3 Candor toward the tribunal. You have presented no evidence that Mr. Smith lied to a tribunal concerning the appeal that you represented yourself on.
3. Rule 1.2 Scope of representation. Mr. Smith did not represent you on the appeal you claim he caused to be dismissed.
4. Standard 1.0- You do not state a violation here.
5. Standard 1.0- You have provided no evidence that Mr. Smith lied to the assistant attorney general. The secret recording that you made of the conversation with Mr. Smith was of him advising you to call the assistant attorney general concerning an extension. This was entirely reasonable as you represented yourself on the appeal.
6. Standard 1.1- You do not state a violation here.
7. Standard 1.2- You have provided no evidence that Mr. Smith does not have the education, training or experience necessary to represent you on the charge he was appointed to represent you.

For the previously listed reasons, the Standard of Practice complaint concerning Attorney Fred Smith is not founded and is dismissed.

Sincerely,

William Efird
Standards of Practice Enforcement Attorney

VIRGINIA INDIGENT DEFENSE COMMISSION
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
1604 SANTA ROSA ROAD, SUITE 200
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23229

RICHMOND VA 230

15 FEB 2024 PM 3 L

FIRST-CLASS



US POSTAGE IMPITNEY BOWES



ZIP 23229
02 7H
0006113809

\$ 000.64⁰
JAN 29 2024

BRIAN HILL
310 FOREST STREET, APT 2
MARTINSVILLE, VA 24112

*Received on
February 18, 2024
Brian D. Hill
signed*

24112-421010

